Community College Of Philadelphia Community Scan Complete Tabular Results By Service Area

TABLE 1-A. Verbatim Unaided Recall Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter	Northwest Cer		West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	ARCADIA			1	.9%	2	2.5%			3	.6%
MENTIONED - FIRST	ARCADIA UNIVERSITY			1	.9%					1	.2%
RESPONSE	BRYN MAWR							1	1.2%	1	.2%
	BUCKS COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE			1	.9%					1	.2%
	CAN'T THINK OF ANY			1	.9%					1	.2%
	CCP	1	.4%	2	1.8%					3	.6%
	CLARK ATLANTA					1	1.3%			1	.2%
	COMMUNITY	1	.4%							1	.2%
	COMMUNITY COLLEGE	5	2.2%	1	.9%			1	1.2%	7	1.4%
	COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	11	4.9%			7	8.8%	5	6.1%	23	4.6%
	COMMUNITY OF PHILADELPHIA	1	.4%	1	.9%					2	.4%
	COMMUNITY PHILADELPHIA COLLEGE					1	1.3%			1	.2%
	DELAWARE STATE COLLEGE	1	.4%							1	.2%
	DEVRY	1	.4%							1	.2%
	DREXEL	10	4.5%	2	1.8%	3	3.8%	7	8.5%	22	4.4%
	DREXEL UNIVERSITY	2	.9%					1	1.2%	3	.6%
	HANEMAN					1	1.3%			1	.2%
	HARVARD	1	.4%							1	.2%
	HAVERFORD COLLEGE							1	1.2%	1	.2%
	HOLY FAMILY	1	.4%	8	7.0%					9	1.8%
	HOLY FAMILY COLLEGE	1	.4%	2	1.8%					3	.6%
	HOLY FAMILY UNIVERSITY			1	.9%					1	.2%
	IMMACULATE COLLEGE			1	.9%					1	.2%
	JEFFERSON	1	.4%							1	.2%
	KUTZTOWN/ PENN U			1	.9%					1	.2%
	LASALLE	5	2.2%	13	11.4%	7	8.8%			25	5.0%
	LASALLE COLLEGE			1	.9%	2	2.5%			3	.6%
	LASALLE UNIVERSITY					1	1.3%			1	.2%
	LINCOLN							1	1.2%	1	.2%
	LITTLE FLOWER	1	.4%							1	.2%
	MOORE COLLEGE OF ART AND DESIGN	1	.4%							1	.2%

TABLE 1-A. Verbatim Unaided Recall Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter	Northwes Ce	t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	MORGAN STATE							1	1.2%	1	.2%
MENTIONED - FIRST	NEWMAN	1	.4%							1	.2%
RESPONSE	NOTRE DAME	1	.4%							1	.2%
	PENN	12	5.4%	3	2.6%	2	2.5%	3	3.7%	20	4.0%
	PENN STATE	10	4.5%	12	10.5%	3	3.8%	2	2.4%	27	5.4%
	PENNSYLVANIA	2	.9%	1	.9%					3	.6%
	PHIL COMMUNITY COLLEGE			1	.9%					1	.2%
	PHILADELPHIA COLLEGE	2	.9%					1	1.2%	3	.6%
	PHILADELPHIA COLLEGE CALLED PENN STATE	1	.4%							1	.2%
	PHILADELPHIA COMMUNITY	1	.4%							1	.2%
	PHILADELPHIA COMMUNITY COLLEGE	8	3.6%	1	.9%	2	2.5%	1	1.2%	12	2.4%
	PHILADELPHIA UNIVERSITY	2	.9%							2	.4%
	PRINCETON			1	.9%	1	1.3%			2	.4%
	RICE	1	.4%							1	.2%
	ROSEMONT							1	1.2%	1	.2%
	SAINT JOE'S	1	.4%	5	4.4%	2	2.5%	6	7.3%	14	2.8%
	SAINT JOE'S UNIVERSITY			1	.9%					1	.2%
	SAINT JOE	1	.4%							1	.2%
	SAINT JOSEPH'S UNIVERSITY			2	1.8%					2	.4%
	SAINT JOSEPH	2	.9%							2	.4%
	SPELLMAN	1	.4%							1	.2%
	SWARTHMORE COLLEGE	1	.4%							1	.2%
	TEMPLE	77	34.4%	26	22.8%	30	37.5%	27	32.9%	160	32.0%
	TEMPLE COLLEGE	1	.4%							1	.2%
	TEMPLE UNIVERSITY	19	8.5%	6	5.3%	3	3.8%			28	5.6%
	THE COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1	.4%							1	.2%
	THE COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA					1	1.3%			1	.2%
	U OF P			2	1.8%			1	1.2%	3	.6%
	U OF PENN	2	.9%					1	1.2%	3	.6%
	U PENN						-	1	1.2%	1	.2%
	UNIVERSITY OF PENN	10	4.5%	6	5.3%	3	3.8%	10	12.2%	29	5.8%
	UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA	17	7.6%	6	5.3%	7	8.8%	7	8.5%	37	7.4%
	UNIVERSITY OF PHILADELPHIA							1	1.2%	1	.2%
	UNIVERSITY OF THE ARTS	1	.4%							1	.2%
	URSINUS			1	.9%					1	.2%
	VILLANOVA	3	1.3%	2	1.8%			1	1.2%	6	1.2%
	VILLANOVA COLLEGE	1	.4%							1	.2%

TABLE 1-A. Verbatim Unaided Recall Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional enter		st Regional enter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	WEST CHESTER UNIVERSITY	1	.4%	1	.9%					2	.4%
MENTIONED - FIRST	WHARTON					1	1.3%			1	.2%
RESPONSE	WIDENER							1	1.2%	1	.2%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%

TABLE 1-A. Verbatim Unaided Recall Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				Тс	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter	Northwest Cer		West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	ARCADIA	2	1.4%			1	2.3%			3	1.0%
MENTIONED	ARCADIA UNIVERSITY			1	1.5%					1	.3%
- SECOND RESPONSE	BRYN MAWR							1	2.3%	1	.3%
KEOI ONOL	BRYN MAWR COLLEGE	1	.7%							1	.3%
	BUCKS COMMUNITY COLLEGE			1	1.5%					1	.3%
	BUCKS COUNTY COMMUNITY			1	1.5%					1	.3%
	CCP			1	1.5%					1	.3%
	CCP/ COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	1	.7%							1	.3%
	CHEYNEY	1	.7%					1	2.3%	2	.7%
	COMMUNITY	2	1.4%							2	.7%
	COMMUNITY COLLEGE	3	2.1%							3	1.0%
	COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	5	3.5%			2	4.5%	1	2.3%	8	2.7%
	COMMUNITY OF PHILADELPHIA	1	.7%							1	.3%
	DELAWARE VALLEY	1	.7%							1	.3%
	DREXEL	26	18.1%	6	9.2%	4	9.1%	10	22.7%	46	15.5%
	DREXEL UNIVERSITY	4	2.8%							4	1.3%
	EASTERN UNIVERSITY			1	1.5%					1	.3%
	HOLY FAMILY			3	4.6%					3	1.0%
	IMMACULATE	1	.7%							1	.3%
	JEFFERSON UNIVERSITY			1	1.5%					1	.3%
	LASALLE	7	4.9%	3	4.6%	6	13.6%			16	5.4%
	LASALLE UNIVERSITY	5	3.5%							5	1.7%
	MOORE LIBERAL ARTS			1	1.5%					1	.3%
	MORGAN							1	2.3%	1	.3%
	PEIRCE	1	.7%							1	.3%
	PENN	2	1.4%	2	3.1%	1	2.3%	2	4.5%	7	2.4%
	PENN STATE	10	6.9%	9	13.8%	1	2.3%			20	6.7%
	PENN STATE/ UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA					1	2.3%			1	.3%
ļ	PENNSYLVANIA	1	.7%							1	.3%
	PHILADELPHIA BIBLICAL UNIVERSITY					1	2.3%			1	.3%
	PHILADELPHIA COMMUNITY	1	.7%							1	.3%
	PHILADELPHIA COMMUNITY COLLEGE					1	2.3%			1	.3%
	PHILADELPHIA UNIVERSITY	1	.7%							1	.3%
l	PHOENIX	1	.7%							1	.3%
	REED			1	1.5%					1	.3%
l	SAINT JOE'S	2	1.4%					2	4.5%	4	1.3%

TABLE 1-A. Verbatim Unaided Recall Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	SAINT JOE'S COLLEGE	1	.7%							1	.3%
MENTIONED - SECOND	SAINT JOE'S UNIVERSITY	2	1.4%			1	2.3%			3	1.0%
RESPONSE	SAINT JOSEPH'S	1	.7%	1	1.5%					2	.7%
	SAINT JOSEPH							1	2.3%	1	.3%
	SAINT JOSEPH UNIVERSITY			1	1.5%					1	.3%
	STOCKTON	1	.7%							1	.3%
	SWARTHMORE COLLEGE	1	.7%							1	.3%
	TEMPLE	29	20.1%	16	24.6%	13	29.5%	15	34.1%	73	24.6%
	TEMPLE UNIVERSITY	2	1.4%			1	2.3%	3	6.8%	6	2.0%
	U OF PENN	1	.7%			1	2.3%			2	.7%
	U PENN	1	.7%			1	2.3%	1	2.3%	3	1.0%
	UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA			1	1.5%					1	.3%
	UNIVERSITY OF PENN	10	6.9%	3	4.6%	2	4.5%			15	5.1%
	UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA	10	6.9%	5	7.7%	5	11.4%	5	11.4%	25	8.4%
	UNIVERSITY OF PHILADELPHIA					1	2.3%			1	.3%
	UNIVERSITY OF PHOENIX	1	.7%							1	.3%
	UNIVERSITY OF THE ARTS	1	.7%							1	.3%
	VILLANOVA	1	.7%	3	4.6%	1	2.3%			5	1.7%
	WAGNER UNIVERSITY	1	.7%							1	.3%
	WEST CHESTER	1	.7%					1	2.3%	2	.7%
	WEST CHESTER UNIVERSITY			1	1.5%					1	.3%
	WIDENER			2	3.1%					2	.7%
	WIDENER UNIVERSITY	1	.7%							1	.3%
	YALE		·	1	1.5%					1	.3%
Total		144	100.0%	65	100.0%	44	100.0%	44	100.0%	297	100.0%

TABLE 1-A. Verbatim Unaided Recall Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	tal
		Spring	Garden		Regional	Northwes Cer	t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	ALBRIGHT			1	2.5%					1	.5%
MENTIONED - THIRD	ALLEGHENY	1	1.1%							1	.5%
RESPONSE	ANT							1	3.3%	1	.5%
KEOI ONOL	ARCADIA			1	2.5%					1	.5%
	BERKELEY	1	1.1%							1	.5%
	BLOOMSBURG					1	3.0%			1	.5%
	BRYN MAWR COLLEGE	1	1.1%							1	.5%
	CC OF P	1	1.1%							1	.5%
	CCP	1	1.1%	1	2.5%					2	1.0%
	CHEYNEY							2	6.7%	2	1.0%
	COLUMBIA			1	2.5%					1	.5%
	COMMUNITY COLLEGE					1	3.0%			1	.5%
	COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	1	1.1%							1	.5%
	DREXEL	13	14.1%	6	15.0%	9	27.3%	7	23.3%	35	17.9%
	DREXEL UNIVERSITY							2	6.7%	2	1.0%
	HAVERFORD	1	1.1%							1	.5%
	HAVERFORD COLLEGE	1	1.1%							1	.5%
	HOLY FAMILY	1	1.1%	1	2.5%					2	1.0%
	HOLY FAMILY UNIVERSITY			1	2.5%					1	.5%
	JEFFERSON	4	4.3%							4	2.1%
	LASALLE	6	6.5%	5	12.5%	11	33.3%	4	13.3%	26	13.3%
	LASALLE UNIVERSITY	1	1.1%							1	.5%
	LINCOLN	1	1.1%							1	.5%
	LINCOLN UNIVERSITY	1	1.1%							1	.5%
	MORGAN STATE							1	3.3%	1	.5%
	NEWMAN	1	1.1%							1	.5%
	OLD DOMINION UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA	1	1.1%							1	.5%
	PEIRCE	1	1.1%							1	.5%
	PEIRCE COLLEGE	1	1.1%							1	.5%
	PENN	3	3.3%	2	5.0%			1	3.3%	6	3.1%
	PENN STATE	2	2.2%	1	2.5%	1	3.0%	1	3.3%	5	2.6%
	PENN STATE UNIVERSITY	1	1.1%							1	.5%
	PENNSYLVANIA ACADEMY OF FINE ARTS	1	1.1%							1	.5%
	PHILADELPHIA COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1	1.1%					1	3.3%	2	1.0%
	PHILADELPHIA SCHOOL OF FASHION AND DESIGN			1	2.5%					1	.5%
	PHILADELPHIA UNIVERSITY			1	2.5%	1	3.0%			2	1.0%

TABLE 1-A. Verbatim Unaided Recall Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	ROSEMONT	1	1.1%							1	.5%
MENTIONED - THIRD	ROWAN	1	1.1%							1	.5%
RESPONSE	SAINT JOE'S	2	2.2%			1	3.0%	2	6.7%	5	2.6%
1.20. 0.102	SAINT JOSEPH'S	1	1.1%							1	.5%
	TEMPLE	20	21.7%	8	20.0%	4	12.1%	2	6.7%	34	17.4%
	TEMPLE UNIVERSITY	3	3.3%							3	1.5%
	U OF P			1	2.5%					1	.5%
	U OF PENN	1	1.1%							1	.5%
	UP							1	3.3%	1	.5%
	UNIVERSITY OF HARRISBURG	1	1.1%							1	.5%
	UNIVERSITY OF PENN	3	3.3%	1	2.5%			1	3.3%	5	2.6%
	UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA	5	5.4%			4	12.1%	1	3.3%	10	5.1%
	UNIVERSITY OF PHILADELPHIA							1	3.3%	1	.5%
	VILLANOVA	3	3.3%	6	15.0%			2	6.7%	11	5.6%
	WAGNER COLLEGE	1	1.1%							1	.5%
	WEST CHESTER	1	1.1%	1	2.5%					2	1.0%
	WEST CHESTER UNIVERSITY	1	1.1%	1	2.5%					2	1.0%
	WIDENER	1	1.1%							1	.5%
Total		92	100.0%	40	100.0%	33	100.0%	30	100.0%	195	100.0%

TABLE 1-A. Verbatim Unaided Recall Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter	Northwest Cer		West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	ANTIOCH					1	5.9%			1	1.0%
MENTIONED	ARCADIA					1	5.9%			1	1.0%
- FOURTH RESPONSE	BLOOMSBURG	1	2.1%							1	1.0%
KEOI ONOE	BRYN MAWR	2	4.2%	2	10.0%					4	3.8%
	BRYN MAWR COLLEGE	1	2.1%							1	1.0%
	CHEYNEY							2	10.5%	2	1.9%
	COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	3	6.3%	1	5.0%					4	3.8%
	COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA AND ANNEX	1	2.1%							1	1.0%
	DREXEL	13	27.1%	1	5.0%	4	23.5%	1	5.3%	19	18.3%
	EASTERN			1	5.0%					1	1.0%
	HAVERFORD					1	5.9%	1	5.3%	2	1.9%
	HAVERFORD COLLEGE	1	2.1%							1	1.0%
	HOLY FAMILY	1	2.1%	1	5.0%					2	1.9%
	JEFFERSON	1	2.1%							1	1.0%
	LASALLE	3	6.3%	2	10.0%	2	11.8%	1	5.3%	8	7.7%
	MATHOON COLLEGE IN FLORIDA							1	5.3%	1	1.0%
	MORAVIAN COLLEGE	1	2.1%							1	1.0%
	MOREHOUSE							1	5.3%	1	1.0%
	P COM							1	5.3%	1	1.0%
	PENN	2	4.2%	1	5.0%			1	5.3%	4	3.8%
	PENN STATE	1	2.1%	2	10.0%	1	5.9%			4	3.8%
	PHILADELPHIA CC					1	5.9%			1	1.0%
	PHILADELPHIA UNIVERSITY	1	2.1%			1	5.9%			2	1.9%
	PRINCETON	1	2.1%							1	1.0%
	RUTGERS	1	2.1%							1	1.0%
	SAINT JOE'S	1	2.1%	1	5.0%			2	10.5%	4	3.8%
	SAINT JOSEPH'S					1	5.9%			1	1.0%
	SAINT JOSEPH'S UNIVERSITY	1	2.1%							1	1.0%
	TEMPLE	5	10.4%	4	20.0%			2	10.5%	11	10.6%
	TEMPLE UNIVERSITY			1	5.0%					1	1.0%
	UNIVERSITY OF ARTS	1	2.1%							1	1.0%
	UNIVERSITY OF PENN	1	2.1%	1	5.0%	1	5.9%			3	2.9%
	UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA					1	5.9%	3	15.8%	4	3.8%
	UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCES							1	5.3%	1	1.0%
	UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCES IN PHILADELPHIA							1	5.3%	1	1.0%
	UNIVERSITY OF THE ARTS	1	2.1%							1	1.0%

TABLE 1-A. Verbatim Unaided Recall Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
	[t Regional		t Regional				
			Garden		nter		nter	<u> </u>	onal Center		
COLLEGES	VERSAILLES	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
MENTIONED	VILLANOVA		0.00/		10.00/	1	5.9%			1	1.0%
- FOURTH	VILLANOVA VILLANOVA UNIVERSITY	4	8.3%	2	10.0%		· ·	1	5.3%	7	6.7%
RESPONSE	VILLANOVA UNIVERSITY					1	5.9%			1	1.0%
Total	ARCADIA	48	100.0%	20	100.0%	17	100.0%	19	100.0%	104	100.0%
COLLEGES MENTIONED	_			1	16.7%					1	2.8%
- FIFTH	BRYN MAWR							1	11.1%	1	2.8%
RESPONSE	CHEYNEY					1	14.3%			1	2.8%
	COMMUNITY COLLEGE							1	11.1%	1	2.8%
	COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA					5	71.4%			5	13.9%
	COMMUNITY OF PHILADELPHIA	1	7.1%							1	2.8%
	DREXEL			1	16.7%			1	11.1%	2	5.6%
	HAVERFORD			1	16.7%					1	2.8%
	LASALLE	1	7.1%					1	11.1%	2	5.6%
	LASALLE UNIVERSITY	1	7.1%							1	2.8%
	LOCK HAVEN	1	7.1%							1	2.8%
	PEIRCE BUSINESS	1	7.1%							1	2.8%
	PENN STATE	1	7.1%							1	2.8%
	PHILADELPHIA COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1	7.1%							1	2.8%
	PHILADELPHIA UNIVERSITY					1	14.3%			1	2.8%
	ROSEMONT	1	7.1%							1	2.8%
	SAINT JOE'S							1	11.1%	1	2.8%
	SAINT JOSEPH'S UNIVERSITY			1	16.7%					1	2.8%
	TEMPLE	3	21.4%	1	16.7%					4	11.1%
	THE COLLEGE OF SCIENCES OF PHILADELPHIA							1	11.1%	1	2.8%
	UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCES							1	11.1%	1	2.8%
	VILLANOVA	3	21.4%						İ	3	8.3%
	VIRGINIA STATE							1	11.1%	1	2.8%
	WEST CHESTER			1	16.7%				İ	1	2.8%
	WHARTON							1	11.1%	1	2.8%
Total		14	100.0%	6	100.0%	7	100.0%	9	100.0%	36	100.0%
Community Collog	e Of Philadelphia Copyright CLAR	LIS Corporat	tion 18 Jan 06								

TABLE 1-B. Verbatim Unaided Recall Of Area Educational Institutions (Multiple Responses) By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	ALBRIGHT			1	.4%					1	.1%
MENTIONED - MULTIPLE	ALLEGHENY	1	.2%							1	.1%
RESPONSES	ANT							1	.5%	1	.1%
	ANTIOCH					1	.6%			1	.1%
	ARCADIA	2	.4%	3	1.2%	4	2.2%			9	.8%
	ARCADIA UNIVERSITY			2	.8%					2	.2%
	BERKELEY	1	.2%							1	.1%
	BLOOMSBURG	1	.2%			1	.6%			2	.2%
	BRYN MAWR	2	.4%	2	.8%			3	1.6%	7	.6%
	BRYN MAWR COLLEGE	3	.6%							3	.3%
	BUCKS COMMUNITY COLLEGE			1	.4%					1	.1%
	BUCKS COUNTY COMMUNITY			1	.4%					1	.1%
	BUCKS COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE			1	.4%					1	.1%
	CAN'T THINK OF ANY			1	.4%					1	.1%
	CC OF P	1	.2%							1	.1%
	CCP	2	.4%	4	1.6%					6	.5%
	CCP/ COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	1	.2%							1	.1%
	CHEYNEY	1	.2%			1	.6%	5	2.7%	7	.6%
	CLARK ATLANTA					1	.6%			1	.1%
	COLUMBIA			1	.4%					1	.1%
	COMMUNITY	3	.6%							3	.3%
	COMMUNITY COLLEGE	8	1.5%	1	.4%	1	.6%	2	1.1%	12	1.1%
	COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	20	3.8%	1	.4%	14	7.7%	6	3.3%	41	3.6%
	COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA AND ANNEX	1	.2%							1	.1%
	COMMUNITY OF PHILADELPHIA	3	.6%	1	.4%					4	.4%
	COMMUNITY PHILADELPHIA COLLEGE					1	.6%			1	.1%
	DELAWARE STATE COLLEGE	1	.2%							1	.1%
	DELAWARE VALLEY	1	.2%							1	.1%
	DEVRY	1	.2%							1	.1%
	DREXEL	62	11.9%	16	6.5%	20	11.0%	26	14.1%	124	11.0%
	DREXEL UNIVERSITY	6	1.1%					3	1.6%	9	.8%
	EASTERN			1	.4%					1	.1%
	EASTERN UNIVERSITY			1	.4%					1	.1%
	HANEMAN					1	.6%			1	.1%
	HARVARD	1	.2%							1	.1%
	HAVERFORD	1	.2%	1	.4%	1	.6%	1	.5%	4	.4%

TABLE 1-B. Verbatim Unaided Recall Of Area Educational Institutions (Multiple Responses) By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				То	tal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	HAVERFORD COLLEGE	2	.4%					1	.5%	3	.3
MENTIONED MULTIPLE	HOLY FAMILY	3	.6%	13	5.3%					16	1.4
RESPONSES	HOLY FAMILY COLLEGE	1	.2%	2	.8%					3	.3
	HOLY FAMILY UNIVERSITY			2	.8%					2	.2
	IMMACULATE	1	.2%							1	.1
	IMMACULATE COLLEGE			1	.4%					1	.1
	JEFFERSON	6	1.1%							6	.5
	JEFFERSON UNIVERSITY			1	.4%					1	.1
	KUTZTOWN/ PENN U			1	.4%					1	.1
	LASALLE	22	4.2%	23	9.4%	26	14.4%	6	3.3%	77	6.8
	LASALLE COLLEGE			1	.4%	2	1.1%			3	.3
	LASALLE UNIVERSITY	7	1.3%			1	.6%			8	.7
	LINCOLN	1	.2%					1	.5%	2	.2
	LINCOLN UNIVERSITY	1	.2%							1	.1
	LITTLE FLOWER	1	.2%							1	.1
	LOCK HAVEN	1	.2%							1	.1
	MATHOON COLLEGE IN FLORIDA							1	.5%	1	.1
	MOORE COLLEGE OF ART AND DESIGN	1	.2%							1	.1
	MOORE LIBERAL ARTS			1	.4%					1	.1
	MORAVIAN COLLEGE	1	.2%							1	.*
	MOREHOUSE							1	.5%	1	
	MORGAN							1	.5%	1	.*
	MORGAN STATE							2	1.1%	2	.2
	NEWMAN	2	.4%							2	.2
	NOTRE DAME	1	.2%							1	.1
	OLD DOMINION UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA	1	.2%							1	.1
	P COM							1	.5%	1	.1
	PEIRCE	2	.4%							2	.2
	PEIRCE BUSINESS	1	.2%							1	.1
	PEIRCE COLLEGE	1	.2%							1	.1
	PENN	19	3.6%	8	3.3%	3	1.7%	7	3.8%	37	3.3
	PENN STATE	24	4.6%	24	9.8%	6	3.3%	3	1.6%	57	5.0
	PENN STATE UNIVERSITY	1	.2%							1	.1
	PENN STATE/ UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA					1	.6%			1	
	PENNSYLVANIA	3	.6%	1	.4%					4	.4
	PENNSYLVANIA ACADEMY OF FINE ARTS	1	.2%							1	.1

TABLE 1-B. Verbatim Unaided Recall Of Area Educational Institutions (Multiple Responses) By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	tal
		Spring	Garden		Regional nter	Northwes Cei	t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	PHIL COMMUNITY COLLEGE			1	.4%					1	.1%
MENTIONED - MULTIPLE	PHILADELPHIA BIBLICAL UNIVERSITY					1	.6%			1	.1%
RESPONSES	PHILADELPHIA CC					1	.6%			1	.1%
	PHILADELPHIA COLLEGE	2	.4%					1	.5%	3	.3%
	PHILADELPHIA COLLEGE CALLED PENN STATE	1	.2%							1	.1%
	PHILADELPHIA COMMUNITY	2	.4%							2	.2%
	PHILADELPHIA COMMUNITY COLLEGE	10	1.9%	1	.4%	3	1.7%	2	1.1%	16	1.4%
	PHILADELPHIA SCHOOL OF FASHION AND DESIGN			1	.4%					1	.1%
	PHILADELPHIA UNIVERSITY	4	.8%	1	.4%	3	1.7%			8	.7%
	PHOENIX	1	.2%							1	.1%
	PRINCETON	1	.2%	1	.4%	1	.6%			3	.39
	REED		12.72	1	.4%					1	.19
	RICE	1	.2%							1	.19
	ROSEMONT	2	.4%					1	.5%	3	.39
	ROWAN	1	.2%							1	.19
	RUTGERS	1	.2%							1	.19
	SAINT JOE'S	6	1.1%	6	2.4%	3	1.7%	13	7.1%	28	2.5%
	SAINT JOE'S COLLEGE	1	.2%							1	.19
	SAINT JOE'S UNIVERSITY	2	.4%	1	.4%	1	.6%			4	.49
	SAINT JOE	1	.2%							1	.19
	SAINT JOSEPH'S	2	.4%	1	.4%	1	.6%			4	.49
	SAINT JOSEPH'S UNIVERSITY	1	.2%	3	1.2%					4	.49
	SAINT JOSEPH	2	.4%					1	.5%	3	.3%
	SAINT JOSEPH UNIVERSITY			1	.4%					1	.19
	SPELLMAN	1	.2%							1	.19
	STOCKTON	1	.2%							1	.19
	SWARTHMORE COLLEGE	2	.4%							2	.29
	TEMPLE	134	25.7%	55	22.4%	47	26.0%	46	25.0%	282	24.9%
	TEMPLE COLLEGE	1	.2%							1	.19
	TEMPLE UNIVERSITY	24	4.6%	7	2.9%	4	2.2%	3	1.6%	38	3.49
	THE COLLEGE OF SCIENCES OF PHILADELPHIA							1	.5%	1	.19
	THE COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1	.2%							1	.19
	THE COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA					1	.6%			1	.19
	U OF P			3	1.2%			1	.5%	4	.49
	U OF PENN	4	.8%			1	.6%	1	.5%	6	.5%

TABLE 1-B. Verbatim Unaided Recall Of Area Educational Institutions (Multiple Responses) By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	UP							1	.5%	1	.1%
MENTIONED - MULTIPLE	U PENN	1	.2%			1	.6%	2	1.1%	4	.4%
RESPONSES	UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA			1	.4%					1	.1%
	UNIVERSITY OF ARTS	1	.2%							1	.1%
	UNIVERSITY OF HARRISBURG	1	.2%							1	.1%
	UNIVERSITY OF PENN	24	4.6%	11	4.5%	6	3.3%	11	6.0%	52	4.6%
	UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA	32	6.1%	11	4.5%	17	9.4%	16	8.7%	76	6.7%
	UNIVERSITY OF PHILADELPHIA					1	.6%	2	1.1%	3	.3%
	UNIVERSITY OF PHOENIX	1	.2%							1	.1%
	UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCES							2	1.1%	2	.2%
	UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCES IN PHILADELPHIA							1	.5%	1	.1%
	UNIVERSITY OF THE ARTS	3	.6%							3	.3%
	URSINUS			1	.4%					1	.1%
	VERSAILLES					1	.6%			1	.1%
	VILLANOVA	14	2.7%	13	5.3%	1	.6%	4	2.2%	32	2.8%
	VILLANOVA COLLEGE	1	.2%							1	.1%
	VILLANOVA UNIVERSITY					1	.6%			1	.1%
	VIRGINIA STATE							1	.5%	1	.1%
	WAGNER COLLEGE	1	.2%							1	.1%
	WAGNER UNIVERSITY	1	.2%							1	.1%
	WEST CHESTER	2	.4%	2	.8%			1	.5%	5	.4%
	WEST CHESTER UNIVERSITY	2	.4%	3	1.2%					5	.4%
	WHARTON					1	.6%	1	.5%	2	.2%
	WIDENER	1	.2%	2	.8%			1	.5%	4	.4%
	WIDENER UNIVERSITY	1	.2%							1	.1%
	YALE			1	.4%					1	.1%
Total		522	100.0%	245	100.0%	181	100.0%	184	100.0%	1132	100.0%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	E AREA		
				Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	ALBRIGHT	DESCRIPTION	ACADEMIC			1	.4%		
MENTIONED	ALLEGHENY	DESCRIPTION	BEST FOR PREMED	1	.2%				
- MULTIPLE RESPONSES	ANT	DESCRIPTION	EXCELLENT						
KESI SINGES	ANTIOCH	DESCRIPTION	DOES A GREAT JOB/ LETS PEOPLE USE LIFE SKILLS TOWARD A DEGRE					1	.6%
	ARCADIA	DESCRIPTION	0					1	.6%
			CONVENIENT					1	.6%
			EXCELLENT COLLEGE			1	.4%		
			EXCELLENT REPUTATION					1	.6%
			GOOD	1	.2%				
			GOOD REPUTATION			1	.4%		
			SMALL			1	.4%		
A			STUDENT FRIENDLY	1	.2%		,0		
			VERY GOOD COOP PROGRAM	-				1	.6%
	RCADIA UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	0			1	.4%		1070
			BEAVER COLLEGE FOR WOMEN IS A BETTER NAME			1	.4%		
	BERKELEY	DESCRIPTION	GRANDCHILD ATTENDS/ NOW IN SECOND YEAR	1	.2%				
	BLOOMSBURG	DESCRIPTION	SMALL COLLEGE PEOPLE-WISE					1	.6%
			VERY GOOD	1	.2%				
	BRYN MAWR	DESCRIPTION	BETTER COLLEGE						
			GOOD PRIVATE SCHOOL			1	.4%		
			LIBERAL ARTS	1	.2%				
			SAME AS HAVERFORD						
			VERY UPPER CLASS			1	.4%		
			WOMEN'S COLLEGE	1	.2%				
	BRYN MAWR COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	ALL WOMEN'S LIBERAL ART COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			GIRLS	1	.2%				
			WOMEN'S COLLEGE	1	.2%				
	BUCKS COMMUNITY COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	GOOD		_	1	.4%		
	BUCKS COUNTY COMMUNITY	DESCRIPTION	AFFORDABLE			1	.4%		
	BUCKS COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	VERY GOOD			1	.4%		
	CAN'T THINK OF ANY	DESCRIPTION	0			1	.4%		
	CC OF P	DESCRIPTION	AFFORDABLE	1	.2%				

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	E AREA		
				Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		st Regional enter
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	CCP	DESCRIPTION	ACROSS THE STREET			1	.4%		
MENTIONED - MULTIPLE			DISAPPOINTED			1	.4%		1
RESPONSES			I DON'T KNOW			1	.4%		1
11201 011020			MY OLD SCHOOL			1	.4%		1
			OKAY	1	.2%				ı
			PRETTY GOOD	1	.2%				<u> </u>
	CCP/ COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	DESCRIPTION	CONVENIENT	1	.2%				1
	CHEYNEY	DESCRIPTION	ALMOST GOOD						
			ALSO A GOOD SCHOOL					1	.6%
			BLACK AWARENESS						1
			GREAT COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			PLAYGROUND						
		Y	TEACHING COLLEGE						
			YOUNGER STUDENTS LACKED HOW TO STUDY SKILLS						
	CLARK ATLANTA	DESCRIPTION	HISTORICAL					1	.6%
	COLUMBIA	DESCRIPTION	EXCELLENT			1	.4%		
	COMMUNITY	DESCRIPTION	A LOT OF PEOPLE	1	.2%				
			CONVENIENT	1	.2%				
			STRIVING	1	.2%				1
	COMMUNITY COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	ALSO A GOOD SCHOOL					1	.6%
			CHEAPER	1	.2%				1
			FAIR	1	.2%				
			GOOD						
			GOOD COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			IT'S A COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			MODEL/ LOYAL	1	.2%				1
			NEAR			1	.4%		
			OKAY	1	.2%				
			STARTER SCHOOL	1	.2%				
			VERY GOOD	1	.2%				
			VERY GOOD SCHOOL						

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	CE AREA							
				Spring	Garden		t Regional		t Regional nter					
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %					
COLLEGES	COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	DESCRIPTION	A PLACE TO START OUT	1	.2%									
MENTIONED			ALL RIGHT					1	.6%					
- MULTIPLE RESPONSES			ASSOCIATE DEGREE/ STEPPING STONE TO FOUR-YEAR COLLEGE	1	.2%									
			CHEAP	1	.2%									
			COLLEGE THAT PEOPLE FEEL BALANCED/ VERY ACCESSIBLE	1	.2%									
			CONVENIENT/ COST EFFECTIVE	1	.2%									
			DIVERSE					2	1.1%					
			DON'T KNOW HOW TO ANSWER	1	.2%									
			DON'T KNOW THAT MUCH ABOUT IT AT THE PRESENT TIME					1	.6%					
			DON'T KNOW TOO MUCH ABOUT IT BUT SOUNDS LIKE A GOOD SCHOOL					1	.6%					
			DON'T KNOW/ NEVER BEEN THERE	1	.2%									
			EXCELLENT COLLEGE											
								EXCELLENT FOR EDUCATION/ INEXPENSIVE					1	.6%
								EXCEPTIONAL					1	.6%
					EXPENSIVE	1	.2%							
			FAMILIAR					1	.6%					
			GOOD	2	.4%									
			GREAT	1	.2%									
			HELP MORE PEOPLE					1	.6%					
			INFORMATIVE	1	.2%									
			INTERMEDIATE/ AS IN-BETWEEN					1	.6%					
			IT'S A REALLY GOOD COLLEGE											
			LOCAL											
			NOT AS GOOD BUT MORE AFFORDABLE											
			OKAY	2	.4%									
			PLACE OF OPPORTUNITY	1	.2%									
			POPULAR AS WELL	1	.2%									
			PRETTY FAIR					1	.6%					
			PRODUCTIVE					1	.6%					
			SERVES EDUCATIONAL NEEDS											

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIO	CE AREA		
				Spring	Garden		st Regional enter		t Regional nter
		i		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES MENTIONED	COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	DESCRIPTION	SMALL COLLEGE/ PRETTY GOOD					1	.6%
- MULTIPLE RESPONSES			TOOK SOME COURSES/ VERY PLEASED WHEN I ATTENDED YEARS AGO					1	.6%
			TRANSITION	1	.2%				
			URBAN	1	.2%				
			VERY DIVERSE			1	.4%		
			WELL KNOWN	1	.2%				
			WITHIN THE COMMUNITY	1	.2%				
	COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA AND ANNEX	DESCRIPTION	GOOD	1	.2%				
	COMMUNITY OF PHILADELPHIA	DESCRIPTION	CHEAP	1	.2%				
			CONVENIENT			1	.4%		
			GOOD SCHOOL	1	.2%				
			SECOND HIGH SCHOOL	1	.2%				
	COMMUNITY PHILADELPHIA COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	GOOD/ FAIR CONDITION					1	.6%
	DELAWARE STATE COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	INFLUENCING	1	.2%				
	DELAWARE VALLEY	DESCRIPTION	GOOD	1	.2%				
	DEVRY	DESCRIPTION	GOOD	1	.2%				

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIO	CE AREA												
				Sprina	Garden	Northeas	t Regional	Northwes Ce	t Regional nter										
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %										
COLLEGES	DREXEL	DESCRIPTION	0					2	1.1%										
MENTIONED			A GRAD COLLEGE	1	.2%														
- MULTIPLE RESPONSES			ACADEMIC																
KESI SINGES			ACADEMIC COLLEGE	1	.2%														
			ACADEMIC EXCELLENCE																
			ACROSS FROM PENN	1	.2%														
			ALL OF THESE COLLEGES ARE VERY GOOD					1	.6%										
			ANOTHER COLLEGE/ COMFORTABLE	1	.2%														
			AVERAGE	1	.2%	1	.4%												
İ			BAD STUDENT TEACHERS	1	.2%														
			BUSINESS	2	.4%														
			CLOSE BY																
			COMPETITIVE	1	.2%														
			CONVENIENT			1	.4%												
			CONVENIENT COLLEGE	1	.2%														
			DON'T KNOW	3	.6%														
			DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT THE SCHOOL			1	.4%												
			DON'T KNOW MUCH ABOUT IT	1	.2%														
			DON'T LIKE IT	1	.2%														
			ECONOMICAL AND TECHNICAL	1	.2%														
													ELITE						
			ENGINEERING	5	1.0%														
			ENGINEERING AND SCIENCE	1	.2%														
			ENGINEERING ORIENTED					1	.6%										
			ENGINEERING SCHOOL	2	.4%														
			ENGINEERING/ EXCELLENT/ EXCEPTIONAL					1	.6%										
			EXCELLENT	1	.2%	1	.4%	2	1.1%										
			EXCELLENT COLLEGE	1	.2%														
			EXPENSIVE	2	.4%														
			FINE					1	.6%										
			FOR BUSINESS																
			FOUR-YEAR					1	.6%										
			GOOD	4	.8%														
			GOOD BUSINESS SCHOOL			1	.4%												
			GOOD COLLEGE																
			GOOD COLLEGE FOR ECONOMICS																

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	CE AREA														
				Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		st Regional enter												
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %												
COLLEGES	DREXEL	DESCRIPTION	GOOD ENGINEERING	1	.2%	1	.4%														
MENTIONED			GOOD FOR ENGINEERING	1	.2%																
- MULTIPLE RESPONSES			GOOD IN TECHNOLOGY AND MATH	1	.2%																
			GOOD LEARNING COLLEGE			1	.4%														
			GOOD NURSING PROGRAM	1	.2%																
			GOOD REPUTATION	1	.2%																
			GOOD SCHOOL	4	.8%																
			GRADUATE SCHOOL	1	.2%																
			GREAT	1	.2%																
			GREAT SCHOOL																		
			HIGH ON THE LADDER																		
			HIGH POWERED																		
			HIGH QUALITY	1	.2%																
			HIGHLY TECHNICAL			1	.4%														
			I THINK OF IT AS A TECHNICAL SCHOOL	1	.2%																
			IT'S GOOD BUT NOT FOR THE MONEY			1	.4%														
			IVY LEAGUE	1	.2%			1	.6%												
			IVY LEAGUE/ LIBERAL ARTS					1	.6%												
															LARGE			1	.4%		
											LEARNING COMPREHENSIVE	1	1 .2%								
										LOCAL	1 .2/0										
										MATHEMATICS AND SCIENCE			1	.4%							
									MEDICINE												
								MORE TECHNICAL	1 .2%	.2%											
						NO EXPERIENCE WITH IT	1 .270		1	.6%											
			NONE	1	.2%																
			NOT SURE/ GOOD SCHOOL					1	.6%												
			OKAY	2	.4%																
			ONE OF THE TOP SCHOOLS					1	.6%												
			OUTSTANDING			1	.4%														
			OUTSTANDING COLLEGE																		
			PEOPLE WHO HAVE GONE THERE ARE VERY SUCCESSFUL					1	.6%												
			PRESTIGIOUS																		
			PRESTIGIOUS COLLEGE			1	.4%														
			PRETTY DECENT COLLEGE																		
			PROFESSIONAL	1	.2%																

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	E AREA		
				Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
LEGES ITIONED ILTIPLE	DREXEL	DESCRIPTION	REALLY DID LIKE IT/ SOME YEARS AGO DAUGHTER WENT THERE					1	.6%
PONSES			SMALL	1	.2%				
			SMART	1	.2%				
			SPECIALIZED IN ENGINEERING	1	.2%				
			TECH						
			TECHNICAL	1	.2%			1	.6%
			TECHNICAL ENGINEERING					1	.6%
			TECHNICAL INSTITUTE						
			TECHNOLOGY	1	.2%			1	.6%
			TECHNOLOGY SCHOOL	1	.2%				
			URBAN	1	.2%				
			VALUE	1	.2%				
			VERY GOOD	1	.2%	2	.8%		
			VOCATIONAL	1	.2%				
			WORK SCHOOL EXPERIENCE/ VERY VALUABLE			1	.4%		
			WORK STUDY PROGRAM/ MEDICAL/ LAW					1	.6%
	DREXEL UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	ACADEMIC/ CHALLENGING/ TRYING TO BECOME A NON-COMMUTING SCHO	1	.2%				
			COOP EDUCATION	1	.2%				
			DON'T KNOW	1	.2%				
			EXCELLENT						
			IT'S A REALLY GOOD SCHOOL						
			OKAY	1	.2%				
			POPULAR						
			SECOND BEST	1	.2%				
			VERY GOOD	1	.2%				
	EASTERN	DESCRIPTION	MY DAUGHTER LOVED IT			1	.4%		
	EASTERN UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	CHRISTIAN			1	.4%		
	HANEMAN	DESCRIPTION	MY ALMA MATER					1	.6%
	HARVARD	DESCRIPTION	LAWYER/ DOCTOR	1	.2%				
	HAVERFORD	DESCRIPTION	ARTS COLLEGE FOR ADVANCED DEGREE WORK	1	.2%				
			FOUR-YEAR					1	.6%
			GOOD LIBERAL ARTS						
			NICE/ MIDWAY KIND OF COLLEGE			1	.4%		

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIO	CE AREA		
				Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		st Regiona enter
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col 9
OLLEGES	HAVERFORD COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	LIBERAL	1	.2%				
ENTIONED			LIBERAL ARTS						
MULTIPLE ESPONSES			SMALLER SCHOOL	1	.2%				
LOI ONOLO	HOLY FAMILY	DESCRIPTION	ACADEMICS			1	.4%		
			CATHOLIC INSTITUTE OF HIGHER LEARNING			1	.4%		
			CONVENIENT/ CREDIBLE			1	.4%		
			GOD SENT	1	.2%				
			GOOD			1	.4%		
			GOOD LEARNING COLLEGE			1	.4%		
			GOOD SCHOOL	1	.2%				
			LOCAL			1	.4%		
			LOOKS WONDERFUL			1	.4%		
			NEARBY			1	.4%		
			NICE COLLEGE	1	.2%				
		NOT Q COLLE RELIGI	NOT QUITE AS GOOD BUT NICE COLLEGE			1	.4%		
			RELIGIOUS ONE			1	.4%		
			SMALL UPCOMING SCHOOL			1	.4%		
			THE BEST			1	.4%		
			TRADITION			1	.4%		
	HOLY FAMILY COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	CLOSE TO HOME	1	.2%				
			HIGH QUALITY			1	.4%		
			THEY HAVE REALLY GOOD PROFESSORS OR TEACHERS			1	.4%		
	HOLY FAMILY UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	I DON'T REALLY KNOW			1	.4%		
			LOCAL			1	.4%		
	IMMACULATE	DESCRIPTION	EXCELLENT	1	.2%				
	IMMACULATE COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	SMALL LIBERAL ARTS/ CATHOLIC			1	.4%		
	JEFFERSON	DESCRIPTION	ALUMNI	1	.2%				
			DON'T KNOW	1	.2%				
			GREAT MEDICAL SCHOOL	1	.2%				
			MEDICAL	2	.4%				
			SAME CATEGORY AS PENN/ NOT COMMUNITY FRIENDLY	1	.2%				
	JEFFERSON UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	HEALTH CARE			1	.4%		
		DESCRIPTION	0	İ		1	.4%		

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	E AREA											
				Spring	Garden		t Regional nter	Northwest Cer	t Regional nter									
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %									
COLLEGES	LASALLE	ESCRIPTION	0	1	.2%			1	.6%									
MENTIONED			ACADEMIC COLLEGE	1	.2%													
- MULTIPLE RESPONSES			ADEQUATE FOR AN INNER CITY SCHOOL			1	.4%											
			AN AVERAGE SCHOOL															
			AS FAR AS I KNOW ALSO GOOD					1	.6%									
			CAN'T THINK OF ONE			1	.4%											
			CATHOLIC			1	.4%	1	.6%									
			CATHOLIC EDUCATION			1	.4%											
			CATHOLIC SCHOOL	1	.2%													
			CITY SCHOOL			1	.4%											
			CLOSE					1	.6%									
			CONTROVERSY					1	.6%									
			DEFINITELY NOT AFFORDABLE	1	.2%													
			DON'T KNOW	2	.4%	1	.4%											
			DON'T KNOW MUCH ABOUT LASALLE/ BIG FIVE	1	.2%													
			EASILY ACCESSIBLE					1	.6%									
			EXCELLENT	1	.2%	1	.4%	2	1.1%									
			EXCELLENT EDUCATION					1	.6%									
			GOOD	1	.2%													
			GOOD COLLEGE					1	.6%									
			GOOD EDUCATION			1	.4%											
			GOOD LIBERAL ARTS			1	.4%											
		_	GOOD SCHOOL GREAT			1	.4%											
						1	.4%											
			GREAT ACADEMIC INSTITUTE			1	.4%											
											I DON'T KNOW TOO	I DON'T KNOW TOO MUCH BUT IT'S A SMALL COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			I HAVE NO IDEA			1	.4%											
			INCLUSIVE					1	.6%									
			INTERESTING					1	.6%									
			IVY LEAGUE					1	.6%									
			LIKE THE UNIVERSITY THERE					1	.6%									
			LONG HISTORY			1	.4%											
		ļ	MORE INTO SPORTS	1	.2%		İ											
			MORE WORKING CLASS SCHOOL	1	.2%													
		ļ	MY OLD COLLEGE			1	.4%											
			NEARBY/ INTERRACIAL COLLEGE					1	.6%									

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	E AREA						
				Spring	Garden	Northeas Ce	t Regional nter	Northwes Ce	t Regional nter				
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %				
COLLEGES	LASALLE	DESCRIPTION	NEIGHBORHOOD			1	.4%						
MENTIONED - MULTIPLE			NICE/ EDUCATIONAL			1	.4%						
RESPONSES			NIECE TAUGHT THERE					1	.6%				
INEOI ONOLO			NOT FAMILIAR					1	.6%				
			OKAY	2	.4%								
			PRETTY GOOD					1	.6%				
			PRETTY GOOD COLLEGE	1	.2%								
			PRIVATE	1	.2%								
			PRIVATE COLLEGE										
			RELIABLE					1	.6%				
			RELIGIOUS	1	.2%								
			RELIGIOUS ORIENTED					1	.6%				
			REPUTABLE	1	.2%								
			SMALL CATHOLIC/ EXPENSIVE					1	.6%				
			SMALL CLASSROOM			1	.4%						
			SMALL/ CHRISTIAN BROKERS			1	.4%						
			STATE-OF-THE-ART			1	.4%						
			THE SAME AS TEMPLE					1	.6%				
			THE SAME WITH LASALLE BUT WITH LESS MEDICAL AREAS	1	.2%								
			THIRD BEST					1	.6%				
			TRADITIONAL			1	.4%						
			VERY FLEXIBLE			1	.4%						
								VERY GOOD	1 .2%				
			VERY GOOD SCHOOL	1	.2%								
			VERY SPIRITUAL			1	.4%						
			WELL ATTENDED					1	.6%				
			WELL CREDITED COLLEGE					1	.6%				
			WONDERFUL	1	.2%								
	LASALLE COLLEGE DESCRIPTI		WOULDN'T BE FIRST CHOICE					1	.6%				
		DESCRIPTION	GOOD EDUCATIONAL SCHOOL			1	.4%						
			NICE, NICE COLLEGE					1	.6%				
			WHAT YOU HEAR/ THAT IT IS PRETTY GOOD COLLEGE					1	.6%				

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIO	CE AREA		
				Spring	Garden		st Regional enter		t Regional nter
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	LASALLE UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	DON'T KNOW	1	.2%				
MENTIONED - MULTIPLE			FRIENDS GO THERE	1	.2%				
RESPONSES			GOOD BASKETBALL TEAM	1	.2%				
			OKAY	1	.2%				
			POOR ADMINISTRATION	1	.2%				
			RIGHT ALONG WITH TEMPLE	1	.2%				
			SMALL	1	.2%			1	.6%
İ	LINCOLN	DESCRIPTION	NOT THE SAME	1	.2%				
ĺ			VERY DIVERSE						
ĺ	LINCOLN UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	HERITAGE	1	.2%				
	LITTLE FLOWER	DESCRIPTION	THE BEST	1	.2%				
	LOCK HAVEN	DESCRIPTION	NOT SURE	1	.2%				
	MATHOON COLLEGE IN FLORIDA	DESCRIPTION	TERRIFIC						
	MOORE COLLEGE OF ART AND DESIGN	DESCRIPTION	PROFESSIONAL	1	.2%				
	MOORE LIBERAL ARTS	DESCRIPTION	VERY GOOD			1	.4%		
	MORAVIAN COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	DON'T KNOW	1	.2%				
	MOREHOUSE	DESCRIPTION	BLACK COLLEGE						
	MORGAN	DESCRIPTION	ALL RIGHT						
	MORGAN STATE	DESCRIPTION	BLACK COLLEGE						
			FAMILY ATMOSPHERE						
	NEWMAN	DESCRIPTION	CONVENIENT	1	.2%				
			QUALITY	1	.2%				
	NOTRE DAME	DESCRIPTION	TOP COLLEGE	1	.2%				
	OLD DOMINION UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA	DESCRIPTION	SUPER	1	.2%				
	P COM	DESCRIPTION	GOOD SCHOOL						
	PEIRCE	DESCRIPTION	FLEXIBLE	1	.2%				
			TWO-YEAR COLLEGE/ THOUGH IT'S NOT	1	.2%				
	PEIRCE BUSINESS	DESCRIPTION	BEST KEPT QUIET SCHOOL/ LOW KEYED	1	.2%				
	PEIRCE COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	BUSINESS COLLEGE	1	.2%				

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	E AREA		
				Spring	Garden		t Regional nter	Northwest Cer	
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	PENN D	ESCRIPTION	A WONDERFUL UNIVERSITY					1	.6%
MENTIONED - MULTIPLE		[ACADEMICS			1	.4%		
RESPONSES		[BIG/ GOOD SCHOOL						
TREE ONGES		[COMPETITIVE	1	.2%				
		[ELITE	1	.2%			1	.6%
			EXCELLENT	1	.2%				
			EXCELLENT ACADEMIC REPUTATION/ GOT TO BE CREME DE LA CREME			1	.4%		
		İ	EXCELLENT SCHOOL			1	.4%		
			GET YOU INTO GRADUATE SCHOOL	1	.2%				
		İ	GOOD			1	.4%		
		İ	GOOD SCHOOL	1	.2%				
		İ	I WENT THERE MY FIRST YEAR						
			INNER CITY			1	.4%		
			IVY LEAGUE	10	1.9%	3	1.2%		
		ĺ	IVY LEAGUE SCHOOL					1	.6%
			MY BOSS	1	.2%				
		ĺ	PRESTIGE						
		ĺ	PRESTIGIOUS	1	.2%				
			THEY DON'T HAVE A VARIETY OF STUDENTS						
		Ī	VERY GOOD	1	.2%				
		Ī	WELL REGARDED	1	.2%				

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	E AREA		
				Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
GES	PENN STATE	DESCRIPTION	0			1	.4%		
DNED			A STATE COLLEGE			1	.4%		
IPLE NSES			AFFORDABLE			1	.4%		
NOLO			ALSO A GOOD SCHOOL					1	.6%
			BETTER THAN NORM	1	.2%				
			BIG	1	.2%				
			CLOSE			1	.4%		
			COLLEGIATE			1	.4%		
			COMPREHENSIVE					1	.6%
			DAUGHTER GOT GOOD EDUCATION THERE	1	.2%				
			DISTANT	1	.2%				
			DOCTORS		1270			1	.6%
			DON'T KNOW	2	.4%	1	.4%	•	107
			DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT THE SCHOOL		,	1	.4%		
			ELITE	1	.2%				
			ENORMOUS		.270			1	.69
			EXCELLENT	2	.4%	2	.8%		.0
			EXPENSIVE/ ESTABLISHED	1	.2%		.070		
			FOOTBALL	1	.2%	1	.4%		
			GOOD	2	.4%	-	,.		
			GOOD COLLEGE			1	.4%		
			GOOD COLLEGE FOR SPORTS	1	.2%				
			GOOD LEARNING COLLEGE			1	.4%		
			GOOD REPUTATION			1	.4%		
			GOOD SCHOOL	2	.4%			1	.60
			GOOD STATE COLLEGE			1	.4%		
			GREAT	1	.2%				
			HIGHER EDUCATION	1	.2%				
			HOSPITAL	1	.2%				
			I DON'T KNOW			2	.8%		
			I KNOW OF THE COLLEGE			1	.4%		
			IT WOULD BE PRETTY GOOD			1	.4%		
			IVY LEAGUE			3	1.2%		
			JOE PATERNO	1	.2%				
			MAJOR	1	.2%				
			OFF THE TOP OF MY HEAD I CAN'T THINK OF ANYTHING	1	.2%				
			PRETTY GOOD			+		1	.60

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	E AREA		
				Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		st Regional enter
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	PENN STATE	DESCRIPTION	STOIC	1	.2%				
MENTIONED - MULTIPLE			TOP NOTCH			1	.4%		
RESPONSES			TRADITIONAL			1	.4%		
KEOI ONOLO			VERY GOOD	1	.2%	1	.4%		
	PENN STATE UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	DON'T KNOW	1	.2%				
	PENN STATE/ UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA	DESCRIPTION	PRESTIGE					1	.6%
	PENNSYLVANIA	DESCRIPTION	0	1	.2%				
			DON'T KNOW	1	.2%				
			IVY LEAGUE COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			SUCCESS			1	.4%		
	PENNSYLVANIA ACADEMY OF FINE ARTS	DESCRIPTION	PROFESSIONAL	1	.2%				
	PHIL COMMUNITY COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	CONVENIENT RESORT			1	.4%		
	PHILADELPHIA BIBLICAL UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	TURN OUT SUCCESSFUL PEOPLE					1	.6%
	PHILADELPHIA CC	DESCRIPTION	PRETTY GOOD					1	.6%
	PHILADELPHIA COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	A GOOD ONE						
			GOOD SCHOOL	1	.2%				
			UNIVERSITY	1	.2%				
	PHILADELPHIA COLLEGE CALLED PENN STATE	DESCRIPTION	GOOD	1	.2%				
	PHILADELPHIA COMMUNITY	DESCRIPTION	GOOD	1	.2%				
			GREAT	1	.2%				
	PHILADELPHIA COMMUNITY COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	BETTER PRICE FOR YOUR MONEY	1	.2%				
			CHEAPER THAN THE OTHERS	1	.2%				
			COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			COMMUNITY ORIENTED	1	.2%				
			CONVENIENT COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			FOUR-YEAR					1	.6%
			GOOD	1	.2%				
			I DON'T KNOW	1	.2%				
			INTERIOR DESIGN					1	.6%
			LEARNING					1	.6%
			MEDIOCRE			1	.4%		
			NEAR EXCELLENT	1	.2%				
			PLACE TO LEARN/ NEEDS IMPROVEMENT						
			STEPPING STONE TO COLLEGE/ PRE-COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			TWO-YEAR COLLEGE	1	.2%				
	PHILADELPHIA SCHOOL OF FASHION AND DESIGN	DESCRIPTION	VERY GOOD SCHOOL			1	.4%		

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	CE AREA		
				Spring	Garden		t Regional enter	Northwes Ce	t Regional nter
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	PHILADELPHIA UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	CAPABLE	1	.2%				
MENTIONED - MULTIPLE			COZY/ MORE INVITING/ SMALLER/ SPECIALIZED			1	.4%		
RESPONSES			EXCELLENT					1	.6%
			GOOD EDUCATION/ MY ALMA MATER	1	.2%				
			LOCAL	1	.2%				
			PHARMACY	1	.2%				
			TEXTILES					1	.6%
			VERY GOOD					1	.6%
	PHOENIX	DESCRIPTION	GREAT EDUCATION FOR WORKING ADULTS	1	.2%				
	RINCETON	DESCRIPTION	EXCELLENT			1	.4%		
			HIGH STANDARDS					1	.6%
			WONDERFUL	1	.2%				
	REED	DESCRIPTION	GOOD MEDICAL SCHOOL			1	.4%		
	RICE	DESCRIPTION	GREATEST SINGLE EDUCATION EXPERIENCE IN MY LIFE	1	.2%				
	ROSEMONT	DESCRIPTION	DON'T KNOW MUCH ABOUT ROSEMONT	1	.2%				
			FOR WORKING PEOPLE	1	.2%				
			IT'S A PRETTY GOOD COLLEGE						
	ROWAN	DESCRIPTION	MIDDLE OF ROAD	1	.2%				
	RUTGERS	DESCRIPTION	FOOTBALL	1	.2%				

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				<u> </u>			CE AREA		
				Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	SAINT JOE'S	DESCRIPTION	ACADEMICS			1	.4%		
MENTIONED			ALMA MATER	1	.2%				
MULTIPLE RESPONSES			ALSO AN AVERAGE SCHOOL						
1201 011020			CATHOLIC					1	.69
			DEPENDABLE						
			EFFECTIVE			1	.4%		
			EXCELLENT						
			EXCELLENT COLLEGE			1	.4%		
			GOOD	1	.2%				
			GOOD SCHOOL			1	.4%		
			GREAT COLLEGE			1	.4%		
			HIGHER EDUCATION	1	.2%				
			I CAN'T THINK OF ANYTHING			1	.4%		
			LOCAL						
			PRIVATE CATHOLIC COLLEGE						
			RELIGIOUS PRIVATE SCHOOL/ NOT AS DIVERSE					1	.69
			SCHOLARSHIP	1	.2%				
			SMALL						
			SPORTS	1	.2%				
			VERY GOOD COLLEGE					1	.6
			VERY GOOD SCHOOL						
			WONDERFUL	1	.2%				
	SAINT JOE'S COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	PAROCHIAL	1	.2%				
	SAINT JOE'S UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	EXCEPTIONAL	1	.2%				
			GOOD EDUCATION					1	.6
			REAL NICE	1	.2%				
			VERY HIGHLY REGARDED CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY			1	.4%		
	SAINT JOE	DESCRIPTION	LOCAL	1	.2%				
	SAINT JOSEPH'S	DESCRIPTION	CATHOLIC			1	.4%		
			GOOD SCHOOL	1	.2%				
			VERY GOOD	1	.2%				
			WELL-ROUNDED CATHOLIC EDUCATION					1	.69
	SAINT JOSEPH'S UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	DEDICATED			1	.4%		
			GOOD PRIVATE			1	.4%		
			INTEGRITY	1	.2%				
			RICH			1	.4%		

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIO	CE AREA		
				Spring	Garden		st Regional enter		st Regional enter
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	SAINT JOSEPH	DESCRIPTION	ACADEMICS	1	.2%				
MENTIONED			GOOD TOO						1
- MULTIPLE RESPONSES _			WONDERFUL TO GO TO	1	.2%				1
KLOI ONOLO	SAINT JOSEPH UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	MORE SELECTIVE			1	.4%		
	SPELLMAN	DESCRIPTION	GREAT	1	.2%				
	STOCKTON	DESCRIPTION	MY GRANDCHILDREN WENT THERE	1	.2%				
	SWARTHMORE COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	SMALL	1	.2%				
			SMALL LIBERAL ARTS COLLEGE	1	.2%				

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	E AREA			
				Spring	Garden		Regional		t Regional	
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	
OLLEGES	TEMPLE	DESCRIPTION	0			1	.4%	2	1.1%	
MENTIONED			A BIG BUILDING							
MULTIPLE RESPONSES			A COLLEGE	1	.2%					
CLOI ONOLO			A GREAT COLLEGE							
			ACADEMIC			1	.4%			
			ACADEMIC EXCELLENCE							
			ACADEMICS			1	.4%			
			ACCESSIBLE	1	.2%					
			ACHIEVING EXCELLENCE	1	.2%					
			AFFORDABLE					1	.6%	
			AFFORDABLE AND EXCELLENT	1	.2%					
			ALL RIGHT	1	.2%					
			ALMA MATER							
			ALSO GOOD/ VERY GOOD					1	.6%	
			ALUMNI			1	.4%			
			ASSET TO COMMUNITY					1	.6%	
			ATHLETICS			1	.4%			
			ATTENDED TEMPLE					1	.6%	
			B AVERAGE STUDENT	1	.2%					
			BAD NEIGHBORHOOD	1	.2%					
			BASKETBALL	3	.6%					
			BEST COLLEGE	1	.2%					
			BEST COLLEGE NEXT TO PENN AND MORE AFFORDABLE	1	.2%					
			BEST LOCAL COLLEGE	1	.2%					
			BIG	2	.4%					
			BIG CITY SCHOOL			1	.4%			
			BIG SCHOOL	1	.2%					
			BILL COSBY	1	.2%					
			BILL COSBY WENT THERE	1	.2%					
			BUSY	1	.2%	1	.4%			
			CAN'T SAY	1	.2%					
			CATERS TO THOSE WITH LESS MONEY	1	.2%					
			CENTER CITY UNIVERSITY	1	.2%					
			CHILDREN GRADUATED FROM THERE			1	.4%			
			CHURCH	1	.2%					
			CITY SCHOOL			1	.4%			
			CITY SCHOOL/ GOOD BASKETBALL GAMES	1	.2%					

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	CE AREA		
				Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	TEMPLE	DESCRIPTION	CLOSE					1	.6%
MENTIONED			COMMUNITY ORIENTED						
- MULTIPLE RESPONSES			COMMUTER COLLEGE	1	.2%				,
KEOI ONOLO			CONVENIENT			1	.4%		-
			CONVENIENT COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			CROWDED			1	.4%		
			DANGEROUS	1	.2%				
			DECENT	1	.2%				1
			DENTAL SCHOOL	1	.2%				1
			DIVERSE						
			DIVERSE URBAN STATE SCHOOL					1	.6%
			DIVISION ONE COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			DON'T KNOW	2	.4%	2	.8%		
			DON'T KNOW HOW TO ANSWER	1	.2%				
		E	DON'T KNOW/ NO OPINION			1	.4%		
			EASY ACCESS	1	.2%				
			EDUCATION			1	.4%		
			EDUCATIONAL			1	.4%		
			EXCELLENT	6	1.1%			3	1.7%
			EXCELLENT COLLEGE			1	.4%		
			EXCELLENT PUBLIC COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			EXPANDING	2	.4%			2	1.1%
			EXPENSIVE	2	.4%	1	.4%		
			FAIR	1	.2%				
			FANTASTIC						
			FILM AND JOURNALISM	1	.2%				
			FOOTBALL	1	.2%				
			FOR MONEY/ DECENT EDUCATION	1	.2%				
			FRIENDLY/ LOTS TO OFFER	1	.2%				
			FUN	1	.2%				
			GOOD	8	1.5%	5	2.0%		
			GOOD AREA/ LARGER COLLEGE/ MORE STUDENTS					1	.6%
			GOOD CITY SCHOOL	1	.2%				
			GOOD CITY UNIVERSITY	1	.2%				
			GOOD COLLEGE	2	.4%				
			GOOD COLLEGE/ INSIDE THE CITY						
			GOOD EDUCATION	1	.2%				

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	CE AREA		
				Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		st Regional enter
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
LLEGES	TEMPLE	DESCRIPTION	GOOD INSTITUTE OF LEARNING	1	.2%				ĺ
NTIONED			GOOD LEARNING COLLEGE			1	.4%		
ULTIPLE SPONSES			GOOD PLACE FOR YOUNG PEOPLE TO GET EDUCATION			1	.4%		
			GOOD SCHOOL	6	1.1%	1	.4%	5	2.8%
			GOOD TEACHING SCHOOL			1	.4%		
			GOOD, GOOD COLLEGE			1	.4%		
			GOOD/ NOT SO PREJUDICED	1	.2%				
			GREAT					1	.6%
			GROWING			1	.4%		
			HARD TO SAY			1	.4%		
			HARDWORKING					1	.6%
			HIGHER EDUCATION			1	.4%		
			I'VE HEARD GOOD THINGS					1	.6%
			I DON'T KNOW	1	.2%	1	.4%		
			I GIVE IT A 8+/ RIGHT BELOW PENN STATE	1	.2%				
			I HAVE NO IDEA/ I DID GO THERE THOUGH	1	.2%				
			I LIKED IT/ WENT TO SCHOOL THERE SEVERAL YEARS AGO					1	.6%
			IMPRESSIVE	1	.2%				
			INDEPENDENT						
			INEXPENSIVE	1	.2%			1	.6%
			INNER CITY	1	.2%				
			INNER CITY CAMPUS					1	.6%
			INNER CITY COMMUTER COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			INNER CITY/ EDUCATION 1 .2%						
			INTERESTING	1	.2%				
			INTERNATIONAL			1	.4%		
			INVITING						
			IT'S A COMPLETE UNIVERSITY	1	.2%				
			IT'S A GOOD COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			IT'S A LOCAL TREASURE THAT PEOPLE DON'T KNOW ABOUT			1	.4%		
			IT'S A VERY GOOD TEACHING COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			IT TURNS OUT GOOD STUDENTS					1	.6%
			IVY LEAGUE	1	.2%			1	.6%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIO	CE AREA											
				Spring	Garden		st Regional enter		t Regional nter									
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %									
COLLEGES MENTIONED	TEMPLE	DESCRIPTION	JUST DON'T KNOW VERY MUCH ABOUT IT					1	.6%									
- MULTIPLE			KNOWLEDGE					1	.6%									
RESPONSES			LARGE	3	.6%			1	.6%									
			LARGE AND EXCELLENT					1	.6%									
			LAW	1	.2%													
			LESS EXPENSIVE			1	.4%											
			LOCAL	2	.4%	2	.8%	2	1.1%									
			LOT OF OPPORTUNITY	1	.2%													
			LOTS OF CLASS	1	.2%													
			LOUSY FOOTBALL TEAM/ LOCAL KIDS CAN GET IN					1	.6%									
			MEDICAL															
			MEDICAL SCHOOL/ PHARMACY	1	.2%													
			MEDICAL/ LAW			1	.4%											
			MEDICALLY ORIENTED					1	.6%									
			MORE ECONOMICAL	1	.2%													
			MORE LOCAL	1	.2%													
							MOST STUDENT AND TEACHERS ARE FRIENDLY AND COMPASSIONATE			1	.4%							
			MULTICULTURAL/ MELTING POT															
			NEARNESS OF THE CAMPUS	EARNESS OF THE CAMPUS														
			NO COMMENT ON THIS	1	.2%													
			NORTH PHILLY	1	.2%													
													NOT MUCH MONEY YOU'D HAVE TO PAY	1	.2%			
			NOT OFF-HAND	1	.2%													
			NOT SURE/ I THINK GOOD SCHOOL					1	.6%									
			OKAY	3	.6%			1	.6%									
			OKAY COLLEGE			1	.4%											
			OLD SCHOOL	1	.2%													
			ONE OF THE BEST					1	.6%									
			ONE OF THE BEST IN THE NATION															
			OVERPOWERED			1	.4%											
			OVERRATED	1	.2%													
			PEOPLE IN THE AREA HAVE TO PAY MORE THAN PEOPLE OUT OF SCHOO	1	.2%													

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	E AREA		
				Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regiona Inter
			i	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
GES TEMPLE ONED		DESCRIPTION	PEOPLE MY AGE ARE ABLE TO GO THERE						
PLE			PHILADELPHIA					1	.6
NSES			POPULAR	1	.2%			1	.6
			POPULATED	1	.2%				
			PREJUDICED					1	.6
			PRESTIGE						
			PRESTIGIOUS	1	.2%				
			PRETTY GOOD					1	.6
			PRETTY GOOD SCHOOL	1	.2%	1	.4%		
			PRODUCTIVE	1	.2%				
			PUBLIC	1	.2%				
			REALLY GOOD/ GREAT/ WHATEVER YOU WANT TO MAJOR IN THEY HAVE	1	.2%				
			RIDICULOUS	1	.2%				
		SAME THING AND CONVENIENT			1	.4%			
			SATISFACTORY	1	.2%				
			SCHOLARLY	1	.2%				
			SCIENCE	1	.2%				
			SECOND SCHOOL AFTER COMMUNITY	1	.2%				
			SOPHISTICATED						
			STATE-OF-THE-ART			1	.4%		
			STATE UNIVERSITY	1	.2%				
			STRICT	1	.2%				
			STRONG						
			STRONG ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT	1	.2%				
			TEAMS OF SPORTS						
			THAT IS ALL I CAN SAY	1	.2%				
			TRADITIONAL			1	.4%		
			UNIQUE			1	.4%		
			UNIQUE LOCATION						
			UNIVERSITY	2	.4%				
			URBAN			1	.4%	1	
			URBAN AND DIVERSE	1	.2%				
			URBAN CAMPUS			1	.4%		
			URBAN COLLEGE				-		
			URBAN SCHOOL	1	.2%				
			URBAN/ GOOD					1	.0

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVI	CE AREA		
				Spring	Garden		t Regional enter		t Regional nter
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	TEMPLE	DESCRIPTION	VALUE	1	.2%				
MENTIONED			VERY BEAUTIFUL						
- MULTIPLE RESPONSES			VERY EDUCATIONAL	1	.2%				
INESI CINGES			VERY GOOD	1	.2%	3	1.2%	1	.6%
			VERY GOOD COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			VERY GOOD REPUTATION/ KNOWN STATE WIDE/ A CITY COLLEGE			1	.4%		
			VERY GOOD SCHOOL	1	.2%				
			VERY URBAN			1	.4%		
			WELL KNOWN	1	.2%	2	.8%		
			WELL RECOGNIZED SCHOOL						
			WHERE I GRADUATED FROM						
			WHIRLWIND/ FAST PACE						
			WONDERFUL	1	.2%				
			WONDERFUL UNIVERSITY					1	.6%
			WORKING CLASS						
			WORKING PEOPLE GO THERE/ VERY EXPENSIVE	1	.2%				
			YOU CAN BASICALLY ACCOMPLISH GOALS					1	.6%
	TEMPLE COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	A BUNCH OF NONSENSE	1	.2%				

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	E AREA									
				Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter							
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %							
OLLEGES	TEMPLE UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	A-OKAY	1	.2%											
IENTIONED			A BIG SCHOOL			1	.4%									
MULTIPLE ESPONSES			A GOOD COLLEGE	1	.2%											
LOFONOLO			A UNIVERSITY	1	.2%											
			ANOTHER GOOD SCHOOL													
			BEST IN THE AREA	1	.2%											
			BETTER OPPORTUNITIES					1	.6%							
			DAUGHTER-IN-LAW WORKS HERE/ GRANDDAUGHTER GOES THERE					1	.6%							
			DIVERSE STUDENT POPULATION			1	.4%									
			DON'T KNOW	1	.2%											
			EMINENCE			1	.4%									
			EXCELLENT	2 .	.4%	1	.4%									
			FUN/ EXCITING			1	.4%									
			GIVES YOUNG PEOPLE AN OPPORTUNITY	1 .2%	.2%											
			GOOD	1	.2%			1	.6%							
						GOOD ALSO	1	.2%								
			GOOD SCHOOL	2	.4%											
										GREAT	1	.2%			Ceunt 1	
						I LIKE WHAT IT OFFERS					1	.6%				
							IMPRESSIVE			1	.4%					
			JUST UP THE STREET 1 .2%													
			LARGE	1 1	.2%											
			LOCAL	1	.2%											
			NICE	1	.2%											
			NICE COLLEGE	1	.2%											
			OUTSTANDING			1	.4%									
			THEY STRIVE ON EXCELLENCE	1	.2%											
			TOPS	1	.2%											
			VERY GOOD	1	.2%											
			VERY GOOD COLLEGE	1	.2%											
			VERY GOOD SCHOOL	1	.2%											
			VERY LARGE		-											
			WELL-ROUNDED	1	.2%											
	THE COLLEGE OF SCIENCES OF PHILADELPHIA	DESCRIPTION	THAT SCHOOL IS FINE		,,											
	THE COMMUNITY COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	EASY ACCESS	1	.2%											
	THE COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	DESCRIPTION	AFFORDABLE	•				1	.6%							

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	E AREA		
				Spring	Garden		t Regional nter	Northwes Ce	t Regional nter
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	U OF P	DESCRIPTION	ALSO A VERY FINE COLLEGE			1	.4%		
MENTIONED - MULTIPLE			EXCELLENT			1	.4%		
RESPONSES			GREAT			1	.4%		
TREES CHOLD			IT WAS ADEQUATE						
	U OF PENN	DESCRIPTION	FOUR-YEAR					1	.6%
			GREAT UNIVERSITY	1	.2%				
			HIGH RATED	1	.2%				
		IVY LEAGUE	1	.2%					
			IVY LEAGUE UNIVERSITY	1	.2%				
	UP	DESCRIPTION	MONEY						
	U PENN	DESCRIPTION	ACADEMIC	1	.2%				
			PRIVATE/ NOT AS DIVERSE/ LIBERAL ARTS					1	.6%
			RESEARCH						
			TERRIBLE EMPLOYER						
	UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA	DESCRIPTION	HIGHER EDUCATION			1	.4%		
	UNIVERSITY OF ARTS	DESCRIPTION	CITY ART COLLEGE	1	.2%				
	UNIVERSITY OF HARRISBURG	DESCRIPTION	NICE	1	.2%				

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	E AREA		
				Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	UNIVERSITY OF PENN	DESCRIPTION	0					1	.6%
MENTIONED - MULTIPLE RESPONSES			ALL RIGHT/ BUT I DON'T CARE FOR THEM/ THEY'RE PREJUDICED	1	.2%				
			CHAOTIC						
			DISTINGUISHED			1	.4%		
			EASY			1	.4%		
			EDUCATIONAL			1	.4%		
			ELITE	1	.2%				
			EXCELLENT	1	.2%	1	.4%		
			GOOD EDUCATION						
			GOOD IVY LEAGUE	1	.2%				
			GOOD SCHOOL	1	.2%			1	.6%
			GREAT	1	.2%				
			HIGH QUALITY			1	.4%		
			HIGHLY RECOGNIZED FOR EDUCATION					1	.6%
			HOSPITAL/ MEDICAL			1	.4%		
			INTELLECT	1	.2%				
			IVY LEAGUE	4	.8%	1	.4%		
			LOCAL 1 .2%						
			MEDICAL COLLEGE/ ONLY BIG COLLEGE IN PHILADELPHIA			1	.4%		
			NOT EASY TO GET INTO 1 .2%						
			OKAY BY ME	1	1 .2%				
			OUTSTANDING	2	.4%				
			OUTSTANDING IN MANY FIELDS			1	.4%	1	
			PRESTIGIOUS COLLEGE/ EXPENSIVE					1	.6%
			QUALITY	1	.2%				
			THE BEST IN THE STATE	1	.2%				
			TOP DRAWER					1	.6%
			TOP NOTCH			1	.4%		
			UNIVERSITY OF PENN LARGE AND IMPORTANT	1	.2%				
			VERY DISTINGUISHED			1	.4%		
			VERY EXCELLENT						
			VERY GOOD	4	.8%			1	.6%
			VERY GOOD RESEARCH SCHOOL						
			VERY UNIVERSAL	1	.2%				

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	E AREA		
				Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA	DESCRIPTION	0			1	.4%		
MENTIONED			A MEDICAL COLLEGE						
- MULTIPLE RESPONSES			ALSO A GOOD SCHOOL					1	.6%
NEOI ONOLO			ARISTOCRATIC/ PEOPLE OF MAIN LINE	1	.2%				
			BIG			1	.4%		
			BUSINESS SCHOOL						
			CHALLENGING	1	.2%				
			COSTLY	1	.2%				
			DISTINGUISHED			1	.4%		
			DON'T KNOW	1	.2%			1	.6%
			ELITE			1	.4%		
			EXCELLENT	4	.8%	1	.4%	4	2.2%
			EXCEPTIONAL	1	.2%				
			EXPENSIVE						
			GOOD			1	.4%	2	1.1%
			GOOD COLLEGE	2	.4%				
			GOOD MEDICAL COLLEGE						
			GOOD SCHOOL					1	.6%
			GOOD SCHOOL/ MY FATHER WENT THERE						
			GOOD TEACHING ORGANIZATION					1	.6%
			GREAT COLLEGE						
			GREAT REPUTATION	1	.2%				
			I HAVE NO OPINION	1	.2%				
			IT HAS A REPUTATION FOR ACADEMIC RIGOR						
			IVY LEAGUE	6	1.1%	2	.8%	3	1.7%
			IVY LEAGUE/ EXPENSIVE/ I'D NEVER GET IN	1	.2%				
			IVY LEAGUE/ MAJOR COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			LARGE	1	.2%				
			LEARNING INSTITUTION					1	.6%
			MEDICAL	1	.2%				
			MY OLD SCHOOL			1	.4%		
			OLD SCHOOL			1	.4%		
			PRESTIGIOUS	2	.4%				
			QUALITY	1	.2%		1	1	.6%
			REALLY CAN'T SAY					1	.6%
			RENOWNED	1	.2%				

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIO	CE AREA		
				Spring	Garden		t Regional enter		st Regional enter
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES MENTIONED	UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA	DESCRIPTION	THAT'S A REALLY REALLY GOOD SCHOOL						
- MULTIPLE			THE BEST	1	.2%				
RESPONSES			TOP OF THE LINE	1	.2%				
			TOPS						
			VERY GOOD	2	.4%	1	.4%		
			VERY GOOD COLLEGE					1	.6%
			VERY GOOD EDUCATION	1	.2%				
UN	UNIVERSITY OF PHILADELPHIA	DESCRIPTION	MEDICAL						
			MEDICAL FIELD						
			VERY GOOD/ CENTRALLY LOCATED					1	.6%
	UNIVERSITY OF PHOENIX	DESCRIPTION	FOR WORKING PEOPLE	1	.2%				
	UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCES	DESCRIPTION	JUST A GOOD COLLEGE						
			NICE						
	UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCES IN PHILADELPHIA	DESCRIPTION	PHARMACY						
	UNIVERSITY OF THE ARTS	DESCRIPTION	PROFESSIONAL	1	.2%				
			TECHNICAL ART SCHOOL	1	.2%				
			WELL KNOWN	1	.2%				
	URSINUS	DESCRIPTION	VERY CLASSY			1	.4%		
	VERSAILLES	DESCRIPTION	VERY GOOD					1	.6%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	E AREA		
				Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	VILLANOVA	DESCRIPTION	CATHOLIC	2	.4%				
MENTIONED - MULTIPLE			CATHOLIC COLLEGE	1	.2%				
RESPONSES			DON'T KNOW	2	.4%				
INEOI ONOEG			DON'T KNOW MUCH ABOUT IT			1	.4%		
			EXPENSIVE	1	.2%				
ĺ			GENERAL/ CATHOLIC			1	.4%		
İ			GOOD	1	.2%				
İ			GOOD COLLEGE			1	.4%		
l			GOOD EDUCATION			1	.4%		
ĺ			GOOD NAME			1	.4%		
l			GOOD PLACE TO GO			1	.4%		
l			GOOD SCHOOL	1	.2%				
			GOOD TEACHING ORGANIZATION					1	.6%
l			GREAT COLLEGE			1	.4%		
			LOCAL/ A LITTLE MORE DIFFICULT GETTING INTO			1	.4%		
l			MAIN LINE						
ĺ			MAJOR COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			MORE SELECTIVE			1	.4%		
			NICE COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			NURSING			1	.4%		
			OKAY	1	.2%				
			OUTSTANDING			1	.4%		
			RICH			1	.4%		
			UPPER CLASS			1	.4%		
			VERY DIFFICULT/ GOOD QUALITY						
l			VERY GOOD	1	.2%				
İ			WELL ORGANIZED	1	.2%				
İ			WISH I COULD AFFORD IT	1	.2%				
	VILLANOVA COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	GOOD COLLEGE	1	.2%				
İ	VILLANOVA UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	IVY LEAGUE					1	.6%
	VIRGINIA STATE	DESCRIPTION	BLACK COLLEGE	i i					
İ	WAGNER COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	A LOT OF SPORTS	1	.2%				
ĺ	WAGNER UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	VERY GOOD	1	.2%				

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	E AREA		
				Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	WEST CHESTER	DESCRIPTION	BEAUTIFUL CAMPUS			1	.4%		
MENTIONED - MULTIPLE			ENERGETIC	1	.2%				
RESPONSES			OKAY/ PRETTY GOOD	1	.2%				
11201 011020			STATE COLLEGE						
			STATE SCHOOL			1	.4%		
	WEST CHESTER UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	0			1	.4%		
			EDUCATION			1	.4%		
		FRIENDLY	1	.2%					
		NICE			1	.4%			
			SERENE	1	.2%				
	WHARTON	DESCRIPTION	EXCELLENT SCHOOL						
			INFLUENTIAL					1	.6%
	WIDENER	DESCRIPTION	ENGINEERING PROGRAM GOOD	1	.2%				
			GOOD			1	.4%		
			VERY GOOD			1	.4%		
	WIDENER UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	GRANDSON GOES THERE	1	.2%				
	YALE	DESCRIPTION	EXCITING			1	.4%		
Total	•	•	•	522	100.0%	245	100.0%	181	100.0%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	Тç	otal
				West Region	onal Center		
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	ALBRIGHT	DESCRIPTION	ACADEMIC	000	33. 70	1	.19
MENTIONED	ALLEGHENY	DESCRIPTION	BEST FOR PREMED			1	.19
MULTIPLE RESPONSES	ANT	DESCRIPTION	EXCELLENT	1	.5%	1	.1
RESPONSES	ANTIOCH	DESCRIPTION	DOES A GREAT JOB/ LETS PEOPLE USE LIFE SKILLS TOWARD A DEGRE			1	.1
	ARCADIA	DESCRIPTION	0			1	.1
			CONVENIENT			1	.1
			EXCELLENT COLLEGE			1	.1
			EXCELLENT REPUTATION			1	.1
			GOOD			1	.1
			GOOD REPUTATION			1	.1
			SMALL			1	.1
			STUDENT FRIENDLY			1	.1
Al			VERY GOOD COOP PROGRAM			1	.1
	ARCADIA UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	0			1	.1
			BEAVER COLLEGE FOR WOMEN IS A BETTER NAME			1	.1
	BERKELEY	DESCRIPTION	GRANDCHILD ATTENDS/ NOW IN SECOND YEAR			1	.1
	BLOOMSBURG	DESCRIPTION	SMALL COLLEGE PEOPLE-WISE	1		1	.1
			VERY GOOD			1	.1
	BRYN MAWR	DESCRIPTION	BETTER COLLEGE	1	.5%	1	.1
			GOOD PRIVATE SCHOOL			1	.1
			LIBERAL ARTS			1	.1
			SAME AS HAVERFORD	1	.5%	1	.1
			VERY UPPER CLASS		10,0	1	.1
			WOMEN'S COLLEGE	1	.5%	2	.2
	BRYN MAWR COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	ALL WOMEN'S LIBERAL ART COLLEGE	·	10 70	1	.1
			GIRLS			1	.1
			WOMEN'S COLLEGE			1	.1
	BUCKS COMMUNITY COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	GOOD			1	.1
	BUCKS COUNTY COMMUNITY	DESCRIPTION	AFFORDABLE			1	.1
	BUCKS COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	VERY GOOD			1	.1
	CAN'T THINK OF ANY	DESCRIPTION	0			1	.1
	CC OF P	DESCRIPTION	AFFORDABLE			1	.1

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	To	tal
				West Regi	onal Center		
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	CCP	DESCRIPTION	ACROSS THE STREET			1	.1%
MENTIONED			DISAPPOINTED			1	.1%
- MULTIPLE RESPONSES			I DON'T KNOW			1	.1%
KEOI ONOLO			MY OLD SCHOOL			1	.1%
			OKAY			1	.1%
			PRETTY GOOD			1	.1%
	CCP/ COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	DESCRIPTION	CONVENIENT			1	.1%
	CHEYNEY	DESCRIPTION	ALMOST GOOD	1	.5%	1	.1%
			ALSO A GOOD SCHOOL			1	.1%
			BLACK AWARENESS	1	.5%	1	.1%
			GREAT COLLEGE			1	.1%
			PLAYGROUND	1	.5%	1	.1%
			TEACHING COLLEGE	1	.5%	1	.1%
			YOUNGER STUDENTS LACKED HOW TO STUDY SKILLS	1	.5%	1	.1%
	CLARK ATLANTA	DESCRIPTION	HISTORICAL			1	.1%
	COLUMBIA	DESCRIPTION	EXCELLENT			1	.1%
	COMMUNITY	DESCRIPTION	A LOT OF PEOPLE			1	.1%
			CONVENIENT			1	.1%
			STRIVING			1	.1%
	COMMUNITY COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	ALSO A GOOD SCHOOL			1	.1%
			CHEAPER			1	.1%
			FAIR			1	.1%
			GOOD	1	.5%	1	.1%
			GOOD COLLEGE			1	.1%
			IT'S A COMMUNITY COLLEGE			1	.1%
			MODEL/ LOYAL			1	.1%
			NEAR			1	.1%
			OKAY			1	.1%
			STARTER SCHOOL			1	.1%
			VERY GOOD			1	.1%
			VERY GOOD SCHOOL	1	.5%	1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	To	otal																			
				West Regio	onal Center																					
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %																			
OLLEGES	COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	DESCRIPTION	A PLACE TO START OUT	000	00. 70	1	.1%																			
ENTIONED			ALL RIGHT			1	.1%																			
MULTIPLE ESPONSES			ASSOCIATE DEGREE/																							
-0. 00_0			STEPPING STONE TO FOUR-YEAR COLLEGE			1	.1%																			
			CHEAP			1	.1%																			
			COLLEGE THAT PEOPLE FEEL BALANCED/ VERY ACCESSIBLE			1	.1%																			
			CONVENIENT/ COST EFFECTIVE			1	.19																			
			DIVERSE			2	.2%																			
			DON'T KNOW HOW TO ANSWER			1	.19																			
			DON'T KNOW THAT MUCH ABOUT IT AT THE PRESENT TIME			1	.1%																			
																					DON'T KNOW TOO MUCH ABOUT IT BUT SOUNDS LIK GOOD SCHOOL	ABOUT IT BUT SOUNDS LIKE A			1	.1%
			DON'T KNOW/ NEVER BEEN THERE			1	.19																			
			EXCELLENT COLLEGE	1	.5%	1	.19																			
															EXCELLENT FOR EDUCATION/ INEXPENSIVE			1 1	.19							
			EXCEPTIONAL			1	.19																			
			EXPENSIVE			1	.19																			
			FAMILIAR			1	.19																			
			GOOD	1	.5%	3	.39																			
			GREAT			1	.1																			
			HELP MORE PEOPLE			1	.19																			
			INFORMATIVE			1	.19																			
			INTERMEDIATE/ AS IN-BETWEEN			1	.19																			
			IT'S A REALLY GOOD COLLEGE	1	.5%	1	.1																			
			LOCAL	1	.5%	1	.1																			
			NOT AS GOOD BUT MORE AFFORDABLE	1	.5%	1	.1'																			
			OKAY			2	.29																			
			PLACE OF OPPORTUNITY			1	.19																			
			POPULAR AS WELL			1	.1																			
			PRETTY FAIR			1	.1																			
			PRODUCTIVE			1	.1																			
			SERVES EDUCATIONAL NEEDS	1	.5%	1	.1																			

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIO	CE AREA	To	otal
				West Regi	onal Center		
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES MENTIONED	COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	DESCRIPTION	SMALL COLLEGE/ PRETTY GOOD			1	.1%
- MULTIPLE RESPONSES			TOOK SOME COURSES/ VERY PLEASED WHEN I ATTENDED YEARS AGO			1	.1%
			TRANSITION			1	.1%
			URBAN			1	.1%
			VERY DIVERSE			1	.1%
			WELL KNOWN			1	.1%
			WITHIN THE COMMUNITY			1	.1%
	COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA AND ANNEX	DESCRIPTION	GOOD			1	.1%
	COMMUNITY OF PHILADELPHIA	DESCRIPTION	CHEAP			1	.1%
			CONVENIENT			1	.1%
			GOOD SCHOOL			1	.1%
			SECOND HIGH SCHOOL			1	.1%
	COMMUNITY PHILADELPHIA COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	GOOD/ FAIR CONDITION			1	.1%
	DELAWARE STATE COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	INFLUENCING			1	.1%
	DELAWARE VALLEY	DESCRIPTION	GOOD			1	.1%
	DEVRY	DESCRIPTION	GOOD			1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	To	otal					
				West Region	onal Center							
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %					
COLLEGES	DREXEL	DESCRIPTION	0			2	.2%					
MENTIONED - MULTIPLE			A GRAD COLLEGE			1	.1%					
RESPONSES			ACADEMIC	1	.5%	1	.1%					
KEOI ONOLO			ACADEMIC COLLEGE			1	.1%					
			ACADEMIC EXCELLENCE	1	.5%	1	.1%					
			ACROSS FROM PENN			1	.1%					
			ALL OF THESE COLLEGES ARE VERY GOOD			1	.1%					
			ANOTHER COLLEGE/ COMFORTABLE			1	.1%					
			AVERAGE			2	.2%					
			BAD STUDENT TEACHERS			1	.19					
			BUSINESS			2	.29					
			CLOSE BY	1	.5%	1	.19					
			COMPETITIVE			1	.19					
			CONVENIENT			1	.1					
			CONVENIENT COLLEGE			1	.1					
			DON'T KNOW			3	.30					
			DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT THE SCHOOL			1	.19					
			DON'T KNOW MUCH ABOUT IT			1	.19					
		ELITE ENGINEERING ENGINEERING AND ENGINEERING ORIE ENGINEERING SCHO ENGINEERING/EXCI	DON'T LIKE IT			1	.19					
			ECONOMICAL AND TECHNICA	ECONOMICAL AND TECHNICAL			1	.19				
			ELITE	1	.5%	1	.19					
			ENGINEERING	ENGINEERING	4	2.2%	9	.80				
			ENGINEERING AND SCIENCE		7 2.270	1	.19					
				ENGINEERING ORIENTED			1	.19				
							ENGINEERING SCH	ENGINEERING SCHOOL			2	.29
									ENGINEERING/ EXCELLENT/ EXCEPTIONAL			1
			EXCELLENT	3	1.6%	7	.69					
			EXCELLENT COLLEGE			1	.19					
			EXPENSIVE			2	.29					
				FINE FOR BUSINESS			1	.19				
								FOR BUSINESS	1	.5%	1	.19
			FOUR-YEAR		-	1	.19					
			GOOD	1	.5%	5	.49					
			GOOD BUSINESS SCHOOL			1	.19					
			GOOD COLLEGE	2	1.1%	2	.29					
			GOOD COLLEGE FOR ECONOMICS	1	.5%	1	.19					

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	To	tal
					onal Center		
	1			Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES MENTIONED	DREXEL	DESCRIPTION	GOOD ENGINEERING			2	.2%
- MULTIPLE			GOOD FOR ENGINEERING			1	.1%
RESPONSES			GOOD IN TECHNOLOGY AND MATH			1	.1%
			GOOD LEARNING COLLEGE			1	.1%
			GOOD NURSING PROGRAM			1	.1%
			GOOD REPUTATION			1	.1%
			GOOD SCHOOL			4	.4%
			GRADUATE SCHOOL			1	.1%
			GREAT			1	.1%
			GREAT SCHOOL	1	.5%	1	.1%
			HIGH ON THE LADDER	1	.5%	1	.1%
			HIGH POWERED	1	.5%	1	.1%
			HIGH QUALITY			1	.1%
			HIGHLY TECHNICAL			1	.1%
			I THINK OF IT AS A TECHNICAL SCHOOL			1	.1%
			IT'S GOOD BUT NOT FOR THE MONEY			1	.1%
			IVY LEAGUE			2	.2%
			IVY LEAGUE/ LIBERAL ARTS			1	.1%
			LARGE			1	.1%
			LEARNING COMPREHENSIVE			1	.1%
			LOCAL	1	.5%	1	.1%
			MATHEMATICS AND SCIENCE			1	.1%
			MEDICINE	1	.5%	1	.1%
			MORE TECHNICAL			1	.1%
			NO EXPERIENCE WITH IT			1	.1%
			NONE			1	.1%
			NOT SURE/ GOOD SCHOOL			1	.1%
			OKAY			2	.2%
			ONE OF THE TOP SCHOOLS			1	.1%
			OUTSTANDING			1	.1%
			OUTSTANDING COLLEGE	1	.5%	1	.1%
			PEOPLE WHO HAVE GONE THERE ARE VERY SUCCESSFUL			1	.1%
			PRESTIGIOUS	1	.5%	1	.1%
			PRESTIGIOUS COLLEGE			1	.1%
		1	PRETTY DECENT COLLEGE	1	.5%	1	.1%
			PROFESSIONAL	· ·		1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	To	ital
				West Region	onal Center		
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES MENTIONED - MULTIPLE	DREXEL	DESCRIPTION	REALLY DID LIKE IT/ SOME YEARS AGO DAUGHTER WENT THERE	Count	301 70	1	.1%
RESPONSES			SMALL			1	.1%
			SMART			Count 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	.1%
			SPECIALIZED IN ENGINEERING				.1%
			TECH	1	.5%	1	.1%
			TECHNICAL	1		2	.2%
			TECHNICAL ENGINEERING	1		1	.1%
			TECHNICAL INSTITUTE	1	.5%	1	.1%
			TECHNOLOGY			2	.2%
			TECHNOLOGY SCHOOL			1	.1%
			URBAN			1	.1%
			VALUE			1	.1%
			VERY GOOD			3	.3%
			VOCATIONAL			1	.19
			WORK SCHOOL EXPERIENCE/ VERY VALUABLE			1	.1%
			WORK STUDY PROGRAM/ MEDICAL/ LAW			1	.19
	DREXEL UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	ACADEMIC/ CHALLENGING/ TRYING TO BECOME A NON-COMMUTING SCHO			1	.1%
			COOP EDUCATION	1		1	.1%
			DON'T KNOW	1		1	.19
			EXCELLENT	1	.5%	1	.1%
			IT'S A REALLY GOOD SCHOOL	1	.5%	1	.19
			OKAY			1	.1%
			POPULAR	1	.5%	1	.1%
			SECOND BEST			1	.1%
			VERY GOOD			1	.1%
	EASTERN	DESCRIPTION	MY DAUGHTER LOVED IT			1	.1%
	EASTERN UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	CHRISTIAN			1	.1%
	HANEMAN	DESCRIPTION	MY ALMA MATER			1	.1%
	HARVARD	DESCRIPTION	LAWYER/ DOCTOR			1	.1%
	HAVERFORD	DESCRIPTION	ARTS COLLEGE FOR ADVANCED DEGREE WORK			1	.1%
			FOUR-YEAR			1	.1%
			GOOD LIBERAL ARTS	1	.5%		.1%
			NICE/ MIDWAY KIND OF COLLEGE			1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	Tç	tal
				West Region	onal Center		
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %
OLLEGES	HAVERFORD COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	LIBERAL			1	.1
ENTIONED MULTIPLE			LIBERAL ARTS	1	.5%	1	.1'
ESPONSES			SMALLER SCHOOL			1	.1'
LOI OIVOLO	HOLY FAMILY	DESCRIPTION	ACADEMICS			1	.1
			CATHOLIC INSTITUTE OF HIGHER LEARNING			1	.1
			CONVENIENT/ CREDIBLE			1	.1
			GOD SENT			1	.1
			GOOD			1	.1
			GOOD LEARNING COLLEGE			1	.1
			GOOD SCHOOL	1	.′		
			LOCAL			1	
			LOOKS WONDERFUL			1	
			NEARBY			1	
			NICE COLLEGE			1	
			NOT QUITE AS GOOD BUT NICE COLLEGE			1	-
			RELIGIOUS ONE			1	
			SMALL UPCOMING SCHOOL			1	
			THE BEST			1	
			TRADITION			1	
	HOLY FAMILY COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	CLOSE TO HOME			1	
			HIGH QUALITY			1	
			THEY HAVE REALLY GOOD PROFESSORS OR TEACHERS			1	
	HOLY FAMILY UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	I DON'T REALLY KNOW			1	
			LOCAL			1	
	IMMACULATE	DESCRIPTION	EXCELLENT			1	
	IMMACULATE COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	SMALL LIBERAL ARTS/ CATHOLIC			1	-
	JEFFERSON	DESCRIPTION	ALUMNI			1	
			DON'T KNOW			1	
			GREAT MEDICAL SCHOOL			1	
			MEDICAL			2	
			SAME CATEGORY AS PENN/ NOT COMMUNITY FRIENDLY			1	
	JEFFERSON UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	HEALTH CARE			1	
	KUTZTOWN/ PENN U	DESCRIPTION	0			1	.1

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	To	otal
				West Regio	onal Center		
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	LASALLE	DESCRIPTION	0			2	.29
MENTIONED			ACADEMIC COLLEGE			1	.19
- MULTIPLE RESPONSES			ADEQUATE FOR AN INNER CITY SCHOOL			1	.19
			AN AVERAGE SCHOOL	1	.5%	1	.19
			AS FAR AS I KNOW ALSO GOOD			1	.19
			CAN'T THINK OF ONE			1	.1
			CATHOLIC			2	.2'
			CATHOLIC EDUCATION			1	.1
			CATHOLIC SCHOOL			1	.1
			CITY SCHOOL			1	.1
			CLOSE			1	.1
			CONTROVERSY			1	.1
			DEFINITELY NOT AFFORDABLE			1	.1
			DON'T KNOW			3	.3
			DON'T KNOW MUCH ABOUT LASALLE/ BIG FIVE			1	.1
			EASILY ACCESSIBLE			1	.1
			EXCELLENT			4	.4
			EXCELLENT EDUCATION			1	.1
			GOOD	2	1.1%	3	.3
			GOOD COLLEGE			1	.1
			GOOD EDUCATION			1	.1
			GOOD LIBERAL ARTS			1	.1
			GOOD SCHOOL	1	.5%	2	.2
			GREAT	· ·	.070	1	<u></u> .1
			GREAT ACADEMIC INSTITUTE			1	.1
			I DON'T KNOW TOO MUCH BUT IT'S A SMALL COLLEGE			1	.1
			I HAVE NO IDEA			1	.1
			INCLUSIVE			1	.1
			INTERESTING			1	.1
			IVY LEAGUE			1	.1
			LIKE THE UNIVERSITY THERE			1	.1
			LONG HISTORY			1	.1
			MORE INTO SPORTS			1	.1
			MORE WORKING CLASS SCHOOL			1	.1
			MY OLD COLLEGE		Ţ	1	.1
			NEARBY/ INTERRACIAL COLLEGE			1	.1

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	To	otal
				West Deed	anal Cantar		
				Count	onal Center Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	LASALLE	DESCRIPTION	NEIGHBORHOOD	Count	C01 %	Count 1	.1%
MENTIONED	E 167 (EEE	DECORM HOW	NICE/ EDUCATIONAL	1		1	.1%
- MULTIPLE			NIECE TAUGHT THERE	1		1	.1%
RESPONSES			NOT FAMILIAR	1		1	.1%
			OKAY			2	.2%
			PRETTY GOOD			1	.1%
			PRETTY GOOD COLLEGE			1	.1%
			PRIVATE			1	.1%
			PRIVATE COLLEGE	1	.5%	1	.1%
			RELIABLE		.070	1	.1%
			RELIGIOUS			1	.1%
			RELIGIOUS ORIENTED			1	.1%
			REPUTABLE			1	.1%
			SMALL CATHOLIC/ EXPENSIVE			1	.1%
			SMALL CLASSROOM			1	.1%
			SMALL/ CHRISTIAN BROKERS			1	.1%
			STATE-OF-THE-ART			1	.1%
			THE SAME AS TEMPLE			1	.1%
			THE SAME WITH LASALLE BUT WITH LESS MEDICAL AREAS			1	.1%
			THIRD BEST			1	.1%
			TRADITIONAL			1	.1%
			VERY FLEXIBLE			1	.1%
			VERY GOOD	1	.5%	2	.2%
			VERY GOOD SCHOOL			1	.1%
			VERY SPIRITUAL			1	.1%
			WELL ATTENDED			1	.1%
			WELL CREDITED COLLEGE			1	.1%
			WONDERFUL			1	.1%
			WOULDN'T BE FIRST CHOICE			1	.1%
	LASALLE COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	GOOD EDUCATIONAL SCHOOL			1	.1%
			NICE, NICE COLLEGE			1	.1%
			WHAT YOU HEAR/ THAT IT IS PRETTY GOOD COLLEGE			1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	CE AREA	To	otal
				West Besi	anal Cantar		
				Count	onal Center Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	LASALLE UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	DON'T KNOW	Count	COI /6	1	.1%
MENTIONED			FRIENDS GO THERE			1	.1%
- MULTIPLE			GOOD BASKETBALL TEAM			1	.1%
RESPONSES			OKAY			1	.1%
			POOR ADMINISTRATION			1	.1%
			RIGHT ALONG WITH TEMPLE			1	.1%
			SMALL			2	.2%
	LINCOLN	DESCRIPTION	NOT THE SAME			1	.1%
			VERY DIVERSE	1	.5%	1	.1%
	LINCOLN UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	HERITAGE			1	.1%
	LITTLE FLOWER	DESCRIPTION	THE BEST			1	.1%
	LOCK HAVEN	DESCRIPTION	NOT SURE			1	.1%
	MATHOON COLLEGE IN FLORIDA	DESCRIPTION	TERRIFIC	1	.5%	1	.1%
	MOORE COLLEGE OF ART AND DESIGN	DESCRIPTION	PROFESSIONAL			1	.1%
	MOORE LIBERAL ARTS	DESCRIPTION	VERY GOOD			1	.1%
	MORAVIAN COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	DON'T KNOW			1	.1%
	MOREHOUSE	DESCRIPTION	BLACK COLLEGE	1	.5%	1	.1%
	MORGAN	DESCRIPTION	ALL RIGHT	1	.5%	1	.1%
	MORGAN STATE	DESCRIPTION	BLACK COLLEGE	1	.5%	1	.1%
			FAMILY ATMOSPHERE	1	.5%	1	.1%
	NEWMAN	DESCRIPTION	CONVENIENT			1	.1%
			QUALITY			1	.1%
	NOTRE DAME	DESCRIPTION	TOP COLLEGE			1	.1%
	OLD DOMINION UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA	DESCRIPTION	SUPER			1	.1%
	P COM	DESCRIPTION	GOOD SCHOOL	1	.5%	1	.1%
	PEIRCE	DESCRIPTION	FLEXIBLE			1	.1%
			TWO-YEAR COLLEGE/ THOUGH IT'S NOT			1	.1%
	PEIRCE BUSINESS	DESCRIPTION	BEST KEPT QUIET SCHOOL/ LOW KEYED			1	.1%
	PEIRCE COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	BUSINESS COLLEGE			1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	To	ıtal
				West Region	onal Center		
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	PENN	DESCRIPTION	A WONDERFUL UNIVERSITY			1	.1%
MENTIONED - MULTIPLE			ACADEMICS			1	.1%
RESPONSES			BIG/ GOOD SCHOOL	1	.5%	1	.1%
REGI GINGEG			COMPETITIVE			1	.1%
			ELITE			2	.2%
			EXCELLENT			1	.1%
			EXCELLENT ACADEMIC REPUTATION/ GOT TO BE CREME DE LA CREME			1	.1%
			EXCELLENT SCHOOL	1	.5%	2	.2%
			GET YOU INTO GRADUATE SCHOOL			1	.1%
			GOOD	1	.5%	2	.2%
			GOOD SCHOOL			1	.1%
			I WENT THERE MY FIRST YEAR	1	.5%	1	.1%
			INNER CITY			1	.1%
			IVY LEAGUE	1	.5%	14	1.2%
			IVY LEAGUE SCHOOL			1	.1%
			MY BOSS			1	.1%
			PRESTIGE	1	.5%	1	.1%
			PRESTIGIOUS			1	.1%
			THEY DON'T HAVE A VARIETY OF STUDENTS	1	.5%	1	.1%
			VERY GOOD			1	.1%
			WELL REGARDED			1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	To	otal
				West Basis	anal Cantar		
				Count	onal Center Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	PENN STATE	DESCRIPTION	0	Count	OUI 70	Count 1	.1%
MENTIONED		2200111111111	A STATE COLLEGE			1	.1%
- MULTIPLE			AFFORDABLE			1	.1%
RESPONSES			ALSO A GOOD SCHOOL			1	.1%
			BETTER THAN NORM			1	.1%
			BIG	1		1	.1%
			CLOSE	1		1	.1%
			COLLEGIATE	1		1	.1%
			COMPREHENSIVE	1		1	.1%
			DAUGHTER GOT GOOD EDUCATION THERE			1	.1%
			DISTANT			1	.1%
			DOCTORS			1	.1%
			DON'T KNOW			3	.3%
			DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT THE SCHOOL			1	.1%
			ELITE			1	.1%
			ENORMOUS			1	.1%
			EXCELLENT			4	.4%
			EXPENSIVE/ ESTABLISHED			1	.1%
			FOOTBALL	1	.5%	3	.3%
			GOOD	1	.5%	3	.3%
			GOOD COLLEGE			1	.1%
			GOOD COLLEGE FOR SPORTS			1	.1%
			GOOD LEARNING COLLEGE			1	.1%
			GOOD REPUTATION			1	.1%
			GOOD SCHOOL	1	.5%	4	.4%
			GOOD STATE COLLEGE			1	.1%
			GREAT			1	.1%
			HIGHER EDUCATION			1	.1%
			HOSPITAL			1	.1%
			I DON'T KNOW			2	.2%
			I KNOW OF THE COLLEGE			1	.1%
			IT WOULD BE PRETTY GOOD			1	.1%
			IVY LEAGUE			3	.3%
			JOE PATERNO			1	.1%
			MAJOR			1	.1%
			OFF THE TOP OF MY HEAD I CAN'T THINK OF ANYTHING			1	.1%
			PRETTY GOOD			1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	To	otal
				West Region	onal Center		
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	PENN STATE	DESCRIPTION	STOIC			1	.19
			TOP NOTCH		Regional Center	.19	
	DESCRIPTION STOIC TOP NOTCH TRADITIONAL VERY GOOD PENN STATE UNIVERSITY PENN STATE UNIVERSITY DESCRIPTION PENN STATE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA PENN STATE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA DESCRIPTION PENNSYLVANIA DESCRIPTION DON'T KNOW IVY LEAGUE COLLEGE SUCCESS PENNSYLVANIA ACADEMY OF FINE ARTS DESCRIPTION PROFESSIONAL PHIL COMMUNITY COLLEGE PHILADELPHIA BIBLICAL UNIVERSITY DESCRIPTION PRETTY GOOD PHILADELPHIA COLLEGE DESCRIPTION PRETTY GOOD PHILADELPHIA COLLEGE DESCRIPTION PRETTY GOOD PHILADELPHIA COLLEGE DESCRIPTION PRETTY GOOD DON'T KNOW IVY LEAGUE COLLEGE SUCCESS PENNSYLVANIA ACADEMY OF FINE ARTS DESCRIPTION PROFESSIONAL PHILADELPHIA BIBLICAL UNIVERSITY DESCRIPTION PRETTY GOOD A GOOD ONE GOOD SCHOOL UNIVERSITY PHILADELPHIA COLLEGE CALLED PENN STATE DESCRIPTION PHILADELPHIA COMMUNITY DESCRIPTION GOOD GREAT PHILADELPHIA COMMUNITY DESCRIPTION COOD GREAT PHILADELPHIA COMMUNITY OLLEGE COMMUNITY ORIENTED COMMUNITY ORIENTED COMMUNITY ORIENTED CONVENIENT COLLEGE			1	.19		
11201 011020			DESCRIPTION STOIC TOP NOTCH TRADITIONAL TRADITIONAL TRADITIONAL TRADITIONAL TRADITIONAL TRADITIONAL TRADITIONAL TRADITIONAL TRADITIONAL TRADITIONAL TRADITIONAL TOP NOT KNOW TOP NOT KNO	.2%			
	PENN STATE UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	DON'T KNOW			1	.19
	PENN STATE/ UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA	DESCRIPTION	PRESTIGE			1	.19
	PENNSYLVANIA	DESCRIPTION	0			1	.19
			DON'T KNOW			1	.19
			IVY LEAGUE COLLEGE			1	.19
			SUCCESS			1	.19
	PENNSYLVANIA ACADEMY OF FINE ARTS	DESCRIPTION	PROFESSIONAL		1	.19	
	PHIL COMMUNITY COLLEGE		.19				
F	PHILADELPHIA BIBLICAL UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION				1	.19
	PHILADELPHIA CC	DESCRIPTION	PRETTY GOOD			1	.19
	PHILADELPHIA COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	A GOOD ONE	1	.5%	1	.19
			GOOD SCHOOL		10,10	1	.19
			UNIVERSITY			1	.19
	PHILADELPHIA COLLEGE CALLED PENN STATE	DESCRIPTION	GOOD				.19
	PHILADELPHIA COMMUNITY	DESCRIPTION	GOOD			1	.19
			GREAT			1	.19
	PHILADELPHIA COMMUNITY COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION				1	.19
			CHEAPER THAN THE OTHERS			1	.19
			COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1	.5%	2	.29
			COMMUNITY ORIENTED			1	.19
			CONVENIENT COLLEGE			1	.19
			FOUR-YEAR			1	.19
			GOOD			1	.19
			I DON'T KNOW			1	.19
			INTERIOR DESIGN			1	.19
			LEARNING				.19
			MEDIOCRE	1		1	.19
			NEAR EXCELLENT			1	.19
			PLACE TO LEARN/ NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	1	.5%	1	.19
			STEPPING STONE TO COLLEGE/ PRE-COLLEGE			1	.19
			TWO-YEAR COLLEGE			1	.19
	PHILADELPHIA SCHOOL OF FASHION AND DESIGN	DESCRIPTION	VERY GOOD SCHOOL	i		1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	.19

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	То	otal
				West Regio	onal Center Col %	Count 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Col %
COLLEGES	PHILADELPHIA UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	CAPABLE	Count	C01 %	Count	.1%
MENTIONED - MULTIPLE	THE GET THE GRAVE TO THE	BEOOKII HON	COZY/ MORE INVITING/ SMALLER/ SPECIALIZED			1	.1%
RESPONSES			EXCELLENT			1	.1%
			GOOD EDUCATION/ MY ALMA MATER			1	.1%
			LOCAL			1	.1%
			PHARMACY			1	.1%
			TEXTILES	1	.1%		
L			VERY GOOD			1	.1%
	PHOENIX	DESCRIPTION	GREAT EDUCATION FOR WORKING ADULTS			1	.1%
	PRINCETON	DESCRIPTION	EXCELLENT			1	.1%
			HIGH STANDARDS			1	.1%
			WONDERFUL			1	.1%
	REED	DESCRIPTION	GOOD MEDICAL SCHOOL			1	.1%
	RICE	DESCRIPTION	GREATEST SINGLE EDUCATION EXPERIENCE IN MY LIFE			1	.1%
	ROSEMONT	DESCRIPTION	DON'T KNOW MUCH ABOUT ROSEMONT			1	.1%
			FOR WORKING PEOPLE			1	.1%
			IT'S A PRETTY GOOD COLLEGE	1	.5%	1	.1%
	ROWAN	DESCRIPTION	MIDDLE OF ROAD			1	.1%
	RUTGERS	DESCRIPTION	FOOTBALL			1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	To	tal
				West Region	onal Center		
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	SAINT JOE'S	DESCRIPTION	ACADEMICS			1	.1%
MENTIONED			ALMA MATER			1	.1%
- MULTIPLE RESPONSES			ALSO AN AVERAGE SCHOOL	1	.5%	1	.1%
KESI ONOES			CATHOLIC	1	.5%	2	.2%
			DEPENDABLE	1	.5%	1	.1%
			EFFECTIVE			1	.1%
			EXCELLENT	2	1.1%	2	.2%
			EXCELLENT COLLEGE			1	.1%
			GOOD			1	.1%
			GOOD SCHOOL	3	1.6%	4	.4%
			GREAT COLLEGE			1	.1%
			HIGHER EDUCATION			1	.1%
			I CAN'T THINK OF ANYTHING			1	.1%
			LOCAL	2	1.1%	2	.2%
			PRIVATE CATHOLIC COLLEGE	1	.5%	1	.1%
			RELIGIOUS PRIVATE SCHOOL/ NOT AS DIVERSE			1	.1%
			SCHOLARSHIP			1	.1%
			SMALL	1	.5%	1	.1%
			SPORTS			1	.1%
			VERY GOOD COLLEGE			1	.1%
			VERY GOOD SCHOOL	1	.5%	1	.1%
			WONDERFUL			1	.1%
	SAINT JOE'S COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	PAROCHIAL			1	.1%
	SAINT JOE'S UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	EXCEPTIONAL			1	.1%
			GOOD EDUCATION			1	.1%
			REAL NICE			1	.1%
			VERY HIGHLY REGARDED CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY			1	.1%
	SAINT JOE	DESCRIPTION	LOCAL			1	.1%
	SAINT JOSEPH'S	DESCRIPTION	CATHOLIC			1	.1%
			GOOD SCHOOL			1	.1%
			VERY GOOD			1	.1%
			WELL-ROUNDED CATHOLIC EDUCATION			1	.1%
	AINT JOSEPH'S UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	DEDICATED			1	.1%
			GOOD PRIVATE			1	.1%
			INTEGRITY			1	.1%
			RICH			1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	To	otal
					onal Center		
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	SAINT JOSEPH	DESCRIPTION	ACADEMICS			1	.1%
MENTIONED - MULTIPLE			GOOD TOO	1	.5%	1	.1%
RESPONSES			WONDERFUL TO GO TO			1	.1%
I KEOI ONGEO	SAINT JOSEPH UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	MORE SELECTIVE			1	.1%
	SPELLMAN	DESCRIPTION	GREAT			1	.1%
	STOCKTON	DESCRIPTION	MY GRANDCHILDREN WENT THERE			1	.1%
	SWARTHMORE COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	SMALL			1	.1%
			SMALL LIBERAL ARTS COLLEGE			1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	То	tal
				West Region	onal Center		
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	TEMPLE	DESCRIPTION				3	.3%
MENTIONED - MULTIPLE			A BIG BUILDING	1	.5%	1	.1%
RESPONSES			A COLLEGE			1	.1%
KEGI GINGEG			A GREAT COLLEGE	1	.5%	1	.1%
			ACADEMIC			1	.1%
			ACADEMIC EXCELLENCE	1	.5%	1	.1%
			ACADEMICS			1	.1%
			ACCESSIBLE			1	.1%
			ACHIEVING EXCELLENCE			1	.1%
			AFFORDABLE			1	.1%
			AFFORDABLE AND EXCELLENT			1	.1%
			ALL RIGHT			1	.1%
			ALMA MATER	1	.5%	1	.1%
			ALSO GOOD/ VERY GOOD			1	.1%
			ALUMNI			1	.1%
			ASSET TO COMMUNITY			1	.1%
			ATHLETICS			1	.1%
			ATTENDED TEMPLE	1		1	.1%
			B AVERAGE STUDENT			1	.1%
			BAD NEIGHBORHOOD			1	.1%
			BASKETBALL			3	.3%
			BEST COLLEGE	1		1	.1%
			BEST COLLEGE NEXT TO PENN AND MORE AFFORDABLE			1	.1%
			BEST LOCAL COLLEGE			1	.1%
			BIG			2	.2%
			BIG CITY SCHOOL	1		1	.1%
			BIG SCHOOL	1		1	.1%
			BILL COSBY	1	.5%	2	.2%
			BILL COSBY WENT THERE			1	.1%
			BUSY			2	.2%
			CAN'T SAY			1	.1%
			CATERS TO THOSE WITH LESS MONEY			1	.1%
			CENTER CITY UNIVERSITY			1	.1%
			CHILDREN GRADUATED FROM THERE			1	.1%
			CHURCH	1		1	.1%
			CITY SCHOOL	1		1	.1%
			CITY SCHOOL/ GOOD BASKETBALL GAMES			1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	То	tal
				West Pegi	onal Center		
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	TEMPLE	DESCRIPTION	CLOSE	Count	001 70	1	.1%
MENTIONED			COMMUNITY ORIENTED	1	.5%	1	.1%
- MULTIPLE RESPONSES			COMMUTER COLLEGE		10,1	1	.1%
RESPONSES			CONVENIENT			1	.1%
			CONVENIENT COLLEGE			1	.1%
			CROWDED			1	.1%
			DANGEROUS			1	.1%
			DECENT			1	.1%
			DENTAL SCHOOL			1	.1%
			DIVERSE	1	.5%	1	.1%
			DIVERSE URBAN STATE SCHOOL			1	.1%
			DIVISION ONE COLLEGE			1	.1%
			DON'T KNOW			4	.4%
			DON'T KNOW HOW TO ANSWER			1	.1%
			DON'T KNOW/ NO OPINION			1	.1%
			EASY ACCESS			1	.1%
			EDUCATION			1	.1%
			EDUCATIONAL	2	1.1%	3	.3%
			EXCELLENT	6	3.3%	15	1.3%
			EXCELLENT COLLEGE			1	.1%
			EXCELLENT PUBLIC COLLEGE			1	.1%
			EXPANDING			4	.4%
			EXPENSIVE			3	.3%
			FAIR			1	.1%
			FANTASTIC	1	.5%	1	.1%
			FILM AND JOURNALISM			1	.1%
			FOOTBALL			1	.1%
			FOR MONEY/ DECENT EDUCATION			1	.1%
			FRIENDLY/ LOTS TO OFFER			1	.1%
			FUN			1	.1%
			GOOD	1	.5%	14	1.2%
			GOOD AREA/ LARGER COLLEGE/ MORE STUDENTS			1	.1%
			GOOD CITY SCHOOL			1	.1%
			GOOD CITY UNIVERSITY			1	.1%
			GOOD COLLEGE	3	1.6%	5	.4%
			GOOD COLLEGE/ INSIDE THE CITY	1	.5%	1	.1%
			GOOD EDUCATION	†		1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	То	tal
					onal Center	01	0.10/
COLLEGES	TEMPLE	DESCRIPTION	L COOD INSTITUTE OF LEADNING	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
MENTIONED	TEMPLE	DESCRIPTION	GOOD INSTITUTE OF LEARNING GOOD LEARNING COLLEGE			1	.19
MULTIPLE						1	.19
RESPONSES			GOOD PLACE FOR YOUNG PEOPLE TO GET EDUCATION			1	.19
			GOOD SCHOOL	1	.5%	13	1.1
			GOOD TEACHING SCHOOL			1	.1
			GOOD, GOOD COLLEGE			1	.1
			GOOD/ NOT SO PREJUDICED			1	.1
			GREAT	1	.5%	2	.2
			GROWING			1	.1
			HARD TO SAY			1	.1
		HARDWORKING			1	.1	
			HIGHER EDUCATION			1	.*
			I'VE HEARD GOOD THINGS			1	
			I DON'T KNOW			2	.:
		I GIVE IT A 8+/ RIGHT BELOW PENN STATE			1		
			I HAVE NO IDEA/ I DID GO THERE THOUGH			1	
			I LIKED IT/ WENT TO SCHOOL THERE SEVERAL YEARS AGO			1	
			IMPRESSIVE			1	
			INDEPENDENT	1	.5%	1	
			INEXPENSIVE		1277	2	
			INNER CITY			1	
			INNER CITY CAMPUS			1	
			INNER CITY COMMUTER COLLEGE			1	•
			INNER CITY/ EDUCATION OPPORTUNITY			1	
			INTERESTING			1	
			INTERNATIONAL			1	
			INVITING	1	.5%	1	
			IT'S A COMPLETE UNIVERSITY			1	
			IT'S A GOOD COLLEGE			1	
			IT'S A LOCAL TREASURE THAT PEOPLE DON'T KNOW ABOUT			1	
			IT'S A VERY GOOD TEACHING COLLEGE			1	.1
			IT TURNS OUT GOOD STUDENTS			1	.1
			IVY LEAGUE			2	.2

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	To	tal
				West Region	onal Center		
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES MENTIONED	TEMPLE	DESCRIPTION	JUST DON'T KNOW VERY MUCH ABOUT IT			1	.19
- MULTIPLE			KNOWLEDGE			1	.1
RESPONSES			LARGE			4	.4
			LARGE AND EXCELLENT			1	.1
			LAW			1	.1
			LESS EXPENSIVE			1	1
			LOCAL	1	.5%	7	.6
			LOT OF OPPORTUNITY	-	10,10	1	
			LOTS OF CLASS			1	
		LOUSY FOOTBALL TEAM/ LOCAL KIDS CAN GET IN			1		
			MEDICAL	1	.5%	1	
			MEDICAL SCHOOL/ PHARMACY	•	.070	1	
			MEDICAL/ LAW			1	
			MEDICALLY ORIENTED			1	
			MORE ECONOMICAL			1	
			MORE LOCAL			1	
			MOST STUDENT AND TEACHERS ARE FRIENDLY AND COMPASSIONATE			1	
			MULTICULTURAL/ MELTING POT	1	.5%	1	
			NEARNESS OF THE CAMPUS	1	.5%	1	
			NO COMMENT ON THIS			1	
			NORTH PHILLY			1	
			NOT MUCH MONEY YOU'D HAVE TO PAY			1	•
			NOT OFF-HAND			1	_
			NOT SURE/ I THINK GOOD SCHOOL			1	·
			OKAY			4	
			OKAY COLLEGE			1	
			OLD SCHOOL			1	
			ONE OF THE BEST			1	
			ONE OF THE BEST IN THE NATION	1	.5%	1	
			OVERPOWERED			1	
			OVERRATED			1	
			PEOPLE IN THE AREA HAVE TO PAY MORE THAN PEOPLE OUT OF SCHOO			1	.′

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	То	tal
				West Region	onal Center		
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES MENTIONED	TEMPLE	DESCRIPTION	PEOPLE MY AGE ARE ABLE TO GO THERE	1	.5%	1	.19
- MULTIPLE			PHILADELPHIA			1	.19
RESPONSES			POPULAR			2	.29
			POPULATED			1	.1
			PREJUDICED			1	.1
			PRESTIGE	1	.5%	1	.1
			PRESTIGIOUS			1	.1
			PRETTY GOOD			1	.1
			PRETTY GOOD SCHOOL			2	.2
			PRODUCTIVE	1		1	.1
		PUBLIC			1	.1	
		REALLY GOOD/ GREAT/ WHATEVER YOU WANT TO MAJOR IN THEY HAVE			1	.1	
			RIDICULOUS			1	.1
		SAME THING AND CONVENIENT			1	.1	
		SATISFACTORY			1	.1	
			SCHOLARLY			1	.1
			SCIENCE			1	.1
			SECOND SCHOOL AFTER COMMUNITY			1	.1
			SOPHISTICATED	1	.5%	1	.1
			STATE-OF-THE-ART			1	.1
			STATE UNIVERSITY			1	.1
			STRICT			1	.1
			STRONG	1	.5%	1	.1
			STRONG ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT			1	.1
			TEAMS OF SPORTS	1	.5%	1	.1
			THAT IS ALL I CAN SAY			1	.1
			TRADITIONAL			1	.1
			UNIQUE			1	.1
			UNIQUE LOCATION	1	.5%	1	.1
			UNIVERSITY			2	.2
			URBAN	1	.5%	3	.3
			URBAN AND DIVERSE			1	.1
			URBAN CAMPUS			1	.1
			URBAN COLLEGE	1	.5%	1	.1
			URBAN SCHOOL	<u> </u>	.5,0	1	.1
			URBAN/ GOOD			1	.1

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	To	otal
				West Regi	onal Center		
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	TEMPLE	DESCRIPTION	VALUE			1	.1%
MENTIONED - MULTIPLE			VERY BEAUTIFUL	1	.5%	1	.1%
RESPONSES			VERY EDUCATIONAL			1	.1%
THEOR OFFICE			VERY GOOD	3	1.6%	8	.7%
			VERY GOOD COLLEGE			1	.1%
		VERY GOOD REPUTATION/ KNOWN STATE WIDE/ A CITY COLLEGE			1	.1%	
		VERY GOOD SCHOOL			1	.1%	
			VERY URBAN			1	.1%
			WELL KNOWN			3	.3%
			WELL RECOGNIZED SCHOOL	1	.5%	1	.1%
			WHERE I GRADUATED FROM	1	.5%	1	.1%
			WHIRLWIND/ FAST PACE	1	.5%	1	.1%
			WONDERFUL			1	.1%
			WONDERFUL UNIVERSITY			1	.1%
			WORKING CLASS	1	.5%	1	.1%
			WORKING PEOPLE GO THERE/ VERY EXPENSIVE			1	.1%
			YOU CAN BASICALLY ACCOMPLISH GOALS			1	.1%
	TEMPLE COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	A BUNCH OF NONSENSE			1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	To	otal
				West Region	onal Center		
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	TEMPLE UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	A-OKAY			1	.1%
MENTIONED			A BIG SCHOOL			1	.1%
- MULTIPLE RESPONSES			A GOOD COLLEGE			1	.1%
RESPONSES			A UNIVERSITY			1	.1%
			ANOTHER GOOD SCHOOL	1	.5%	1	.1%
			BEST IN THE AREA			1	.1%
			BETTER OPPORTUNITIES			1	.1%
			DAUGHTER-IN-LAW WORKS HERE/ GRANDDAUGHTER GOES THERE			1	.1%
			DIVERSE STUDENT POPULATION			1	.1%
			DON'T KNOW			1	.1%
			EMINENCE			1	.1%
			EXCELLENT			3	.3%
			FUN/ EXCITING			1	.1%
			GIVES YOUNG PEOPLE AN OPPORTUNITY			1	.1%
			GOOD	1	.5%	3	.3%
			GOOD ALSO			1	.1%
			GOOD SCHOOL			2	.2%
			GREAT			1	.1%
			I LIKE WHAT IT OFFERS			1	.1%
			IMPRESSIVE			1	.1%
			JUST UP THE STREET			1	.1%
			LARGE			1	.1%
			LOCAL			1	.1%
			NICE			1	.1%
			NICE COLLEGE			1	.1%
			OUTSTANDING			1	.1%
			THEY STRIVE ON EXCELLENCE			1	.1%
			TOPS			1	.1%
			VERY GOOD			1	.1%
			VERY GOOD COLLEGE			1	.1%
			VERY GOOD SCHOOL			1	.1%
			VERY LARGE	1	.5%	1	.1%
			WELL-ROUNDED			1	.1%
	THE COLLEGE OF SCIENCES OF PHILADELPHIA	DESCRIPTION	THAT SCHOOL IS FINE	1	.5%	1	.1%
	THE COMMUNITY COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	EASY ACCESS			1	.1%
	THE COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	DESCRIPTION	AFFORDABLE		İ	1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	To	otal
				West Regi	onal Center		
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	UOFP	DESCRIPTION	ALSO A VERY FINE COLLEGE			1	.1%
MENTIONED - MULTIPLE			EXCELLENT			1	.1%
RESPONSES			GREAT			1	.1%
I KEOI ONOLO			IT WAS ADEQUATE	1	.5%	1	.1%
	U OF PENN	DESCRIPTION	FOUR-YEAR			1	.1%
			GREAT UNIVERSITY			1	.1%
			HIGH RATED			1	.1%
			IVY LEAGUE	1	.5%	2	.2%
			IVY LEAGUE UNIVERSITY			1	.1%
	UP	DESCRIPTION	MONEY	1	.5%	1	.1%
	U PENN	DESCRIPTION	ACADEMIC			1	.1%
			PRIVATE/ NOT AS DIVERSE/ LIBERAL ARTS			1	.1%
			RESEARCH	1	.5%	1	.1%
			TERRIBLE EMPLOYER	1	.5%	1	.1%
	UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA	DESCRIPTION	HIGHER EDUCATION			1	.1%
	UNIVERSITY OF ARTS	DESCRIPTION	CITY ART COLLEGE			1	.1%
	UNIVERSITY OF HARRISBURG	DESCRIPTION	NICE			1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	То	tal
				West Regio	onal Center		
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	UNIVERSITY OF PENN	DESCRIPTION	0			1	.19
MENTIONED - MULTIPLE RESPONSES			ALL RIGHT/ BUT I DON'T CARE FOR THEM/ THEY'RE PREJUDICED			1	.1%
			CHAOTIC	1	.5%	1	.19
			DISTINGUISHED			1	.19
			EASY			1	.1
			EDUCATIONAL			1	.1
			ELITE			1	.1
			EXCELLENT	4	2.2%	6	.5
			GOOD EDUCATION	1	.5%	1	.1
			GOOD IVY LEAGUE			1	.1
			GOOD SCHOOL			2	.2
		GREAT			1	.'	
		HIGH QUALITY	1	.5%	2	.2	
			HIGHLY RECOGNIZED FOR EDUCATION			1	.′
			HOSPITAL/ MEDICAL			1	
			INTELLECT			1	
			IVY LEAGUE	2	1.1%	7	.(
			LOCAL			1	
			MEDICAL COLLEGE/ ONLY BIG COLLEGE IN PHILADELPHIA			1	.′
			NOT EASY TO GET INTO			1	.′
			OKAY BY ME			1	
			OUTSTANDING			2	.2
			OUTSTANDING IN MANY FIELDS			1	.′
			PRESTIGIOUS COLLEGE/ EXPENSIVE			1	.1
			QUALITY			1	.1
			THE BEST IN THE STATE			1	.1
			TOP DRAWER			1	.1
			TOP NOTCH			1	.′
			UNIVERSITY OF PENN LARGE AND IMPORTANT			1	.′
			VERY DISTINGUISHED			1	.1
			VERY EXCELLENT	1	.5%	1	.′
			VERY GOOD			5	.4
			VERY GOOD RESEARCH SCHOOL	1	.5%	1	.1
			VERY UNIVERSAL			1	.1

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

MENTIONED MULTIPLE RESPONSES A MEDICAL COLLEGE	Total	otal	
COLLEGES MENTIONED MULTIPLE RESPONSES			
DESCRIPTION O		0.10	1.07
MENTIONED AMEDICAL COLLEGE		Col %	
### AWALDINAL COLLEGE			.1%
RESPUNSES ARISTOCRATIC/PEOPLE OF MAIN LINE BIG BUSINESS SCHOOL 1 5.5% - CHALLENGING COSTLY DISTINGUISHED DONT KNOW ELITE EXCELENT 9 EXCEPTIONAL 1 5.5% - EXPENSIVE 1 5.5% - GOOD COLLEGE 1 5.5% - GOOD SCHOOL GOOD SCHOOL GOOD SCHOOL MY FATHER 1 5.5% - WENT THERE GOOD CRACKING OR GAILSTON GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GO			.1%
MAIN LINE BIG BUSINESS SCHOOL CHALLENGING COSTLY DISTINGUISHED DON'T KNOW ELITE EXCELLENT EXCEPTIONAL EXPENSIVE 1.5% GOOD GOOD COLLEGE GOOD MEDICAL COLLEGE 1.5% GOOD SCHOOL MY FATHER WEN'T THERE 1.5% GOOD TEACHING ORGANIZATION GREAT COLLEGE 1.5% GREAT REPUTATION I HAS A REPUTATION I THAS A REPUTATION FOR A CADEMIC RISOR I VY LEAGUE! EXPENSIVE! ID I VY LEAGUE! EXPENSIVE! ID I VY LEAGUE! EXPENSIVE! ID I VY LEAGUE! EXPENSIVE! ID I VY LEAGUE! EXPENSIVE! ID I VY LEAGUE! ANAIOR COLLEGE LEARNING INSTITUTION I VY LEAGUE! ANAIOR COLLEGE LEARNING INSTITUTION MEDICAL MY OLD SCHOOL OLD SCHOOL	1	1	.1%
BUSINESS SCHOOL	1	.1	.1%
CHALLENGING	1	1	.1%
COSTLY DISTINGUISHED DON'T KNOW ELITE EXCELLENT SECREPTIONAL EXPENSIVE 1 .5% GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD SCHOOL	1		.1%
DISTINGUISHED DON'T KNOW Z ELITE	1		.1%
DONT KNOW ELITE EXCELLENT EXCEPTIONAL EXPENSIVE 1 .5% GOOD GOOD GOOD COLLEGE GOOD MEDICAL COLLEGE 1 .5% GOOD SCHOOL GOOD SCHOOL MY FATHER WENT THERE 1 .5% GOOD TEACHING ORGANIZATION GREAT COLLEGE 1 .5% GREAT REPUTATION IT HAS A REPUTATION IT HAS A REPUTATION IT HAS A REPUTATION IT HAS A REPUTATION IT HAS A REPUTATION IT HAS A REPUTATION IT HAS A REPUTATION IT HAS A REPUTATION IT YLEAGUE LEARUS TIN IVY LEAGUE 2 1.1% INY LEAGUE 2 1.1% INY LEAGUE TEACHOR TO THE TEACHOR	1	.1	.1%
ELITE EXCELLENT EXCEPTIONAL EXPENSIVE GOOD GOOD COLLEGE GOOD MEDICAL COLLEGE GOOD MEDICAL COLLEGE GOOD SCHOOL GOOD SCHOOL GOOD SCHOOL MY FATHER WENT THERE GOOD TEACHING ORGANIZATION GREAT COLLEGE 1 .5% GREAT REPUTATION I HAVE NO OPINION IT HAS A REPUTATION FOR ACADEMIC RIGOR IVY LEAGUE 2 1.1% IYY LEAGUE 2 1.1% IYY LEAGUE 5 1 1.5% IVY LEAGUE 5 1 1.5% IVY LEAGUE 6 1 1 1.5% IVY LEAGUE 6 1 1 1.5% IVY LEAGUE 6 1 1 1.5% IVY LEAGUE 6 1 1 1.5% IVY LEAGUE 6 1 1 1.5% IVY LEAGUE 6 1 1 1.5% IVY LEAGUE 6 1 1 1.5% IVY LEAGUE 6 1 1 1.5% IVY LEAGUE 6 1 1 1 1.5% IVY LEAGUE 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1	.1	.1%
EXCELLENT EXCEPTIONAL EXPENSIVE GOOD GOOD GOOD COLLEGE GOOD MEDICAL COLLEGE GOOD SCHOOL GOOD SCHOOL GOOD SCHOOL GOOD TACHING ORGANIZATION GREAT COLLEGE 1 .5% GREAT COLLEGE GREAT REPUTATION I HAVE NO OPINION IT HAS A REPUTATION FOR ACADEMIC RIGOR IVY LEAGUE IVY LEAGUE IVY LEAGUE LEAGUE LARGE LEARNING INSTITUTION MEDICAL MY OLD SCHOOL OLD SCHOOL	2	.2	.2%
EXCEPTIONAL EXPENSIVE 1 .5% GOOD GOOD GOOD COLLEGE GOOD MEDICAL COLLEGE 1 .5% GOOD SCHOOL GOOD SCHOOL GOOD SCHOOL GOOD TACHING GOOD TACHING ORGANIZATION GREAT COLLEGE 1 .5% GREAT REPUTATION IT HAS A REPUTATION IT HAS A REPUTATION FOR ACADEMIC RIGOR IVY LEAGUE IVY LEAGUE LARGE LEARNING INSTITUTION MEDICAL MY OLD SCHOOL MEDICAL MY OLD SCHOOL OLD SCHOOL	1	.1	.1%
EXPENSIVE 1 .5% GOOD GOOD	9	3.	.8%
GOOD COLLEGE GOOD MEDICAL COLLEGE GOOD SCHOOL GOOD SCHOOL GOOD SCHOOL/MY FATHER WENT THERE GOOD TEACHING ORGANIZATION GREAT COLLEGE 1 .5% GREAT REPUTATION I HAVE NO OPINION IT HAS A REPUTATION FOR ACADEMIC RIGOR IVY LEAGUE 1 .5% IVY LEAGUE 2 1.1% IVY LEAGUE 1 .5% IVY LEAGUE 1 .5% IVY LEAGUE 2 1.1% IVY LEAGUE 1 .5% IVY LEAGUE 2 .5% IVY LEAGUE 2 .5% IVY LEAGUE 2 .5% IVY LEAGUE 2 .5% IVY LEAGUE 3 .5% IVY LEAGUE 4 .5% IVY LEAGUE 5 .5% IVY LEAGUE 6 .5% IVY LEAGUE 7 .5% IVY LEAGUE 7 .5% IVY LEAGUE 8 .5% IVY LEAGUE 9 .5% IVY LEAGUE 9 .5% IVY LEAGUE 1	1	.1	.1%
GOOD COLLEGE GOOD MEDICAL COLLEGE GOOD SCHOOL GOOD SCHOOL/MY FATHER GOOD SCHOOL/MY FATHER WENT THERE GOOD TEACHING ORGANIZATION GREAT COLLEGE GREAT REPUTATION I HAVE NO OPINION IT HAS A REPUTATION FOR ACADEMIC RIGGR IVY LEAGUE IVY LEAGUE IVY LEAGUE LARGE LARGE LEARNING INSTITUTION MY OLD SCHOOL OLD SCHOOL	1		.1%
GOOD MEDICAL COLLEGE 1 .5% GOOD SCHOOL GOOD SCHOOL/MY FATHER WENT THERE GOOD TEACHING ORGANIZATION GREAT COLLEGE 1 .5% GREAT COLLEGE 1 .5% GREAT REPUTATION I HAVE NO OPINION IT HAS A REPUTATION 50% ACADEMIC RIGOR 1 .5% IVY LEAGUE 2 1.1% 13 IVY LEAGUE 2 1.1% 13 IVY LEAGUE/EXPENSIVE/I'D NEVER GET IN IVY LEAGUE/MAJOR COLLEGE LARGE LARGE LEARNING INSTITUTION MEDICAL MY OLD SCHOOL OLD SCHOOL	3		.3%
GOOD SCHOOL GOOD SCHOOL/ MY FATHER GOOD SCHOOL/ MY FATHER GOOD TEACHING ORGANIZATION GREAT COLLEGE 1 .5% GREAT REPUTATION I HAVE NO OPINION IIT HAS A REPUTATION FOR ACADEMIC RIGOR IVY LEAGUE IVY LEAGUE IVY LEAGUE IVY LEAGUE/ EXPENSIVE/ I'D NEVER GET IN IVY LEAGUE/ MAJOR COLLEGE LARGE LEARNING INSTITUTION MEDICAL MY OLD SCHOOL OLD SCHOOL			.2%
GOOD SCHOOL/ MY FATHER WENT THERE GOOD TEACHING ORGANIZATION GREAT COLLEGE 1 .5% GREAT REPUTATION I HAVE NO OPINION IIT HAS A REPUTATION FOR ACADEMIC RIGOR IVY LEAGUE VY LEAGUE VY LEAGUE 2 1.1% IVY LEAGUE/ EXPENSIVE/ I'D NEVER GET IN IVY LEAGUE/ MAJOR COLLEGE LARGE LEARNING INSTITUTION MEDICAL MY OLD SCHOOL OLD SCHOOL	1		.1%
WENT THERE	1		.1%
ORGANIZATION GREAT COLLEGE 1 .5% GREAT REPUTATION I HAVE NO OPINION IIT HAS A REPUTATION FOR ACADEMIC RIGOR IVY LEAGUE IVY LEAGUE IVY LEAGUE/ EXPENSIVE/ I'D NEVER GET IN IVY LEAGUE/ MAJOR COLLEGE LARGE LEARNING INSTITUTION MEDICAL MY OLD SCHOOL OLD SCHOOL			.1%
GREAT REPUTATION I HAVE NO OPINION IT HAS A REPUTATION FOR ACADEMIC RIGOR IVY LEAGUE IVY LEAGUE IVY LEAGUE/ EXPENSIVE/ I'D NEVER GET IN IVY LEAGUE/ MAJOR COLLEGE LARGE LEARNING INSTITUTION MEDICAL MY OLD SCHOOL OLD SCHOOL	1	.1	.1%
GREAT REPUTATION I HAVE NO OPINION IT HAS A REPUTATION FOR ACADEMIC RIGOR IVY LEAGUE IVY LEAGUE IVY LEAGUE/ EXPENSIVE/ I'D NEVER GET IN IVY LEAGUE/ MAJOR COLLEGE LARGE LEARNING INSTITUTION MEDICAL MY OLD SCHOOL OLD SCHOOL	1		.1%
IT HAS A REPUTATION FOR ACADEMIC RIGOR IVY LEAGUE IVY LEAGUE IVY LEAGUE/ EXPENSIVE/ I'D NEVER GET IN IVY LEAGUE/ MAJOR COLLEGE LARGE LEARNING INSTITUTION MEDICAL MY OLD SCHOOL OLD SCHOOL			.1%
IT HAS A REPUTATION FOR ACADEMIC RIGOR IVY LEAGUE IVY LEAGUE IVY LEAGUE/ EXPENSIVE/ I'D NEVER GET IN IVY LEAGUE/ MAJOR COLLEGE LARGE LEARNING INSTITUTION MEDICAL MY OLD SCHOOL OLD SCHOOL			.1%
IVY LEAGUE/ EXPENSIVE/ I'D NEVER GET IN IVY LEAGUE/ MAJOR COLLEGE LARGE LEARNING INSTITUTION MEDICAL MY OLD SCHOOL OLD SCHOOL			.1%
IVY LEAGUE/ EXPENSIVE/ I'D NEVER GET IN IVY LEAGUE/ MAJOR COLLEGE LARGE LEARNING INSTITUTION MEDICAL MY OLD SCHOOL OLD SCHOOL	13 1	1.1	1.1%
LARGE LEARNING INSTITUTION MEDICAL MY OLD SCHOOL OLD SCHOOL			.1%
LARGE LEARNING INSTITUTION MEDICAL MY OLD SCHOOL OLD SCHOOL	1		.1%
LEARNING INSTITUTION MEDICAL MY OLD SCHOOL OLD SCHOOL			.1%
MEDICAL MY OLD SCHOOL OLD SCHOOL			.1%
MY OLD SCHOOL OLD SCHOOL			.1%
OLD SCHOOL .			.1%
			.1%
PRESTIGIOUS 3 1.6% 5			.4%
			.2%
			.1%
			.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	Tç	otal
				West Region	onal Center		
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES MENTIONED	UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA	DESCRIPTION	THAT'S A REALLY REALLY GOOD SCHOOL	1	.5%	1	.1%
- MULTIPLE			THE BEST			1	.1%
RESPONSES			TOP OF THE LINE			1	.1%
			TOPS	1	.5%	1	.1%
			VERY GOOD	2	1.1%	5	.4%
			VERY GOOD COLLEGE			1	.1%
-			VERY GOOD EDUCATION			1	.1%
	UNIVERSITY OF PHILADELPHIA DESC	DESCRIPTION	MEDICAL	1	.5%	1	.1%
			MEDICAL FIELD	1	.5%	1	.1%
			VERY GOOD/ CENTRALLY LOCATED			1	.1%
	UNIVERSITY OF PHOENIX	DESCRIPTION	FOR WORKING PEOPLE			1	.1%
	UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCES	DESCRIPTION	JUST A GOOD COLLEGE	1	.5%	1	.1%
			NICE	1	.5%	1	.1%
	UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCES IN PHILADELPHIA	DESCRIPTION	PHARMACY	1	.5%	1	.1%
	UNIVERSITY OF THE ARTS	DESCRIPTION	PROFESSIONAL			1	.1%
			TECHNICAL ART SCHOOL			1	.1%
			WELL KNOWN			1	.1%
	URSINUS	DESCRIPTION	VERY CLASSY			1	.1%
	VERSAILLES	DESCRIPTION	VERY GOOD			1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	Tç	otal
				West Day	anal Carter		
				Count	onal Center Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	VILLANOVA	DESCRIPTION	CATHOLIC	Count 1	.5%	Count 3	.3%
MENTIONED	VIED WOVY	DECORM HOW	CATHOLIC COLLEGE	† ' ·	.570	1	.1%
- MULTIPLE			DON'T KNOW			2	.2%
RESPONSES			DON'T KNOW MUCH ABOUT IT			1	.1%
			EXPENSIVE			1	.1%
			GENERAL/ CATHOLIC			1	.1%
			GOOD			1	.1%
			GOOD COLLEGE			1	.1%
			GOOD EDUCATION			1	.1%
			GOOD NAME			1	.1%
			GOOD PLACE TO GO			1	.1%
			GOOD SCHOOL	1	.5%	2	.2%
			GOOD TEACHING ORGANIZATION			1	.1%
			GREAT COLLEGE			1	.1%
			LOCAL/ A LITTLE MORE DIFFICULT GETTING INTO			1	.1%
			MAIN LINE	1	.5%	1	.1%
			MAJOR COLLEGE			1	.1%
			MORE SELECTIVE			1	.1%
			NICE COLLEGE			1	.1%
			NURSING			1	.1%
			OKAY			1	.1%
			OUTSTANDING			1	.1%
			RICH			1	.1%
			UPPER CLASS			1	.1%
			VERY DIFFICULT/ GOOD QUALITY	1	.5%	1	.1%
			VERY GOOD			1	.1%
			WELL ORGANIZED			1	.1%
			WISH I COULD AFFORD IT			1	.1%
	VILLANOVA COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	GOOD COLLEGE			1	.1%
	VILLANOVA UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	IVY LEAGUE			1	.1%
	VIRGINIA STATE	DESCRIPTION	BLACK COLLEGE	1	.5%	1	.1%
	WAGNER COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	A LOT OF SPORTS			1	.1%
	WAGNER UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	VERY GOOD			1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	To	otal
				West Regi	onal Center		
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	WEST CHESTER	DESCRIPTION	BEAUTIFUL CAMPUS			1	.1%
MENTIONED			ENERGETIC			1	.1%
- MULTIPLE RESPONSES			OKAY/ PRETTY GOOD			1	.1%
INEON ONOLO			STATE COLLEGE	1	.5%	1	.1%
			STATE SCHOOL			1	.1%
	WEST CHESTER UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	0			1	.1%
			EDUCATION			1	.1%
			FRIENDLY			1	.1%
			NICE			1	.1%
			SERENE			1	.1%
	WHARTON	DESCRIPTION	EXCELLENT SCHOOL	1	.5%	1	.1%
			INFLUENTIAL			1	.1%
	WIDENER	DESCRIPTION	ENGINEERING PROGRAM GOOD			1	.1%
			GOOD	1	.5%	2	.2%
			VERY GOOD			1	.1%
	WIDENER UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	GRANDSON GOES THERE			1	.1%
	YALE	DESCRIPTION	EXCITING			1	.1%
Total		_		184	100.0%	1132	100.0%

TABLE 3. Image Analysis Of Educational Institution Types By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden	ı	t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	ional Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
ACADEMICS	No Response	13	5.8%	2	1.8%	3	3.8%	2	2.4%	20	4.0%
	Trade Or Technical Schools	18	8.0%	9	7.9%	5	6.3%	5	6.1%	37	7.4%
	Community Colleges	26	11.6%	8	7.0%	12	15.0%	7	8.5%	53	10.6%
	Four-Year Colleges And Universities	167	74.6%	95	83.3%	60	75.0%	68	82.9%	390	78.0%
Total	•	224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
AFFORDABILITY	No Response	8	3.6%	7	6.1%	2	2.5%	1	1.2%	18	3.6%
	Trade Or Technical Schools	46	20.5%	30	26.3%	16	20.0%	17	20.7%	109	21.8%
	Community Colleges	154	68.8%	64	56.1%	47	58.8%	56	68.3%	321	64.2%
	Four-Year Colleges And Universities	16	7.1%	13	11.4%	15	18.8%	8	9.8%	52	10.4%

TABLE 3. Image Analysis Of Educational Institution Types By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional	West Regi	ional Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
CONVENIENT CLASS	No Response	36	16.1%	22	19.3%	7	8.8%	12	14.6%	77	15.4%
SCHEDULE	Trade Or Technical Schools	31	13.8%	15	13.2%	9	11.3%	10	12.2%	65	13.0%
	Community Colleges	117	52.2%	49	43.0%	42	52.5%	43	52.4%	251	50.2%
	Four-Year Colleges And Universities	40	17.9%	28	24.6%	22	27.5%	17	20.7%	107	21.4%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
TECHNICAL TRAINING	No Response	18	8.0%	5	4.4%	5	6.3%	1	1.2%	29	5.8%
FOR JOBS	Trade Or Technical Schools	118	52.7%	82	71.9%	45	56.3%	50	61.0%	295	59.0%
	Community Colleges	36	16.1%	8	7.0%	17	21.3%	10	12.2%	71	14.2%
	Four-Year Colleges And Universities	52	23.2%	19	16.7%	13	16.3%	21	25.6%	105	21.0%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
INDIVIDUAL ATTENTION	No Response	23	10.3%	14	12.3%	9	11.3%	5	6.1%	51	10.2%
	Trade Or Technical Schools	52	23.2%	23	20.2%	19	23.8%	21	25.6%	115	23.0%
	Community Colleges	71	31.7%	27	23.7%	30	37.5%	25	30.5%	153	30.6%
	Four-Year Colleges And Universities	78	34.8%	50	43.9%	22	27.5%	31	37.8%	181	36.2%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
otal REMEDIAL OR DEVELOPMENTAL SKILLS	No Response	25	11.2%	12	10.5%	7	8.8%	2	2.4%	46	9.2%
DEVELOPMENTAL SKILLS	Trade Or Technical Schools	40	17.9%	32	28.1%	14	17.5%	21	25.6%	107	21.4%
	Community Colleges	95	42.4%	33	28.9%	34	42.5%	33	40.2%	195	39.0%
	Four-Year Colleges And Universities	64	28.6%	37	32.5%	25	31.3%	26	31.7%	152	30.4%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
ONLINE CLASSES	No Response	48	21.4%	25	21.9%	13	16.3%	14	17.1%	100	20.0%
	Trade Or Technical Schools	22	9.8%	5	4.4%	10	12.5%	12	14.6%	49	9.8%
	Community Colleges	74	33.0%	28	24.6%	25	31.3%	18	22.0%	145	29.0%
	Four-Year Colleges And Universities	80	35.7%	56	49.1%	32	40.0%	38	46.3%	206	41.2%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
CONTINUING EDUCATION	No Response	10	4.5%	5	4.4%	4	5.0%	3	3.7%	22	4.4%
AND CAREER SKILLS FOR THOSE WHO ALREADY	Trade Or Technical Schools	30	13.4%	16	14.0%	12	15.0%	11	13.4%	69	13.8%
HAVE JOBS	Community Colleges	102	45.5%	35	30.7%	31	38.8%	30	36.6%	198	39.6%
	Four-Year Colleges And Universities	82	36.6%	58	50.9%	33	41.3%	38	46.3%	211	42.2%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
PREPARING STUDENTS	No Response	13	5.8%	8	7.0%	6	7.5%	7	8.5%	34	6.8%
FOR THE FUTURE	Trade Or Technical Schools	28	12.5%	12	10.5%	5	6.3%	9	11.0%	54	10.8%
	Community Colleges	53	23.7%	8	7.0%	19	23.8%	12	14.6%	92	18.4%
	Four-Year Colleges And Universities	130	58.0%	86	75.4%	50	62.5%	54	65.9%	320	64.0%

TABLE 3. Image Analysis Of Educational Institution Types By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Sprina	Garden		t Regional nter	Northwes	t Regional nter	West Reai	ional Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
CONVENIENT LOCATIONS	No Response	15	6.7%	9	7.9%	3	3.8%	5	6.1%	32	6.4%
	Trade Or Technical Schools	19	8.5%	15	13.2%	4	5.0%	8	9.8%	46	9.2%
	Community Colleges	122	54.5%	52	45.6%	44	55.0%	39	47.6%	257	51.4%
	Four-Year Colleges And Universities	68	30.4%	38	33.3%	29	36.3%	30	36.6%	165	33.0%
Total	•	224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
ATTRACTIVE CAMPUS	No Response	9	4.0%	8	7.0%	5	6.3%	6	7.3%	28	5.6%
ENVIRONMENT	Trade Or Technical Schools	5	2.2%	3	2.6%	3	3.8%			11	2.2%
	Community Colleges	32	14.3%	13	11.4%	20	25.0%	8	9.8%	73	14.6%
	Four-Year Colleges And Universities	178	79.5%	90	78.9%	52	65.0%	68	82.9%	388	77.6%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
HIGH QUALITY	No Response	17	7.6%	5	4.4%	9	11.3%	3	3.7%	34	6.8%
INSTRUCTION	Trade Or Technical Schools	13	5.8%	6	5.3%	3	3.8%	6	7.3%	28	5.6%
	Community Colleges	30	13.4%	5	4.4%	16	20.0%	4	4.9%	55	11.0%
	Four-Year Colleges And Universities	164	73.2%	98	86.0%	52	65.0%	69	84.1%	383	76.6%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
otal SERVICES FOR STUDENTS	No Response	23	10.3%	15	13.2%	6	7.5%	6	7.3%	50	10.0%
STUDENTS	Trade Or Technical Schools	19	8.5%	7	6.1%	10	12.5%	3	3.7%	39	7.8%
	Community Colleges	63	28.1%	17	14.9%	20	25.0%	18	22.0%	118	23.6%
	Four-Year Colleges And Universities	119	53.1%	75	65.8%	44	55.0%	55	67.1%	293	58.6%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
UP-TO-DATE	No Response	22	9.8%	12	10.5%	7	8.8%	11	13.4%	52	10.4%
CURRICULUM	Trade Or Technical Schools	19	8.5%	7	6.1%	4	5.0%	6	7.3%	36	7.2%
	Community Colleges	42	18.8%	16	14.0%	17	21.3%	8	9.8%	83	16.6%
	Four-Year Colleges And Universities	141	62.9%	79	69.3%	52	65.0%	57	69.5%	329	65.8%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
STATE-OF-THE-ART	No Response	23	10.3%	10	8.8%	9	11.3%	4	4.9%	46	9.2%
TECHNOLOGY	Trade Or Technical Schools	46	20.5%	14	12.3%	11	13.8%	15	18.3%	86	17.2%
	Community Colleges	27	12.1%	8	7.0%	13	16.3%	5	6.1%	53	10.6%
	Four-Year Colleges And Universities	128	57.1%	82	71.9%	47	58.8%	58	70.7%	315	63.0%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
OVERALL QUALITY OF	No Response	10	4.5%	8	7.0%	2	2.5%	4	4.9%	24	4.8%
EDUCATION	Trade Or Technical Schools	11	4.9%	6	5.3%	3	3.8%	5	6.1%	25	5.0%
	Community Colleges	37	16.5%	3	2.6%	17	21.3%	4	4.9%	61	12.2%
	Four-Year Colleges And Universities	166	74.1%	97	85.1%	58	72.5%	69	84.1%	390	78.0%

TABLE 3. Image Analysis Of Educational Institution Types By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
PREPARING THE UNEMPLOYED FOR WORK	No Response	15	6.7%	5	4.4%	5	6.3%	5	6.1%	30	6.0%
UNEMPLOYED FOR WORK	Trade Or Technical Schools	98	43.8%	64	56.1%	30	37.5%	42	51.2%	234	46.8%
	Community Colleges	80	35.7%	22	19.3%	31	38.8%	25	30.5%	158	31.6%
	Four-Year Colleges And Universities		13.8%	23	20.2%	14	17.5%	10	12.2%	78	15.6%
Total	224 100		100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%

TABLE 4. Attitudes Toward Community College Of Philadelphia By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
I AM VERY FAMILIAR WITH THE	No Response	2	.9%	1	.9%					3	.6%
PROGRAMS AND SERVICES OFFERED	Strongly Disagree	12	5.4%	9	7.9%	6	7.5%	6	7.3%	33	6.6%
BY COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	Disagree	87	38.8%	47	41.2%	26	32.5%	30	36.6%	190	38.0%
THEXBELLINX	Neither	5	2.2%	7	6.1%	4	5.0%	3	3.7%	19	3.8%
	Agree	102	45.5%	41	36.0%	37	46.3%	40	48.8%	220	44.0%
	Strongly Agree	16	7.1%	9	7.9%	7	8.8%	3	3.7%	35	7.0%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
THERE IS A CAMPUS OR	No Response	1	.4%	1	.9%					2	.4%
NEIGHBORHOOD SITE OF COMMUNITY	Strongly Disagree	1	.4%	1	.9%	1	1.3%	2	2.4%	5	1.0%
COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA LOCATED CONVENIENTLY CLOSE TO MY HOME	Disagree	34	15.2%	30	26.3%	15	18.8%	9	11.0%	88	17.6%
OCHVERNENTER GEOGE TO MIT FIGME	Neither	9	4.0%	9	7.9%	3	3.8%	4	4.9%	25	5.0%
	Agree	129	57.6%	50	43.9%	41	51.3%	47	57.3%	267	53.4%
	Strongly Agree	50	22.3%	23	20.2%	20	25.0%	20	24.4%	113	22.6%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF	No Response	2	.9%	1	.9%					3	.6%
PHILADELPHIA IS PRIMARILY A	Strongly Disagree	18	8.0%	14	12.3%	5	6.3%	11	13.4%	48	9.6%
COLLEGE FOR THOSE STUDENTS WHO CANNOT GET INTO A FOUR-YEAR	Disagree	100	44.6%	62	54.4%	36	45.0%	35	42.7%	233	46.6%
COLLEGE OR UNIVERSITY	Neither	7	3.1%	4	3.5%	4	5.0%			15	3.0%
	Agree	87	38.8%	26	22.8%	27	33.8%	31	37.8%	171	34.2%
	Strongly Agree	10	4.5%	7	6.1%	8	10.0%	5	6.1%	30	6.0%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%

TABLE 4. Attitudes Toward Community College Of Philadelphia By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
FOR RECENT HIGH SCHOOL	No Response	1	.4%	1	.9%					2	.4%
GRADUATES, COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA IS A GOOD CHOICE	Strongly Disagree	1	.4%	3	2.6%			1	1.2%	5	1.0%
FOR THE FIRST TWO YEARS OF A	Disagree	23	10.3%	17	14.9%	9	11.3%	8	9.8%	57	11.4%
FOUR-YEAR DEGREE	Neither	6	2.7%	1	.9%	2	2.5%	2	2.4%	11	2.2%
	Agree	160	71.4%	76	66.7%	58	72.5%	52	63.4%	346	69.2%
	Strongly Agree	33	14.7%	16	14.0%	11	13.8%	19	23.2%	79	15.8%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
IF I GO TO COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF	No Response	1	.4%	1	.9%					2	.4%
PHILADELPHIA FOR TWO YEARS, THE	Strongly Disagree	1	.4%	3	2.6%	1	1.3%	1	1.2%	6	1.2%
MAJORITY OF MY CREDITS WILL TRANSFER TO A FOUR-YEAR COLLEGE	Disagree	31	13.8%	14	12.3%	8	10.0%	5	6.1%	58	11.6%
THOUSEN TO AT OUR TEAM OULLEGE	Neither	27	12.1%	14	12.3%	3	3.8%	6	7.3%	50	10.0%
	Agree	140	62.5%	70	61.4%	58	72.5%	52	63.4%	320	64.0%
	Strongly Agree	24	10.7%	12	10.5%	10	12.5%	18	22.0%	64	12.8%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
THE MAJORITY OF THE STUDENTS AT	No Response	1	.4%	3	2.6%					4	.8%
COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF	Strongly Disagree	15	6.7%	5	4.4%	1	1.3%	6	7.3%	27	5.4%
PHILADELPHIA ARE ENROLLED IN DEVELOPMENTAL COURSES OR	Disagree	109	48.7%	59	51.8%	42	52.5%	47	57.3%	257	51.4%
THOSE BELOW COLLEGE LEVEL WORK	Neither	31	13.8%	19	16.7%	17	21.3%	4	4.9%	71	14.2%
	Agree	64	28.6%	25	21.9%	17	21.3%	23	28.0%	129	25.8%
	Strongly Agree	4	1.8%	3	2.6%	3	3.8%	2	2.4%	12	2.4%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
THE STUDENT POPULATION AT	No Response			3	2.6%					3	.6%
COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF	Strongly Disagree	4	1.8%	1	.9%					5	1.0%
PHILADELPHIA IS ETHNICALLY DIVERSE	Disagree	22	9.8%	7	6.1%	3	3.8%	7	8.5%	39	7.8%
DIVERGE	Neither	20	8.9%	15	13.2%	7	8.8%	4	4.9%	46	9.2%
	Agree	149	66.5%	77	67.5%	58	72.5%	56	68.3%	340	68.0%
	Strongly Agree	29	12.9%	11	9.6%	12	15.0%	15	18.3%	67	13.4%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
I WOULD NOT ATTEND THE SPRING	No Response			2	1.8%					2	.4%
GARDEN CAMPUS (CITY CENTER) OF	Strongly Disagree	48	21.4%	15	13.2%	16	20.0%	20	24.4%	99	19.8%
COMMUNITY COLLÈGE OF PHILADELPHIA BECAUSE CITY CENTER	Disagree	140	62.5%	69	60.5%	58	72.5%	53	64.6%	320	64.0%
IS NOT SAFE	Neither	9	4.0%	6	5.3%	1	1.3%	1	1.2%	17	3.4%
	Agree	25	11.2%	18	15.8%	4	5.0%	5	6.1%	52	10.4%
	Strongly Agree	2	.9%	4	3.5%	1	1.3%	3	3.7%	10	2.0%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%

TABLE 4. Attitudes Toward Community College Of Philadelphia By Service Area

		T			SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF	No Response			2	1.8%					2	.4%
PHILADELPHIA HAS KEPT UP WITH THE LATEST TECHNOLOGY AND IS ON THE	Strongly Disagree	2	.9%					1	1.2%	3	.6%
CUTTING EDGE OF COURSE DELIVERY	Disagree	18	8.0%	10	8.8%	8	10.0%	4	4.9%	40	8.0%
COTTING EDGE OF GOOTIGE DELIVERY	Neither	49	21.9%	32	28.1%	20	25.0%	18	22.0%	119	23.8%
	Agree	146	65.2%	65	57.0%	47	58.8%	54	65.9%	312	62.4%
	Strongly Agree	9	4.0%	5	4.4%	5	6.3%	5	6.1%	24	4.8%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
I HAVE ATTENDED CLASSES AT	No Response			2	1.8%					2	.4%
COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF	Strongly Disagree	23	10.3%	14	12.3%	8	10.0%	5	6.1%	50	10.0%
PHILADELPHIA	Disagree	133	59.4%	65	57.0%	41	51.3%	49	59.8%	288	57.6%
	Neither	2	.9%			11	13.8%			13	2.6%
	Agree	54	24.1%	24	21.1%	15	18.8%	24	29.3%	117	23.4%
	Strongly Agree	12	5.4%	9	7.9%	5	6.3%	4	4.9%	30	6.0%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
I WOULD ATTEND COMMUNITY	No Response	2	.9%	2	1.8%	1	1.3%			5	1.0%
COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA IF IT	Strongly Disagree	7	3.1%	9	7.9%	3	3.8%	2	2.4%	21	4.2%
OFFERED ACCLERATED PROGRAMS AND COURSES	Disagree	74	33.0%	51	44.7%	19	23.8%	17	20.7%	161	32.2%
AND COCKCEO	Neither	2	.9%	7	6.1%	10	12.5%	1	1.2%	20	4.0%
	Agree	127	56.7%	42	36.8%	40	50.0%	54	65.9%	263	52.6%
	Strongly Agree	12	5.4%	3	2.6%	7	8.8%	8	9.8%	30	6.0%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF	No Response	1	.4%	2	1.8%					3	.6%
PHILADELPHIA PERSONNEL ARE	Strongly Disagree	3	1.3%	2	1.8%			1	1.2%	6	1.2%
FRIENDLY AND MEET INDIVIDUAL STUDENTS' NEEDS	Disagree	14	6.3%	5	4.4%	2	2.5%	3	3.7%	24	4.8%
CTOBERTO NEEDO	Neither	64	28.6%	32	28.1%	17	21.3%	19	23.2%	132	26.4%
	Agree	131	58.5%	68	59.6%	54	67.5%	52	63.4%	305	61.0%
	Strongly Agree	11	4.9%	5	4.4%	7	8.8%	7	8.5%	30	6.0%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
AT THE COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF	No Response			2	1.8%					2	.4%
PHILADELPHIA, THEY TEACH THE	Strongly Disagree	1	.4%	1	.9%					2	.4%
KINDS OF SKILLS NEEDED TO GET A JOB IN MY COMMUNITY	Disagree	14	6.3%	5	4.4%	3	3.8%	6	7.3%	28	5.6%
COD II I II I COMMONTI I	Neither	25	11.2%	14	12.3%	4	5.0%	6	7.3%	49	9.8%
	Agree	171	76.3%	89	78.1%	65	81.3%	65	79.3%	390	78.0%
	Strongly Agree	13	5.8%	3	2.6%	8	10.0%	5	6.1%	29	5.8%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%

TABLE 4. Attitudes Toward Community College Of Philadelphia By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF	No Response			2	1.8%					2	.4%
PHILADELPHIA KEEPS ITS COURSES AND CLASSES UP-TO-DATE	Strongly Disagree	1	.4%							1	.2%
AND CLASSES UP-TO-DATE	Disagree	11	4.9%	4	3.5%	1	1.3%	1	1.2%	17	3.4%
	Neither	41	18.3%	20	17.5%	10	12.5%	11	13.4%	82	16.4%
	Agree	156	69.6%	83	72.8%	61	76.3%	64	78.0%	364	72.8%
	Strongly Agree	15	6.7%	5	4.4%	8	10.0%	6	7.3%	34	6.8%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
THE FACULTY TEACHING AT THE	No Response	1	.4%	2	1.8%					3	.6%
COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF	Strongly Disagree	1	.4%	1	.9%					2	.4%
PHILADELPHIA HAS ADVANCED DEGREES AND IS CURRENT IN THEIR	Disagree	11	4.9%	8	7.0%	2	2.5%	2	2.4%	23	4.6%
FIELD	Neither	59	26.3%	30	26.3%	13	16.3%	16	19.5%	118	23.6%
	Agree	144	64.3%	68	59.6%	61	76.3%	58	70.7%	331	66.2%
	Strongly Agree	8	3.6%	5	4.4%	4	5.0%	6	7.3%	23	4.6%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
I BELIEVE THAT COMMUNITY COLLEGE	No Response	1	.4%	2	1.8%					3	.6%
OF PHILADELPHIA IS PROVIDING SUCH A NEEDED EDUCATIONAL SERVICE IN	Strongly Disagree	1	.4%	1	.9%					2	.4%
THE COMMUNITY THAT IF THEY ASKED	Disagree	33	14.7%	19	16.7%	9	11.3%	10	12.2%	71	14.2%
ME FOR SUPPORT, I WOULD AGREE	Neither	9	4.0%	10	8.8%	1	1.3%	1	1.2%	21	4.2%
	Agree	169	75.4%	77	67.5%	64	80.0%	66	80.5%	376	75.2%
	Strongly Agree	11	4.9%	5	4.4%	6	7.5%	5	6.1%	27	5.4%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
I HAVE BEEN ON THE CAMPUS OF	No Response			2	1.8%					2	.4%
COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA FOR EVENTS OR	Strongly Disagree	19	8.5%	15	13.2%	10	12.5%	3	3.7%	47	9.4%
PROGRAMS IN THE LAST TWO YEARS	Disagree	138	61.6%	70	61.4%	41	51.3%	47	57.3%	296	59.2%
	Neither	3	1.3%	1	.9%	10	12.5%	1	1.2%	15	3.0%
	Agree	54	24.1%	22	19.3%	15	18.8%	26	31.7%	117	23.4%
	Strongly Agree	10	4.5%	4	3.5%	4	5.0%	5	6.1%	23	4.6%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%

TABLE 4. Attitudes Toward Community College Of Philadelphia By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional enter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
EVENT ATTENDED	A FRIEND'S GRADUATION				001,10	1	5.3%		00.70	1	.7%
	A HURRICANE RELIEF PROGRAM	1	1.6%							1	.7%
	AFTERNOON SEMINARS	1	1.6%							1	.7%
	ART SHOW							1	3.2%	1	.7%
	ART SHOW AND BOOK SHOW							1	3.2%	1	.7%
	ART/ PLAY					1	5.3%			1	.7%
	ATTEND COLLEGE THERE					1	5.3%			1	.7%
	ATTENDED AN OPEN HOUSE							1	3.2%	1	.7%
	ATTENDED CLASSES	1	1.6%							1	.7%
	ATTENDED SOMETHING WITH MY NIECE/ THINK IT WAS A LECTURE					1	5.3%			1	.7%
	AWARD CEREMONY							1	3.2%	1	.79
	AWARDS	1	1.6%							1	.79
	BASIC INFORMATION SEMINAR					1	5.3%			1	.79
	BASKETBALL	1	1.6%							1	.7'
	BASKETBALL GAME AND COLLEGE FAIR	1	1.6%							1	.79
	BEHAVIORAL PROGRAM/ HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES	1	1.6%							1	.79
	BLACK STUDENT ASSOCIATION EVENT					1	5.3%			1	.7°
	CAN'T REMEMBER			1	3.8%			1	3.2%	2	1.4
	CAREER EVENT	1	1.6%							1	.7'
	CHILDREN DANCE RECITALS	1	1.6%							1	.7'
	CLASS	1	1.6%							1	.7'
	CLASS FOR MY JOB/ CAREER DEVELOPING	1	1.6%							1	.79
	CLASS/ BLACK HISTORY							1	3.2%	1	.79
	CLASSES			1	3.8%					1	.7'
	COLLEGE FAIR	1	1.6%							1	.7'
	COLLEGE FAIR ON MAIN CAMPUS	1	1.6%							1	.7
	COLLEGE WORKSHOP/ FINANCIAL AID WORKSHOP/ COUNSELING WORKSHO							1	3.2%	1	.7
	COMPUTER SCIENCE							1	3.2%	1	.79
	CONFERENCE	1	1.6%							1	.7'
	CONFERENCE FOR WORK	1	1.6%							1	.79
	COUNSELING MEETING					1	5.3%			1	.7

TABLE 4. Attitudes Toward Community College Of Philadelphia By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional		t Regional Inter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
VENT ATTENDED	COURSES	1	1.6%							1	.7%
	COUSIN'S GRADUATION	1	1.6%							1	.7%
	CULTURE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY	1	1.6%							1	.7%
	DAY CARE TRAINING			1	3.8%					1	.7%
	DELIVERED TROPHIES/ DON'T KNOW WHAT EVENT IT WAS			1	3.8%					1	.7%
	DIPLOMA					1	5.3%			1	.79
	DON'T KNOW	2	3.1%					1	3.2%	3	2.19
	DON'T KNOW/ I'VE HAD A STROKE							1	3.2%	1	.7%
	DON'T REMEMBER	2	3.1%							2	1.49
	DRILL TEAM APPLICATION FOR DRUM CORPS	1	1.6%							1	.79
	DRIVER'S COURSE			1	3.8%					1	.79
	DRIVER'S EDUCATION			1	3.8%					1	.7'
	EDUCATIONAL EVENT FOR MY GRANDDAUGHTER					1	5.3%			1	.7
	EDUCATIONAL EVENT WITH THE BOARD OF EDUCATION	1	1.6%							1	.7
	ENGLISH AS A SECOND LANGUAGE	1	1.6%							1	.7
	FUNDRAISER	1	1.6%							1	.7
	FUNDRAISER FOR A CANCER VICTIM			1	3.8%					1	.7
	GED PROGRAM	1	1.6%							1	.7
	GENEALOGY SOCIETY MEETING							1	3.2%	1	.7
	GETTING INFORMATION	1	1.6%							1	.7
	GRADUATION	6	9.4%	1	3.8%					7	5.0
	GRADUATION PROGRAM	1	1.6%							1	.7
	GRADUATION/ IN-SERVICE FOR NURSES			1	3.8%					1	.7
	HIGH SCHOOL FAIR	1	1.6%							1	.7'
	HISTORY COURSE	1	1.6%							1	.7
	I CAN'T REMEMBER	1	1.6%							1	.7
	I DON'T REMEMBER							1	3.2%	1	.7
	I PASS THERE EVERY DAY FROM WORK	1	1.6%							1	.7
	I TOOK A SIGN LANGUAGE CLASS	1	1.6%							1	.7
	I WALK THROUGH THERE A LOT					1	5.3%			1	.7

TABLE 4. Attitudes Toward Community College Of Philadelphia By Service Area

		SERVICE AREA Northeast Regional Northwest Regional									otal
	•	Spring	Garden		t Regional		t Regional	West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
EVENT ATTENDED	I WAS THERE ON BUSINESS	1	1.6%							1	.7%
	I WENT TO SCHOOL THERE/ MARTIN LUTHER KING EVENT			1	3.8%					1	.7%
	I WORK THERE							1	3.2%	1	.7%
	INDIAN SAND ART					1	5.3%			1	.7%
	JOB FAIR	1	1.6%	1	3.8%			1	3.2%	3	2.1%
	JOB FAIR AND FUNDRAISER	1	1.6%							1	.7%
	JOB FAIR FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT OF PHILADELPHIA			1	3.8%					1	.7%
	JOB PLACEMENT SEMINAR	1	1.6%							1	.7%
	JUST WENT							1	3.2%	1	.7%
	LANGUAGE ARTS	1	1.6%							1	.7%
	LECTURE	1	1.6%	2	7.7%					3	2.1%
	LOOKING AROUND			1	3.8%					1	.7%
	LOOKING AT SCHEDULES AND SAW A DANCE PROGRAM	1	1.6%							1	.7%
	MARTIN LUTHER KING DAY					1	5.3%			1	.7%
	MEETING FOR NURSING PROGRAM							1	3.2%	1	.7%
	MOM TEACHES THERE AND I GO THERE A LOT							1	3.2%	1	.7%
	MY DAUGHTER'S ENROLLMENT							1	3.2%	1	.7%
	MY DAUGHTER GRADUATED FROM THERE					1	5.3%			1	.7%
	MY WIFE WAS ENROLLED AND I ATTENDED A RECEPTION FOR AN ART S							1	3.2%	1	.7%
	NO RESPONSE	2	3.1%							2	1.4%
	NONPROFIT MANAGEMENT COURSE	1	1.6%							1	.7%
	NURSE IN-SERVICE							1	3.2%	1	.7%
	OPEN HOUSE			4	15.4%	1	5.3%	3	9.7%	8	5.7%
	OPEN HOUSE FOR HIGH SCHOOL SENIORS	1	1.6%							1	.7%
	OPEN HOUSE FOR STUDENTS	1	1.6%							1	.7%
	OPEN HOUSE TO ENROLL PEOPLE							1	3.2%	1	.7%
	OPEN HOUSE/ MULTICULTURAL	1	1.6%							1	.7%
	ORIENTATION FOR NURSING PROGRAM	1	1.6%							1	.7%
	PENNSYLVANIA HELD DANCE CONTEST					1	5.3%			1	.7%

TABLE 4. Attitudes Toward Community College Of Philadelphia By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
EVENT ATTENDED	PREP COURSE FOR TEACHER CERTIFICATION							1	3.2%	1	.7%
	RELATIONSHIP COURSE							1	3.2%	1	.7%
	SEMINAR FOR JOB			1	3.8%					1	.7%
	SEVERAL THINGS/ PARADE/ PICKING UP FRIENDS	1	1.6%							1	.7%
	SIGN MY SON UP			1	3.8%					1	.7%
	SISTER TO SISTER							1	3.2%	1	.7%
	SON ATTENDED AND I WENT TO OPEN HOUSE							1	3.2%	1	.7%
	SPORTING EVENT							1	3.2%	1	.7%
	SPORTING EVENT/ BASEBALL					1	5.3%			1	.7%
	STUDENT DAY	1	1.6%							1	.7%
	SUMMER COURSES			1	3.8%					1	.7%
	SUMMER SCHOOL	1	1.6%							1	.7%
	TAKEN KIDS TO CHECK IT OUT	1	1.6%							1	.7%
	TAKING COURSES			1	3.8%					1	.7%
	TO GET INFORMATION ON CLASS FOR MY DAUGHTER/ I CALLED FIVE T	1	1.6%							1	.7%
	TOOK A COMPUTER CLASS	1	1.6%							1	.7%
	TOOK CLASSES			1	3.8%					1	.7%
	TOOK COURSE IN MATH AND ENGLISH					1	5.3%			1	.7%
	TOOK NURSING CLASS THERE							1	3.2%	1	.7%
	TRAINING CLASS	1	1.6%							1	.7%
	TRIO FUNDED PROGRAM			1	3.8%					1	.7%
	UPWARD BOUND PROGRAM					1	5.3%	1		1	.7%
	VISIT FOR COLLEGE PREP	1	1.6%					1		1	.7%
	WALK BY THE CAMPUS ON MY WAY TO ST. PATTY'S PARADE			1	3.8%					1	.7%
	WENT THERE TO TAKE A TEST	1	1.6%							1	.7%
	WENT TO CULTURAL EVENT/ BOOK FAIR/ MARTIN LUTHER KING DAY	1	1.6%							1	.7%
	WENT TO SCHOOL WITH SISTER TO SEE WHAT IT'S LIKE					1	5.3%			1	.7%
	WORKSHOP FOR IMMIGRATION							1	3.2%	1	.7%
Total	•	64	100.0%	26	100.0%	19	100.0%	31	100.0%	140	100.0%

TABLE 5. Media Recall For Community College Of Philadelphia By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				T	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
BILLBOARDS	Yes	81	36.2%	27	23.7%	30	37.5%	25	30.5%	163	32.6%
	No	142	63.4%	86	75.4%	48	60.0%	55	67.1%	331	66.2%
	Don't Know	1	.4%	1	.9%	2	2.5%	2	2.4%	6	1.2%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
BROCHURES	Yes	118	52.7%	56	49.1%	49	61.3%	49	59.8%	272	54.4%
	No	105	46.9%	58	50.9%	31	38.8%	33	40.2%	227	45.4%
	Don't Know	1	.4%							1	.2%
Total	•	224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
COURSE	No Response							1	1.2%	1	.2%
SCHEDULE	Yes	87	38.8%	49	43.0%	41	51.3%	47	57.3%	224	44.8%
	No	134	59.8%	65	57.0%	38	47.5%	33	40.2%	270	54.0%
	Don't Know	3	1.3%			1	1.3%	1	1.2%	5	1.0%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
BUS ADS OR	Yes	102	45.5%	30	26.3%	43	53.8%	40	48.8%	215	43.0%
TRANSIT ADS	No	119	53.1%	83	72.8%	36	45.0%	40	48.8%	278	55.6%
	Don't Know	3	1.3%	1	.9%	1	1.3%	2	2.4%	7	1.4%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
HOME	No Response	1	.4%							1	.2%
MAILINGS	Yes	137	61.2%	72	63.2%	54	67.5%	59	72.0%	322	64.4%
	No	86	38.4%	42	36.8%	25	31.3%	23	28.0%	176	35.2%
	Don't Know					1	1.3%	_		1	.2%
Total	•	224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
NEWSPAPER	No Response	1	.4%					-		1	.2%
ADS	Yes	126	56.3%	58	50.9%	49	61.3%	44	53.7%	277	55.4%
	No	93	41.5%	56	49.1%	27	33.8%	37	45.1%	213	42.6%
	Don't Know	4	1.8%			4	5.0%	1	1.2%	9	1.8%
Total	!	224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
NEWSPAPER	No Response	1	.4%							1	.2%
ARTICLES	Yes	83	37.1%	33	28.9%	36	45.0%	32	39.0%	184	36.8%
	No	138	61.6%	80	70.2%	40	50.0%	49	59.8%	307	61.4%
	Don't Know	2	.9%	1	.9%	4	5.0%	1	1.2%	8	1.6%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
RADIO ADS	No Response	1	.4%							1	.2%
	Yes	76	33.9%	44	38.6%	26	32.5%	39	47.6%	185	37.0%
	No	145	64.7%	69	60.5%	52	65.0%	42	51.2%	308	61.6%
	Don't Know	2	.9%	1	.9%	2	2.5%	1	1.2%	6	1.2%
Total	-	224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%

TABLE 5. Media Recall For Community College Of Philadelphia By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
TELEVISION	No Response	1	.4%							1	.2%
COMMERCIALS	Yes	93	41.5%	41	36.0%	38	47.5%	36	43.9%	208	41.6%
	No	129	57.6%	72	63.2%	40	50.0%	44	53.7%	285	57.0%
	Don't Know	1	.4%	1	.9%	2	2.5%	2	2.4%	6	1.2%
Total	•	224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
TV NEWS	No Response	1	.4%							1	.2%
STORY	Yes	42	18.8%	22	19.3%	28	35.0%	19	23.2%	111	22.2%
	No	179	79.9%	92	80.7%	47	58.8%	61	74.4%	379	75.8%
	Don't Know	2	.9%			5	6.3%	2	2.4%	9	1.8%
Total	•	224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
VISITED WEB	No Response	2	.9%							2	.4%
SITE	Yes	49	21.9%	22	19.3%	16	20.0%	16	19.5%	103	20.6%
	No	173	77.2%	92	80.7%	63	78.8%	66	80.5%	394	78.8%
	Don't Know					1	1.3%			1	.2%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
OTHER MEDIA	No Response	1	.4%							1	.2%
INFORMATION	Yes	74	33.0%	34	29.8%	21	26.3%	29	35.4%	158	31.6%
SOURCE	No	149	66.5%	80	70.2%	59	73.8%	53	64.6%	341	68.2%
Total	•	224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%

TABLE 5. Media Recall For Community College Of Philadelphia By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
OTHER MEDIA	A FRIEND GOES THERE					1	4.8%			1	.69
NFORMATION SOURCE CITED	A NEIGHBOR	1	1.4%							1	.69
SOURCE CITED	ADVERTISING STREET FLAG			1	2.9%					1	.69
	ANOTHER PERSON	1	1.4%							1	.69
	AT WORK							1	3.4%	1	.69
	BOYFRIEND AND FRIENDS			1	2.9%					1	.69
	BY PHONE			1	2.9%					1	.69
	CALL FOR A CATALOG	1	1.4%							1	.69
	CATALOG							1	3.4%	1	.69
	CLASSES ON INTERNET	1	1.4%							1	.69
	COLLEGE FAIR	1	1.4%							1	.69
	COMMUNITY ACTIVITY BOOTH							1	3.4%	1	.69
	COUNSELOR	1	1.4%							1	.60
	COURSE CATALOG	1	1.4%							1	.60
	DIRECTORIES THAT EVALUATE COLLEGES	1	1.4%							1	.6
	DROVE PAST IT			1	2.9%					1	.6
	E-MAIL	1	1.4%							1	.6
	FAMILY MEMBERS			1	2.9%	1	4.8%	1	3.4%	3	1.9
	FIRST HAND EXPERIENCE			1	2.9%					1	.6
	FLAGS ON POLES			1	2.9%					1	.6
	FORMER STUDENT							1	3.4%	1	.6
	FRIEND'S TELEPHONE							1	3.4%	1	.6
	FRIENDS	8	10.8%	1	2.9%	3	14.3%	1	3.4%	13	8.2°
	FROM CHILDREN AND FAMILY	1	1.4%							1	.69
	FROM MY CHILDREN							1	3.4%	1	.6
	FROM MY GRANDDAUGHTER	1	1.4%							1	.6
	FROM OTHER PEOPLE/ GO TO CAMPUS	1	1.4%							1	.6
	FROM STUDENTS	1	1.4%							1	.60
	GRANDCHILD	1	1.4%							1	.60
	GRANDCHILD GOES THERE	1	1.4%							1	.6
	GRANDSON	1	1.4%							1	.6
	HEARD ABOUT IT BY FAMILY MEMBER AND A NEIGHBOR WHO GOES THER			1	2.9%					1	.6
	HEARD THE NAME IS ALL/ DON'T KNOW			1	2.9%					1	.6
	I'VE GONE BY THE COLLEGE AND NOTICED THEY HAVE LOTS OF STUDE	1	1.4%							1	.6

TABLE 5. Media Recall For Community College Of Philadelphia By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional enter		st Regional enter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
OTHER MEDIA INFORMATION	I DRIVE BY IT/ WORD OF MOUTH							1	3.4%	1	.6%
SOURCE CITED	I DRIVE PAST IT A LOT	1	1.4%							1	.6%
	I GO BY IT							1	3.4%	1	.6%
	I KNOW IT'S THERE			1	2.9%					1	.6%
	I KNOW OF SOME OF THE PROFESSORS	1	1.4%							1	.6%
	I KNOW SEVERAL WHO ATTEND AND TEACH					1	4.8%			1	.6%
	I KNOW YOUNG PEOPLE THAT ATTEND AND TALK ABOUT IT							1	3.4%	1	.6%
	IN THE SCHOOLS	1	1.4%							1	.6%
	INTERNET	1	1.4%					1	3.4%	2	1.3%
	JOB	1	1.4%							1	.6%
	JOB FAIR	1	1.4%							1	.6%
	JOB SITE	1	1.4%							1	.6%
	JUST WORD OF MOUTH			1	2.9%					1	.6%
	LIBRARY							1	3.4%	1	.6%
	LIVE NEAR THE CAMPUS	1	1.4%							1	.6%
	MAGAZINES	1	1.4%			1	4.8%			2	1.3%
	MY DAUGHTER	3	4.1%					1	3.4%	4	2.5%
	MY DAUGHTER KEEPS ME VERY WELL INFORMED ABOUT IT					1	4.8%			1	.6%
	MY FRIENDS	1	1.4%							1	.6%
	MY NIECE GOES THERE		,	1	2.9%					1	.6%
	MY SON ATTENDS AND COUSIN							1	3.4%	1	.6%
	NEIGHBOR GOES THERE			1	2.9%					1	.6%
	NEIGHBOR WORKS ON FACULTY	1	1.4%							1	.6%
	NEIGHBORHOOD CHILDREN GO TO CCP AND I HEAR PARENTS TALKING A			1	2.9%					1	.6%
	NEPHEW RECEIVED PAMPHLET							1	3.4%	1	.6%
	OPEN HOUSE			1	2.9%					1	.6%
	OTHER PEOPLE	1	1.4%							1	.6%
	OTHER PEOPLE WHO ATTENDED							1	3.4%	1	.6%
	OVER INTERNET/ POP-UPS/ PHONE CALL	1	1.4%							1	.6%
	PEOPLE					1	4.8%			1	.6%
	PEOPLE I EMPLOY			1	2.9%			İ		1	.6%

TABLE 5. Media Recall For Community College Of Philadelphia By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
OTHER MEDIA	PEOPLE I HAVE TALKED TO			1	2.9%					1	.6%
INFORMATION SOURCE CITED	PEOPLE TALKING ABOUT KIDS GOING THERE			1	2.9%					1	.6%
	PEOPLE WHO HAVE ATTENDED							1	3.4%	1	.6%
	PHILADELPHIA COLLEGE MAGAZINE/ PHONE BOOK							1	3.4%	1	.6%
	PORT RICHMOND AND OTHER NEWSPAPERS	1	1.4%							1	.6%
	PRESENTATION	1	1.4%							1	.6%
	RECOMMENDATIONS	1	1.4%							1	.6%
	RELATIVE GOES THERE	1	1.4%							1	.6%
	REPUTATION OF THE COLLEGE	1	1.4%							1	.6%
	SENIOR CITIZEN CENTER			1	2.9%					1	.6%
	SHOWING OF BANNERS			1	2.9%					1	.6%
	SOME OF MY DAUGHTER'S FRIENDS ATTEND			1	2.9%					1	.6%
	STUDENTS	3	4.1%	1	2.9%					4	2.5%
	TALKING TO FRIENDS	1	1.4%							1	.6%
	TALKING TO FRIENDS WHO TEACH THERE							1	3.4%	1	.6%
	TALKING TO PEOPLE I KNOW	1	1.4%							1	.6%
	THROUGH FRIENDS	1	1.4%	1	2.9%	1	4.8%			3	1.9%
	THROUGH HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS	1	1.4%							1	.6%
	USE THE INTERNET					1	4.8%			1	.6%
	VERBALLY			1	2.9%					1	.6%
	VISIT THE COLLEGE							1	3.4%	1	.6%
	VISIT WITH PEOPLE					1	4.8%			1	.6%
	VISITED THE CAMPUS			1	2.9%					1	.6%
	VISITED THE COLLEGE	1	1.4%							1	.6%
	WALK-IN							1	3.4%	1	.6%
	WEB SITE					1	4.8%			1	.6%
	WORD OF MOUTH	20	27.0%	7	20.6%	8	38.1%	6	20.7%	41	25.9%
	WORD OF MOUTH/ INTERNET							1	3.4%	1	.6%
	WORK/ INTERNET			1	2.9%					1	.6%
Total		74	100.0%	34	100.0%	21	100.0%	29	100.0%	158	100.0%

TABLE 6. Market Segments For Community College Of Philadelphia By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		st Regional enter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
INTEREST IN ATTENDING	Yes	111	49.6%	41	36.0%	29	36.3%	44	53.7%	225	45.0%
COLLEGE OR JOB TRAINING	No	111	49.6%	72	63.2%	51	63.8%	38	46.3%	272	54.4%
TRAINING	Unsure	2	.9%	1	.9%					3	.6%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%

TABLE 6. Market Segments For Community College Of Philadelphia By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	ional Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
INTEREST IN ATTENDING	Yes	80	72.1%	30	73.2%	23	79.3%	30	68.2%	163	72.4%
COLLEGE	No	31	27.9%	11	26.8%	6	20.7%	14	31.8%	62	27.6%
Total		111	100.0%	41	100.0%	29	100.0%	44	100.0%	225	100.0%
INTEREST IN JOB	Yes	31	27.9%	11	26.8%	6	20.7%	14	31.8%	62	27.6%
TRAINING	No	80	72.1%	30	73.2%	23	79.3%	30	68.2%	163	72.4%
Total		111	100.0%	41	100.0%	29	100.0%	44	100.0%	225	100.0%

Community College Of Philadelphia --- Copyright CLARUS Corporation 18 Jan 06

TABLE 6-A. Rationale For No Interest In Education Or Job Training By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional enter		t Regional nter	West Reg	ional Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
RATIONALE	63 YEARS OLD AND RETIRED					1	2.0%			1	.4%
FOR NO INTEREST IN	ABOUT TO RETIRE			1	1.4%					1	.4%
ENROLLING	AGE			1	1.4%					1	.4%
IN	AGE AND HEALTH	1	.9%							1	.4%
EDUCATION	AGE FACTOR	1	.9%							1	.4%
OR JOB TRAINING	AGE/ DID MY SHARE/ LET THE KIDS DO THEIRS	1	.9%							1	.4%
	AGE/ I HAVE DEGREE IN NURSING	1	.9%							1	.4%
	ALL DONE			1	1.4%					1	.4%

TABLE 6-A. Rationale For No Interest In Education Or Job Training By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	ital
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
RATIONALE	ALMOST DONE	1	.9%							1	.49
OR NO NTEREST IN	ALREADY BEEN THROUGH			1	1.4%					1	.49
ENROLLING	ALREADY DID			1	1.4%					1	.49
N	ALREADY DONE			2	2.8%					2	.79
DUCATION	ALREADY DONE THAT					1	2.0%			1	.49
OR JOB TRAINING	ALREADY HAVE A DEGREE			1	1.4%					1	.49
IKAINING	ALREADY HAVE COLLEGE DEGREE					1	2.0%			1	.49
	ALREADY HAVE COLLEGE DEGREE/ BACHELOR OF SCIENCE					1	2.0%			1	.4%
	ALREADY HAVE DEGREE	1	.9%							1	.49
	ALREADY HAVE FULL-TIME JOB							1	2.6%	1	.49
	ALREADY WENT TO COLLEGE			1	1.4%					1	.49
	ALWAYS HATED SCHOOL			1	1.4%					1	.49
	BASICALLY RETIRED					1	2.0%			1	.4
	BECAUSE I'M 65			1	1.4%					1	.4
	BECAUSE I'M A DOCTOR	1	.9%							1	.4
	BECAUSE I ALREADY HAVE A JOB	1	.9%							1	.49
	BECAUSE I ALREADY HAVE A MASTER'S DEGREE			1	1.4%					1	.49
	BECAUSE I JUST DON'T WANT TO					1	2.0%			1	.49
	BECAUSE OF MY AGE					1	2.0%			1	.4
	BEEN THERE/ DONE THAT	1	.9%							1	.4
	BUSY WORKING			1	1.4%					1	.4
	CAN'T AFFORD IT			1	1.4%					1	.4
	CAREGIVER			1	1.4%					1	.4
	COMPLETED EDUCATION	1	.9%							1	.4
	CURRENTLY PURSUING MY MASTER'S DEGREE					1	2.0%			1	.4
	DISABLED	1	.9%							1	.49
	DOING WELL WHERE I'M AT			1	1.4%					1	.4
	DON'T CARE TO FURTHER MY EDUCATION					1	2.0%			1	.4
	DON'T HAVE MONEY AND FACILITIES			1	1.4%					1	.4
	DON'T HAVE TIME			1	1.4%					1	.4
	DON'T WANT TOO MUCH WITH WORK	1	.9%							1	.4

TABLE 6-A. Rationale For No Interest In Education Or Job Training By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
RATIONALE FOR NO	EMPLOYED OVER 30 YEARS AND NOT INTERESTED			1	1.4%					1	.4%
INTEREST IN ENROLLING IN	GETTING READY TO RETIRE SO HAVE NO INTEREST IN GOING BACK TO					1	2.0%			1	.4%
EDUCATION OR JOB	GOT DEGREE			1	1.4%					1	.4%
TRAINING	HAPPY WITH MY CURRENT OCCUPATION			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	HAVE A DEGREE	1	.9%							1	.4%
	HAVE A JOB AND DEGREE			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	HAVE CAREER							1	2.6%	1	.4%
	HAVE DEGREES			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	HAVE TWO YOUNG KIDS			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	I'M 40 YEARS OLD/ I'M DONE WITH STUDYING	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I'M 46/ I'VE BEEN WORKING SINCE I WAS 14	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I'M 50							1	2.6%	1	.4%
	I'M 57			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	I'M 58/ ALREADY HAVE A DEGREE							1	2.6%	1	.4%
	I'M 64 AND DON'T FEEL I NEED IT AT THIS POINT			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	I'M 66 AND DON'T FEEL THE NEED TO GO TO SCHOOL	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I'M 69 YEARS OLD					1	2.0%			1	.4%
	I'M 70			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	I'M 70 YEARS OLD					1	2.0%			1	.4%
	I'M 70 YEARS OLD/ RETIRED					1	2.0%			1	.4%
	I'M 71 YEARS OLD							1	2.6%	1	.4%
	I'M 75	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I'M 75 AND NOT INCLINED TO			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	I'M 75 YEARS OLD	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I'M 77 YEARS OLD			1	1.4%			1	2.6%	2	.7%
	I'M 78							1	2.6%	1	.4%
	I'M 80 YEARS OLD	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I'M 89 YEARS OLD			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	I'M 90 YEARS OLD	1	.9%	1	1.4%					2	.7%
	I'M A BILL COLLECTOR					1	2.0%			1	.4%
	I'M A DOCTOR	1	.9%			1	2.0%			2	.7%
	I'M A SENIOR CITIZEN	1	.9%	1	1.4%	1	2.0%			3	1.1%

TABLE 6-A. Rationale For No Interest In Education Or Job Training By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden	Northeast Cer	: Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
RATIONALE FOR NO INTEREST IN	I'M A SENIOR CITIZEN AND WORKED HARD AND NOW RETIRED							1	2.6%	1	.4%
ENROLLING IN	I'M ABOUT TO HAVE A BABY	1	.9%							1	.4%
EDUCATION OR JOB	I'M ALL DONE/ BEEN THERE/ DONE THAT			1	1.4%					1	.4%
TRAINING	I'M ALMOST 50 AND I HAVE A GOOD JOB							1	2.6%	1	.49
	I'M ALMOST FINISHED WITH MY MASTER'S							1	2.6%	1	.49
	I'M ALREADY SET IN MY JOB			1	1.4%					1	.49
	I'M ALREADY TRAINED IN MY JOB AND TRAINING IF PROVIDED BY MY	1	.9%							1	.49
	I'M AN ATTORNEY	1	.9%							1	.49
	I'M AN RN			1	1.4%					1	.4
	I'M AT A PRETTY GOOD LEVEL RIGHT NOW			1	1.4%					1	.4
	I'M BASICALLY RETIRED							1	2.6%	1	.4
	I'M DISABLED							2	5.3%	2	.7
	I'M DISABLED/ I DON'T HAVE A CAR	1	.9%							1	.4'
	I'M EMPLOYED/ I HAVE AN ADVANCED DEGREE							1	2.6%	1	.4
	I'M FINISHING MY BSA THEN I'M DONE	1	.9%							1	.4
	I'M GETTING READY FOR RETIREMENT	1	.9%							1	.4
	I'M GETTING READY TO RETIRE			1	1.4%			1	2.6%	2	.7
	I'M GONNA BE 50 YEARS OLD/ I HAVE A CAREER			1	1.4%					1	.4
	I'M HAPPY WITH WHAT I'M DOING			1	1.4%					1	.4
	I'M IN A NEW JOB	1	.9%							1	.4
	I'M IN MY 60'S			1	1.4%					1	.4
	I'M IN MY 70'S	1	.9%							1	.4
	I'M IN MY 80'S AND DON'T EXPECT TO WORK AGAIN					1	2.0%			1	.4
	I'M NEARLY READY TO RETIRE	1	.9%							1	.4
	I'M NOT INTERESTED IN EDUCATION FOR MYSELF AT MY AGE			1	1.4%					1	.4
	I'M OVER 80	1	.9%					į į		1	.4

TABLE 6-A. Rationale For No Interest In Education Or Job Training By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	tal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
RATIONALE FOR NO	I'M RAISING MY KIDS RIGHT NOW	1	.9%							1	.4%
INTEREST IN	I'M RETIRED							1	2.6%	1	.49
ENROLLING IN	I'M SET IN MY CAREER			1	1.4%					1	.49
EDUCATION	I'M SET IN WHAT I'M DOING	1	.9%							1	.49
OR JOB	I'M SETTLED IN ON MY JOB							1	2.6%	1	.4
TRAINING	I'M TOO OLD	2	1.8%							2	.79
	I'M TOO OLD AND NOT INTERESTED			1	1.4%					1	.49
	I'M TOO OLD FOR THAT	1	.9%					1	2.6%	2	.79
	I'M TOO OLD NOW			1	1.4%					1	.4
	I'M WAY BEYOND THAT			1	1.4%					1	.4
	I'M WORKING TO PUT DAUGHTER THROUGH COLLEGE	1	.9%							1	.4
	I'VE ALREADY ACHIEVED MY DEGREE							1	2.6%	1	.4
	I'VE GOT A JOB WITH GOOD BENEFITS							1	2.6%	1	.4
	I'VE JUST FINISHED A CLASS IN COMPUTERS							1	2.6%	1	.4
	I ALREADY HAD A CAREER	1	.9%							1	.4
	I ALREADY HAVE A CAREER	1	.9%							1	.4
	I ALREADY HAVE A JOB			1	1.4%					1	.4
	I ALREADY HAVE A MASTER'S							1	2.6%	1	.4
	I AM ALREADY EMPLOYED AT A JOB I LIKE/ DON'T NEED IT	1	.9%							1	.4
	I AM DONE WITH SCHOOL	1	.9%							1	.4
	I AM EMPLOYED AND NOT INTERESTED IN SCHOOL	1	.9%							1	.4
	I AM GOING TO RETIRE	1	.9%							1	.4
	I AM HAPPY AND I HAVE A MASTER'S	1	.9%							1	.4
	I AM HAPPY WITH WHO I AM NOW/ I DON'T NEED IT	1	.9%							1	.4
	I AM NOT GOING BACK TO SCHOOL AT MY AGE	1	.9%							1	.4
	I AM ON DISABILITY	1	.9%							1	.4
	I AM OVER THE HILL	1	.9%							1	.4
	I AM RETIRED	4	3.7%							4	1.5
	I AM RETIRING	1	.9%							1	.4
	I AM TOO LAZY	1	.9%							1	.4

TABLE 6-A. Rationale For No Interest In Education Or Job Training By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
RATIONALE	I AM TOO OLD	1	.9%							1	.4%
FOR NO INTEREST IN	I BEEN THERE/ DONE THAT			1	1.4%					1	.4%
ENROLLING	I CAN'T AFFORD IT	1	.9%							1	.4%
IN EDUCATION	I DON'T HAVE ANYTHING I'M INTERESTED IN PURSUING					1	2.0%			1	.4%
OR JOB TRAINING	I DON'T NEED MORE COURSES/ I HAVE A JOB							1	2.6%	1	.4%
	I DON'T WANT TO	2	1.8%							2	.7%
	I DON'T WANT TO/ NOT INTERESTED	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I ENJOY WHAT I DO	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I FINISHED COLLEGE/ RETIRED FROM TEACHING					1	2.0%			1	.4%
	I GET ALL THE TRAINING I NEED AT WORK					1	2.0%			1	.4%
	I HAVE A CAREER/ I DON'T NEED IT			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	I HAVE A COLLEGE DEGREE	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I HAVE A DAUGHTER GETTING READY TO GO TO COLLEGE/ SHE'S FIRS	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I HAVE A GOOD JOB/ DON'T WANT TO	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I HAVE A JOB			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	I HAVE A MASTER'S	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I HAVE A MASTER'S IN NURSING					1	2.0%			1	.4%
	I HAVE A MASTER'S PROGRAM	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I HAVE A PH.D.	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I HAVE A PH.D./ I'M DONE							1	2.6%	1	.4%
	I HAVE CANCER/ I AM TOO OLD			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	I HAVE DONE IT ALREADY	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I HAVE MY OWN BUSINESS							1	2.6%	1	.4%
	I HAVE ONE IN COLLEGE AND I HAVE A DEGREE	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I HAVE PH.D./ RETIRED	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I HAVE TWO MASTER'S AND A DOCTORATE	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I HOPE TO RETIRE SOON	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I JUST DON'T WANT IT	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I JUST GRADUATED FROM MEDICAL SCHOOL	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I JUST HAD A BABY	1	.9%							1	.4%

TABLE 6-A. Rationale For No Interest In Education Or Job Training By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden	Northeast Cer		Northwest Cer		West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
RATIONALE	I JUST RETIRED			1	1.4%					1	.4%
FOR NO INTEREST IN	I LIKE MY JOB					1	2.0%			1	.4%
ENROLLING	I TRIED THE COLLEGE THING	1	.9%							1	.4%
IN EDUCATION	I WANT TO JUST ENJOY THE REST OF MY LIFE/ NO SCHOOL	1	.9%							1	.4%
OR JOB	I WILL BE RETIRING					1	2.0%			1	.4%
TRAINING	I WORK IN THE HIGH SCHOOLS	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I WORK NOW							1	2.6%	1	.4%
	I WOULD BE COLLEGE MATERIAL					1	2.0%			1	.4%
	IT'S JUST NOT MY THING	1	.9%							1	.4%
	IT'S TOO EXPENSIVE			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	JUST DON'T					1	2.0%			1	.4%
	JUST FINISHING	1	.9%							1	.4%
	LONG SINCE RETIRED					1	2.0%			1	.4%
	MONEY/ TIME	1	.9%							1	.4%
	MY AGE	1	.9%			1	2.0%	1	2.6%	3	1.1%
	MY HEALTH WON'T ALLOW IT					1	2.0%			1	.4%
	NEVER THOUGHT ABOUT IT					1	2.0%			1	.4%
	NO DESIRE/ 82 YEARS OLD							1	2.6%	1	.4%
	NO INTENTION OF CONTINUING EDUCATION					1	2.0%			1	.4%
	NO INTEREST			2	2.8%					2	.7%
	NO RESPONSE							1	2.6%	1	.4%
	NO SPECIFIC REASON					1	2.0%			1	.4%
	NOT AT 84							1	2.6%	1	.4%
	NOT GEARED TOWARD TRADE					1	2.0%			1	.4%
	NOT GOING BACK TO SCHOOL AGAIN					1	2.0%			1	.4%
	NOT IN PLANS NOW	1	.9%							1	.4%
	NOT INTERESTED	2	1.8%			1	2.0%			3	1.1%
	NOT INTERESTED AT ALL			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	NOT INTERESTED AT MY AGE	1	.9%							1	.4%
	ON DISABILITY	1	.9%					1	2.6%	2	.7%
	PAST THAT AGE	1	.9%							1	.4%
	PAST THE AGE OF GOING TO SCHOOL			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	PHYSICALLY I CAN'T			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	RECENT COLLEGE GRAD			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	RETIRED	10	9.2%	3	4.2%	8	15.7%	5	13.2%	26	9.6%
	RETIRING	1	.9%			1	2.0%			2	.7%

TABLE 6-A. Rationale For No Interest In Education Or Job Training By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
RATIONALE	RETIRING SOON							1	2.6%	1	.4%
FOR NO INTEREST IN ENROLLING	RIGHT NOW I HAVE FOUR CHILDREN AND NOT WHAT MY HUSBAND AND I							1	2.6%	1	.4%
IN EDUCATION	SATISFIED	1	.9%							1	.4%
OR JOB	SICK RIGHT NOW					1	2.0%			1	.4%
TRAINING	THINKING ABOUT DAUGHTER'S EDUCATION	1	.9%							1	.4%
	TOO BUSY/ FIVE BOYS/ GOING TO HOME SCHOOL THEM					1	2.0%			1	.4%
	TOO FAST PACED FOR ME					1	2.0%			1	.4%
	TOO MANY KIDS AT HOME	1	.9%							1	.4%
	TOO OLD	2	1.8%	10	13.9%	4	7.8%			16	5.9%
	TOO OLD AND DISABLED	1	.9%							1	.4%
	TOO OLD TO DO ANY FURTHER EDUCATION					1	2.0%			1	.4%
	TRYING TO RETIRE	1	.9%							1	.4%
	WAY PAST THAT ONE			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	WHY BOTHER/ I'M IN MY 50'S	1	.9%							1	.4%
	WORK FOR MYSELF/ I HAVE MY OWN BUSINESS	1	.9%							1	.4%
	WORKING	1	.9%							1	.4%
Total		109	100.0%	72	100.0%	51	100.0%	38	100.0%	270	100.0%

TABLE 7. College Enrollment Preferences Of Potential Students By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		st Regional enter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGE	No Interest	88	79.3%	31	75.6%	21	72.4%	33	75.0%	173	76.9%
COURSE	Interest	23	20.7%	10	24.4%	8	27.6%	11	25.0%	52	23.1%
Total		111	100.0%	41	100.0%	29	100.0%	44	100.0%	225	100.0%
COLLEGE	No Interest	54	48.6%	21	51.2%	14	48.3%	25	56.8%	114	50.7%
DEGREE	Interest	57	51.4%	20	48.8%	15	51.7%	19	43.2%	111	49.3%
Total		111	100.0%	41	100.0%	29	100.0%	44	100.0%	225	100.0%

TABLE 7. College Enrollment Preferences Of Potential Students By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				T	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		st Regional enter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
TYPE OF	Certificate	2	3.5%	1	5.0%	2	13.3%	2	10.5%	7	6.3%
COLLEGE DEGREE	Associate Degree	16	28.1%	3	15.0%	6	40.0%	4	21.1%	29	26.1%
DEGREE	Bachelor's Degree	19	33.3%	5	25.0%	2	13.3%	5	26.3%	31	27.9%
	Master's Degree	19	33.3%	10	50.0%	5	33.3%	8	42.1%	42	37.8%
	Doctoral Degree	1	1.8%	1	5.0%					2	1.8%
Total	•	57	100.0%	20	100.0%	15	100.0%	19	100.0%	111	100.0%

TABLE 7. College Enrollment Preferences Of Potential Students By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional enter		st Regional enter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
JOB TRAINING	No Interest	99	89.2%	38	92.7%	25	86.2%	37	84.1%	199	88.4%
	Interest	12	10.8%	3	7.3%	4	13.8%	7	15.9%	26	11.6%
Total		111	100.0%	41	100.0%	29	100.0%	44	100.0%	225	100.0%
CLASS TO IMPROVE	No Interest	107	96.4%	37	90.2%	27	93.1%	43	97.7%	214	95.1%
JOB SKILLS	Interest	4	3.6%	4	9.8%	2	6.9%	1	2.3%	11	4.9%
Total		111	100.0%	41	100.0%	29	100.0%	44	100.0%	225	100.0%
TRAINING FOR NEW	No Interest	96	86.5%	37	90.2%	29	100.0%	38	86.4%	200	88.9%
JOB	Interest	15	13.5%	4	9.8%			6	13.6%	25	11.1%
Total		111	100.0%	41	100.0%	29	100.0%	44	100.0%	225	100.0%

TABLE 7. College Enrollment Preferences Of Potential Students By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional		t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COURSE OR	ACCOUNTING	1	.9%	1	2.4%					2	.9%
PROGRAM AREA INTERESTED IN	ADMINISTRATION					1	3.4%			1	.4%
ENROLLING	ADVANCE IN FOOD TRAINING FIELD							1	2.3%	1	.4%
	ANTIQUING			1	2.4%					1	.4%
	ART AND ENGLISH			1	2.4%					1	.4%
	ART CLASS	1	.9%							1	.4%
	ART HISTORY			1	2.4%					1	.4%
	AUTO MECHANIC CLASS	1	.9%							1	.4%
	AUTOMOTIVE TECH			1	2.4%					1	.4%
	BEHAVIOR HEALTH COURSES					1	3.4%			1	.4%
	BIOMEDICAL	1	.9%							1	.4%
	BUSINESS	5	4.5%					2	4.5%	7	3.1%
	BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION	2	1.8%	1	2.4%					3	1.3%
	BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION/ MANAGEMENT	1	.9%							1	.4%
	BUSINESS CLASSES							1	2.3%	1	.4%
	BUSINESS EDUCATION							1	2.3%	1	.4%
	BUSINESS MANAGEMENT	3	2.7%					2	4.5%	5	2.2%
	BUSINESS/ MBA			1	2.4%					1	.4%
	BUSINESS/ REAL ESTATE			1	2.4%					1	.4%
	CAREER TEACHING	1	.9%							1	.4%
	CARPENTRY	1	.9%							1	.4%
	CHEMISTRY			1	2.4%					1	.4%
	CHILD CARE					1	3.4%			1	.4%
	CHILD DAY CARE	1	.9%							1	.4%
	CHILDHOOD EDUCATION			1	2.4%					1	.4%
	CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGY							1	2.3%	1	.4%
	CNA/ NURSE ASSISTANT							1	2.3%	1	.4%
	COMPUTER SCIENCE	1	.9%	1	2.4%					2	.9%
	COMPUTER TECHNOLOGY			1	2.4%					1	.4%
	COMPUTER TECHNOLOGY/ BUSINESS MANAGEMENT	1	.9%							1	.4%
	COMPUTERS	8	7.2%	3	7.3%					11	4.9%
	COMPUTERS OF BILLING TECH	1	.9%							1	.4%
	CONSTRUCTION BUSINESS/ INSTRUCTORS							1	2.3%	1	.4%
	CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT	1	.9%							1	.4%
	CONTINUING EDUCATION/ SECONDARY EDUCATION							1	2.3%	1	.4%
	COOKING	1	.9%							1	.4%

TABLE 7. College Enrollment Preferences Of Potential Students By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional		t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center		
	1	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COURSE OR	COSMETOLOGY	1	.9%							1	.49
PROGRAM AREA	COUNSELING PROGRAM	1	.9%							1	.49
INTERESTED IN ENROLLING	COUNSELOR/ PSYCHOLOGY							1	2.3%	1	.49
LINICOLLING	CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR OF CHILDREN/ PSYCHOLOGY	1	.9%							1	.4%
	CRIMINAL JUSTICE	1	.9%	1	2.4%					2	.9%
	CULINARY SCHOOL	1	.9%							1	.49
	DENTAL					1	3.4%			1	.49
	DENTAL HYGIENIST PROGRAM	1	.9%							1	.4
	DEVELOPMENT/ TEACHING							1	2.3%	1	.49
	DOCTORAL OF EDUCATION	1	.9%							1	.40
	DOESN'T MATTER	1	.9%							1	.40
	DON'T KNOW	1	.9%	1	2.4%	2	6.9%	2	4.5%	6	2.7
	EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION							1	2.3%	1	.49
	ECONOMICS	1	.9%							1	.4
	EDUCATION	7	6.3%	4	9.8%	1	3.4%			12	5.3
	EDUCATION ADMINISTRATION					1	3.4%			1	.4
	EDUCATIONAL TECHNOLOGY			1	2.4%					1	.4
	ELECTRICAL TECHNICIAN					1	3.4%			1	.4
	ELECTRONICS	1	.9%							1	.4
	ELEMENTARY EDUCATION			1	2.4%			1	2.3%	2	.9
	ENGINEERING					1	3.4%			1	.4
	ENGLISH	1	.9%							1	.4
	FASHION/ COMPUTERS/ BUSINESS	1	.9%							1	.4
	FILM PRODUCTION					1	3.4%			1	.4
	FINANCE AND INFORMATION							1	2.3%	1	.4
	FINE ARTS	1	.9%							1	.4
	FOREIGN LANGUAGE							1	2.3%	1	.4
	FUNERAL SERVICES	1	.9%							1	.4
	GED	1	.9%							1	.4
	GED/ NURSING							1	2.3%	1	.4
	GENERAL STUDENT					1	3.4%			1	.4
	GENERAL THEOLOGY/ HUMAN RESOURCES	1	.9%							1	.4
	GRAPHIC DESIGN							1	2.3%	1	.4
	HAIR DRESSING SCHOOL AND BUSINESS MANAGEMENT	1	.9%							1	.4
	HEALTH	1	.9%							1	.4
	HEALTH CARE CLASSES					1	3.4%			1	.4

TABLE 7. College Enrollment Preferences Of Potential Students By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	tal
		Spring	Garden	Northeas Ce	t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COURSE OR	HEAT/ REFRIGERATION	1	.9%							1	.49
PROGRAM AREA	HISTORY	1	.9%							1	.49
NTERESTED IN ENROLLING	HOSPITALITY			1	2.4%					1	.49
LITTOLLITO	HUMAN SERVICES	1	.9%							1	.49
	I DON'T KNOW	1	.9%							1	.4
	I TRY TO STAY CURRENT AND AM INTERESTED IN LEARNING SIGN LAN							1	2.3%	1	.49
	ILLUSTRATION PROGRAM	1	.9%							1	.49
	IMPROVE TEACHING SKILLS	1	.9%							1	.49
	INFORMATION SYSTEMS	1	.9%							1	.4
	INFORMATION TECH/ COMPUTERS							1	2.3%	1	.4
	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY					1	3.4%			1	.4
	JUVENILE JUSTICE							1	2.3%	1	.4
	LANGUAGES					1	3.4%			1	.4
	LAW	1	.9%					1	2.3%	2	.9
	LAW ENFORCEMENT	1	.9%							1	.4
	LIBERAL ARTS	1	.9%					1	2.3%	2	.9
	LINGUISTIC/ TRANSPORTATION OR COMMUNICATION					1	3.4%			1	.4
	LITERATURE	1	.9%							1	.4
	MANAGEMENT IN BUSINESS	1	.9%							1	.4
	MANAGEMENT/ EDITING					1	3.4%			1	.4
	MARKETING			1	2.4%					1	.4
	MARKETING/ COMMUNICATIONS			1	2.4%					1	.4
	MASTER'S IN BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION					1	3.4%			1	.4
	MASTER'S IN CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT OR BUSINESS ADMINISTRATI	1	.9%							1	.4
	MASTER'S IN SPECIAL EDUCATION			1	2.4%					1	.4
	MASTER OF SCIENCE IN NURSING			1	2.4%					1	.4
	MATH			1	2.4%					1	.4
	MBA OR LAW	1	.9%							1	.4
	MBA/ COMMUNICATION	1	.9%							1	.4
	MEDICAL	2	1.8%	1	2.4%					3	1.3
	MEDICAL BILLING	1	.9%							1	.4
	MEDICAL BILLING AND FILING	1	.9%							1	.4

TABLE 7. College Enrollment Preferences Of Potential Students By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	tal
	Ī	Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center		
	Ī	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COURSE OR	MEDICAL FIELD	2	1.8%					1	2.3%	3	1.3%
PROGRAM AREA INTERESTED IN ENROLLING	MEDICAL/ MEDICAID/ TRANSCRIPTION BY COMPUTER			1	2.4%					1	.4%
	MEDICAL/ NURSING							1	2.3%	1	.4%
	MEDICAL/ REGISTERED NURSE	1	.9%							1	.4%
	MEDICINE			1	2.4%					1	.4%
	MONEY MANAGEMENT CLASS							1	2.3%	1	.4%
	MUSIC MAJOR							1	2.3%	1	.4%
	NO RESPONSE	2	1.8%			1	3.4%	1	2.3%	4	1.8%
	NOT SURE	1	.9%							1	.4%
	NOT SURE YET/ POSSIBLY SOCIAL SERVICES					1	3.4%			1	.4%
	NURSING	11	9.9%	1	2.4%	3	10.3%	4	9.1%	19	8.4%
	NURSING ADMINISTRATION					1	3.4%			1	.4%
	NURSING/ REGISTERED NURSE	2	1.8%							2	.9%
	PARALEGAL	1	.9%							1	.4%
	PARALEGAL/ LAW SCHOOL	1	.9%							1	.4%
	PHARMACY							1	2.3%	1	.4%
	PHARMACY TECHNICIAN					1	3.4%			1	.4%
	PHYSICAL EDUCATION							1	2.3%	1	.4%
	POLITICAL SCIENCE	1	.9%	1	2.4%					2	.9%
	PSYCHOLOGY			1	2.4%			1	2.3%	2	.9%
	PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR			1	2.4%					1	.4%
	REAL ESTATE	1	.9%							1	.4%
	REAL ESTATE/ CHILD CARE							1	2.3%	1	.4%
	RN/ NURSING	1	.9%							1	.4%
	SCIENCE	1	.9%							1	.4%
	SIGN LANGUAGE	1	.9%							1	.4%
	SOCIAL SCIENCE							1	2.3%	1	.4%
	SOCIAL SERVICES	1	.9%							1	.4%
	SOCIAL WORK	2	1.8%					1	2.3%	3	1.3%
	SOCIOLOGY	1	.9%	1	2.4%	1	3.4%			3	1.3%
	SOME TYPE OF A TRADE			1	2.4%					1	.4%
	SOMETHING IN INFORMATICS	1	.9%							1	.4%
	SOMETHING IN TEACHING			1	2.4%					1	.4%
	SPANISH	1	.9%							1	.4%
	SPECIAL EDUCATION OR HIGHER LEARNING	1	.9%							1	.4%
	STATISTICS OR OPERATIONS RESEARCH							1	2.3%	1	.4%

TABLE 7. College Enrollment Preferences Of Potential Students By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COURSE OR	TEACHING	1	.9%	1	2.4%	1	3.4%	1	2.3%	4	1.8%
PROGRAM AREA INTERESTED IN	THEOLOGY							1	2.3%	1	.4%
ENROLLING	URBAN EDUCATION			1	2.4%					1	.4%
LITTOLLITO	UROLOGY					1	3.4%			1	.4%
	VETERINARIAN OR TECHNICIAN	1	.9%							1	.4%
	X-RAY/ ULTRASOUND					1	3.4%			1	.4%
Total	•	111	100.0%	41	100.0%	29	100.0%	44	100.0%	225	100.0%
COLLEGE MOST	No Response					1	3.4%			1	.4%
LIKELY TO ENROLL	Bucks County Community College			2	4.9%					2	.9%
	Cheyney University of Pennsylvania							1	2.3%	1	.4%
	Community College of Philadelphia	35	31.5%	7	17.1%	13	44.8%	11	25.0%	66	29.3%
	Drexel University	5	4.5%					3	6.8%	8	3.6%
	Holy Family University			3	7.3%					3	1.3%
	LaSalle University	4	3.6%	1	2.4%	1	3.4%			6	2.7%
	Peirce College	1	.9%							1	.4%
	Penn State	3	2.7%	2	4.9%			2	4.5%	7	3.1%
	Saint Joseph's University	2	1.8%	2	4.9%			1	2.3%	5	2.2%
	Temple University	20	18.0%	8	19.5%	9	31.0%	14	31.8%	51	22.7%
	University of Phoenix	1	.9%	1	2.4%			1	2.3%	3	1.3%
	University of Pennsylvania	9	8.1%	2	4.9%	1	3.4%	1	2.3%	13	5.8%
	Other	31	27.9%	13	31.7%	4	13.8%	10	22.7%	58	25.8%
Total		111	100.0%	41	100.0%	29	100.0%	44	100.0%	225	100.0%
COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF	Spring Garden (City Center) Campus	29	82.9%	1	14.3%	6	46.2%	7	63.6%	43	65.2%
PHILADELPHIA	Northeast Regional Center	4	11.4%	6	85.7%	3	23.1%			13	19.7%
LOCATION PREFERRED	Northwest Regional Center	1	2.9%			2	15.4%			3	4.5%
TILLILINILD	West Regional Center	1	2.9%					3	27.3%	4	6.1%
	Don't Know					2	15.4%	1	9.1%	3	4.5%
Total	•	35	100.0%	7	100.0%	13	100.0%	11	100.0%	66	100.0%

TABLE 7. College Enrollment Preferences Of Potential Students By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				Total	
		Spring	Garden		t Regional enter		t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
OTHER COLLEGE	ARCADIA UNIVERSITY	2	6.5%							2	3.4
MENTIONED	ASHWORTH COLLEGE			1	7.7%					1	1.7
	AT HOME PROFESSIONAL/ A COMPANY OUT OF COLORADO			1	7.7%					1	1.7
	BREANE INSTITUTE OF HAIR DESIGN	1	3.2%							1	1.7
	BRENNAN INSTITUTE	1	3.2%							1	1.7
	BROWN UNIVERSITY					1	25.0%			1	1.7
	BUSINESS SCHOOL							1	10.0%	1	1.7
	CAMDEN COUNTY COLLEGE	1	3.2%							1	1.7
	CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY							1	10.0%	1	1.7
	CITTONE	1	3.2%							1	1.7
	DON'T KNOW	5	16.1%	1	7.7%	1	25.0%	2	20.0%	9	15.5
	DUKE OR UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA	1	3.2%							1	1.7
	GOOD TRADE SCHOOL	1	3.2%							1	1.
	GRATZ COLLEGE			1	7.7%					1	1.7
	HAVEN'T DECIDED							1	10.0%	1	1.
	IMMACULATA UNIVERSITY	1	3.2%							1	1.7
	JEFFERSON NURSING SCHOOL/ PHILADELPHIA	1	3.2%							1	1.7
	LIBERTY UNIVERSITY/ LYNCHBURG, VIRGINIA			1	7.7%					1	1.7
	LINCOLN TECHNICAL INSTITUTE	1	3.2%	1	7.7%					2	3.4
	LINCOLN UNIVERSITY	1	3.2%							1	1.7
	MANOR COLLEGE			1	7.7%					1	1.7
	MONTGOMERY COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1	3.2%							1	1.7
	MOORE COLLEGE OF ART							1	10.0%	1	1.7
	NEWMAN UNIVERSITY	1	3.2%							1	1.7
	NOT SURE	1	3.2%	1	7.7%					2	3.4
	ONLINE	1	3.2%							1	1.7
	ONLINE SCHOOL			1	7.7%					1	1.7
	ONLINE/ DON'T KNOW	1	3.2%							1	1.7
	ORLEANS TECHNICAL INSTITUTE	1	3.2%							1	1.7
	OUT OF THE CITY/ NOT SURE			1	7.7%					1	1.7
	PHILADELPHIA COLLEGE OF OSTEOPATHIC MEDICINE							2	20.0%	2	3.4

TABLE 7. College Enrollment Preferences Of Potential Students By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				T	otal
		Spring	Spring Garden		t Regional enter		st Regional enter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
OTHER COLLEGE MENTIONED	RESTAURANT SCHOOL ON WALNUT STREET	1	3.2%							1	1.7%
	ST. CHARLES SEMINARY							1	10.0%	1	1.7%
	STRATFORD UNIVERSITY	1	3.2%							1	1.7%
	TECHNICAL COLLEGE IN NEW YORK					1	25.0%			1	1.7%
	THOMAS JEFFERSON UNIVERSITY			1	7.7%					1	1.7%
	TRADE SCHOOL	1	3.2%							1	1.7%
	UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI							1	10.0%	1	1.7%
	UNIVERSITY OF PHOENIX					1	25.0%			1	1.7%
	UNIVERSITY OF THE ARTS	1	3.2%							1	1.7%
	UNSURE	1	3.2%							1	1.7%
	WALDEN UNIVERSITY ONLINE	1	3.2%							1	1.7%
	WEST CHESTER UNIVERSITY	2	6.5%	1	7.7%					3	5.2%
	WIDENER UNIVERSITY			1	7.7%					1	1.7%
Total		31	100.0%	13	100.0%	4	100.0%	10	100.0%	58	100.0%

TABLE 7. College Enrollment Preferences Of Potential Students By Service Area

			SERVICE AREA								
		Spring	Garden		Northeast Regional Center		Northwest Regional Center		West Regional Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
REASONS FOR	AGE	1	1.3%							1	.6%
NOT ENROLLING AT	ALREADY ENROLLED THERE AND NOT VERY HAPPY			1	2.9%					1	.6%
COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	ALREADY GONE THERE/ TRANSFERRING TO NEW YORK					1	6.7%			1	.6%
T THE RELL THAT	ALREADY HAVE BACHELOR'S					1	6.7%			1	.6%
	BECAUSE HOLY FAMILY GIVES YOU MORE ATTENTION SO YOU CAN GET			1	2.9%					1	.6%
	BECAUSE I WORK FOR TEMPLE	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	BECAUSE OF THE PROGRAM WE HAVE IN OUR CHURCH							1	3.2%	1	.6%
	BUCKS COUNTY IS MORE CONVENIENT			1	2.9%					1	.6%
	CAN'T DO MASTER'S AT CCP			1	2.9%	·				1	.6%

TABLE 7. College Enrollment Preferences Of Potential Students By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				Total	
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		st Regional enter	West Regi	ional Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
REASONS FOR	CAN'T GET A MASTER'S THERE	1	1.3%							1	.6%
NOT ENROLLING AT	COURSE IS IN GRADUATE WORK			1	2.9%					1	.6%
COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	DIDN'T FINISH CLASSES AT CCP	1	1.3%							1	.6%
T THE RELL THIS	DIDN'T HAVE WHAT I NEEDED	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	DO ON MY OWN TIME/ THIS WAS PERFECT			1	2.9%					1	.6%
	DOESN'T HAVE PROGRAM			1	2.9%					1	.6%
	DOESN'T HAVE THE PROGRAM FOR AT-HOME STUDY			1	2.9%					1	.6%
	DOESN'T HAVE WHAT I NEED	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	DOESN'T OFFER MASTER'S DEGREE			1	2.9%					1	.6%
	DOESN'T OFFER MASTER'S LEVEL							1	3.2%	1	.6%
	DOESN'T OFFER WHAT I NEED/ I HAVE A MASTER'S	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	DON'T HAVE GRADUATE PROGRAMS			1	2.9%					1	.6%
	DON'T HAVE MASTER'S LEVEL PROGRAMS			1	2.9%					1	.6%
	DON'T KNOW	1	1.3%	1	2.9%	1	6.7%	1	3.2%	4	2.6%
	DON'T KNOW IF THEY HAVE COUNSELING THERE							1	3.2%	1	.6%
	DON'T KNOW WHY	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	DON'T KNOW/ COMMUNITY COLLEGE HAS PROGRAM	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	DON'T OFFER DOCTORAL PROGRAM			1	2.9%					1	.6%
	DON'T OFFER MASTER'S DEGREE	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	DON'T OFFER MY DEGREE			1	2.9%					1	.6%
	DON'T OFFER PH.D.	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	DON'T OFFER PHARMACY							1	3.2%	1	.6%
	DON'T OFFER WHAT I NEED	2	2.6%							2	1.3%
	DON'T THINK THEY HAVE CARPENTRY	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	GOING TO A FOUR-YEAR UNIVERSITY IS WHY	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	GRADUATED FROM THERE	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	HAVE A MASTER'S DEGREE			1	2.9%					1	.6%

TABLE 7. College Enrollment Preferences Of Potential Students By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
REASONS FOR NOT	HAVEN'T LOOKED AT IT FOR MASTER'S DEGREE			1	2.9%					1	.6%
ENROLLING AT COMMUNITY	HEBREW COURSES ARE NOT OFFERED/ LOCATION			1	2.9%					1	.6%
COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	I'D BE GOING FOR MASTER'S	1	1.3%							1	.6%
THEREETHA	I'D BEEN ACCEPTED BY TEMPLE UPON GRADUATION	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	I'LL LOOK AT THEM/ DON'T KNOW			1	2.9%					1	.6%
	I'M ALREADY A NURSE/ I WOULD WANT TO GET MY BACHELOR'S DEGRE	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	I'M AN ELEMENTARY TEACHER/ I LOVE CONNECTIONS WITH THE GRADU							1	3.2%	1	.6%
	I'M AT A HIGHER LEVEL THAN THEY OFFER			1	2.9%					1	.6%
	I'M CLOSE TO UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA/ WIDER AREA OF CLASS	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	I'M LOOKING FOR QUALITY EDUCATION I'D RECEIVE FROM A FOUR-YE	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	I'VE ALREADY BEEN THERE	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	I'VE GOTTEN MY MASTER'S FROM PENN	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	I'VE TAKEN CLASSES THERE							1	3.2%	1	.6%
	I ALREADY GOT 90% OF THE CREDITS					1	6.7%			1	.6%
	I DIDN'T LIKE THE ENVIRONMENT TOO MUCH	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	I DON'T FEEL THEY HAVE ENOUGH PRESTIGE FOR ME TO GET A GOOD			1	2.9%					1	.6%
	I DON'T KNOW IF MY WORK WILL PAY FOR A JUNIOR COLLEGE	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	I DON'T KNOW THAT MUCH ABOUT THEIR PROGRAM	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	I DON'T KNOW/ I DIDN'T THINK OF THAT/ VERY INTERESTING	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	I DON'T LIKE COMMUNITY							1	3.2%	1	.69
	I DON'T LIVE IN PHILADELPHIA/ I LIVE ABOUT 40 MILES AWAY	1	1.3%							1	.69

TABLE 7. College Enrollment Preferences Of Potential Students By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	ıtal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
REASONS FOR NOT	I DON'T THINK THEY HAVE A MASTER'S PROGRAM THERE					1	6.7%			1	.6%
ENROLLING AT COMMUNITY	I FORGOT TO MENTION PROBABLY WHERE I'LL GO	1	1.3%							1	.6%
COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	I GRADUATED FROM THEIR TWO-YEAR COLLEGE	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	I HAVE A MASTER'S DEGREE					1	6.7%			1	.6%
	I HAVE A TWO-YEAR			1	2.9%					1	.6%
	I HAVE AN ASSOCIATE DEGREE FROM THERE ALREADY	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	I HAVE MY DEGREE	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	I HAVE TO CHECK WITH THE LADY AT THE ACADEMIC SUPPORT PROGRA							1	3.2%	1	.6%
	I HAVEN'T LOOKED AT IT	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	I JUST LIKE THE SUBURBS BETTER	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	I KNOW PROFESSORS AT PENN	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	I MIGHT	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	I MIGHT IF THEY HAVE A COURSE I'M INTERESTED IN	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	I MIGHT/ MAYBE/ I DON'T KNOW	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	I NEED MASTER'S			1	2.9%					1	.6%
	I NEED MORE INFORMATION ON ULTRASOUND					1	6.7%			1	.6%
	I PLAN ON WORKING FOR UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA HOSPITAL	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	I PREFER TEMPLE							1	3.2%	1	.6%
	I STARTED AT COMMUNITY							1	3.2%	1	.6%
	I THINK AN ACCREDITED SCHOOL LIKE TEMPLE WOULD GIVE ME A BET							1	3.2%	1	.6%
	I TOOK A COURSE AND I WAS NOT VERY HAPPY WITH IT OR IMPRESSE	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	I TOOK SUMMER SCHOOL THERE/ THEY DON'T OFFER BA	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	I TRIED BUT HAD DIFFICULTY GETTING FINANCIAL AID THERE/ TEMP					1	6.7%			1	.6%
	I TRIED THERE BEFORE BUT THEY COULDN'T HELP	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	I WANT TO ENROLL THERE							1	3.2%	1	.6%

TABLE 7. College Enrollment Preferences Of Potential Students By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	Total	
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center			
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	
REASONS FOR NOT ENROLLING AT COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF	I WANT TO GO TO THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA	1	1.3%							1	.6%	
	I WAS HAVING A PROBLEM BECAUSE I'M HANDICAPPED	1	1.3%							1	.6%	
PHILADELPHIA	I WORK AT ARCADIA	1	1.3%							1	.6%	
	I WORK FOR DREXEL AND IT'D BE FREE	1	1.3%							1	.6%	
	I WOULD DO THAT TOO/ ONLY ASSOCIATE DEGREE	1	1.3%							1	.6%	
	I WOULD PICK TEMPLE							1	3.2%	1	.6%	
	IF THEY HAD WHAT I WANTED I'D GO THERE							1	3.2%	1	.6%	
	IF THEY OFFER IT I WOULD	1	1.3%					1		1	.6%	
	IF THEY OFFERED CLASS ON JUST MONEY MANAGEMENT I WOULD TAKE							1	3.2%	1	.6%	
	IS IT ONLINE	1	1.3%					1		1	.6%	
	IT'S FINE ALSO/ YOU SAID COLLEGE	1	1.3%							1	.6%	
	IT'S JUST A FORM OF HIGH SCHOOL	1	1.3%							1	.6%	
	IT'S NOT CHRISTIAN			1	2.9%					1	.6%	
	IT'S NOT CONVENIENT							1	3.2%	1	.6%	
	IT'S NOT TOO CONVENIENT FOR WHERE I LIVE			1	2.9%					1	.6%	
	IT'S POSSIBLE					1	6.7%	1	3.2%	2	1.3%	
	IT MAY BE COMMUNITY COLLEGE					1	6.7%			1	.6%	
	IT WASN'T COMING TO MY MIND							1	3.2%	1	.6%	
	JUST BECAUSE I HAVE ACCREDITED CLASSES FROM TEMPLE							1	3.2%	1	.6%	
	LOCATION	2	2.6%	2	5.9%					4	2.6%	
	LOT OF YOUNG PEOPLE							1	3.2%	1	.6%	
	MIGHT BE OKAY BUT I HEARD BETTER THINGS ABOUT CHEYNEY							1	3.2%	1	.6%	
	MOORE HAS A CERTIFICATE IN THE PROGRAM I WANT							1	3.2%	1	.6%	
	MOVING TO WASHINGTON NEXT WEEK							1	3.2%	1	.6%	
	MY OTHER CHOICE	1	1.3%							1	.6%	

TABLE 7. College Enrollment Preferences Of Potential Students By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter	Northwes	t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
REASONS FOR	MY SCHEDULE IS HECTIC	1	1.3%							1	.6%
NOT ENROLLING AT	NO					1	6.7%			1	.6%
COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF	NO REASON/ I LIVE AROUND THE CORNER FROM DREXEL							1	3.2%	1	.6%
PHILADELPHIA	NOT A COOKING SCHOOL THERE	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	NOT GOOD FOR GRAD STUDENT			1	2.9%					1	.6%
	NOT SURE ABOUT ONLINE/ NOT CONVENIENT			1	2.9%					1	.6%
	NOT SURE IF THEY HAVE ANYTHING/ NO CONVENIENCE FOR ME/ ONE M	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	NOT SURE THEY OFFER WHAT I'M LOOKING FOR	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	ONLY BECAUSE JEFFERSON OFFERS PREREQUISITE CLASSES THAT THE	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	PENN STATE HAS A GOOD REPUTATION AND MORE CLASSES FOR THE AR			1	2.9%					1	.6%
	PERHAPS I MIGHT/ I WOULD NEED TO SEE THE OFFERINGS					1	6.7%			1	.6%
	POSSIBLY			1	2.9%					1	.6%
	SAINT JOE'S HAS LEARNING INSTITUTES FOR TEACHERS/ GIVES A RE	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	SOUNDS OKAY							1	3.2%	1	.6%
	STIGMA OF A COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	THE COURSE IS TAILORED FOR MY STUDY AT CARNEGIE MELLON							1	3.2%	1	.6%
	THE STUDENT BODY			1	2.9%					1	.6%
	THERE ARE A LOT OF OUT OF TOWNERS AND IT'S CROWDED							1	3.2%	1	.6%
	THEY'RE GOOD TOO	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	THEY DON'T HAVE A BACHELOR'S DEGREE	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	THEY DON'T HAVE A MASTER'S PROGRAM	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	THEY DON'T HAVE LPN					1	6.7%			1	.6%
	THEY DON'T OFFER A MASTER'S IN WRITING	1	1.3%							1	.6%

TABLE 7. College Enrollment Preferences Of Potential Students By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		st Regional enter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
REASONS FOR	THEY DON'T OFFER MASTER'S	1	1.3%							1	.6%
NOT ENROLLING AT COMMUNITY	THEY DON'T OFFER MASTER'S AND I NEED MASTER'S CLASSES	1	1.3%							1	.6%
COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	THEY OFFER ASSOCIATE'S DEGREES	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	THEY OFFER NO BACHELOR'S PROGRAMS			1	2.9%					1	.6%
	THIS IS A VERY GOOD SCHOOL BUT I PREFER THE UNIVERSITY OF PE					1	6.7%			1	.6%
	TOO BORING/ TOO MANY YOUNG PEOPLE DISRESPECTING TEACHER AND	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	TOO FAR FROM ME	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	TOO FAST SPEED FOR THEIR CLASSES							1	3.2%	1	.6%
	TOO LONG FOR TRAINING			1	2.9%					1	.6%
	TRADE SCHOOL IS BETTER	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	TRADE SCHOOLS ARE BETTER FOR WHAT I NEED	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	UNIVERSITY HAS VETERINARIAN TECH THAT IS BETTER ALL AROUND	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	WANT THE EXPERIENCE OF BEING AWAY FROM HOME WHERE YOU CAN ST					1	6.7%			1	.6%
	WASN'T MY SELECTION	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	WENT THERE FOR CLASSES ALREADY			1	2.9%					1	.6%
	WENT THERE ONE YEAR							1	3.2%	1	.6%
	WENT THERE/ LASALLE MEETS NEEDS	1	1.3%							1	.6%
	WILL ENROLL/ MOST LIKELY HERE							1	3.2%	1	.6%
Total		76	100.0%	34	100.0%	15	100.0%	31	100.0%	156	100.0%

TABLE 8. Computer Usage Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Spring Garden		Northeast Regional Center		Northwest Regional Center		ional Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COMPUTER AT HOME	No Response	2	.9%							2	.4%
	Yes	147	65.6%	92	80.7%	61	76.3%	56	68.3%	356	71.2%
	No	75	33.5%	22	19.3%	19	23.8%	26	31.7%	142	28.4%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
HAVE ACCESS TO	No Response	3	1.3%			3	3.8%	2	2.4%	8	1.6%
ONLINE SERVICE,	Yes	141	62.9%	88	77.2%	55	68.8%	48	58.5%	332	66.4%
INTERNET OR WORLD WIDE WEB FROM HOME	No	80	35.7%	26	22.8%	22	27.5%	32	39.0%	160	32.0%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%

Crosstab

Count

			SERVIC	E AREA		
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total
COMPUTER	No Response	2	0	0	0	2
AT HOME	Yes	147	92	61	56	356
	No	75	22	19	26	142
Total		224	114	80	82	500

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.559 ^a	6	.073
Likelihood Ratio	12.607	6	.050
Linear-by-Linear Association	.436	1	.509
N of Valid Cases	500		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .32.

Crosstab

Count

		SERVICE AREA							
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total			
HAVE ACCESS TO	No Response	3	0	3	2	8			
ONLINE SERVICE, INTERNET OR WORLD	Yes	141	88	55	48	332			
WIDE WEB FROM HOME	No	80	26	22	32	160			
Total		224	114	80	82	500			

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.571 ^a	6	.035
Likelihood Ratio	14.893	6	.021
Linear-by-Linear Association	.108	1	.742
N of Valid Cases	500		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.28.

TABLE 8. Computer Usage Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				Total	
		Spring	Northeast Regional Northwest Regional Spring Garden Center Center West Regional Center								
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
INTERNET SPEED	No Response	1	.7%	2	2.3%	2	3.6%			5	1.5%
	Dial-Up	38	27.0%	30	34.1%	21	38.2%	18	37.5%	107	32.2%
	Cable Modem	18	12.8%	23	26.1%	5	9.1%	7	14.6%	53	16.0%
	High-Speed DSL	72	51.1%	30	34.1%	19	34.5%	18	37.5%	139	41.9%
	Don't Know	12	8.5%	3	3.4%	8	14.5%	5	10.4%	28	8.4%
Total		141	100.0%	88	100.0%	55	100.0%	48	100.0%	332	100.0%

TABLE 8. Computer Usage Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				Total	
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
NTERNET	AOL	39	27.7%	32	36.4%	24	43.6%	18	37.5%	113	34.0%
PROVIDER	AOL/ VERIZON	1	.7%							1	.3%
	AT & T					1	1.8%	2	4.2%	3	.9%
	CAVALIER			1	1.1%					1	.39
	COMCAST	21	14.9%	23	26.1%	5	9.1%	1	2.1%	50	15.19
	COMPUSERVE	1	.7%	1	1.1%					2	.6%
	CONFIDENTIAL	1	.7%							1	.3%
	DON'T KNOW	4	2.8%	4	4.5%	6	10.9%	6	12.5%	20	6.0%
	DON'T KNOW THE NAME	1	.7%							1	.3%
	DON'T WANT TO DISCLOSE THAT INFORMATION	1	.7%							1	.3%
	DSL	1	.7%	1	1.1%	1	1.8%			3	.9%
	EARTHLINK	5	3.5%	1	1.1%	2	3.6%	1	2.1%	9	2.7%
	HOT MAIL/ YAHOO	1	.7%							1	.39
	INTERNET EXPLORER	1	.7%							1	.3%
	JUNO			1	1.1%			1	2.1%	2	.69
	MICROSOFT/ AOL	1	.7%							1	.39
	MSN	6	4.3%	2	2.3%	3	5.5%	1	2.1%	12	3.69
	MSN/ VERIZON			1	1.1%					1	.39
	MY DAUGHTER	1	.7%							1	.3%
	NETSCAPE	1	.7%	1	1.1%			1	2.1%	3	.9%
	NETZERO	1	.7%	2	2.3%			2	4.2%	5	1.59
	NO RESPONSE	3	2.1%	2	2.3%					5	1.59
	NOT SURE					1	1.8%			1	.39
	OWN HOME	1	.7%							1	.39
	RATHER NOT SAY	1	.7%	1	1.1%					2	.69
	REFUSED			2	2.3%					2	.69
	ROAD RUNNER					2	3.6%	1	2.1%	3	.99
	ROAD RUNNER/ TIME-WARNER							1	2.1%	1	.3%
	THROUGH MY CELL PHONE/ MY SON KNOWS/ I DON'T KNOW	1	.7%							1	.3%
	URBAN CABLE	1	.7%							1	.39
	VERIZON	44	31.2%	13	14.8%	8	14.5%	11	22.9%	76	22.99
	VERIZON/ AOL	1	.7%					1	2.1%	2	.69
	VOICE NET	1	.7%							1	.3
	WEBTV					1	1.8%			1	.3'
	YAHOO	1	.7%					1	2.1%	2	.6
	ZNET					1	1.8%			1	.3
otal		141	100.0%	88	100.0%	55	100.0%	48	100.0%	332	100.0

TABLE 8. Computer Usage Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				Total	
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
SEND E-MAIL	No Response	1	.7%	1	1.1%					2	.6%
	Yes	114	80.9%	64	72.7%	49	89.1%	37	77.1%	264	79.5%
	No	26	18.4%	23	26.1%	6	10.9%	11	22.9%	66	19.9%
Total	•	141	100.0%	88	100.0%	55	100.0%	48	100.0%	332	100.0%
PARTICIPATE IN ONLINE	No Response	2	1.4%	1	1.1%					3	.9%
CHATS	Yes	16	11.3%	12	13.6%	11	20.0%	8	16.7%	47	14.2%
	No	123	87.2%	75	85.2%	44	80.0%	40	83.3%	282	84.9%
Total	•	141	100.0%	88	100.0%	55	100.0%	48	100.0%	332	100.0%
READ NEWSPAPERS/	No Response	1	.7%	1	1.1%					2	.6%
MAGAZINES	Yes	92	65.2%	50	56.8%	36	65.5%	30	62.5%	208	62.7%
	No	48	34.0%	37	42.0%	19	34.5%	18	37.5%	122	36.7%
Total	•	141	100.0%	88	100.0%	55	100.0%	48	100.0%	332	100.0%
RESEARCH PRODUCTS TO	No Response	1	.7%	1	1.1%					2	.6%
PURCHASE	Yes	119	84.4%	75	85.2%	40	72.7%	37	77.1%	271	81.6%
	No	21	14.9%	12	13.6%	15	27.3%	11	22.9%	59	17.8%
Total	•	141	100.0%	88	100.0%	55	100.0%	48	100.0%	332	100.0%
SHOP/ MAKE PURCHASES	No Response	1	.7%	1	1.1%					2	.6%
	Yes	97	68.8%	63	71.6%	28	50.9%	28	58.3%	216	65.1%
	No	43	30.5%	24	27.3%	27	49.1%	20	41.7%	114	34.3%
Total		141	100.0%	88	100.0%	55	100.0%	48	100.0%	332	100.0%
VIEW STOCK QUOTES/	No Response	1	.7%	1	1.1%					2	.6%
COMMODITY PRICES	Yes	35	24.8%	17	19.3%	10	18.2%	7	14.6%	69	20.8%
	No	105	74.5%	70	79.5%	45	81.8%	41	85.4%	261	78.6%
Total		141	100.0%	88	100.0%	55	100.0%	48	100.0%	332	100.0%
BANKING	No Response	1	.7%	2	2.3%					3	.9%
	Yes	77	54.6%	43	48.9%	28	50.9%	24	50.0%	172	51.8%
	No	63	44.7%	43	48.9%	27	49.1%	24	50.0%	157	47.3%
Total		141	100.0%	88	100.0%	55	100.0%	48	100.0%	332	100.0%
PLAY ONLINE GAMES	No Response	1	.7%	2	2.3%					3	.9%
	Yes	47	33.3%	38	43.2%	27	49.1%	18	37.5%	130	39.2%
	No	93	66.0%	48	54.5%	28	50.9%	30	62.5%	199	59.9%
Total		141	100.0%	88	100.0%	55	100.0%	48	100.0%	332	100.0%
LOOK FOR MEDICAL OR	No Response	2	1.4%	2	2.3%					4	1.2%
HEALTH INFORMATION	Yes	107	75.9%	68	77.3%	40	72.7%	30	62.5%	245	73.8%
No		32	22.7%	18	20.5%	15	27.3%	18	37.5%	83	25.0%
Total		141	100.0%	88	100.0%	55	100.0%	48	100.0%	332	100.0%
DOWNLOAD MUSIC FILES	No Response	1	.7%	2	2.3%					3	.9%
AND/ OR SOFTWARE	Yes	64	45.4%	28	31.8%	20	36.4%	17	35.4%	129	38.9%
	No	76	53.9%	58	65.9%	35	63.6%	31	64.6%	200	60.2%
Total		141	100.0%	88	100.0%	55	100.0%	48	100.0%	332	100.0%

TABLE 8. Computer Usage Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		Northeast Regional Center		Northwest Regional Center		onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
MAKE TRAVEL	No Response	1	.7%	2	2.3%					3	.9%
ARRANGEMENTS	Yes	101	71.6%	56	63.6%	36	65.5%	31	64.6%	224	67.5%
Tatal	No	39	27.7%	30	34.1%	19	34.5%	17	35.4%	105	31.6%
Total		141	100.0%	88	100.0%	55	100.0%	48	100.0%	332	100.0%
TAKE COLLEGE CLASSES	No Response	1	.7%	2	2.3%					3	.9%
	Yes	12	8.5%	7	8.0%	4	7.3%	3	6.3%	26	7.8%
	No	128	90.8%	79	89.8%	51	92.7%	45	93.8%	303	91.3%
Total	-	141	100.0%	88	100.0%	55	100.0%	48	100.0%	332	100.0%
CHECK WEATHER	No Response	1	.7%	3	3.4%	1	1.8%			5	1.5%
CONDITIONS	Yes	97	68.8%	58	65.9%	37	67.3%	28	58.3%	220	66.3%
	No	43	30.5%	27	30.7%	17	30.9%	20	41.7%	107	32.2%
Total		141	100.0%	88	100.0%	55	100.0%	48	100.0%	332	100.0%
READING BLOGS	No Response	1	.7%	4	4.5%	1	1.8%			6	1.8%
	Yes	29	20.6%	15	17.0%	6	10.9%	9	18.8%	59	17.8%
	No	111	78.7%	69	78.4%	48	87.3%	39	81.3%	267	80.4%
Total		141	100.0%	88	100.0%	55	100.0%	48	100.0%	332	100.0%

Crosstab

		SERVICE AREA							
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total			
SEND	No Response	1	1	0	0	2			
E-MAIL	Yes	114	64	49	37	264			
	No	26	23	6	11	66			
Total		141	88	55	48	332			

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.604 ^a	6	.359
Likelihood Ratio	7.403	6	.285
Linear-by-Linear Association	.018	1	.894
N of Valid Cases	332		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .29.

Crosstab

Count

	SERVICE AREA					
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total
PARTICIPATE	No Response	2	1	0	0	3
IN ONLINE	Yes	16	12	11	8	47
CHATS	No	123	75	44	40	282
Total		141	88	55	48	332

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.001 ^a	6	.677
Likelihood Ratio	4.763	6	.575
Linear-by-Linear Association	.493	1	.482
N of Valid Cases	332		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .43.

Crosstab

			SERVICE AREA				
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total	
READ NEWSPAPERS/	No Response	1	1	0	0	2	
MAGAZINES	Yes	92	50	36	30	208	
	No	48	37	19	18	122	
Total		141	88	55	48	332	

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.795 ^a	6	.834
Likelihood Ratio	3.318	6	.768
Linear-by-Linear Association	.204	1	.652
N of Valid Cases	332		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .29.

Crosstab

Count

			SERVICE AREA			
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total
RESEARCH PRODUCTS	No Response	1	1	0	0	2
TO PURCHASE	Yes	119	75	40	37	271
	No	21	12	15	11	59
Total		141	88	55	48	332

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.004 ^a	6	.320
Likelihood Ratio	7.211	6	.302
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.894	1	.048
N of Valid Cases	332		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .29.

Crosstab

SERVICE AREA						
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total
SHOP/ MAKE	No Response	1	1	0	0	2
PURCHASES	Yes	97	63	28	28	216
	No	43	24	27	20	114
Total		141	88	55	48	332

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.092 ^a	6	.121
Likelihood Ratio	10.410	6	.108
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.105	1	.024
N of Valid Cases	332		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .29.

Crosstab

Count

		SERVICE AREA				
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total
VIEW STOCK QUOTES/	No Response	1	1	0	0	2
COMMODITY PRICES	Yes	35	17	10	7	69
	No	105	70	45	41	261
Total		141	88	55	48	332

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.001 ^a	6	.677
Likelihood Ratio	4.579	6	.599
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.236	1	.072
N of Valid Cases	332		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .29.

Crosstab

			SERVICE AREA				
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total	
BANKING	No Response	1	2	0	0	3	
	Yes	77	43	28	24	172	
	No	63	43	27	24	157	
Total	-	141	88	55	48	332	

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.576 ^a	6	.734
Likelihood Ratio	3.967	6	.681
Linear-by-Linear Association	.674	1	.412
N of Valid Cases	332		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .43.

Crosstab

Count

			SERVICE AREA				
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total	
PLAY ONLINE	No Response	1	2	0	0	3	
GAMES	Yes	47	38	27	18	130	
	No	93	48	28	30	199	
Total		141	88	55	48	332	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.900 ^a	6	.246
Likelihood Ratio	8.266	6	.219
Linear-by-Linear Association	.970	1	.325
N of Valid Cases	332		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .43.

Crosstab

			SERVICE AREA			
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total
LOOK FOR MEDICAL OR HEALTH INFORMATION	No Response	2	2	0	0	4
	Yes	107	68	40	30	245
	No	32	18	15	18	83
Total		141	88	55	48	332

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.334 ^a	6	.291
Likelihood Ratio	8.093	6	.231
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.340	1	.037
N of Valid Cases	332		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .58.

Crosstab

Count

			SERVICE AREA			
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total
DOWNLOAD MUSIC FILES	No Response	1	2	0	0	3
AND/ OR SOFTWARE	Yes	64	28	20	17	129
	No	76	58	35	31	200
Total		141	88	55	48	332

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.386 ^a	6	.287
Likelihood Ratio	7.792	6	.254
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.559	1	.110
N of Valid Cases	332		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .43.

Crosstab

			SERVICE AREA			
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total
MAKE TRAVEL	No Response	1	2	0	0	3
ARRANGEMENTS	Yes	101	56	36	31	224
	No	39	30	19	17	105
Total	-	141	88	55	48	332

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.698 ^a	6	.583
Likelihood Ratio	5.100	6	.531
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.584	1	.208
N of Valid Cases	332		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .43.

Crosstab

Count

			SERVICE AREA				
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total	
	No Response	1	2	0	0	3	
CLASSES	Yes	12	7	4	3	26	
	No	128	79	51	45	303	
Total		141	88	55	48	332	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.146 ^a	6	.790
Likelihood Ratio	3.545	6	.738
Linear-by-Linear Association	.602	1	.438
N of Valid Cases	332		

 ⁶ cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .43.

Crosstab

			SERVICE AREA			
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total
CHECK WEATHER CONDITIONS	No Response	1	3	1	0	5
	Yes	97	58	37	28	220
	No	43	27	17	20	107
Total		141	88	55	48	332

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.624 ^a	6	.467
Likelihood Ratio	5.836	6	.442
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.264	1	.261
N of Valid Cases	332		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .72.

Crosstab

Count

			SERVIC	E AREA		
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total
READING	No Response	1	4	1	0	6
BLOGS	Yes	29	15	6	9	59
	No	111	69	48	39	267
Total		141	88	55	48	332

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.009 ^a	6	.237
Likelihood Ratio	8.266	6	.219
Linear-by-Linear Association	.642	1	.423
N of Valid Cases	332		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .87.

TABLE 9. Preferred Media Source About Community College Of Philadelphia By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Spring Garden Northeast Regional Center			Northwest Regional Center		West Regional Center			
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
BILLBOARDS	No Response/ Not Likely To Use	223	99.6%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	499	99.8%
	Most Likely To Use	1	.4%							1	.2%
Total		224	224 100.0%		100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%

TABLE 9. Preferred Media Source About Community College Of Philadelphia By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional enter		st Regional enter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
BROCHURES	No Response/ Not Likely To Use	202	90.2%	108	94.7%	69	86.3%	68	82.9%	447	89.4%
	Most Likely To Use	22	9.8%	6	5.3%	11	13.8%	14	17.1%	53	10.6%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
COURSE SCHEDULE	No Response/ Not Likely To Use	218	97.3%	111	97.4%	79	98.8%	80	97.6%	488	97.6%
	Most Likely To Use	6	2.7%	3	2.6%	1	1.3%	2	2.4%	12	2.4%
Total	•	224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
BUS ADS OR TRANSIT ADS	No Response/ Not Likely To Use	221	98.7%	113	99.1%	78	97.5%	82	100.0%	494	98.8%
	Most Likely To Use	3	1.3%	1	.9%	2	2.5%			6	1.2%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
HOME MAILINGS	No Response/ Not Likely To Use	185	82.6%	94	82.5%	69	86.3%	77	93.9%	425	85.0%
	Most Likely To Use	39	17.4%	20	17.5%	11	13.8%	5	6.1%	75	15.0%
Total	•	224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
NEWSPAPER ADS	No Response/ Not Likely To Use	208	92.9%	104	91.2%	74	92.5%	77	93.9%	463	92.6%
	Most Likely To Use	16	7.1%	10	8.8%	6	7.5%	5	6.1%	37	7.4%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
NEWSPAPER ARTICLES	No Response/ Not Likely To Use	215	96.0%	114	100.0%	76	95.0%	79	96.3%	484	96.8%
	Most Likely To Use	9	4.0%			4	5.0%	3	3.7%	16	3.2%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
RADIO ADS	No Response/ Not Likely To Use	221	98.7%	111	97.4%	79	98.8%	82	100.0%	493	98.6%
	Most Likely To Use	3	1.3%	3	2.6%	1	1.3%			7	1.4%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
TELEVISION COMMERCIALS	No Response/ Not Likely To Use	215	96.0%	112	98.2%	75	93.8%	81	98.8%	483	96.6%
	Most Likely To Use	9	4.0%	2	1.8%	5	6.3%	1	1.2%	17	3.4%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
TV NEWS STORY	No Response/ Not Likely To Use	223	99.6%	111	97.4%	79	98.8%	82	100.0%	495	99.0%
	Most Likely To Use	1	.4%	3	2.6%	1	1.3%			5	1.0%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
VISITED WEB SITE	No Response/ Not Likely To Use	123	54.9%	62	54.4%	44	55.0%	41	50.0%	270	54.0%
	Most Likely To Use	101	45.1%	52	45.6%	36	45.0%	41	50.0%	230	46.0%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%

TABLE 9. Preferred Media Source About Community College Of Philadelphia By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring Garden		Northeast Regional Center		Northwest Regional Center		West Regional Center			
		Count	-1 9		Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
OTHER MEDIA INFORMATION	No Response/ Not Likely To Use	204	91.1%	108	94.7%	76	95.0%	72	87.8%	460	92.0%
SOURCE	Most Likely To Use	20	20 8.9%		5.3%	4	5.0%	10	12.2%	40	8.0%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%

TABLE 10. Preferred Information Request Format For All Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
MOST LIKELY REQUEST OF	Go To Web Site And Send An E-mail Or Fill Out A Request Form	98	43.8%	63	55.3%	42	52.5%	32	39.0%	235	47.0%
INFORMATION ABOUT COLLEGE	Call The College	71	31.7%	27	23.7%	22	27.5%	23	28.0%	143	28.6%
CLASSES OR JOB	Visit The College	47	21.0%	12	10.5%	14	17.5%	18	22.0%	91	18.2%
TRAINING	Mail A Request	2	.9%	6	5.3%			4	4.9%	12	2.4%
	Other	6	2.7%	6	5.3%	2	2.5%	5	6.1%	19	3.8%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
OTHER WAY TO	ADVERTISEMENT	1	16.7%							1	5.3%
REQUEST INFORMATION CITED	ASK A HIGH SCHOOL COUNSELOR			1	16.7%					1	5.3%
CITED	CALL 411 FOR INFORMATION					1	50.0%			1	5.3%
	CHECK NEWSPAPER			1	16.7%					1	5.3%
	CONTACT FRIENDS WHO GO THERE			1	16.7%					1	5.3%
	DIRECTORY							1	20.0%	1	5.3%
	FROM FAMILY	1	16.7%							1	5.3%
	I RECEIVE INFORMATION AND SCHEDULES IN THE MAIL							1	20.0%	1	5.3%
	I WOULDN'T BE	1	16.7%							1	5.3%
	LOOK OVER SYLLABUS			1	16.7%					1	5.3%
	NEVER THOUGHT ABOUT IT	1	16.7%							1	5.3%
	NEWSPAPER AD	1	16.7%							1	5.3%
	NO RESPONSE			1	16.7%					1	5.3%
	OPEN HOUSES			1	16.7%					1	5.3%
	PHONE					1	50.0%			1	5.3%
	PUT IN RESUME	1	16.7%							1	5.3%
	THROUGH ADVERTISEMENTS							1	20.0%	1	5.3%
	WORD OF MOUTH							1	20.0%	1	5.3%
	YELLOW BOOK							1	20.0%	1	5.3%
Total		6	100.0%	6	100.0%	2	100.0%	5	100.0%	19	100.0%

TABLE 11. Media Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter	Northwest Cer	t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
FAVORITE	100.3	7	3.2%					3	3.7%	10	2.0%
RADIO STATION	101	2	.9%	4	3.5%	3	3.8%			9	1.89
STATION	101.1	1	.5%	2	1.8%					3	.69
	101.3							1	1.2%	1	.29
	101E			1	.9%					1	.29
	102	3	1.4%	1	.9%					4	.89
	102.1	1	.5%							1	.29
	102.9			1	.9%					1	.29
	103	2	.9%	1	.9%	2	2.6%	1	1.2%	6	1.29
	103.1					1	1.3%			1	.29
	103.9	9	4.1%	1	.9%	5	6.4%	9	11.1%	24	4.99
	103/ THE BEST	1	.5%							1	.29
	104.5	4	1.8%	3	2.7%			1	1.2%	8	1.69
	104.5/ KYW			1	.9%					1	.29
	105.3	7	3.2%	1	.9%	2	2.6%	5	6.2%	15	3.09
	105.3/ WDAS	1	.5%			1	1.3%	1	1.2%	3	.6
	106	1	.5%							1	.2
	106.1	2	.9%			2	2.6%			4	.8
	106.1/ WJJZ	1	.5%			1	1.3%			2	.4
	106.3							1	1.2%	1	.29
	106.5					1	1.3%			1	.20
	106.9					1	1.3%	1	1.2%	2	.40
	106/ JAZZ							1	1.2%	1	.29
	1060	5	2.3%	2	1.8%	1	1.3%	1	1.2%	9	1.89
	1060/ KYW			1	.9%	1	1.3%			2	.40
	107					1	1.3%	2	2.5%	3	.6
	107.6	1	.5%							1	.2
	107.9	3	1.4%	2	1.8%	5	6.4%	5	6.2%	15	3.0
	109.1	1	.5%							1	.29
	109/ WWTZ					1	1.3%			1	.29
	12					1	1.3%			1	.2
	1210	1	.5%	1	.9%	2	2.6%			4	.89
	1240/ WDHG			1	.9%					1	.2
	1290			1	.9%					1	.2
	1310	1	.5%							1	.2
	1340	1	.5%							1	.2
	1340/ WHAT	1	.5%							1	.2
	1480	1	.5%			1	1.3%	2	2.5%	4	.8
	160	1	.5%						,0	1	.20
	180		.5 / 5					1	1.2%	1	.20

TABLE 11. Media Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden	Northeast Cei	t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
FAVORITE	1840	1	.5%							1	.2%
RADIO STATION	210.2			1	.9%					1	.2%
STATION	560/ WFIL			1	.9%					1	.2%
	610	1	.5%	5	4.4%			1	1.2%	7	1.4%
	610/ KYW	1	.5%							1	.2%
	610/ WAP			1	.9%					1	.2%
	610/ WIT					1	1.3%			1	.2%
	690	1	.5%							1	.2%
	88.5	4	1.8%					1	1.2%	5	1.0%
	88.5/ WXPN	1	.5%							1	.2%
	89.5	1	.5%							1	.2%
	89.7/ BEN	1	.5%							1	.2%
	90.1			1	.9%					1	.2%
	90.9					1	1.3%			1	.2%
	900							1	1.2%	1	.2%
	92.5	4	1.8%	3	2.7%					7	1.4%
	920/ ESPN			1	.9%					1	.2%
	93			1	.9%					1	.2%
	93.3	3	1.4%							3	.6%
	93.3/ WMMR	1	.5%	3	2.7%					4	.8%
	94.1	2	.9%					1	1.2%	3	.6%
	94.1/ WYSP			2	1.8%			1	1.2%	3	.6%
	94.5	1	.5%	3	2.7%					4	.8%
	95.7	4	1.8%	2	1.8%					6	1.2%
	96.5	4	1.8%	1	.9%	1	1.3%			6	1.2%
	96.5/ THE BEST	1	.5%							1	.2%
	97.1	1	.5%							1	.2%
	97.5			1	.9%					1	.2%
	97.5/ THE HAWK			1	.9%					1	.2%
	98					1	1.3%			1	.2%
	98.1	5	2.3%	4	3.5%	1	1.3%			10	2.0%
	98.9	1	.5%			1	1.3%	2	2.5%	4	.8%
	99	4	1.8%					1	1.2%	5	1.0%
	99.1	1	.5%							1	.2%
	990			1	.9%					1	.2%
	ALL TYPES	1	.5%							1	.2%
	B101	6	2.7%	7	6.2%					13	2.69
	CABLE EASY LISTENING			1	.9%					1	.29
	CAN'T ANSWER			·	.5,5	1	1.3%			1	.29

TABLE 11. Media Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	tal
		Spring	Garden	Northeast Cer	t Regional nter	Northwest Cer	t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
FAVORITE RADIO	CAN'T THINK OF LETTERS/ COUNTRY WESTERN	1	.5%							1	.2%
STATION	CNN			1	.9%					1	.29
	D101					1	1.3%			1	.29
	DAS	4	1.8%			1	1.3%			5	1.0
	DON'T HAVE	2	.9%							2	.4
	DON'T HAVE FAVORITE	1	.5%	2	1.8%	2	2.6%			5	1.0
	DON'T HAVE ONE	1	.5%	1	.9%			1	1.2%	3	.6
	DON'T KNOW	1	.5%							1	.2'
	DON'T KNOW/ I JUST LISTEN							1	1.2%	1	.2
	DON'T LISTEN	20	9.0%	2	1.8%	2	2.6%	3	3.7%	27	5.5
	E101			1	.9%					1	.2'
	FAMILY RADIO	2	.9%	1	.9%					3	.6
	FIL					1	1.3%			1	.2
	GOSPEL	1	.5%	1	.9%					2	.4
	HAWK			1	.9%					1	.2
	HH					1	1.3%			1	.2
	I DON'T LISTEN TO RADIO							1	1.2%	1	.2
	KOW	3	1.4%	1	.9%					4	.8
	KWY			1	.9%	1	1.3%			2	.4
	KYU	1	.5%							1	.2
	KYW	7	3.2%	11	9.7%	5	6.4%	6	7.4%	29	5.9
	LA MEGA	1	.5%							1	.2
	MAGIC	1	.5%							1	.2
	MUSIC STATION	1	.5%							1	.2
	NEVER LISTEN	3	1.4%	2	1.8%					5	1.0
	NJN			1	.9%					1	.2
	NO FAVORITE			1	.9%					1	.2
	NPR	6	2.7%	1	.9%	1	1.3%			8	1.6
	NPR/ WHYY	1	.5%			1	1.3%			2	.4
	OLDIES	1	.5%							1	.2
	OLDIES 98			1	.9%	1	1.3%			2	.4
	POWER 99	12	5.4%	Ī		4	5.1%	7	8.6%	23	4.7
	PUBLIC RADIO			1	.9%					1	.2
	PUBLIC STATION							1	1.2%	1	.2
	Q102	4	1.8%	3	2.7%					7	1.4
	SATELLITE RADIO	2	.9%	1	.9%					3	.6
	SMOOTH JAZZ							1	1.2%	1	.2
	WBAS					1	1.3%			1	.2
	WCAU			1	.9%					1	.2

TABLE 11. Media Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden	Northeas Ce	t Regional nter	Northwest Cer	t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
FAVORITE	WDAS	7	3.2%			3	3.8%	3	3.7%	13	2.6%
RADIO STATION	WDFM			1	.9%					1	.29
STATION	WDS	1	.5%							1	.29
	WETA					1	1.3%			1	.29
	WFAN	1	.5%							1	.29
	WFIL							1	1.2%	1	.29
	WGS					1	1.3%			1	.29
	WHAT	1	.5%					1	1.2%	2	.49
	WHYY	11	5.0%	3	2.7%	1	1.3%	5	6.2%	20	4.0
	WHYY/ NPR							1	1.2%	1	.2
	WIBC	1	.5%							1	.2
	WIP	2	.9%	3	2.7%					5	1.0
	WJJZ	2	.9%			2	2.6%			4	.8
	WKDN	1	.5%			1	1.3%			2	.4
	WMGK	1	.5%	1	.9%					2	.4
	WMMR	1	.5%			1	1.3%			2	.4
	WOGL	1	.5%							1	.2
	WPEN	1	.5%	1	.9%					2	.4'
	WRNB					1	1.3%			1	.2
	WRTI	2	.9%			1	1.3%	2	2.5%	5	1.0
	WURD					1	1.3%	1	1.2%	2	.4
	WVVZ					1	1.3%			1	.2
	WWDB	1	.5%			1	1.3%			2	.4
	WXPN	3	1.4%	2	1.8%	2	2.6%	2	2.5%	9	1.8
	WYSP	1	.5%	2	1.8%					3	.6
	WYW							1	1.2%	1	.2
	XPN			1	.9%					1	.2
Total	•	222	100.0%	113	100.0%	78	100.0%	81	100.0%	494	100.0

TABLE 11. Media Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
FAVORITE	A&E			1	.9%			1	1.3%	2	.49
TV/ CABLE STATION	ABC	28	12.6%	5	4.4%	8	10.1%	7	8.8%	48	9.7%
STATION	ABC/ LIFETIME					1	1.3%			1	.29
	ABC/ SCI FI	1	.5%							1	.29
	AMC	1	.5%							1	.29
	ANIMAL PLANET			2	1.8%	1	1.3%			3	.69
	BBC AMERICA	1	.5%							1	.29
	BC	1	.5%							1	.29
	BET	2	.9%					1	1.3%	3	.69
	CABLE EASY LISTENING CHANNEL			1	.9%					1	.29
	CARTOON NETWORK	1	.5%							1	.29
	CBC	1	.5%							1	.29
	CBN	1	.5%							1	.29
	CBS	6	2.7%	2	1.8%	3	3.8%	5	6.3%	16	3.29
	CH 1/ CH 48							1	1.3%	1	.29
	CH 10	9	4.1%	4	3.5%	2	2.5%	5	6.3%	20	4.0
	CH 10/ NBC	2	.9%	1	.9%	2	2.5%			5	1.09
	CH 12	2	.9%	1	.9%	2	2.5%	4	5.0%	9	1.89
	CH 15			1	.9%	1	1.3%			2	.49
	CH 17	2	.9%	2	1.8%	1	1.3%	1	1.3%	6	1.29
	CH 17/ WB			1	.9%					1	.20
	CH 2							1	1.3%	1	.29
	CH 24	1	.5%							1	.29
	CH 24/ AMA			1	.9%					1	.29
	CH 29	2	.9%	1	.9%	1	1.3%			4	.89
	CH 29/ FOX	1	.5%			1	1.3%	1	1.3%	3	.69
	CH 298							1	1.3%	1	.29
	CH 3	6	2.7%	3	2.7%	3	3.8%			12	2.49
	CH 3 OR CH 10			1	.9%					1	.29
	CH 3/ ABC					1	1.3%			1	.29
	CH 3/ CBS			1	.9%					1	.29
	CH 3/ CH 67					1	1.3%			1	.29
	CH 316/ CH 12			1	.9%					1	.29
	CH 37	1	.5%							1	.29
	CH 371							1	1.3%	1	.29
	CH 38			2	1.8%					2	.49
	CH 4			1	.9%					1	.29
	CH 4/ CH 6					1	1.3%			1	.29
	CH 48	2	.9%			2	2.5%			4	.89

TABLE 11. Media Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
FAVORITE	CH 5			1	.9%					1	.2%
TV/ CABLE STATION	CH 54					1	1.3%			1	.29
STATION	CH 57	1	.5%			2	2.5%	2	2.5%	5	1.0%
	CH 57/ UPN	2	.9%			1	1.3%	1	1.3%	4	.89
	CH 6	13	5.9%	18	15.9%	11	13.9%	10	12.5%	52	10.5%
	CH 6/ ABC	2	.9%	3	2.7%	1	1.3%	1	1.3%	7	1.49
	CH 6/ CH 10			1	.9%					1	.29
	CH 6/ CH 48					1	1.3%			1	.29
	CH 6/ NBC			1	.9%					1	.29
	CH 61	1	.5%					1	1.3%	2	.49
	CH 67							1	1.3%	1	.29
	CH 7	1	.5%							1	.29
	CH 75/ EWTN			1	.9%					1	.29
	CH 85					1	1.3%			1	.29
	CH 9	1	.5%	2	1.8%					3	.69
	CNN	7	3.2%	3	2.7%	3	3.8%	1	1.3%	14	2.89
	COMEDY CENTRAL	3	1.4%	1	.9%					4	.89
	COURT TV	1	.5%							1	.29
	DISCOVERY	3	1.4%			1	1.3%	1	1.3%	5	1.09
	DISCOVERY HEALTH	1	.5%							1	.29
	DISNEY					1	1.3%			1	.29
	DON'T HAVE FAVORITE	4	1.8%			2	2.5%			6	1.29
	DON'T KNOW							1	1.3%	1	.29
	DON'T REALLY HAVE FAVORITE	1	.5%							1	.29
	DON'T WATCH	15	6.8%	5	4.4%	2	2.5%	4	5.0%	26	5.39
	ENTERTAINMENT					1	1.3%			1	.29
	ESPN	7	3.2%	5	4.4%	1	1.3%	2	2.5%	15	3.09
	ETV			1	.9%					1	.29
	EWCN					1	1.3%			1	.29
	FOOD NETWORK	1	.5%	1	.9%			1	1.3%	3	.69
	FOX	6	2.7%	3	2.7%			1	1.3%	10	2.09
	GOOD TIMES	1	.5%							1	.29
	HALLMARK							1	1.3%	1	.29
	НВО	6	2.7%	8	7.1%	1	1.3%	2	2.5%	17	3.49
	HGTV	2	.9%	1	.9%			1	1.3%	4	.89
	HISTORY	3	1.4%	2	1.8%			1	1.3%	6	1.29
	ISC/ INDEPENDENT FILM STATION	1	.5%							1	.29
	KYW	2	.9%	2	1.8%					4	.89
	LAW AND ORDER					1	1.3%			1	.29

TABLE 11. Media Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
FAVORITE	LIFETIME	12	5.4%	2	1.8%	2	2.5%	7	8.8%	23	4.79
TV/ CABLE	MOVIE CHANNELS							1	1.3%	1	.29
STATION	MTV	1	.5%			1	1.3%	2	2.5%	4	.89
	NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC	1	.5%							1	.2
	NBC	11	5.0%	4	3.5%	3	3.8%	2	2.5%	20	4.0
	NFL NETWORK	1	.5%							1	.2
	NO FAVORITE	2	.9%	1	.9%	1	1.3%			4	.8
	NO TV	1	.5%							1	.2
	OXYGEN			1	.9%					1	.2
	PBS	2	.9%							2	.4
	PUBLIC TV	1	.5%	1	.9%					2	.4
	QVC	1	.5%							1	.2
	REFUSED			1	.9%					1	.2
	SCI FI	4	1.8%	2	1.8%	2	2.5%			8	1.6
	SHOWTIME	1	.5%							1	.2
	SPEED			1	.9%					1	.2
	SWITCH AROUND TILL I FIND SOMETHING I WANT TO WATCH					1	1.3%			1	.29
	TBN	2	.9%	1	.9%			1	1.3%	4	.8
	TBS	1	.5%	2	1.8%					3	.6
	TELEMUNDO	1	.5%							1	.2
	TLC			1	.9%					1	.2
	TNN	1	.5%							1	.2
	TNT	1	.5%					1	1.3%	2	.4
	TURNER CLASSIC MOVIES	2	.9%	1	.9%	1	1.3%			4	.8
	TVI	1	.5%							1	.2
	UNIVISION					1	1.3%			1	.2
	UPN	9	4.1%					3	3.8%	12	2.4
	USA	2	.9%	1	.9%					3	.6
	WB	4	1.8%	1	.9%	2	2.5%	1	1.3%	8	1.6
	WCAU	1	.5%							1	.2
	WESTERN	1	.5%							1	.2
	WETA					1	1.3%			1	.2
	WHATEVER POSITION THE EAGLES ARE ON			1	.9%					1	.2
	WHYY	1	.5%							1	.2
	WHYY/ CH 12			1	.9%					1	.2

TABLE 11. Media Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional enter		t Regional nter	West Regi	ional Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
FAVORITE	WPBI	1	.5%			1	1.3%			2	.4%
TV/ CABLE	WPVI							1	1.3%	1	.2%
Total		222	222 100.0%		100.0%	79	100.0%	80	100.0%	494	100.0%

TABLE 12. Educational Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		st Regional enter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
HIGHEST	No Response	2	.9%	1	.9%	1	1.3%			4	.8%
LEVEL OR	Less Than High School	16	7.1%	3	2.6%	7	8.8%	2	2.4%	28	5.6%
EDUCATION COMPLETED	High School	70	31.3%	34	29.8%	17	21.3%	24	29.3%	145	29.0%
00MI 2212B	High School Plus Some College	41	18.3%	22	19.3%	20	25.0%	21	25.6%	104	20.8%
	Technical/ Vocational/ Career Certificates	7	3.1%	7	6.1%	6	7.5%	3	3.7%	23	4.6%
	Associate Degree	14	6.3%	9	7.9%	7	8.8%	8	9.8%	38	7.6%
	Bachelor's Degree	37	16.5%	22	19.3%	12	15.0%	10	12.2%	81	16.2%
	Master's Degree	26	11.6%	13	11.4%	6	7.5%	12	14.6%	57	11.4%
	Doctoral Degree	2	.9%	2	1.8%	3	3.8%	2	2.4%	9	1.8%
	Professional Degree (Medical, Dental, Law)	9	4.0%	1	.9%	1	1.3%			11	2.2%
Total	•	224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%

HIGHEST LEVEL OR EDUCATION COMPLETED * SERVICE AREA Crosstabulation

Count

			SERVIC	E AREA		
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total
HIGHEST	No Response	2	1	1	0	4
LEVEL OR	Less Than High School	16	3	7	2	28
EDUCATION COMPLETED	High School	70	34	17	24	145
COMI LETEB	High School Plus Some College	41	22	20	21	104
	Technical/ Vocational/ Career Certificates	7	7	6	3	23
	Associate Degree	14	9	7	8	38
	Bachelor's Degree	37	22	12	10	81
	Master's Degree	26	13	6	12	57
	Doctoral Degree	2	2	3	2	9
	Professional Degree (Medical, Dental, Law)	9	1	1	0	11
Total		224	114	80	82	500

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	28.144 ^a	27	.404
Likelihood Ratio	30.655	27	.286
Linear-by-Linear Association	.000	1	.996
N of Valid Cases	500		

a. 16 cells (40.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .64.

TABLE 13. Employment Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				Te	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional enter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count			Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
EMPLOYMENT	No Response	2	.9%			1	1.3%			3	.6%
CLASSIFICATION	Full-Time	113	50.4%	62	54.4%	30	37.5%	46	56.1%	251	50.2%
OF RESPONDENT	Part-Time	34	15.2%	18	15.8%	13	16.3%	8	9.8%	73	14.6%
	Not Employed	75	33.5%	34	29.8%	36	45.0%	28	34.1%	173	34.6%
Total		224	224 100.0%		100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%

EMPLOYMENT CLASSIFICATION OF RESPONDENT * SERVICE AREA Crosstabulation

Count

			SERVIC	E AREA		
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total
EMPLOYMENT	Full-Time	113	62	30	46	251
CLASSIFICATION	Part-Time	34	18	13	8	73
OF RESPONDENT	Not Employed	75	34	36	28	173
Total		222	114	79	82	497

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.509 ^a	6	.203
Likelihood Ratio	8.698	6	.191
Linear-by-Linear Association	.245	1	.621
N of Valid Cases	497		

o cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 11.60.

TABLE 13. Employment Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional enter		st Regional enter	West Regi	onal Center		
	_	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
OCCUPATION	ACCOUNTING CLERK			1	1.3%					1	.3%
OF	ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT	3	2.0%	1	1.3%					4	1.2%
RESPONDENT	ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT FOR CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	1	.7%							1	.3%
	ADMINISTRATIVE TECHNICIAN	1	.7%							1	.3%
	ADMINISTRATOR AT HOSPITAL	1	.7%							1	.3%
	AIRPORT OPERATIONS OFFICER					1	2.3%			1	.3%
	ANALYST/ EDUCATIONAL	1	.7%							1	.3%
	APPLIANCE TECHNICIAN	1	.7%							1	.3%
	ARCHITECT	2	1.4%							2	.6%
	ART HANDLER	1	.7%							1	.3%
	ASSEMBLER FOR ESTEE LAUDER			1	1.3%					1	.3%
	ASSISTANT TEACHER	1	.7%						·	1	.3%

TABLE 13. Employment Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	tal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
OCCUPATION	ATTORNEY	1	.7%							1	.3%
OF RESPONDENT	AUDITOR							1	1.9%	1	.3%
RESPONDENT	AUTO TECH			1	1.3%					1	.39
	AUTO TECHNICIAN			1	1.3%					1	.39
	BANK TELLER	1	.7%							1	.39
	BANKING DIVISION OF A RETAIL STORE			1	1.3%					1	.39
	BANQUET SERVER			1	1.3%					1	.39
	BARBER	1	.7%							1	.39
	BARTENDER	1	.7%							1	.39
	BILL COLLECTOR					1	2.3%			1	.3'
	BILLING CLERK			1	1.3%					1	.39
	BOOKKEEPER			1	1.3%					1	.3
	BOXING PROMOTER	1	.7%							1	.3'
	BUS DRIVER	1	.7%					1	1.9%	2	.6
	BUSINESS CONSULTANT/ DIVERSITY TRAINING					1	2.3%			1	.3
	BUSINESS MANAGER	1	.7%					1	1.9%	2	.6
	BUSINESS OWNER	1	.7%							1	.3
	BUSINESSMAN	1	.7%							1	.3
	CARETAKER							1	1.9%	1	.3
	CARPENTER	1	.7%							1	.3
	CASHIER			1	1.3%	1	2.3%	1	1.9%	3	.9
	CASHIER AT GROCERY STORE					1	2.3%			1	.3'
	CASHIER/ RETAIL SALES	1	.7%							1	.3'
	CATERER ASSISTANT					1	2.3%			1	.3'
	CERTIFIED NURSE ASSISTANT							1	1.9%	1	.3
	CERTIFIED NURSING ASSISTANT							1	1.9%	1	.3'
	CFO OF A COMPANY			1	1.3%					1	.3
	CHECK VERIFIER	1	.7%							1	.3
	CHECKOUT CLERK							1	1.9%	1	.3
	CHEF	1	.7%							1	.3
	CHEMIST			1	1.3%					1	.3
(CHIEF OF STAFF FOR CITY COUNCIL	1	.7%							1	.3
	CHILD CARE PROVIDER	1	.7%	1	1.3%					2	.6
	CHIROPRACTOR			1	1.3%					1	.3
	CLEANING SERVICE			1	1.3%					1	.3
	CLERICAL CLERK/ BOOKKEEPING	1	.7%							1	.3

TABLE 13. Employment Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
OCCUPATION	CLERK	1	.7%							1	.3%
OF RESPONDENT	CLERK AT A STORE	1	.7%							1	.39
RESPONDENT	CLERK AT HOSPITAL							1	1.9%	1	.39
	CNA					1	2.3%			1	.39
	COMPUTER CHECKER	1	.7%							1	.3
	COMPUTER CONSULTANT	1	.7%							1	.3
	COMPUTER PROGRAMMER SUPERVISOR			1	1.3%					1	.3
	COMPUTER SET-UP FOR STAPLES	1	.7%							1	.3
	CONCERT SECURITY			1	1.3%					1	.3
	CONSTRUCTION	1	.7%	1	1.3%					2	.6
	CONSTRUCTION WORKER			1	1.3%					1	.3
	CONSULTING/ AT AN EDUCATION COMPANY RIGHT NOW	1	.7%							1	.3
	CONTRACTOR/ CONSTRUCTION HOMES	1	.7%							1	.3
	COOK							1	1.9%	1	.3
	COOK AT A RESTAURANT	1	.7%							1	.3
	COSMETOLOGY TEACHER					1	2.3%			1	.3
	COUNSELOR AND A TEACHER					1	2.3%			1	.3
	COUNTER CLERK FOR 7-11					1	2.3%			1	.3
	COURT ADMINISTRATION OFFICER	1	.7%							1	.3
	CREATIVE DIRECTOR	1	.7%							1	.3
	CROSSING GUARD	1	.7%							1	.3
	CROSSING GUARD FOR THE CITY					1	2.3%			1	.3
	CUSTODIAL ASSISTANT AT SCHOOL			1	1.3%					1	.3
	CUSTOMER RETAIL SERVICE					1	2.3%	ļl		1	.3
	CUSTOMER SERVICE REPRESENTATIVE	2	1.4%							2	.6
	CUSTOMER SERVICE TECHNICIAN					1	2.3%			1	.3
	CUSTOMER SERVICE WAREHOUSE WORKER							1	1.9%	1	.3
	CUSTOMS BROKER					1	2.3%			1	.3
	DAY CARE/ SELF-EMPLOYED			1	1.3%					1	.3
	DENTAL ASSISTANT	1	.7%		`					1	.3
	DENTAL LAB TECHNICIAN					1	2.3%	I		1	.3

TABLE 13. Employment Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	ital
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
OCCUPATION OF	DEPUTY CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER					1	2.3%			1	.3%
RESPONDENT	DESIGN CONSULTANT	2	1.4%							2	.6%
	DIALYSIS TECH							1	1.9%	1	.39
	DIALYSIS TECHNICIAN	1	.7%							1	.39
	DOCTOR	2	1.4%			1	2.3%			3	.99
	DOCTOR OF MEDICINE	1	.7%							1	.39
	DON'T KNOW							1	1.9%	1	.39
-	EDITOR	1	.7%							1	.39
	EDITOR/ FREELANCE					1	2.3%			1	.39
	EDUCATION			1	1.3%					1	.39
	EDUCATION AT HIGH SCHOOL	1	.7%							1	.39
	EDUCATIONAL ADMINISTRATOR AT TEMPLE			1	1.3%					1	.39
	ELEMENTARY SCHOOL TEACHER							1	1.9%	1	.39
	ENGINEER			1	1.3%					1	.39
	ENGLISH TEACHER AT HIGH SCHOOL			1	1.3%					1	.39
	ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES AND UPS	1	.7%							1	.39
	EXECUTIVE CHEF	1	.7%							1	.39
	FAST FOOD	1	.7%							1	.39
	FEDERAL GOVERNMENT JOB							1	1.9%	1	.39
	FINANCIAL AID AT HOSPITAL							1	1.9%	1	.39
	FINANCIAL ANALYST			1	1.3%			1	1.9%	2	.69
	FIREFIGHTER			1	1.3%					1	.39
	FOOD HANDLER	1	.7%							1	.39
	FOOD SERVICE							1	1.9%	1	.39
	FUNERAL BUSINESS/ DIG BURIAL HOLES	1	.7%							1	.39
	GARDENER					1	2.3%			1	.39
	GENERAL MANAGER	1	.7%	1	1.3%					2	.69
	HEALTH CARE PROVIDER		, , ,					1	1.9%	1	.30
	HEALTH PROFESSION					1	2.3%			1	.3'
	HEAVY EQUIPMENT OPERATOR					1	2.3%			1	.39
	HELP DESK ANALYST	1	.7%							1	.3
	HELPER AT STORE							1	1.9%	1	.3
	HIGH SCHOOL TEACHER			1	1.3%			1	1.9%	2	.6
	HISTORIC PRESERVATION					1		1	1.9%	1	.31

TABLE 13. Employment Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	tal
		Spring	Garden	Northeas Ce	t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
OCCUPATION OF	HOME CAREGIVER FOR PARENTS	1	.7%							1	.3%
RESPONDENT	HOME CONSTRUCTION	1	.7%							1	.3%
	HOSPITAL HOUSEKEEPING	1	.7%							1	.39
	HOSPITAL SOCIAL WORKER							1	1.9%	1	.39
	HOSPITAL WORKER/ NUTRITION DEPARTMENT	1	.7%							1	.39
	HOSPITALITY			1	1.3%					1	.39
	HOUSEKEEPER	1	.7%							1	.3'
	HOUSEMAN IN HOTEL	1	.7%							1	.3'
	HUMAN SERVICES	1	.7%							1	.3'
	I AM A GRADUATE STUDENT AND WORK FOR A LAB TECH FOR MEDICAL	1	.7%							1	.39
	IMPORTER/ EXPORTER FOR NVOCC			1	1.3%					1	.39
	INDUSTRIAL PLUMBING SALES			1	1.3%					1	.3
	INSTALL COMMERCIAL KITCHENS	1	.7%							1	.3
	INSTRUCTOR/ DATA CONSULTING							1	1.9%	1	.3'
	INSURANCE			1	1.3%					1	.3'
	INSURANCE PROCESSOR					1	2.3%			1	.3
	INSURANCE SALES					1	2.3%			1	.3
	INTAKE SPECIALIST					1	2.3%			1	.3
	INTERNATIONAL STUDENT AND SCHOLAR ADVISOR							1	1.9%	1	.3
	INTERVIEWER			1	1.3%					1	.3
	JANITOR							1	1.9%	1	.3
	KINDERGARTEN TEACHER	1	.7%							1	.3
	LAB ASSISTANT	1	.7%							1	.3
	LABORER AT PAPER COMPANY	1	.7%							1	.3
	LAWYER	1	.7%						İ	1	.3
	LEASING AGENT			1	1.3%					1	.3
	LEGAL CONSULTANT	1	.7%							1	.3
	LETTER CARRIER	1	.7%							1	.3
	LIQUOR STORE CLERK							1	1.9%	1	.3
	LOCAL TRUCK DRIVER							1	1.9%	1	.3
	LOCKSMITH	1	.7%							1	.3
	LOGISTIC ANALYST			1	1.3%					1	.3
	MAGAZINE EDITOR					1	2.3%			1	.3
	MAINTENANCE MECHANIC					1	2.3%		İ	1	.3

TABLE 13. Employment Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
OCCUPATION	MAINTENANCE SUPERVISOR					1	2.3%			1	.3%
OF DECDONDENT	MAINTENANCE TECH	1	.7%							1	.3%
RESPONDENT	MANAGEMENT	1	.7%							1	.3%
	MANAGEMENT ANALYST			1	1.3%					1	.3%
	MANAGEMENT ASSISTANT FOR THE GOVERNMENT			1	1.3%					1	.3%
	MANAGER			2	2.5%					2	.6%
	MARKETING MANAGER	1	.7%							1	.3%
	MATH TEACHER			1	1.3%					1	.3%
	MED TECH	1	.7%							1	.3%
	MEDICAL ASSISTANT	3	2.0%							3	.9%
	MEDICAL BILLING COORDINATOR							1	1.9%	1	.3%
	MENTAL HEALTH CLINIC SUPERVISOR	1	.7%							1	.3%
	MENTAL HEALTH TECH	1	.7%							1	.3%
	MERCHANDISER			1	1.3%					1	.39
	MODULE PROCESSOR					1	2.3%			1	.3%
	NANNY							1	1.9%	1	.39
	NO RESPONSE	4	2.7%	1	1.3%			1	1.9%	6	1.99
	NONPROFIT FIELD	1	.7%							1	.39
	NOONTIME AIDE FOR SCHOOL			1	1.3%					1	.39
	NOONTIME AIDE IN PUBLIC SCHOOLS	1	.7%							1	.39
	NOONTIME LUNCH AIDE			1	1.3%					1	.39
	NURSE'S AIDE					1	2.3%	1	1.9%	2	.69
	NURSE	2	1.4%			2	4.7%			4	1.29
	NURSE ANESTHETIST			1	1.3%					1	.39
	NURSING ASSISTANT	1	.7%	1	1.3%			1	1.9%	3	.99
	OCCUPATIONAL THERAPIST	2	1.4%							2	.69
	OFFICE MANAGER	1	.7%							1	.39
	PAID INTERN AT A SCHOOL			1	1.3%					1	.39
	PARALEGAL							1	1.9%	1	.3%
	PARALEGAL SPECIALIST	1	.7%							1	.3%
	PARAPROFESSIONAL							1	1.9%	1	.3%
	PARAPROFESSIONAL/ TEACHER'S AIDE	1	.7%							1	.3%
	PARTS DELIVERY FOR MIDAS					1	2.3%			1	.3%
	PASTOR			1	1.3%					1	.3%
	PAYROLL	1	.7%							1	.39
	PAYROLL CLERK							1	1.9%	1	.3%

TABLE 13. Employment Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	tal
		Spring	Garden		Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
OCCUPATION	PAYROLL MANAGER			1	1.3%					1	.3%
)F	PAYROLL SUPERVISOR	1	.7%							1	.3%
RESPONDENT	PERSONAL ORGANIZER			1	1.3%					1	.3%
	PHARMACY TECH							1	1.9%	1	.3%
	PHYSICAL THERAPIST	1	.7%			Ī				1	.39
	PHYSICIAN	1	.7%							1	.39
	PICKER/ CRA/ ENGLAND TRANSPORTATION/ DREYER'S ICE CREAM					1	2.3%			1	.3%
	PLUMBER			1	1.3%	Ī				1	.39
	POLICE OFFICER					Ī		1	1.9%	1	.39
	POSTAL CLERK					1	2.3%			1	.39
	PRINTER	1	.7%							1	.39
	PROFESSIONAL			1	1.3%					1	.39
	PROFESSIONAL ADMINISTRATOR			1	1.3%					1	.39
	PROJECT COORDINATOR/ TRAVEL INCENTIVE COMPANY	1	.7%							1	.39
	PSYCHOTHERAPIST	1	.7%							1	.39
	PUBLIC ADJUSTER			1	1.3%					1	.39
	PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL TEACHER	1	.7%							1	.39
	PUBLIC SCHOOL TEACHER/ AUTISTIC CHILDREN	1	.7%							1	.39
	PUBLISHER MEDICAL BOOKS AND JOURNALS	1	.7%							1	.39
	PUBLISHER OF MAGAZINE	1	.7%			Ī				1	.39
	PURCHASING IN RETAIL			1	1.3%	Ī				1	.39
	RADIOLOGIST	1	.7%			Ī				1	.39
	RECEPTIONIST			1	1.3%					1	.39
	RED CROSS SCHEDULER	1	.7%							1	.39
	REGISTERED DIETICIAN			1	1.3%					1	.39
	REGISTERED NURSE	2	1.4%	3	3.8%			1	1.9%	6	1.9
	RESEARCH ASSISTANT							1	1.9%	1	.3
	RESIDENTIAL AND COMMERCIAL ROOFER			1	1.3%					1	.3
	RESIDENTIAL COUNSELOR	1	.7%			1	2.3%		İ	2	.69
	RESIDENTIAL SKILLS TRAINER	1	.7%							1	.3
	RESTAURANT SERVICE COOK	1	.7%							1	.3
	RESTAURANT WORKER	1	.7%							1	.3
	RETAIL MANAGEMENT	1	.7%	1	1.3%					2	.6

TABLE 13. Employment Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden	Northeast Cer	Regional nter	Northwes Cer	Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
OCCUPATION OF	RETAIL/ WORK AT A CLOTHING STORE					1	2.3%			1	.3%
RESPONDENT	RUN LAUNDRY DEPARTMENT IN NURSING HOME							1	1.9%	1	.3%
	SALES			2	2.5%	1	2.3%			3	.9%
	SALES/ CONSUMER PRODUCTS	1	.7%							1	.3%
	SALES/ PLUMBING			1	1.3%					1	.3%
	SALES/ TECHNOLOGY	1	.7%							1	.3%
	SALESMAN	1	.7%							1	.3%
	SALESMAN FOR U-HAUL					1	2.3%			1	.3%
	SCHOOL READINESS SPECIALIST							1	1.9%	1	.3%
	SCHOOL TEACHER			1	1.3%					1	.3%
	SECRETARY	1	.7%	1	1.3%			2	3.7%	4	1.2%
	SECURITY	1	.7%							1	.3%
	SECURITY GUARD	1	.7%							1	.3%
	SECURITY OFFICER	1	.7%							1	.3%
	SELF-EMPLOYED GENERAL CONTRACTOR			1	1.3%					1	.3%
	SELF-EMPLOYED SALES							1	1.9%	1	.3%
	SENIOR ACCOUNTANT CLERK			1	1.3%					1	.3%
	SENIOR CONSULTANT	1	.7%							1	.3%
	SERVICE COORDINATOR FOR DEVELOPMENTAL CHILDREN							1	1.9%	1	.3%
	SHIPPING CLERK	1	.7%							1	.3%
	SOCIAL WORKER	1	.7%							1	.3%
	SOFTWARE CONSULTANT					1	2.3%			1	.3%
	SPECIAL ED ASSISTANT/ SUBSTITUTE	1	.7%							1	.3%
	SPECIAL EDUCATION TEACHER			1	1.3%					1	.3%
	SPEECH THERAPIST	1	.7%							1	.3%
	SUBSTITUTE TEACHER					1	2.3%			1	.3%
	SUPERVISOR FOR CASE WORKERS FOR THE STATE	1	.7%							1	.3%
	TAX EXAMINER	1	.7%							1	.3%
	TEACHER'S AIDE/ MIRACLE WORKER FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT CORP					1	2.3%			1	.3%
	TEACHER	7	4.8%	5	6.3%			1	1.9%	13	4.0%
	TEACHER ASSISTANT	1	.7%							1	.3%
	TEACHER AT GRADE SCHOOL	1	.7%							1	.3%

TABLE 13. Employment Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
OCCUPATION OF	TEACHER/ COUNSELOR FOR HIGH SCHOOL							1	1.9%	1	.3%
RESPONDENT	TEAM LEADER /SUPERVISOR OF COMPUTERS FOR A STEEL MAKER	1	.7%							1	.3%
	TECHNICIAN ON COMPUTER	1	.7%							1	.3%
	TELECOMMUNICATION	1	.7%							1	.3%
	TELECOMMUNICATIONS			1	1.3%					1	.3%
	TELEMARKETER	1	.7%							1	.3%
İ	TELEMARKETING					1	2.3%	1	1.9%	2	.6%
	TELEPHONE INTERVIEWER							1	1.9%	1	.3%
	TELLER AT BANK	1	.7%							1	.3%
	TRAINING MANAGEMENT	1	.7%							1	.3%
	TRANSPORTATION							1	1.9%	1	.3%
	TRUCK DRIVER							1	1.9%	1	.3%
	ULTRASOUND TECH			1	1.3%					1	.3%
	UPS	1	.7%							1	.3%
	VICE PRINCIPAL							1	1.9%	1	.3%
	WEB DESIGNER			1	1.3%					1	.3%
	WORK AT A BANK AS A TELLER MANAGER					1	2.3%			1	.3%
	WORK AT WENDY'S							1	1.9%	1	.3%
	WORK FOR DETECTIVES			1	1.3%					1	.3%
Total	•	147	100.0%	80	100.0%	43	100.0%	54	100.0%	324	100.0%

TABLE 13. Employment Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				Total	
		Spring Garden		Northeast Regional Center		Northwest Regional Center		West Regi	West Regional Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
EMPLOYER OFFERS	No Response	3	2.0%	2	2.5%					5	1.5%
TUITION ASSISTANCE OR REIMBURSEMENT	Yes	59	40.1%	34	42.5%	16	37.2%	32	59.3%	141	43.5%
OK KEIIVIBUKSEIVIENI	No	84	57.1%	43	53.8%	27	62.8%	21	38.9%	175	54.0%
	Don't Know	1	.7%	1	1.3%			1	1.9%	3	.9%
Total		147	100.0%	80	100.0%	43	100.0%	54	100.0%	324	100.0%

EMPLOYER OFFERS TUITION ASSISTANCE OR REIMBURSEMENT * SERVICE AREA Crosstabulation

Count

		SERVICE AREA								
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total				
EMPLOYER OFFERS	No Response	3	2	0	0	5				
TUITION ASSISTANCE	Yes	59	34	16	32	141				
OR REIMBURSEMENT	No	84	43	27	21	175				
	Don't Know	1	1	0	1	3				
Total	•	147	80	43	54	324				

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.333 ^a	9	.324
Likelihood Ratio	11.947	9	.216
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.223	1	.269
N of Valid Cases	324		

a. 8 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .40.

TABLE 13. Employment Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Spring Garden		Northeast Regional Center		Northwest Regional Center		onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
TYPE OF	No Response	1	1.7%			1	6.3%			2	1.4%
REIMBURSEMENT	Full Reimbursement For Tuition	27	45.8%	10	29.4%	6	37.5%	11	34.4%	54	38.3%
	Partial Reimbursement For Tuition	27	45.8%	23	67.6%	8	50.0%	16	50.0%	74	52.5%
	Don't Know	4	6.8%	1	2.9%	1	6.3%	5	15.6%	11	7.8%
Total		59	100.0%	34	100.0%	16	100.0%	32	100.0%	141	100.0%

TYPE OF REIMBURSEMENT * SERVICE AREA Crosstabulation

Count

			SERVIC	E AREA		
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total
TYPE OF	No Response	1	0	1	0	2
REIMBURSEMENT	Full Reimbursement For Tuition	27	10	6	11	54
	Partial Reimbursement For Tuition	27	23	8	16	74
	Don't Know	4	1	1	5	11
Total		59	34	16	32	141

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.014 ^a	9	.275
Likelihood Ratio	10.408	9	.318
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.065	1	.151
N of Valid Cases	141		

a. 8 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .23.

TABLE 14. Demographic Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		st Regional enter	West Regi	onal Center		
	_	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
AGE OF	No Response	3	1.3%	3	2.6%	1	1.3%	1	1.2%	8	1.6%
RESPONDENT	18	2	.9%	1	.9%					3	.6%
	19	3	1.3%			1	1.3%	1	1.2%	5	1.0%
	20	6	2.7%					1	1.2%	7	1.4%
	21			1	.9%			1	1.2%	2	.4%
	22	6	2.7%	2	1.8%	4	5.0%	1	1.2%	13	2.6%
	23	5	2.2%			2	2.5%	2	2.4%	9	1.8%
	24	5	2.2%	3	2.6%	1	1.3%			9	1.8%
	25	10	4.5%	1	.9%			2	2.4%	13	2.6%
	26	7	3.1%	3	2.6%	1	1.3%	1	1.2%	12	2.4%
	27	7	3.1%	2	1.8%	2	2.5%	1	1.2%	12	2.4%
	28	7	3.1%	1	.9%	2	2.5%	2	2.4%	12	2.4%
	29	3	1.3%					1	1.2%	4	.8%

TABLE 14. Demographic Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				Total		
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center			
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	
AGE OF	30	3	1.3%	2	1.8%	2	2.5%	1	1.2%	8	1.6%	
RESPONDENT	31	2	.9%	1	.9%			2	2.4%	5	1.0%	
	32	7	3.1%	2	1.8%	3	3.8%	1	1.2%	13	2.6%	
	33	6	2.7%	2	1.8%			2	2.4%	10	2.0%	
	34	3	1.3%	1	.9%	3	3.8%			7	1.4%	
	35	6	2.7%	4	3.5%	3	3.8%	4	4.9%	17	3.4%	
	36	4	1.8%	1	.9%	2	2.5%	1	1.2%	8	1.6%	
	37	7	3.1%	2	1.8%	1	1.3%	2	2.4%	12	2.49	
	38	2	.9%	4	3.5%					6	1.29	
	39	5	2.2%	2	1.8%	3	3.8%	3	3.7%	13	2.6%	
	40	3	1.3%	2	1.8%	1	1.3%	1	1.2%	7	1.49	
	41	6	2.7%	1	.9%			1	1.2%	8	1.6%	
	42	5	2.2%	3	2.6%			2	2.4%	10	2.0%	
	43	3	1.3%	2	1.8%			1	1.2%	6	1.29	
	44	4	1.8%					1	1.2%	5	1.09	
	45	4	1.8%	3	2.6%	1	1.3%	4	4.9%	12	2.49	
	46	7	3.1%			2	2.5%	1	1.2%	10	2.09	
	47	4	1.8%	2	1.8%					6	1.29	
	48	2	.9%	2	1.8%	1	1.3%	3	3.7%	8	1.69	
	49	4	1.8%	5	4.4%	1	1.3%	2	2.4%	12	2.49	
	50	4	1.8%	2	1.8%	1	1.3%	4	4.9%	11	2.29	
	51	4	1.8%	4	3.5%	2	2.5%	2	2.4%	12	2.49	
	52	2	.9%	1	.9%			1	1.2%	4	.8%	
	53	3	1.3%	1	.9%	2	2.5%	1	1.2%	7	1.49	
	54	4	1.8%	5	4.4%	2	2.5%	1	1.2%	12	2.49	
	55	1	.4%	2	1.8%	1	1.3%	1	1.2%	5	1.09	
	56	1	.4%	1	.9%	1	1.3%	1	1.2%	4	.89	
	57	3	1.3%	5	4.4%					8	1.6%	
	58	3	1.3%	1	.9%	2	2.5%	6	7.3%	12	2.49	
	59	1	.4%	2	1.8%	1	1.3%	2	2.4%	6	1.29	
	60	4	1.8%	2	1.8%	2	2.5%	_		8	1.69	
	61	3	1.3%	2	1.8%	1	1.3%			6	1.29	
	62	4	1.8%	1	.9%	1	1.3%			6	1.29	
	63	1	.4%	2	1.8%	2	2.5%			5	1.09	
	64	3	1.3%	1	.9%	1	1.3%	1	1.2%	6	1.29	
	65	1 1	1.575	2	1.8%	3	3.8%	4	4.9%	9	1.89	
	66	1	.4%	_	1.070	2	2.5%	<u> </u>	1.070	3	.69	
	67	1	.4%	1	.9%	2	2.5%	1	1.2%	5	1.09	
	68	3	1.3%	'	.5 /0		2.0 /0	<u>'</u>	1.2/0	3	.69	
	69	5	2.2%			1	1.3%			6	1.29	

TABLE 14. Demographic Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	tal
		Spring	Garden	Northeast Cer	Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
AGE OF	70			3	2.6%	3	3.8%			6	1.29
RESPONDENT	71	3	1.3%	1	.9%			1	1.2%	5	1.0%
	72	2	.9%	2	1.8%	1	1.3%	1	1.2%	6	1.29
	73	2	.9%			3	3.8%	2	2.4%	7	1.49
	74	3	1.3%	2	1.8%					5	1.09
	75	4	1.8%	1	.9%					5	1.09
	76					2	2.5%	1	1.2%	3	.69
	77			2	1.8%	1	1.3%	1	1.2%	4	.89
	78					1	1.3%	1	1.2%	2	.49
	80	3	1.3%	1	.9%					4	.89
	81			1	.9%	1	1.3%			2	.49
	82			2	1.8%	1	1.3%	1	1.2%	4	.8%
	83					1	1.3%	1	1.2%	2	.49
	84	2	.9%					1	1.2%	3	.69
	85			1	.9%					1	.29
	86	1	.4%			1	1.3%			2	.49
	87			1	.9%					1	.29
	88							1	1.2%	1	.29
	89			1	.9%	1	1.3%			2	.49
	90	1	.4%	1	.9%					2	.49
	91			1	.9%					1	.29
	92			1	.9%					1	.29
	94	1	.4%		-					1	.29
Total	•	224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.09

Report

AGE OF RESPONDENT

SERVICE AREA	Mean	N	Std. Deviation
Spring Garden	43.51	221	17.508
Northeast Regional Center	50.77	111	18.163
Northwest Regional Center	50.89	79	19.130
West Regional Center	47.89	81	17.176
Total	47.05	492	18.130

Descriptives

AGE OF RESPONDENT

					95% Confidence	Interval for Mean		
	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Minimum	Maximum
Spring Garden	221	43.51	17.508	1.178	41.19	45.83	18	94
Northeast Regional Center	111	50.77	18.163	1.724	47.36	54.19	18	92
Northwest Regional Center	79	50.89	19.130	2.152	46.60	55.17	19	89
West Regional Center	81	47.89	17.176	1.908	44.09	51.69	19	88
Total	492	47.05	18.130	.817	45.45	48.66	18	94

ANOVA

AGE OF RESPONDENT

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	5526.953	3	1842.318	5.768	.001
Within Groups	155866.566	488	319.399		
Total	161393.518	491			

TABLE 14. Demographic Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional enter		st Regional enter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
REGION	Center City (#1)	20	8.9%							20	4.0%
	South Philadelphia (#2)	61	27.2%							61	12.2%
	South West Philadelphia (#3)	4	1.8%					31	37.8%	35	7.0%
	West Philadelphia (#4)							51	62.2%	51	10.2%
	Lower North Philadelphia (#5)	29	12.9%							29	5.8%
	Upper North Philadelphia (#6)	22	9.8%			17	21.3%			39	7.8%
	Kensington, Richmond, Bridesburg (#7)	30	13.4%							30	6.0%
	Roxborough, Manayunk (#8)	15	6.7%							15	3.0%
	Germantown, Chestnut Hill (#9)	3	1.3%			48	60.0%			51	10.2%
	Oak Lane, Olney (#10)	20	8.9%			15	18.8%			35	7.0%
	Near Northeast (#11)	20	8.9%	71	62.3%					91	18.2%
	Far Northeast (#12)			43	37.7%					43	8.6%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%

TABLE 14. Demographic Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

· ·					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden	Northeast Cer	t Regional nter	Northwes Cer	t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
ZIP CODE	19102	2	.9%							2	.49
	19103	9	4.0%							9	1.89
	19104							12	14.6%	12	2.4
	19106	5	2.2%							5	1.0
	19107	4	1.8%							4	.8
	19111			20	17.5%					20	4.0
	19114			11	9.6%					11	2.2
	19115			11	9.6%					11	2.2
	19116			10	8.8%					10	2.0
	19118	3	1.3%							3	.6
	19119					10	12.5%			10	2.0
	19120	20	8.9%							20	4.0
	19121	11	4.9%							11	2.2
	19122	5	2.2%							5	1.0
	19123	4	1.8%							4	.8
	19124	20	8.9%							20	4.0
	19125	8	3.6%							8	1.6
	19126					5	6.3%			5	1.0
	19127	2	.9%							2	.4
	19128	13	5.8%							13	2.6
	19129					4	5.0%			4	.8
	19130	9	4.0%							9	1.8
	19131							14	17.1%	14	2.8
	19132	14	6.3%							14	2.8
	19133	8	3.6%							8	1.6
	19134	19	8.5%							19	3.8
	19135			11	9.6%					11	2.2
	19136			12	10.5%					12	2.4
	19137	3	1.3%							3	.6
	19138		11070			11	13.8%			11	2.2
	19139							14	17.1%	14	2.8
	19140					17	21.3%			17	3.4
	19141					10	12.5%			10	2.0
	19142						,0	9	11.0%	9	1.8
	19143							22	26.8%	22	4.4
	19144					14	17.5%		20.070	14	2.8
	19145	16	7.1%				.7.070			16	3.2
	19146	14	6.3%							14	2.8
	19147	14	6.3%							14	2.8
	19148	17	7.6%							17	3.4

TABLE 14. Demographic Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter	Northwes Ce	t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
ZIP CODE	19149			17	14.9%					17	3.4%
	19150					9	11.3%			9	1.8%
	19151							11	13.4%	11	2.2%
	19152			11	9.6%					11	2.2%
	19153	4	1.8%							4	.8%
	19154			11	9.6%					11	2.2%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
MARITAL STATUS	No Response	2	.9%			1	1.3%	2	2.4%	5	1.0%
OF RESPONDENT	Married	77	34.4%	65	57.0%	33	41.3%	25	30.5%	200	40.0%
	Never Married	82	36.6%	21	18.4%	24	30.0%	25	30.5%	152	30.4%
	Separated/ Divorced	41	18.3%	16	14.0%	11	13.8%	16	19.5%	84	16.8%
	Widowed	22	9.8%	12	10.5%	11	13.8%	14	17.1%	59	11.8%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
ETHNIC	No Response	2	.9%			1	1.3%	1	1.2%	4	.8%
DECDONDENT L	African-American/ Black	78	34.8%	9	7.9%	55	68.8%	54	65.9%	196	39.2%
	Caucasian/ White	107	47.8%	89	78.1%	13	16.3%	15	18.3%	224	44.8%
	Asian/ Pacific Islander	7	3.1%	2	1.8%	1	1.3%	1	1.2%	11	2.2%
	Spanish Surname/ Latino	9	4.0%	2	1.8%	3	3.8%	2	2.4%	16	3.2%
	Multiracial	10	4.5%	3	2.6%	2	2.5%	5	6.1%	20	4.0%
	Other	5	2.2%	6	5.3%	3	3.8%			14	2.8%
	Do Not Want To Respond	6	2.7%	3	2.6%	2	2.5%	4	4.9%	15	3.0%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
OTHER ETHNIC	AMERICAN					1	33.3%			1	7.1%
BACKGROUND	CHEROKEE/ BLACK/ INDIAN					1	33.3%			1	7.1%
MENTIONED	CHINESE	1	20.0%							1	7.1%
	GOD'S CHILD	1	20.0%							1	7.1%
	HEBREW			1	16.7%					1	7.1%
	IRISH					1	33.3%			1	7.1%
	IRISH AMERICAN			1	16.7%					1	7.1%
	ITALIAN			2	33.3%					2	14.3%
	JEWISH	1	20.0%	2	33.3%					3	21.4%
	MEXICAN/ IRISH	1	20.0%							1	7.1%
	PUERTO RICAN	1	20.0%							1	7.1%
Total		5	100.0%	6	100.0%	3	100.0%			14	100.0%

TABLE 14. Demographic Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		Northeast Regional Center		st Regional enter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
ANNUAL FAMILY	No Response	3	1.3%			1	1.3%	1	1.2%	5	1.0%
INCOME	Less Than \$25,000	58	25.9%	13	11.4%	22	27.5%	22	26.8%	115	23.0%
	Less Than \$50,000	73	32.6%	30	26.3%	28	35.0%	30	36.6%	161	32.2%
	Less Than \$75,000	32	14.3%	38	33.3%	18	22.5%	14	17.1%	102	20.4%
	Less Than \$100,000	18	8.0%	13	11.4%	6	7.5%	8	9.8%	45	9.0%
	Less Than \$150,000	14	6.3%	7	6.1%			3	3.7%	24	4.8%
	More Than \$150,000	9	4.0%			2	2.5%			11	2.2%
	Refused	17	7.6%	13	11.4%	3	3.8%	4	4.9%	37	7.4%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
GENDER OF	Male	79	35.3%	40	35.1%	18	22.5%	25	30.5%	162	32.4%
RESPONDENT	Female	143	63.8%	74	64.9%	61	76.3%	57	69.5%	335	67.0%
	Could Not Determine	2	.9%			1	1.3%			3	.6%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%

Crosstab

Count

		SERVICE AREA							
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total			
MARITAL STATUS	No Response	2	0	1	2	5			
OF RESPONDENT	Married	77	65	33	25	200			
	Never Married	82	21	24	25	152			
	Separated/ Divorced	41	16	11	16	84			
	Widowed	22	12	11	14	59			
Total		224	114	80	82	500			

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	27.762 ^a	12	.006
Likelihood Ratio	28.244	12	.005
Linear-by-Linear Association	.866	1	.352
N of Valid Cases	500		

a. 4 cells (20.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .80.

Crosstab

Count

			SERVIC	E AREA		
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total
ETHNIC	No Response	2	0	1	1	4
BACKGROUND OF	African-American/ Black	78	9	55	54	196
RESPONDENT	Caucasian/ White	107	89	13	15	224
	Asian/ Pacific Islander	7	2	1	1	11
	Spanish Surname/ Latino	9	2	3	2	16
	Multiracial	10	3	2	5	20
	Other	5	6	3	0	14
	Do Not Want To Respond	6	3	2	4	15
Total		224	114	80	82	500

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	131.165 ^a	21	.000
Likelihood Ratio	145.376	21	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.089	1	.079
N of Valid Cases	500		

a. 20 cells (62.5%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .64.

Crosstab

Count

			SERVIC	E AREA		
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total
ANNUAL	No Response	3	0	1	1	5
FAMILY INCOME	Less Than \$25,000	58	13	22	22	115
INCOME	Less Than \$50,000	73	30	28	30	161
	Less Than \$75,000	32	38	18	14	102
	Less Than \$100,000	18	13	6	8	45
	Less Than \$150,000	14	7	0	3	24
	More Than \$150,000	9	0	2	0	11
	Refused	17	13	3	4	37
Total		224	114	80	82	500

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	45.206 ^a	21	.002
Likelihood Ratio	54.201	21	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.697	1	.055
N of Valid Cases	500		

a. 10 cells (31.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .80.

Crosstab

Count

		SERVICE AREA							
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total			
GENDER OF	Male	79	40	18	25	162			
RESPONDENT	Female	143	74	61	57	335			
	Could Not Determine	2	0	1	0	3			
Total	Total		114	80	82	500			

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.902 ^a	6	.330
Likelihood Ratio	8.133	6	.228
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.849	1	.174
N of Valid Cases	500		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .48.

TABLE 15. Families With High School Age Children By Service Area

			SERVICE AREA								
		Spring Garden		Northeast Regional Center		Northwest Regional Center		West Regional Center			
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
HIGH SCHOOL	No Response	2	.9%			1	1.3%			3	.6%
AGE CHILDREN AT HOME	Yes	32	14.3%	6	5.3%	15	18.8%	11	13.4%	64	12.8%
AT HOME	No	190	84.8%	108	94.7%	64	80.0%	71	86.6%	433	86.6%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%

TABLE 15-A. Anticipated College Attendance Of Children By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring Garden			Northeast Regional Center		Northwest Regional Center		West Regional Center		
		Count	ount Col % C		Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
EXPECT CHILDREN WILL	Yes	31	96.9%	6	100.0%	15	100.0%	11	100.0%	63	98.4%
ATTEND COLLEGE	No	1	3.1%							1	1.6%
Total		32	100.0%	6	100.0%	15	100.0%	11	100.0%	64	100.0%

TABLE 15-B. Attitude Toward Beginning At Community College Of Philadelphia By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional enter	Northwest Regional Center		West Regional Center			
		Count	unt Col % Cou		Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
LIKELIHOOD TO	No Response	1	3.2%							1	1.6%
ENCOURAGE CHILD TO ATTEND COMMUNITY	Very Unlikely	1	3.2%			2	13.3%	1	9.1%	4	6.3%
COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	Unlikely	7	22.6%	3	50.0%	5	33.3%	2	18.2%	17	27.0%
COLLEGE OF THE RELETION	Likely	12	38.7%	1	16.7%	6	40.0%	4	36.4%	23	36.5%
	Very Likely	10	32.3%	2	33.3%	2	13.3%	4	36.4%	18	28.6%
Total		31	100.0%	6	100.0%	15	100.0%	11	100.0%	63	100.0%

TABLE 15-C. Rationale For Likelihood Of Children Attending Community College Of Philadelphia By Service Area

							SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
				Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
LIKELIHOOD Likely RATIONALE TO FOR ENCOURAGE LIKELIHOOD	BECAUSE I'D RATHER THEY GO WHERE THEY GET A FULL COLLEGE EXP					1	12.5%			1	2.4%		
CHILD TO		TO	BECAUSE IT'S AFFORDABLE	1	4.5%							1	2.4%
ATTEND ENCOURAGE COMMUNITY CHILD TO COLLEGE OF ATTEND	BECAUSE IT'S CHEAP AND CONVENIENT	1	4.5%							1	2.4%		
PHILADELPHIA	PHILADELPHIA COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA C	BECAUSE OF FINANCES AND CLOSE TO HOME	1	4.5%							1	2.4%	
		CHILD AT A HIGH SCHOOL IS MORE LIKELY TO GO TO COLLEGE					1	12.5%			1	2.4%	
			CLOSE AND AFFORDABLE	1	4.5%							1	2.4%
			CONVENIENCE	1	4.5%							1	2.4%
			COST AND CLOSE TO HOME	1	4.5%							1	2.4%
			COURSE OFFERING					1	12.5%			1	2.4%
			DEPENDS ON WHICH ONE IT IS					1	12.5%			1	2.4%
			GOOD COST-WISE AND ACCUSTOMED TO COLLEGE LIFE					1	12.5%			1	2.4%
			GOOD SCHOOL	1	4.5%							1	2.4%
			GOOD WAY TO START AND GET BASICS FOR LESS COST	1	4.5%							1	2.4%
			HE'S NOT ACADEMIC/ DO BETTER IN COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1	4.5%							1	2.4%

TABLE 15-C. Rationale For Likelihood Of Children Attending Community College Of Philadelphia By Service Area

							SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
				Spring	Garden		t Regional enter	Northwest Regional Center		West Regional Center			
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
LIKELIHOOD TO ENCOURAGE CHILD TO ATTEND COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	Likely	RATIONALE FOR LIKELIHOOD TO ENCOURAGE CHILD TO ATTEND COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	I'M HELPING TO PAY/I THINK THE COMMUNITY COLLEGE HAS A GOOD							1	12.5%	1	2.4%
			I DON'T KNOW WHICH COLLEGE/ DON'T KNOW MUCH ABOUT COLLEGE	1	4.5%							1	2.4%
			I HAVE A COUSIN THAT WENT AND IT WAS VERY INTERESTING					1	12.5%			1	2.4%
			IT'S BETTER THAN NOTHING							1	12.5%	1	2.4%
			LIKE NORTHEAST CAMPUS TEACHERS/ DOWNTOWN TEACHERS PRESS THEI			1	33.3%					1	2.4%
			MY DAUGHTER WENT THERE AND IT WAS A GOOD EXPERIENCE FOR HER	1	4.5%							1	2.4%
			THEY CAN'T GO TO ANOTHER COLLEGE/ THEY CAN GO THERE	1	4.5%							1	2.4%
			TWO-YEAR SAVING/ FINANCIAL							1	12.5%	1	2.4%
			WE'RE CLOSE BY							1	12.5%	1	2.4%

TABLE 15-C. Rationale For Likelihood Of Children Attending Community College Of Philadelphia By Service Area

				SERVICE AREA									otal
				Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regional Center			
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
LIKELIHOOD TO ENCOURAGE CHILD TO ATTEND COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	Very Likely	RATIONALE FOR LIKELIHOOD	A GOOD START BEFORE WE THROW HER INTO FOUR-YEAR SCHOOL					1	12.5%			1	2.4%
		TO ENCOURAGE CHILD TO	BE A GOOD PREPARATORY SCHOOL FOR MY SON			1	33.3%					1	2.4%
		ATTEND COMMUNITY	BECAUSE IT WOULD HELP HIM EVERY DAY	1	4.5%							1	2.4%
		COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	GET BASIC STUFF AT REASONABLE PRICE THEN TRANSFER TO FOUR-YE	1	4.5%							1	2.4%
			GET BASICS/ IT'S BETTER TO HAVE SOME SCHOOL THAN NO SCHOOL	1	4.5%							1	2.4%
			GREAT COLLEGE/ COST/ LOCATION							1	12.5%	1	2.4%
			I WENT THERE/ I LIKE THE SCHOOL	1	4.5%							1	2.4%
			IT'S A GOOD STARTER SCHOOL	1	4.5%							1	2.4%
			IT IS A GOOD START ON A FOUR-YEAR DEGREE	1	4.5%							1	2.4%
			IT WAS A GOOD START ON A FOUR-YEAR DEGREE AND CLOSE TO HOME	1	4.5%							1	2.4%
			KEEP HER CLOSE TO HOME							1	12.5%	1	2.4%
			LOCATION/ GOOD SCHOOL							1	12.5%	1	2.4%
			LOOKING FOR CERTAIN CLASS	1	4.5%							1	2.4%
			NO RESPONSE	1	4.5%							1	2.4%
			SO THEY FURTHER THEIR EDUCATION AND GET BETTER JOBS					1	12.5%			1	2.4%
			VERY GOOD COLLEGE/ FINANCIAL AID/ LOCATION/ GOOD STARTER COL			1	33.3%					1	2.4%
			VERY GOOD SCHOOL	1	4.5%							1	2.4%
			VERY MUCH ENCOURAGE BECAUSE I WENT THERE							1	12.5%	1	2.4%
Total			•	22	100.0%	3	100.0%	8	100.0%	8	100.0%	41	100.0%

TABLE 15-C. Rationale For Likelihood Of Children Attending Community College Of Philadelphia By Service Area

				SERVICE AREA									otal
				Spring Garden Count Col %		Northeast Regional Center		Northwest Regional Center		West Regional Center			
			_			Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
	Very Unlikely	RATIONALE FOR LIKELIHOOD TO NOT ENCOURAGE CHILD TO ATTEND COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	BECAUSE MY CHILDREN HAVE A POTENTIAL FOR HIGHER COLLEGE							1	33.3%	1	4.8%
			DAUGHTER WANTS TO TRAVEL	1	12.5%							1	4.8%
			SON IS AN ATHLETE AND THEY DON'T HAVE ATHLETICS THERE/ WANTS					1	14.3%			1	4.8%
			WANT THEM TO GO SOMEWHERE BIGGER					1	14.3%			1	4.8%

TABLE 15-C. Rationale For Likelihood Of Children Attending Community College Of Philadelphia By Service Area

							SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
				Spring	Garden		t Regional enter	Northwest Regional Center		West Regional Center			
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
LIKELIHOOD TO ENCOURAGE CHILD TO ATTEND COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	Unlikely	RATIONALE FOR LIKELIHOOD TO NOT ENCOURAGE CHILD TO ATTEND	ATTEND A FOUR-YEAR COLLEGE	1	12.5%							1	4.8%
			DID NOT HAVE CLASSES THAT WERE WANTED	1	12.5%							1	4.8%
		COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	HE WANTS TO GO OUT OF STATE					1	14.3%			1	4.8%
		PHILADELPHIA	I DON'T THINK THEY HAVE A PROGRAM THAT IS APPROPRIATE	1	12.5%							1	4.8%
			I THINK THEY'LL BE ELIGIBLE FOR FOUR-YEAR SCHOLARSHIPS							1	33.3%	1	4.8%
			I WANT A REAL COLLEGE FOR THEM	1	12.5%							1	4.8%
			I WANT HER TO TRAVEL							1	33.3%	1	4.8%
			I WANT THEM TO GO TO A BETTER SCHOOL					1	14.3%			1	4.8%
			I WANT THEM TO GO TO A FOUR-YEAR					1	14.3%			1	4.8%
			I WANT THEM TO GO TO A FOUR-YEAR COLLEGE			1	33.3%					1	4.8%
			LOOKING FOR FOUR-YEAR COLLEGE THAT'S NOT IN TOWN	1	12.5%							1	4.8%
			MY TWELVE YEAR OLD HAS A SCHOLARSHIP TO A FOUR-YEAR COLLEGE					1	14.3%			1	4.8%
			RATHER THEM EXPERIENCE BEING AWAY FROM HOME					1	14.3%			1	4.8%
			SHE WAS TO GO TO A FOUR-YEAR COLLEGE	1	12.5%							1	4.8%
			THE ADVERSE PUBLICITY REGARDING THE PERSON WHO TOOK MONEY TO	1	12.5%							1	4.8%
			THEY'LL GO RIGHT TO FOUR-YEAR COLLEGE			1	33.3%					1	4.8%
			WOULD ENCOURAGE TO GO TO A UNIVERSITY			1	33.3%					1	4.8%
Total				8	100.0%	3	100.0%	7	100.0%	3	100.0%	21	100.0%