Community College Of Philadelphia Community Scan Tabular Results By Classification

TABLE 1-A. Verbatim Unaided Recall Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter	Northwes Ce	t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	ARCADIA			1	.9%	2	2.5%			3	.6%
MENTIONED - FIRST	ARCADIA UNIVERSITY			1	.9%					1	.2%
RESPONSE	BRYN MAWR							1	1.2%	1	.2%
	BUCKS COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE			1	.9%					1	.2%
	CAN'T THINK OF ANY			1	.9%					1	.2%
	CCP	1	.4%	2	1.8%					3	.6%
	CLARK ATLANTA					1	1.3%			1	.2%
	COMMUNITY	1	.4%							1	.2%
	COMMUNITY COLLEGE	5	2.2%	1	.9%			1	1.2%	7	1.4%
	COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	11	4.9%			7	8.8%	5	6.1%	23	4.6%
	COMMUNITY OF PHILADELPHIA	1	.4%	1	.9%					2	.4%
	COMMUNITY PHILADELPHIA COLLEGE					1	1.3%			1	.2%
	DELAWARE STATE COLLEGE	1	.4%							1	.2%
	DEVRY	1	.4%							1	.2%
	DREXEL	10	4.5%	2	1.8%	3	3.8%	7	8.5%	22	4.4%
	DREXEL UNIVERSITY	2	.9%					1	1.2%	3	.6%
	HANEMAN					1	1.3%			1	.2%
	HARVARD	1	.4%							1	.2%
	HAVERFORD COLLEGE							1	1.2%	1	.2%
	HOLY FAMILY	1	.4%	8	7.0%					9	1.8%
	HOLY FAMILY COLLEGE	1	.4%	2	1.8%					3	.6%
	HOLY FAMILY UNIVERSITY			1	.9%					1	.2%
	IMMACULATE COLLEGE			1	.9%					1	.2%
	JEFFERSON	1	.4%							1	.2%
	KUTZTOWN/ PENN U			1	.9%					1	.2%
	LASALLE	5	2.2%	13	11.4%	7	8.8%			25	5.0%
	LASALLE COLLEGE			1	.9%	2	2.5%			3	.6%
	LASALLE UNIVERSITY					1	1.3%			1	.2%
	LINCOLN							1	1.2%	1	.2%
	LITTLE FLOWER	1	.4%							1	.2%
	MOORE COLLEGE OF ART AND DESIGN	1	.4%							1	.2%

TABLE 1-A. Verbatim Unaided Recall Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter	Northwes Cer	t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	MORGAN STATE							1	1.2%	1	.2%
MENTIONED - FIRST	NEWMAN	1	.4%							1	.2%
RESPONSE	NOTRE DAME	1	.4%							1	.2%
	PENN	12	5.4%	3	2.6%	2	2.5%	3	3.7%	20	4.0%
	PENN STATE	10	4.5%	12	10.5%	3	3.8%	2	2.4%	27	5.4%
	PENNSYLVANIA	2	.9%	1	.9%					3	.6%
	PHIL COMMUNITY COLLEGE			1	.9%					1	.2%
	PHILADELPHIA COLLEGE	2	.9%					1	1.2%	3	.6%
	PHILADELPHIA COLLEGE CALLED PENN STATE	1	.4%							1	.2%
	PHILADELPHIA COMMUNITY	1	.4%							1	.2%
	PHILADELPHIA COMMUNITY COLLEGE	8	3.6%	1	.9%	2	2.5%	1	1.2%	12	2.4%
	PHILADELPHIA UNIVERSITY	2	.9%							2	.4%
	PRINCETON			1	.9%	1	1.3%			2	.4%
	RICE	1	.4%							1	.2%
	ROSEMONT							1	1.2%	1	.2%
	SAINT JOE'S	1	.4%	5	4.4%	2	2.5%	6	7.3%	14	2.8%
	SAINT JOE'S UNIVERSITY			1	.9%					1	.2%
	SAINT JOE	1	.4%							1	.2%
	SAINT JOSEPH'S UNIVERSITY			2	1.8%					2	.4%
	SAINT JOSEPH	2	.9%							2	.4%
	SPELLMAN	1	.4%							1	.2%
	SWARTHMORE COLLEGE	1	.4%							1	.2%
	TEMPLE	77	34.4%	26	22.8%	30	37.5%	27	32.9%	160	32.0%
	TEMPLE COLLEGE	1	.4%							1	.2%
	TEMPLE UNIVERSITY	19	8.5%	6	5.3%	3	3.8%			28	5.6%
	THE COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1	.4%							1	.2%
	THE COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA					1	1.3%			1	.2%
	U OF P			2	1.8%			1	1.2%	3	.6%
	U OF PENN	2	.9%					1	1.2%	3	.6%
	U PENN							1	1.2%	1	.2%
	UNIVERSITY OF PENN	10	4.5%	6	5.3%	3	3.8%	10	12.2%	29	5.8%
	UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA	17	7.6%	6	5.3%	7	8.8%	7	8.5%	37	7.4%
	UNIVERSITY OF PHILADELPHIA							1	1.2%	1	.2%
	UNIVERSITY OF THE ARTS	1	.4%							1	.2%
	URSINUS			1	.9%					1	.2%
	VILLANOVA	3	1.3%	2	1.8%			1	1.2%	6	1.2%
	VILLANOVA COLLEGE	1	.4%							1	.2%

TABLE 1-A. Verbatim Unaided Recall Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		st Regional enter		st Regional enter	West Regi	onal Center		
	_	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	WEST CHESTER UNIVERSITY	1	.4%	1	.9%					2	.4%
MENTIONED - FIRST	WHARTON					1	1.3%			1	.2%
RESPONSE	WIDENER							1	1.2%	1	.2%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%

TABLE 1-A. Verbatim Unaided Recall Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter	Northwest Cer		West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	ARCADIA	2	1.4%			1	2.3%			3	1.0%
MENTIONED - SECOND	ARCADIA UNIVERSITY			1	1.5%					1	.3%
RESPONSE	BRYN MAWR							1	2.3%	1	.3%
11201 01102	BRYN MAWR COLLEGE	1	.7%							1	.3%
	BUCKS COMMUNITY COLLEGE			1	1.5%					1	.3%
	BUCKS COUNTY COMMUNITY			1	1.5%					1	.3%
	CCP			1	1.5%					1	.3%
	CCP/ COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	1	.7%							1	.3%
	CHEYNEY	1	.7%					1	2.3%	2	.7%
	COMMUNITY	2	1.4%							2	.7%
	COMMUNITY COLLEGE	3	2.1%							3	1.0%
	COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	5	3.5%			2	4.5%	1	2.3%	8	2.7%
	COMMUNITY OF PHILADELPHIA	1	.7%							1	.3%
	DELAWARE VALLEY	1	.7%							1	.3%
	DREXEL	26	18.1%	6	9.2%	4	9.1%	10	22.7%	46	15.5%
	DREXEL UNIVERSITY	4	2.8%							4	1.3%
	EASTERN UNIVERSITY			1	1.5%					1	.3%
	HOLY FAMILY			3	4.6%					3	1.0%
	IMMACULATE	1	.7%							1	.3%
	JEFFERSON UNIVERSITY			1	1.5%					1	.3%
	LASALLE	7	4.9%	3	4.6%	6	13.6%			16	5.4%
	LASALLE UNIVERSITY	5	3.5%							5	1.7%
	MOORE LIBERAL ARTS			1	1.5%					1	.3%
	MORGAN							1	2.3%	1	.3%
	PEIRCE	1	.7%							1	.3%
	PENN	2	1.4%	2	3.1%	1	2.3%	2	4.5%	7	2.4%
	PENN STATE	10	6.9%	9	13.8%	1	2.3%			20	6.7%
	PENN STATE/ UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA					1	2.3%			1	.3%
	PENNSYLVANIA	1	.7%							1	.3%
	PHILADELPHIA BIBLICAL UNIVERSITY					1	2.3%			1	.3%
	PHILADELPHIA COMMUNITY	1	.7%							1	.3%
	PHILADELPHIA COMMUNITY COLLEGE					1	2.3%			1	.3%
	PHILADELPHIA UNIVERSITY	1	.7%							1	.3%
	PHOENIX	1	.7%							1	.3%
	REED			1	1.5%					1	.3%
	SAINT JOE'S	2	1.4%					2	4.5%	4	1.3%

TABLE 1-A. Verbatim Unaided Recall Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	SAINT JOE'S COLLEGE	1	.7%							1	.3%
MENTIONED - SECOND	SAINT JOE'S UNIVERSITY	2	1.4%			1	2.3%			3	1.0%
RESPONSE	SAINT JOSEPH'S	1	.7%	1	1.5%					2	.7%
	SAINT JOSEPH							1	2.3%	1	.3%
	SAINT JOSEPH UNIVERSITY			1	1.5%					1	.3%
	STOCKTON	1	.7%							1	.3%
	SWARTHMORE COLLEGE	1	.7%							1	.3%
	TEMPLE	29	20.1%	16	24.6%	13	29.5%	15	34.1%	73	24.6%
	TEMPLE UNIVERSITY	2	1.4%			1	2.3%	3	6.8%	6	2.0%
	U OF PENN	1	.7%			1	2.3%			2	.7%
	U PENN	1	.7%			1	2.3%	1	2.3%	3	1.0%
	UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA			1	1.5%					1	.3%
	UNIVERSITY OF PENN	10	6.9%	3	4.6%	2	4.5%			15	5.1%
	UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA	10	6.9%	5	7.7%	5	11.4%	5	11.4%	25	8.4%
	UNIVERSITY OF PHILADELPHIA					1	2.3%			1	.3%
	UNIVERSITY OF PHOENIX	1	.7%							1	.3%
	UNIVERSITY OF THE ARTS	1	.7%							1	.3%
	VILLANOVA	1	.7%	3	4.6%	1	2.3%			5	1.7%
	WAGNER UNIVERSITY	1	.7%							1	.3%
	WEST CHESTER	1	.7%					1	2.3%	2	.7%
	WEST CHESTER UNIVERSITY			1	1.5%					1	.3%
	WIDENER			2	3.1%					2	.7%
	WIDENER UNIVERSITY	1	.7%							1	.3%
	YALE			1	1.5%					1	.3%
Total		144	100.0%	65	100.0%	44	100.0%	44	100.0%	297	100.0%

TABLE 1-A. Verbatim Unaided Recall Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	ıtal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter	Northwest	t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	ALBRIGHT			1	2.5%					1	.5%
MENTIONED - THIRD	ALLEGHENY	1	1.1%							1	.5%
RESPONSE	ANT							1	3.3%	1	.5%
KEOI OHOL	ARCADIA			1	2.5%					1	.5%
	BERKELEY	1	1.1%							1	.5%
	BLOOMSBURG					1	3.0%			1	.5%
	BRYN MAWR COLLEGE	1	1.1%							1	.5%
	CC OF P	1	1.1%							1	.5%
	CCP	1	1.1%	1	2.5%					2	1.0%
	CHEYNEY							2	6.7%	2	1.0%
	COLUMBIA			1	2.5%					1	.5%
	COMMUNITY COLLEGE					1	3.0%			1	.5%
	COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	1	1.1%							1	.5%
	DREXEL	13	14.1%	6	15.0%	9	27.3%	7	23.3%	35	17.9%
	DREXEL UNIVERSITY							2	6.7%	2	1.0%
	HAVERFORD	1	1.1%							1	.5%
	HAVERFORD COLLEGE	1	1.1%							1	.5%
	HOLY FAMILY	1	1.1%	1	2.5%					2	1.0%
	HOLY FAMILY UNIVERSITY			1	2.5%					1	.5%
	JEFFERSON	4	4.3%							4	2.1%
	LASALLE	6	6.5%	5	12.5%	11	33.3%	4	13.3%	26	13.3%
	LASALLE UNIVERSITY	1	1.1%							1	.5%
	LINCOLN	1	1.1%							1	.5%
	LINCOLN UNIVERSITY	1	1.1%							1	.5%
	MORGAN STATE							1	3.3%	1	.5%
	NEWMAN	1	1.1%							1	.5%
	OLD DOMINION UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA	1	1.1%							1	.5%
	PEIRCE	1	1.1%							1	.5%
	PEIRCE COLLEGE	1	1.1%							1	.5%
	PENN	3	3.3%	2	5.0%			1	3.3%	6	3.1%
	PENN STATE	2	2.2%	1	2.5%	1	3.0%	1	3.3%	5	2.6%
	PENN STATE UNIVERSITY	1	1.1%							1	.5%
	PENNSYLVANIA ACADEMY OF FINE ARTS	1	1.1%							1	.5%
	PHILADELPHIA COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1	1.1%					1	3.3%	2	1.0%
	PHILADELPHIA SCHOOL OF FASHION AND DESIGN			1	2.5%					1	.5%
	PHILADELPHIA UNIVERSITY			1	2.5%	1	3.0%			2	1.0%

TABLE 1-A. Verbatim Unaided Recall Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional enter		t Regional enter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	ROSEMONT	1	1.1%							1	.5%
MENTIONED - THIRD	ROWAN	1	1.1%							1	.5%
RESPONSE	SAINT JOE'S	2	2.2%			1	3.0%	2	6.7%	5	2.6%
1.20. 0.102	SAINT JOSEPH'S	1	1.1%							1	.5%
	TEMPLE	20	21.7%	8	20.0%	4	12.1%	2	6.7%	34	17.4%
	TEMPLE UNIVERSITY	3	3.3%							3	1.5%
	U OF P			1	2.5%					1	.5%
	U OF PENN	1	1.1%							1	.5%
	UP							1	3.3%	1	.5%
	UNIVERSITY OF HARRISBURG	1	1.1%							1	.5%
	UNIVERSITY OF PENN	3	3.3%	1	2.5%			1	3.3%	5	2.6%
	UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA	5	5.4%			4	12.1%	1	3.3%	10	5.1%
	UNIVERSITY OF PHILADELPHIA							1	3.3%	1	.5%
	VILLANOVA	3	3.3%	6	15.0%			2	6.7%	11	5.6%
	WAGNER COLLEGE	1	1.1%							1	.5%
	WEST CHESTER	1	1.1%	1	2.5%					2	1.0%
	WEST CHESTER UNIVERSITY	1	1.1%	1	2.5%					2	1.0%
	WIDENER	1	1.1%							1	.5%
Total		92	100.0%	40	100.0%	33	100.0%	30	100.0%	195	100.0%

TABLE 1-A. Verbatim Unaided Recall Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter	Northwest Cer		West Region	onal Center		
İ	j	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	ANTIOCH					1	5.9%			1	1.0%
MENTIONED - FOURTH	ARCADIA					1	5.9%			1	1.0%
RESPONSE	BLOOMSBURG	1	2.1%							1	1.0%
INEON ONCE	BRYN MAWR	2	4.2%	2	10.0%					4	3.8%
	BRYN MAWR COLLEGE	1	2.1%							1	1.0%
	CHEYNEY							2	10.5%	2	1.9%
	COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	3	6.3%	1	5.0%					4	3.8%
	COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA AND ANNEX	1	2.1%							1	1.0%
	DREXEL	13	27.1%	1	5.0%	4	23.5%	1	5.3%	19	18.3%
	EASTERN			1	5.0%					1	1.0%
	HAVERFORD					1	5.9%	1	5.3%	2	1.9%
	HAVERFORD COLLEGE	1	2.1%							1	1.0%
	HOLY FAMILY	1	2.1%	1	5.0%					2	1.9%
	JEFFERSON	1	2.1%							1	1.0%
	LASALLE	3	6.3%	2	10.0%	2	11.8%	1	5.3%	8	7.7%
	MATHOON COLLEGE IN FLORIDA							1	5.3%	1	1.0%
	MORAVIAN COLLEGE	1	2.1%							1	1.0%
	MOREHOUSE							1	5.3%	1	1.0%
	P COM							1	5.3%	1	1.0%
	PENN	2	4.2%	1	5.0%			1	5.3%	4	3.8%
	PENN STATE	1	2.1%	2	10.0%	1	5.9%			4	3.8%
	PHILADELPHIA CC					1	5.9%			1	1.0%
	PHILADELPHIA UNIVERSITY	1	2.1%			1	5.9%			2	1.9%
	PRINCETON	1	2.1%							1	1.0%
	RUTGERS	1	2.1%							1	1.0%
	SAINT JOE'S	1	2.1%	1	5.0%			2	10.5%	4	3.8%
	SAINT JOSEPH'S					1	5.9%			1	1.0%
	SAINT JOSEPH'S UNIVERSITY	1	2.1%							1	1.0%
	TEMPLE	5	10.4%	4	20.0%			2	10.5%	11	10.6%
	TEMPLE UNIVERSITY			1	5.0%					1	1.0%
	UNIVERSITY OF ARTS	1	2.1%							1	1.0%
	UNIVERSITY OF PENN	1	2.1%	1	5.0%	1	5.9%			3	2.9%
	UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA					1	5.9%	3	15.8%	4	3.8%
	UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCES							1	5.3%	1	1.0%
	UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCES IN PHILADELPHIA							1	5.3%	1	1.0%
	UNIVERSITY OF THE ARTS	1	2.1%							1	1.0%

TABLE 1-A. Verbatim Unaided Recall Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
					t Regional		t Regional				
	-		Garden		nter		nter		onal Center	0	0-10/
COLLEGES	VERSAILLES	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
MENTIONED	VILLANOVA		0.00/		10.00/	1	5.9%		5.00 /	1	1.0%
- FOURTH	VILLANOVA VILLANOVA UNIVERSITY	4	8.3%	2	10.0%		5.00 /	1	5.3%	7	6.7%
RESPONSE	VILLANOVA UNIVERSITY					1	5.9%			1	1.0%
Total	ADCADIA	48	100.0%	20	100.0%	17	100.0%	19	100.0%	104	100.0%
COLLEGES MENTIONED	ARCADIA			1	16.7%				4.4.407	1	2.8%
- FIFTH	BRYN MAWR CHEYNEY							1	11.1%	1	2.8%
RESPONSE	COMMUNITY COLLEGE					1	14.3%		4.4.407	1	2.8%
	COMMUNITY COLLEGE COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF							1	11.1%	1	2.8%
	PHILADELPHIA					5	71.4%			5	13.9%
	COMMUNITY OF PHILADELPHIA	1	7.1%							1	2.8%
	DREXEL			1	16.7%			1	11.1%	2	5.6%
	HAVERFORD			1	16.7%					1	2.8%
	LASALLE	1	7.1%					1	11.1%	2	5.6%
	LASALLE UNIVERSITY	1	7.1%							1	2.8%
	LOCK HAVEN	1	7.1%							1	2.8%
	PEIRCE BUSINESS	1	7.1%							1	2.8%
	PENN STATE	1	7.1%							1	2.8%
	PHILADELPHIA COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1	7.1%							1	2.8%
	PHILADELPHIA UNIVERSITY					1	14.3%			1	2.8%
	ROSEMONT	1	7.1%							1	2.8%
	SAINT JOE'S							1	11.1%	1	2.8%
	SAINT JOSEPH'S UNIVERSITY			1	16.7%					1	2.8%
	TEMPLE	3	21.4%	1	16.7%					4	11.1%
	THE COLLEGE OF SCIENCES OF PHILADELPHIA							1	11.1%	1	2.8%
	UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCES							1	11.1%	1	2.8%
	VILLANOVA	3	21.4%							3	8.3%
	VIRGINIA STATE							1	11.1%	1	2.8%
	WEST CHESTER			1	16.7%					1	2.8%
	WHARTON							1	11.1%	1	2.8%
Total		14	100.0%	6	100.0%	7	100.0%	9	100.0%	36	100.0%
Community Collog	e Of Philadelphia Copyright CLAR	LIS Corpora	tion 18 Jan 06								

TABLE 1-B. Verbatim Unaided Recall Of Area Educational Institutions (Multiple Responses) By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter	Northwes Ce	t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	ALBRIGHT			1	.4%					1	.1%
MENTIONED - MULTIPLE	ALLEGHENY	1	.2%							1	.1%
RESPONSES	ANT							1	.5%	1	.1%
	ANTIOCH					1	.6%			1	.1%
	ARCADIA	2	.4%	3	1.2%	4	2.2%			9	.8%
	ARCADIA UNIVERSITY			2	.8%					2	.2%
	BERKELEY	1	.2%							1	.1%
	BLOOMSBURG	1	.2%			1	.6%			2	.2%
	BRYN MAWR	2	.4%	2	.8%			3	1.6%	7	.6%
	BRYN MAWR COLLEGE	3	.6%							3	.3%
	BUCKS COMMUNITY COLLEGE			1	.4%					1	.1%
	BUCKS COUNTY COMMUNITY			1	.4%					1	.1%
	BUCKS COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE			1	.4%					1	.1%
	CAN'T THINK OF ANY			1	.4%					1	.1%
	CC OF P	1	.2%							1	.1%
	CCP	2	.4%	4	1.6%					6	.5%
	CCP/ COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	1	.2%							1	.1%
	CHEYNEY	1	.2%			1	.6%	5	2.7%	7	.6%
	CLARK ATLANTA					1	.6%			1	.1%
	COLUMBIA			1	.4%					1	.1%
	COMMUNITY	3	.6%							3	.3%
	COMMUNITY COLLEGE	8	1.5%	1	.4%	1	.6%	2	1.1%	12	1.1%
	COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	20	3.8%	1	.4%	14	7.7%	6	3.3%	41	3.6%
	COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA AND ANNEX	1	.2%							1	.1%
	COMMUNITY OF PHILADELPHIA	3	.6%	1	.4%					4	.4%
	COMMUNITY PHILADELPHIA COLLEGE					1	.6%			1	.1%
	DELAWARE STATE COLLEGE	1	.2%							1	.1%
	DELAWARE VALLEY	1	.2%							1	.1%
	DEVRY	1	.2%							1	.1%
	DREXEL	62	11.9%	16	6.5%	20	11.0%	26	14.1%	124	11.0%
	DREXEL UNIVERSITY	6	1.1%					3	1.6%	9	.8%
	EASTERN			1	.4%					1	.1%
	EASTERN UNIVERSITY			1	.4%					1	.1%
	HANEMAN					1	.6%			1	.1%
	HARVARD	1	.2%							1	.1%
	HAVERFORD	1	.2%	1	.4%	1	.6%	1	.5%	4	.4%

TABLE 1-B. Verbatim Unaided Recall Of Area Educational Institutions (Multiple Responses) By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	ital
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter	Northwes	t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	HAVERFORD COLLEGE	2	.4%					1	.5%	3	.3%
MENTIONED - MULTIPLE	HOLY FAMILY	3	.6%	13	5.3%					16	1.4%
RESPONSES	HOLY FAMILY COLLEGE	1	.2%	2	.8%					3	.3%
	HOLY FAMILY UNIVERSITY			2	.8%					2	.2%
	IMMACULATE	1	.2%							1	.1%
	IMMACULATE COLLEGE			1	.4%					1	.1%
	JEFFERSON	6	1.1%							6	.5%
	JEFFERSON UNIVERSITY			1	.4%					1	.1%
	KUTZTOWN/ PENN U			1	.4%					1	.1%
	LASALLE	22	4.2%	23	9.4%	26	14.4%	6	3.3%	77	6.8%
	LASALLE COLLEGE			1	.4%	2	1.1%			3	.3%
	LASALLE UNIVERSITY	7	1.3%			1	.6%			8	.7%
	LINCOLN	1	.2%					1	.5%	2	.2%
	LINCOLN UNIVERSITY	1	.2%							1	.1%
	LITTLE FLOWER	1	.2%							1	.1%
	LOCK HAVEN	1	.2%							1	.1%
	MATHOON COLLEGE IN FLORIDA							1	.5%	1	.1%
	MOORE COLLEGE OF ART AND DESIGN	1	.2%							1	.1%
	MOORE LIBERAL ARTS			1	.4%					1	.1%
	MORAVIAN COLLEGE	1	.2%							1	.1%
	MOREHOUSE							1	.5%	1	.1%
	MORGAN							1	.5%	1	.1%
	MORGAN STATE							2	1.1%	2	.2%
	NEWMAN	2	.4%							2	.2%
	NOTRE DAME	1	.2%							1	.1%
	OLD DOMINION UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA	1	.2%							1	.1%
	P COM							1	.5%	1	.1%
	PEIRCE	2	.4%							2	.2%
	PEIRCE BUSINESS	1	.2%							1	.1%
	PEIRCE COLLEGE	1	.2%							1	.1%
	PENN	19	3.6%	8	3.3%	3	1.7%	7	3.8%	37	3.3%
	PENN STATE	24	4.6%	24	9.8%	6	3.3%	3	1.6%	57	5.0%
	PENN STATE UNIVERSITY	1	.2%							1	.1%
	PENN STATE/ UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA					1	.6%			1	.1%
	PENNSYLVANIA	3	.6%	1	.4%					4	.4%
	PENNSYLVANIA ACADEMY OF FINE ARTS	1	.2%							1	.1%

TABLE 1-B. Verbatim Unaided Recall Of Area Educational Institutions (Multiple Responses) By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				То	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	PHIL COMMUNITY COLLEGE			1	.4%					1	.1%
MENTIONED - MULTIPLE	PHILADELPHIA BIBLICAL UNIVERSITY					1	.6%			1	.1%
RESPONSES	PHILADELPHIA CC					1	.6%			1	.1%
	PHILADELPHIA COLLEGE	2	.4%					1	.5%	3	.3%
	PHILADELPHIA COLLEGE CALLED PENN STATE	1	.2%							1	.1%
	PHILADELPHIA COMMUNITY	2	.4%							2	.2%
	PHILADELPHIA COMMUNITY COLLEGE	10	1.9%	1	.4%	3	1.7%	2	1.1%	16	1.4%
	PHILADELPHIA SCHOOL OF FASHION AND DESIGN			1	.4%					1	.1%
	PHILADELPHIA UNIVERSITY	4	.8%	1	.4%	3	1.7%			8	.7%
	PHOENIX	1	.2%			,	,			1	.1%
	PRINCETON	1	.2%	1	.4%	1	.6%			3	.3%
	REED			1	.4%					1	.1%
	RICE	1	.2%							1	.1%
	ROSEMONT	2	.4%					1	.5%	3	.3%
	ROWAN	1	.2%							1	.1%
	RUTGERS	1	.2%							1	.1%
	SAINT JOE'S	6	1.1%	6	2.4%	3	1.7%	13	7.1%	28	2.5%
	SAINT JOE'S COLLEGE	1	.2%							1	.1%
	SAINT JOE'S UNIVERSITY	2	.4%	1	.4%	1	.6%			4	.4%
	SAINT JOE	1	.2%							1	.1%
	SAINT JOSEPH'S	2	.4%	1	.4%	1	.6%			4	.4%
	SAINT JOSEPH'S UNIVERSITY	1	.2%	3	1.2%					4	.4%
	SAINT JOSEPH	2	.4%					1	.5%	3	.3%
	SAINT JOSEPH UNIVERSITY			1	.4%					1	.1%
	SPELLMAN	1	.2%							1	.1%
	STOCKTON	1	.2%							1	.1%
	SWARTHMORE COLLEGE	2	.4%							2	.2%
	TEMPLE	134	25.7%	55	22.4%	47	26.0%	46	25.0%	282	24.9%
	TEMPLE COLLEGE	1	.2%							1	.1%
	TEMPLE UNIVERSITY	24	4.6%	7	2.9%	4	2.2%	3	1.6%	38	3.4%
	THE COLLEGE OF SCIENCES OF PHILADELPHIA							1	.5%	1	.1%
	THE COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1	.2%							1	.1%
	THE COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA					1	.6%			1	.1%
	U OF P			3	1.2%			1	.5%	4	.4%
	U OF PENN	4	.8%			1	.6%	1	.5%	6	.5%

TABLE 1-B. Verbatim Unaided Recall Of Area Educational Institutions (Multiple Responses) By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
					t Regional		t Regional				
			Garden		nter		nter		onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES MENTIONED	UP							1	.5%	1	.1%
- MULTIPLE	U PENN	1	.2%			1	.6%	2	1.1%	4	.4%
RESPONSES	UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA			1	.4%					1	.1%
	UNIVERSITY OF ARTS	1	.2%							1	.1%
	UNIVERSITY OF HARRISBURG	1	.2%							1	.1%
	UNIVERSITY OF PENN	24	4.6%	11	4.5%	6	3.3%	11	6.0%	52	4.6%
	UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA	32	6.1%	11	4.5%	17	9.4%	16	8.7%	76	6.7%
	UNIVERSITY OF PHILADELPHIA					1	.6%	2	1.1%	3	.3%
	UNIVERSITY OF PHOENIX	1	.2%							1	.1%
	UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCES							2	1.1%	2	.2%
	UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCES IN PHILADELPHIA							1	.5%	1	.1%
	UNIVERSITY OF THE ARTS	3	.6%							3	.3%
	URSINUS			1	.4%					1	.1%
	VERSAILLES					1	.6%			1	.1%
	VILLANOVA	14	2.7%	13	5.3%	1	.6%	4	2.2%	32	2.8%
	VILLANOVA COLLEGE	1	.2%							1	.1%
	VILLANOVA UNIVERSITY					1	.6%			1	.1%
	VIRGINIA STATE							1	.5%	1	.1%
	WAGNER COLLEGE	1	.2%							1	.1%
	WAGNER UNIVERSITY	1	.2%							1	.1%
	WEST CHESTER	2	.4%	2	.8%			1	.5%	5	.4%
	WEST CHESTER UNIVERSITY	2	.4%	3	1.2%					5	.4%
	WHARTON					1	.6%	1	.5%	2	.2%
	WIDENER	1	.2%	2	.8%			1	.5%	4	.4%
	WIDENER UNIVERSITY	1	.2%							1	.1%
	YALE			1	.4%					1	.1%
Total	,	522	100.0%	245	100.0%	181	100.0%	184	100.0%	1132	100.0%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	E AREA		
				Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	ALBRIGHT	DESCRIPTION	ACADEMIC			1	.4%		
MENTIONED	ALLEGHENY	DESCRIPTION	BEST FOR PREMED	1	.2%				
- MULTIPLE RESPONSES	ANT	DESCRIPTION	EXCELLENT						
KESF ONSES	ANTIOCH	DESCRIPTION	DOES A GREAT JOB/ LETS PEOPLE USE LIFE SKILLS TOWARD A DEGRE					1	.6%
	ARCADIA	DESCRIPTION	0					1	.6%
			CONVENIENT					1	.6%
			EXCELLENT COLLEGE			1	.4%		
			EXCELLENT REPUTATION					1	.6%
			GOOD	1	.2%				
			GOOD REPUTATION			1	.4%		
			SMALL			1	.4%		
			STUDENT FRIENDLY	1	.2%				
			VERY GOOD COOP PROGRAM	·	1270			1	.6%
	RCADIA UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	0			1	.4%		1070
			BEAVER COLLEGE FOR WOMEN IS A BETTER NAME			1	.4%		
	BERKELEY	DESCRIPTION	GRANDCHILD ATTENDS/ NOW IN SECOND YEAR	1	.2%				
	BLOOMSBURG	DESCRIPTION	SMALL COLLEGE PEOPLE-WISE					1	.6%
			VERY GOOD	1	.2%				
	BRYN MAWR	DESCRIPTION	BETTER COLLEGE						
			GOOD PRIVATE SCHOOL			1	.4%		
			LIBERAL ARTS	1	.2%				
			SAME AS HAVERFORD						
			VERY UPPER CLASS			1	.4%		
			WOMEN'S COLLEGE	1	.2%				
	BRYN MAWR COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	ALL WOMEN'S LIBERAL ART COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			GIRLS	1	.2%				
			WOMEN'S COLLEGE	1	.2%				
	BUCKS COMMUNITY COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	GOOD	·	,0	1	.4%		
	BUCKS COUNTY COMMUNITY	DESCRIPTION	AFFORDABLE			1	.4%		
}	BUCKS COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	VERY GOOD			1	.4%		
	CAN'T THINK OF ANY	DESCRIPTION	0			1	.4%		
	CC OF P	DESCRIPTION	AFFORDABLE	1	.2%		.170		

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	E AREA		
				Spring	Garden	Northeas Ce	t Regional nter		t Regional nter
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	CCP	DESCRIPTION	ACROSS THE STREET			1	.4%		
MENTIONED - MULTIPLE			DISAPPOINTED			1	.4%		
RESPONSES			I DON'T KNOW			1	.4%		
11201 011020			MY OLD SCHOOL			1	.4%		
			OKAY	1	.2%				
			PRETTY GOOD	1	.2%				
	CCP/ COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	DESCRIPTION	CONVENIENT	1	.2%				
	CHEYNEY	DESCRIPTION	ALMOST GOOD						
			ALSO A GOOD SCHOOL					1	.6%
			BLACK AWARENESS						
			GREAT COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			PLAYGROUND						
			TEACHING COLLEGE						
			YOUNGER STUDENTS LACKED HOW TO STUDY SKILLS						
	CLARK ATLANTA	DESCRIPTION	HISTORICAL					1	.6%
	COLUMBIA	DESCRIPTION	EXCELLENT			1	.4%		
	COMMUNITY	DESCRIPTION	A LOT OF PEOPLE	1	.2%				
			CONVENIENT	1	.2%				
			STRIVING	1	.2%				
	COMMUNITY COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	ALSO A GOOD SCHOOL					1	.6%
			CHEAPER	1	.2%				
			FAIR	1	.2%				
			GOOD						
			GOOD COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			IT'S A COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			MODEL/ LOYAL	1	.2%				
			NEAR			1	.4%		
			OKAY	1	.2%				
			STARTER SCHOOL	1	.2%				
			VERY GOOD	1	.2%				
			VERY GOOD SCHOOL		1				

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIO	CE AREA			
				Spring	Garden		t Regional enter		t Regional nter	
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	
COLLEGES	COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	DESCRIPTION	A PLACE TO START OUT	1	.2%					
MENTIONED			ALL RIGHT					1	.6%	
- MULTIPLE RESPONSES			ASSOCIATE DEGREE/ STEPPING STONE TO FOUR-YEAR COLLEGE	1	.2%					
			CHEAP	1	.2%					
			COLLEGE THAT PEOPLE FEEL BALANCED/ VERY ACCESSIBLE	1	.2%					
			CONVENIENT/ COST EFFECTIVE	1	.2%					
			DIVERSE					2	1.1%	
			DON'T KNOW HOW TO ANSWER	1	.2%					
			DON'T KNOW THAT MUCH ABOUT IT AT THE PRESENT TIME					1	.6%	
			DON'T KNOW TOO MUCH ABOUT IT BUT SOUNDS LIKE A GOOD SCHOOL					1	.6%	
			DON'T KNOW/ NEVER BEEN THERE	1	.2%					
			EXCELLENT COLLEGE							
				EXCELLENT FOR EDUCATION/ INEXPENSIVE					1	.6%
					EXCEPTIONAL					1
			EXPENSIVE	1	.2%					
			FAMILIAR					1	.6%	
			GOOD	2	.4%					
			GREAT	1	.2%					
			HELP MORE PEOPLE					1	.6%	
			INFORMATIVE	1	.2%					
			INTERMEDIATE/ AS IN-BETWEEN					1	.6%	
			IT'S A REALLY GOOD COLLEGE							
			LOCAL							
			NOT AS GOOD BUT MORE AFFORDABLE							
			OKAY	2	.4%					
			PLACE OF OPPORTUNITY	1	.2%					
			POPULAR AS WELL	1	.2%					
			PRETTY FAIR					1	.6%	
			PRODUCTIVE					1	.6%	
			SERVES EDUCATIONAL NEEDS							

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	CE AREA		
				Spring	Garden		t Regional enter		t Regional nter
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES MENTIONED	COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	DESCRIPTION	SMALL COLLEGE/ PRETTY GOOD					1	.6%
- MULTIPLE RESPONSES			TOOK SOME COURSES/ VERY PLEASED WHEN I ATTENDED YEARS AGO					1	.6%
			TRANSITION	1	.2%				
			URBAN	1	.2%				
			VERY DIVERSE			1	.4%		
			WELL KNOWN	1	.2%				
			WITHIN THE COMMUNITY	1	.2%				
	COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA AND ANNEX	DESCRIPTION	GOOD	1	.2%				
	COMMUNITY OF PHILADELPHIA	DESCRIPTION	CHEAP	1	.2%				
			CONVENIENT			1	.4%		
			GOOD SCHOOL	1	.2%				
			SECOND HIGH SCHOOL	1	.2%				
	COMMUNITY PHILADELPHIA COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	GOOD/ FAIR CONDITION					1	.6%
	DELAWARE STATE COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	INFLUENCING	1	.2%				
	DELAWARE VALLEY	DESCRIPTION	GOOD	1	.2%				
	DEVRY	DESCRIPTION	GOOD	1	.2%	_			•

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	E AREA		
				Spring	Garden	Northeas	t Regional nter		t Regional nter
			j	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
DLLEGES	DREXEL	DESCRIPTION	0					2	1.1%
NTIONED			A GRAD COLLEGE	1	.2%				
MULTIPLE SPONSES			ACADEMIC						
SPONSES			ACADEMIC COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			ACADEMIC EXCELLENCE						
			ACROSS FROM PENN	1	.2%				
			ALL OF THESE COLLEGES ARE VERY GOOD					1	.6%
			ANOTHER COLLEGE/ COMFORTABLE	1	.2%				
			AVERAGE	1	.2%	1	.4%		
			BAD STUDENT TEACHERS	1	.2%	:	,0		
			BUSINESS	2	.4%				
			CLOSE BY	_	11,0				
			COMPETITIVE	1	.2%				
			CONVENIENT			1	.4%		
			CONVENIENT COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			DON'T KNOW	3	.6%				
			DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT THE SCHOOL			1	.4%		
			DON'T KNOW MUCH ABOUT IT	1	.2%				
			DON'T LIKE IT	1	.2%				
			ECONOMICAL AND TECHNICAL	1	.2%				
			ELITE						
			ENGINEERING	5	1.0%				
			ENGINEERING AND SCIENCE	1	.2%				
			ENGINEERING ORIENTED					1	.6%
			ENGINEERING SCHOOL	2	.4%				
			ENGINEERING/ EXCELLENT/ EXCEPTIONAL					1	.6%
			EXCELLENT	1	.2%	1	.4%	2	1.1%
			EXCELLENT COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			EXPENSIVE	2	.4%				
			FINE					1	.6%
			FOR BUSINESS						
			FOUR-YEAR					1	.6%
			GOOD	4	.8%				
			GOOD BUSINESS SCHOOL			1	.4%		
			GOOD COLLEGE						
			GOOD COLLEGE FOR ECONOMICS						

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	E AREA		
				Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		st Regional enter
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
OLLEGES	DREXEL	DESCRIPTION	GOOD ENGINEERING	1	.2%	1	.4%		
MENTIONED			GOOD FOR ENGINEERING	1	.2%				
MULTIPLE RESPONSES			GOOD IN TECHNOLOGY AND MATH	1	.2%				
			GOOD LEARNING COLLEGE			1	.4%		
			GOOD NURSING PROGRAM	1	.2%				
			GOOD REPUTATION	1	.2%				
			GOOD SCHOOL	4	.8%				
			GRADUATE SCHOOL	1	.2%				
			GREAT	1	.2%				
			GREAT SCHOOL						
			HIGH ON THE LADDER						
			HIGH POWERED						
			HIGH QUALITY	1	.2%				
			HIGHLY TECHNICAL			1	.4%		
			I THINK OF IT AS A TECHNICAL SCHOOL	1	.2%				
			IT'S GOOD BUT NOT FOR THE MONEY			1	.4%		
			IVY LEAGUE	1	.2%			1	.6%
			IVY LEAGUE/ LIBERAL ARTS					1	.6%
			LARGE			1	.4%		
			LEARNING COMPREHENSIVE	1	.2%				
			LOCAL						
			MATHEMATICS AND SCIENCE			1	.4%		
			MEDICINE						
			MORE TECHNICAL	1	.2%				
			NO EXPERIENCE WITH IT					1	.6%
			NONE	1	.2%				
			NOT SURE/ GOOD SCHOOL					1	.6%
			OKAY	2	.4%				
			ONE OF THE TOP SCHOOLS					1	.6%
			OUTSTANDING			1	.4%		
			OUTSTANDING COLLEGE						
			PEOPLE WHO HAVE GONE THERE ARE VERY SUCCESSFUL					1	.6%
			PRESTIGIOUS						
			PRESTIGIOUS COLLEGE			1	.4%		
			PRETTY DECENT COLLEGE						
			PROFESSIONAL	1	.2%				

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	E AREA		
				Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
EGES TONED TIPLE	DREXEL	DESCRIPTION	REALLY DID LIKE IT/ SOME YEARS AGO DAUGHTER WENT THERE					1	.6%
ONSES			SMALL	1	.2%				
			SMART	1	.2%				
			SPECIALIZED IN ENGINEERING	1	.2%				
			TECH						
			TECHNICAL	1	.2%			1	.6%
			TECHNICAL ENGINEERING					1	.6%
			TECHNICAL INSTITUTE						
			TECHNOLOGY	1	.2%			1	.6%
			TECHNOLOGY SCHOOL	1	.2%				
			URBAN	1	.2%				
			VALUE	1	.2%				
			VERY GOOD	1	.2%	2	.8%		
			VOCATIONAL	1	.2%				
			WORK SCHOOL EXPERIENCE/ VERY VALUABLE			1	.4%		
		VEF WO	WORK STUDY PROGRAM/ MEDICAL/ LAW					1	.6%
	DREXEL UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	ACADEMIC/ CHALLENGING/ TRYING TO BECOME A NON-COMMUTING SCHO	1	.2%				
			COOP EDUCATION	1	.2%				
			DON'T KNOW	1	.2%				
			EXCELLENT						
			IT'S A REALLY GOOD SCHOOL						
			OKAY	1	.2%				
			POPULAR						
			SECOND BEST	1	.2%				
			VERY GOOD	1	.2%				
	EASTERN	DESCRIPTION	MY DAUGHTER LOVED IT			1	.4%		
	EASTERN UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	CHRISTIAN			1	.4%		
	HANEMAN	DESCRIPTION	MY ALMA MATER					1	.6%
	HARVARD	DESCRIPTION	LAWYER/ DOCTOR	1	.2%				
	HAVERFORD	DESCRIPTION	ARTS COLLEGE FOR ADVANCED DEGREE WORK	1	.2%				
			FOUR-YEAR					1	.6%
			GOOD LIBERAL ARTS						
			NICE/ MIDWAY KIND OF COLLEGE			1	.4%		

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	E AREA		
				Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		st Regional enter
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	HAVERFORD COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	LIBERAL	1	.2%				
MENTIONED - MULTIPLE			LIBERAL ARTS						
RESPONSES			SMALLER SCHOOL	1	.2%				
KEOI ONOEO	HOLY FAMILY	DESCRIPTION	ACADEMICS			1	.4%		
			CATHOLIC INSTITUTE OF HIGHER LEARNING			1	.4%		
			CONVENIENT/ CREDIBLE			1	.4%		
			GOD SENT	1	.2%				
			GOOD			1	.4%		
			GOOD LEARNING COLLEGE			1	.4%		
			GOOD SCHOOL	1	.2%				
			LOCAL			1	.4%		
			LOOKS WONDERFUL			1	.4%		
			NEARBY			1	.4%		
			NICE COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			NOT QUITE AS GOOD BUT NICE COLLEGE			1	.4%		
			RELIGIOUS ONE			1	.4%		
			SMALL UPCOMING SCHOOL			1	.4%		
			THE BEST			1	.4%		
			TRADITION			1	.4%		
	HOLY FAMILY COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	CLOSE TO HOME	1	.2%				
			HIGH QUALITY			1	.4%		
			THEY HAVE REALLY GOOD PROFESSORS OR TEACHERS			1	.4%		
	HOLY FAMILY UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	I DON'T REALLY KNOW			1	.4%		
			LOCAL			1	.4%		
	IMMACULATE	DESCRIPTION	EXCELLENT	1	.2%				
	IMMACULATE COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	SMALL LIBERAL ARTS/ CATHOLIC			1	.4%		
	JEFFERSON	DESCRIPTION	ALUMNI	1	.2%				
			DON'T KNOW	1	.2%				
			GREAT MEDICAL SCHOOL	1	.2%				
			MEDICAL	2	.4%				
			SAME CATEGORY AS PENN/ NOT COMMUNITY FRIENDLY	1	.2%				
	JEFFERSON UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	HEALTH CARE			1	.4%		
	KUTZTOWN/ PENN U	DESCRIPTION	0			1	.4%		

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	E AREA		
				Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	LASALLE	DESCRIPTION	0	1	.2%			1	.6%
MENTIONED			ACADEMIC COLLEGE	1	.2%				
MULTIPLE RESPONSES			ADEQUATE FOR AN INNER CITY SCHOOL			1	.4%		
			AN AVERAGE SCHOOL						
			AS FAR AS I KNOW ALSO GOOD					1	.6%
			CAN'T THINK OF ONE			1	.4%		
			CATHOLIC			1	.4%	1	.6%
			CATHOLIC EDUCATION			1	.4%		
			CATHOLIC SCHOOL	1	.2%				
			CITY SCHOOL			1	.4%		
			CLOSE		1			1	.6%
			CONTROVERSY		İ			1	.6%
			DEFINITELY NOT AFFORDABLE	1	.2%				
			DON'T KNOW	2	.4%	1	.4%		
			DON'T KNOW MUCH ABOUT LASALLE/ BIG FIVE	1	.2%				
			EASILY ACCESSIBLE					1	.6%
			EXCELLENT	1	.2%	1	.4%	2	1.1%
			EXCELLENT EDUCATION					1	.6%
			GOOD	1	.2%				
			GOOD COLLEGE					1	.6%
			GOOD EDUCATION			1	.4%		
			GOOD LIBERAL ARTS			1	.4%		
			GOOD SCHOOL			1	.4%		
			GREAT			1	.4%		
			GREAT ACADEMIC INSTITUTE			1	.4%		
			I DON'T KNOW TOO MUCH BUT IT'S A SMALL COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			I HAVE NO IDEA			1	.4%		
			INCLUSIVE					1	.6%
			INTERESTING					1	.6%
			IVY LEAGUE					1	.6%
			LIKE THE UNIVERSITY THERE					1	.6%
			LONG HISTORY			1	.4%		
			MORE INTO SPORTS	1	.2%				
			MORE WORKING CLASS SCHOOL	1	.2%				
			MY OLD COLLEGE			1	.4%		
			NEARBY/ INTERRACIAL COLLEGE					1	.6%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	E AREA		
				Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		st Regional Inter
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	LASALLE	DESCRIPTION	NEIGHBORHOOD			1	.4%		
MENTIONED - MULTIPLE			NICE/ EDUCATIONAL			1	.4%		
RESPONSES			NIECE TAUGHT THERE					1	.6%
I KEOI ONOLO			NOT FAMILIAR					1	.6%
			OKAY	2	.4%				
			PRETTY GOOD					1	.6%
			PRETTY GOOD COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			PRIVATE	1	.2%				
			PRIVATE COLLEGE						
			RELIABLE					1	.6%
			RELIGIOUS	1	.2%				
			RELIGIOUS ORIENTED					1	.6%
			REPUTABLE	1	.2%				
			SMALL CATHOLIC/ EXPENSIVE					1	.6%
			SMALL CLASSROOM			1	.4%		
			SMALL/ CHRISTIAN BROKERS			1	.4%		
			STATE-OF-THE-ART			1	.4%		
			THE SAME AS TEMPLE					1	.6%
			THE SAME WITH LASALLE BUT WITH LESS MEDICAL AREAS	1	.2%				
			THIRD BEST					1	.6%
			TRADITIONAL			1	.4%		
			VERY FLEXIBLE			1	.4%		
			VERY GOOD	1	.2%				
			VERY GOOD SCHOOL	1	.2%				
			VERY SPIRITUAL			1	.4%		
			WELL ATTENDED					1	.6%
			WELL CREDITED COLLEGE					1	.6%
			WONDERFUL	1	.2%				
			WOULDN'T BE FIRST CHOICE					1	.6%
	LASALLE COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	GOOD EDUCATIONAL SCHOOL			1	.4%		
			NICE, NICE COLLEGE					1	.6%
			WHAT YOU HEAR/ THAT IT IS PRETTY GOOD COLLEGE					1	.6%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIO	CE AREA		
				Spring	Garden		st Regional enter		t Regional nter
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	LASALLE UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	DON'T KNOW	1	.2%				
MENTIONED MULTIPLE			FRIENDS GO THERE	1	.2%				
RESPONSES			GOOD BASKETBALL TEAM	1	.2%				
			OKAY	1	.2%				
			POOR ADMINISTRATION	1	.2%				
			RIGHT ALONG WITH TEMPLE	1	.2%				
			SMALL	1	.2%			1	.6%
	LINCOLN	DESCRIPTION	NOT THE SAME	1	.2%				
			VERY DIVERSE						
	LINCOLN UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	HERITAGE	1	.2%				
	LITTLE FLOWER	DESCRIPTION	THE BEST	1	.2%				
	LOCK HAVEN	DESCRIPTION	NOT SURE	1	.2%				
	MATHOON COLLEGE IN FLORIDA	DESCRIPTION	TERRIFIC						
	MOORE COLLEGE OF ART AND DESIGN	DESCRIPTION	PROFESSIONAL	1	.2%				
	MOORE LIBERAL ARTS	DESCRIPTION	VERY GOOD			1	.4%		
	MORAVIAN COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	DON'T KNOW	1	.2%				
	MOREHOUSE	DESCRIPTION	BLACK COLLEGE						
	MORGAN	DESCRIPTION	ALL RIGHT						
	MORGAN STATE	DESCRIPTION	BLACK COLLEGE						
			FAMILY ATMOSPHERE						
	NEWMAN	DESCRIPTION	CONVENIENT	1	.2%				
			QUALITY	1	.2%				
	NOTRE DAME	DESCRIPTION	TOP COLLEGE	1	.2%				
	OLD DOMINION UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA	DESCRIPTION	SUPER	1	.2%				
	P COM	DESCRIPTION	GOOD SCHOOL						
	PEIRCE	DESCRIPTION	FLEXIBLE	1	.2%				
			TWO-YEAR COLLEGE/ THOUGH IT'S NOT	1	.2%				
	PEIRCE BUSINESS	DESCRIPTION	BEST KEPT QUIET SCHOOL/ LOW KEYED	1	.2%				
	PEIRCE COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	BUSINESS COLLEGE	1	.2%				

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	E AREA															
				Spring	Garden	Northeas Ce	t Regional nter	Northwest Cer	t Regional nter													
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %													
COLLEGES	PENN	DESCRIPTION	A WONDERFUL UNIVERSITY					1	.6%													
MENTIONED - MULTIPLE			ACADEMICS			1	.4%															
RESPONSES			BIG/ GOOD SCHOOL																			
1120. 011020			COMPETITIVE	1	.2%																	
			ELITE	1	.2%			1	.6%													
			EXCELLENT	1	.2%																	
			EXCELLENT ACADEMIC REPUTATION/ GOT TO BE CREME DE LA CREME			1	.4%															
			EXCELLENT SCHOOL			1	.4%															
			GET YOU INTO GRADUATE SCHOOL	1	.2%																	
			GOOD			1	.4%															
			GOOD SCHOOL	1	.2%																	
			I WENT THERE MY FIRST YEAR						,													
			INNER CITY			1	.4%															
			1											!		IVY LEAGUE	10	1.9%	3	1.2%		
			IVY LEAGUE SCHOOL					1	.6%													
			MY BOSS	1	.2%																	
			PRESTIGE																			
			PRESTIGIOUS	1	.2%																	
			THEY DON'T HAVE A VARIETY OF STUDENTS																			
			VERY GOOD	1	.2%																	
			WELL REGARDED	1	.2%																	

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	E AREA		
				Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	PENN STATE	DESCRIPTION	0			1	.4%		
MENTIONED			A STATE COLLEGE			1	.4%		
MULTIPLE RESPONSES			AFFORDABLE			1	.4%		
1201 011020			ALSO A GOOD SCHOOL					1	.6%
			BETTER THAN NORM	1	.2%				
			BIG	1	.2%				
			CLOSE			1	.4%		
			COLLEGIATE			1	.4%		
			COMPREHENSIVE					1	.6%
			DAUGHTER GOT GOOD EDUCATION THERE	1	.2%				
			DISTANT	1	.2%				
			DOCTORS					1	.6%
			DON'T KNOW	2	.4%	1	.4%		
			DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT THE SCHOOL			1	.4%		
			ELITE	1	.2%				
			ENORMOUS					1	.6%
			EXCELLENT	2	.4%	2	.8%		
			EXPENSIVE/ ESTABLISHED	1	.2%				
			FOOTBALL	1	.2%	1	.4%		
			GOOD	2	.4%				
			GOOD COLLEGE			1	.4%		
			GOOD COLLEGE FOR SPORTS	1	.2%				
			GOOD LEARNING COLLEGE			1	.4%		
			GOOD REPUTATION			1	.4%		
			GOOD SCHOOL	2	.4%			1	.6%
			GOOD STATE COLLEGE			1	.4%		
			GREAT	1	.2%				
			HIGHER EDUCATION	1	.2%				
			HOSPITAL	1	.2%				
			I DON'T KNOW			2	.8%		
			I KNOW OF THE COLLEGE			1	.4%		
			IT WOULD BE PRETTY GOOD			1	.4%		
			IVY LEAGUE			3	1.2%		
			JOE PATERNO	1	.2%				
			MAJOR	1	.2%				
			OFF THE TOP OF MY HEAD I CAN'T THINK OF ANYTHING	1	.2%				
			PRETTY GOOD					1	.6%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	E AREA		
				Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		st Regional enter
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	PENN STATE	DESCRIPTION	STOIC	1	.2%				
MENTIONED			TOP NOTCH			1	.4%		
- MULTIPLE RESPONSES			TRADITIONAL			1	.4%		
KEOI ONOEO			VERY GOOD	1	.2%	1	.4%		
	PENN STATE UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	DON'T KNOW	1	.2%				
	PENN STATE/ UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA	DESCRIPTION	PRESTIGE					1	.6%
	PENNSYLVANIA	DESCRIPTION	0	1	.2%				
			DON'T KNOW	1	.2%				
			IVY LEAGUE COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			SUCCESS			1	.4%		
	PENNSYLVANIA ACADEMY OF FINE ARTS	DESCRIPTION	PROFESSIONAL	1	.2%				
	PHIL COMMUNITY COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	CONVENIENT RESORT			1	.4%		
	PHILADELPHIA BIBLICAL UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	TURN OUT SUCCESSFUL PEOPLE					1	.6%
	PHILADELPHIA CC	DESCRIPTION	PRETTY GOOD					1	.6%
	PHILADELPHIA COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	A GOOD ONE						
			GOOD SCHOOL	1	.2%				
			UNIVERSITY	1	.2%				
	PHILADELPHIA COLLEGE CALLED PENN STATE	DESCRIPTION	GOOD	1	.2%				
	PHILADELPHIA COMMUNITY	DESCRIPTION	GOOD	1	.2%				
			GREAT	1	.2%				
	PHILADELPHIA COMMUNITY COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	BETTER PRICE FOR YOUR MONEY	1	.2%				
			CHEAPER THAN THE OTHERS	1	.2%				
			COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			COMMUNITY ORIENTED	1	.2%				
			CONVENIENT COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			FOUR-YEAR					1	.6%
			GOOD	1	.2%				
			I DON'T KNOW	1	.2%				
			INTERIOR DESIGN					1	.6%
			LEARNING					1	.6%
			MEDIOCRE			1	.4%		
			NEAR EXCELLENT	1	.2%				
			PLACE TO LEARN/ NEEDS IMPROVEMENT						
			STEPPING STONE TO COLLEGE/ PRE-COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			TWO-YEAR COLLEGE	1	.2%				
	PHILADELPHIA SCHOOL OF FASHION AND DESIGN	DESCRIPTION	VERY GOOD SCHOOL			1	.4%		1

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIO	CE AREA		
				Spring	Garden		t Regional enter	Northwes Ce	t Regional nter
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	PHILADELPHIA UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	CAPABLE	1	.2%				
MENTIONED - MULTIPLE			COZY/ MORE INVITING/ SMALLER/ SPECIALIZED			1	.4%		
RESPONSES			EXCELLENT					1	.6%
			GOOD EDUCATION/ MY ALMA MATER	1	.2%				
			LOCAL	1	.2%				
			PHARMACY	1	.2%				
			TEXTILES					1	.6%
			VERY GOOD					1	.6%
	PHOENIX	DESCRIPTION	GREAT EDUCATION FOR WORKING ADULTS	1	.2%				
	PRINCETON	DESCRIPTION	EXCELLENT			1	.4%		
			HIGH STANDARDS					1	.6%
			WONDERFUL	1	.2%				
	REED	DESCRIPTION	GOOD MEDICAL SCHOOL			1	.4%		
	RICE	DESCRIPTION	GREATEST SINGLE EDUCATION EXPERIENCE IN MY LIFE	1	.2%				
	ROSEMONT	DESCRIPTION	DON'T KNOW MUCH ABOUT ROSEMONT	1	.2%				
			FOR WORKING PEOPLE	1	.2%				
			IT'S A PRETTY GOOD COLLEGE						
	ROWAN	DESCRIPTION	MIDDLE OF ROAD	1	.2%				
	RUTGERS	DESCRIPTION	FOOTBALL	1	.2%				

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				<u> </u>		SERVIC	E AREA		
				Spring	Garden		t Regional nter	Northwes Ce	st Regiona enter
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col
3	SAINT JOE'S	DESCRIPTION	ACADEMICS			1	.4%		
D			ALMA MATER	1	.2%				
= ES			ALSO AN AVERAGE SCHOOL						
			CATHOLIC					1	
			DEPENDABLE						
			EFFECTIVE			1	.4%		
			EXCELLENT						
			EXCELLENT COLLEGE			1	.4%		
			GOOD	1	.2%				
			GOOD SCHOOL			1	.4%		
			GREAT COLLEGE			1	.4%		
			HIGHER EDUCATION	1	.2%				
			I CAN'T THINK OF ANYTHING			1	.4%		
			LOCAL						
			PRIVATE CATHOLIC COLLEGE						
			RELIGIOUS PRIVATE SCHOOL/ NOT AS DIVERSE					1	
			SCHOLARSHIP	1	.2%				
			SMALL						
			SPORTS	1	.2%				
			VERY GOOD COLLEGE					1	
			VERY GOOD SCHOOL						
			WONDERFUL	1	.2%				
	SAINT JOE'S COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	PAROCHIAL	1	.2%				
	SAINT JOE'S UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	EXCEPTIONAL	1	.2%				
			GOOD EDUCATION					1	
			REAL NICE	1	.2%				
			VERY HIGHLY REGARDED CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY			1	.4%		
	SAINT JOE	DESCRIPTION	LOCAL	1	.2%				
	SAINT JOSEPH'S	DESCRIPTION	CATHOLIC			1	.4%		
			GOOD SCHOOL	1	.2%				
			VERY GOOD	1	.2%				
			WELL-ROUNDED CATHOLIC EDUCATION					1	
	SAINT JOSEPH'S UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	DEDICATED			1	.4%		
			GOOD PRIVATE			1	.4%		
			INTEGRITY	1	.2%				
			RICH			1	.4%		

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIO	CE AREA		
				Spring	Garden		t Regional enter		st Regional enter
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	SAINT JOSEPH	DESCRIPTION	ACADEMICS	1	.2%				
MENTIONED			GOOD TOO						
- MULTIPLE RESPONSES			WONDERFUL TO GO TO	1	.2%				
KEGI GINGEG	SAINT JOSEPH UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	MORE SELECTIVE			1	.4%		
	SPELLMAN	DESCRIPTION	GREAT	1	.2%				
	STOCKTON	DESCRIPTION	MY GRANDCHILDREN WENT THERE	1	.2%				
	SWARTHMORE COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	SMALL	1	.2%				
			SMALL LIBERAL ARTS COLLEGE	1	.2%				

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA		
			Spring	Garden	Northeast Cei	Regional nter	Northwes Cer	t Regional nter
			Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
EGES TEMPLE	DESCRIPTION	0			1	.4%	2	1.19
TIONED		A BIG BUILDING						
TIPLE ONSES		A COLLEGE	1	.2%				
ONGEO		A GREAT COLLEGE						
		ACADEMIC			1	.4%		
		ACADEMIC EXCELLENCE					Ī	
		ACADEMICS			1	.4%	Ī	
		ACCESSIBLE	1	.2%				
		ACHIEVING EXCELLENCE	1	.2%				
		AFFORDABLE					1	.6
		AFFORDABLE AND EXCELLENT	1	.2%				
		ALL RIGHT	1	.2%				
		ALMA MATER						
		ALSO GOOD/ VERY GOOD					1	
		ALUMNI			1	.4%		
		ASSET TO COMMUNITY					1	
		ATHLETICS			1	.4%		
		ATTENDED TEMPLE					1	
		B AVERAGE STUDENT	1	.2%				
		BAD NEIGHBORHOOD	1	.2%				
		BASKETBALL	3	.6%				
		BEST COLLEGE	1	.2%				
		BEST COLLEGE NEXT TO PENN AND MORE AFFORDABLE	1	.2%				
		BEST LOCAL COLLEGE	1	.2%			Ī	
		BIG	2	.4%			Ī	
		BIG CITY SCHOOL			1	.4%		
		BIG SCHOOL	1	.2%				
		BILL COSBY	1	.2%				
		BILL COSBY WENT THERE	1	.2%				
		BUSY	1	.2%	1	.4%		
		CAN'T SAY	1	.2%				
		CATERS TO THOSE WITH LESS MONEY	1	.2%				
		CENTER CITY UNIVERSITY	1	.2%				
		CHILDREN GRADUATED FROM THERE			1	.4%		
		CHURCH	1	.2%				
		CITY SCHOOL			1	.4%		
		CITY SCHOOL/ GOOD BASKETBALL GAMES	1	.2%				

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	E AREA		
				Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter
			j	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
OLLEGES	TEMPLE	DESCRIPTION	CLOSE					1	.6%
ENTIONED			COMMUNITY ORIENTED						
MULTIPLE ESPONSES			COMMUTER COLLEGE	1	.2%				
LOFONOLO			CONVENIENT			1	.4%		
			CONVENIENT COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			CROWDED			1	.4%		
			DANGEROUS	1	.2%				
			DECENT	1	.2%				
			DENTAL SCHOOL	1	.2%				
			DIVERSE						
			DIVERSE URBAN STATE SCHOOL					1	.6%
			DIVISION ONE COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			DON'T KNOW	2	.4%	2	.8%		
			DON'T KNOW HOW TO ANSWER	1	.2%				
			DON'T KNOW/ NO OPINION			1	.4%		
		EDI	EASY ACCESS	1	.2%				
			EDUCATION			1	.4%		
			EDUCATIONAL			1	.4%		
			EXCELLENT	6	1.1%			3	1.7%
			EXCELLENT COLLEGE			1	.4%		
			EXCELLENT PUBLIC COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			EXPANDING	2	.4%			2	1.1%
			EXPENSIVE	2	.4%	1	.4%		
			FAIR	1	.2%				
			FANTASTIC						
			FILM AND JOURNALISM	1	.2%				
			FOOTBALL	1	.2%				
			FOR MONEY/ DECENT EDUCATION	1	.2%				
			FRIENDLY/ LOTS TO OFFER	1	.2%				
			FUN	1	.2%				
			GOOD	8	1.5%	5	2.0%		
			GOOD AREA/ LARGER COLLEGE/ MORE STUDENTS	-		-	-	1	.6%
			GOOD CITY SCHOOL	1	.2%				
			GOOD CITY UNIVERSITY	1	.2%				
			GOOD COLLEGE	2	.4%				
			GOOD COLLEGE/ INSIDE THE CITY	_	,0				
			GOOD EDUCATION	1	.2%			1	

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	E AREA		
				Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
DLLEGES	TEMPLE	DESCRIPTION	GOOD INSTITUTE OF LEARNING	1	.2%				
ENTIONED			GOOD LEARNING COLLEGE			1	.4%		
MULTIPLE ESPONSES			GOOD PLACE FOR YOUNG PEOPLE TO GET EDUCATION			1	.4%		
			GOOD SCHOOL	6	1.1%	1	.4%	5	2.8%
			GOOD TEACHING SCHOOL			1	.4%	_	
			GOOD, GOOD COLLEGE			1	.4%		
			GOOD/ NOT SO PREJUDICED	1	.2%				
			GREAT					1	.6%
			GROWING			1	.4%		
			HARD TO SAY			1	.4%		
			HARDWORKING					1	.6%
			HIGHER EDUCATION			1	.4%		
			I'VE HEARD GOOD THINGS					1	.6%
			I DON'T KNOW	1	.2%	1	.4%		
			I GIVE IT A 8+/ RIGHT BELOW PENN STATE	1	.2%				
			I HAVE NO IDEA/ I DID GO THERE THOUGH	1	.2%				
			I LIKED IT/ WENT TO SCHOOL THERE SEVERAL YEARS AGO					1	.6%
			IMPRESSIVE	1	.2%				
			INDEPENDENT						
			INEXPENSIVE	1	.2%			1	.6%
			INNER CITY	1	.2%				
			INNER CITY CAMPUS					1	.6%
			INNER CITY COMMUTER COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			INNER CITY/ EDUCATION OPPORTUNITY	1	.2%				
			INTERESTING	1	.2%				
			INTERNATIONAL	-		1	.4%		
			INVITING						
			IT'S A COMPLETE UNIVERSITY	1	.2%				
			IT'S A GOOD COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			IT'S A LOCAL TREASURE THAT PEOPLE DON'T KNOW ABOUT			1	.4%		
			IT'S A VERY GOOD TEACHING COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			IT TURNS OUT GOOD STUDENTS					1	.6%
			IVY LEAGUE	1	.2%			1	.6%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	E AREA		
				Spring	Garden	Northeas	t Regional nter		t Regional nter
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
LEGES ITIONED	TEMPLE	DESCRIPTION	JUST DON'T KNOW VERY MUCH ABOUT IT					1	.6%
ILTIPLE			KNOWLEDGE					1	.6%
PONSES			LARGE	3	.6%			1	.6%
			LARGE AND EXCELLENT					1	.6%
			LAW	1	.2%				
			LESS EXPENSIVE			1	.4%		
			LOCAL	2	.4%	2	.8%	2	1.1%
			LOT OF OPPORTUNITY	1	.2%				
			LOTS OF CLASS	1	.2%				
			LOUSY FOOTBALL TEAM/ LOCAL KIDS CAN GET IN					1	.6%
			MEDICAL						
			MEDICAL SCHOOL/ PHARMACY	1	.2%				
			MEDICAL/ LAW			1	.4%		
			MEDICALLY ORIENTED					1	.6%
			MORE ECONOMICAL	1	.2%				
		MORE LOCAL	MORE LOCAL	1	.2%				
			MOST STUDENT AND TEACHERS ARE FRIENDLY AND COMPASSIONATE			1	.4%		
			MULTICULTURAL/ MELTING POT						
			NEARNESS OF THE CAMPUS						
			NO COMMENT ON THIS	1	.2%				
			NORTH PHILLY	1	.2%				
			NOT MUCH MONEY YOU'D HAVE TO PAY	1	.2%				
			NOT OFF-HAND	1	.2%				
			NOT SURE/ I THINK GOOD SCHOOL					1	.6%
			OKAY	3	.6%			1	.6%
			OKAY COLLEGE			1	.4%		
			OLD SCHOOL	1	.2%				
			ONE OF THE BEST					1	.6%
			ONE OF THE BEST IN THE NATION						
			OVERPOWERED			1	.4%		
			OVERRATED	1	.2%				
			PEOPLE IN THE AREA HAVE TO PAY MORE THAN PEOPLE OUT OF SCHOO	1	.2%				

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	E AREA		
				Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
SES NED	TEMPLE	DESCRIPTION	PEOPLE MY AGE ARE ABLE TO GO THERE						
PLE			PHILADELPHIA					1	.6%
NSES			POPULAR	1	.2%			1	.6%
			POPULATED	1	.2%				
			PREJUDICED					1	.6%
			PRESTIGE						
			PRESTIGIOUS	1	.2%				
			PRETTY GOOD					1	.6%
			PRETTY GOOD SCHOOL	1	.2%	1	.4%		
			PRODUCTIVE	1	.2%				
			PUBLIC	1	.2%				
			REALLY GOOD/ GREAT/ WHATEVER YOU WANT TO MAJOR IN THEY HAVE	1	.2%				
			RIDICULOUS	1	.2%				
			SAME THING AND CONVENIENT			1	.4%		
	SATISFACTORY	1	.2%						
			SCHOLARLY	1	.2%				
			SCIENCE	1	.2%				
			SECOND SCHOOL AFTER COMMUNITY	1	.2%				
			SOPHISTICATED						
			STATE-OF-THE-ART			1	.4%		
			STATE UNIVERSITY	1	.2%				
			STRICT	1	.2%				
			STRONG						
			STRONG ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT	1	.2%				
			TEAMS OF SPORTS						
			THAT IS ALL I CAN SAY	1	.2%				
			TRADITIONAL			1	.4%		
			UNIQUE			1	.4%		
			UNIQUE LOCATION						
			UNIVERSITY	2	.4%				
			URBAN			1	.4%	1	.6%
			URBAN AND DIVERSE	1	.2%				
			URBAN CAMPUS			1	.4%		
			URBAN COLLEGE						
			URBAN SCHOOL	1	.2%				
			URBAN/ GOOD					1	.6%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	CE AREA		
				Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	TEMPLE	DESCRIPTION	VALUE	1	.2%				
MENTIONED - MULTIPLE			VERY BEAUTIFUL						
RESPONSES			VERY EDUCATIONAL	1	.2%				
THEOR ONOLO			VERY GOOD	1	.2%	3	1.2%	1	.6%
			VERY GOOD COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			VERY GOOD REPUTATION/ KNOWN STATE WIDE/ A CITY COLLEGE			1	.4%		
			VERY GOOD SCHOOL	1	.2%				
			VERY URBAN			1	.4%		
			WELL KNOWN	1	.2%	2	.8%		
			WELL RECOGNIZED SCHOOL						
			WHERE I GRADUATED FROM						
			WHIRLWIND/ FAST PACE						
			WONDERFUL	1	.2%				
			WONDERFUL UNIVERSITY					1	.6%
			WORKING CLASS						
			WORKING PEOPLE GO THERE/ VERY EXPENSIVE	1	.2%				
			YOU CAN BASICALLY ACCOMPLISH GOALS					1	.6%
	TEMPLE COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	A BUNCH OF NONSENSE	1	.2%				

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	E AREA					
				Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter			
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %			
EGES.	TEMPLE UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	A-OKAY	1	.2%							
TIONED			A BIG SCHOOL			1	.4%					
TIPLE			A GOOD COLLEGE	1	.2%							
ONOLO			A UNIVERSITY	1	.2%							
			ANOTHER GOOD SCHOOL									
			BEST IN THE AREA	1	.2%							
			BETTER OPPORTUNITIES					1	.6%			
			DAUGHTER-IN-LAW WORKS HERE/ GRANDDAUGHTER GOES THERE					1	.6%			
			DIVERSE STUDENT POPULATION			1	.4%					
			DON'T KNOW	1	.2%							
			EMINENCE			1	.4%					
				EXCELLENT	2	.4%	1	.4%				
							FUN/ EXCITING			1	.4%	
			GIVES YOUNG PEOPLE AN OPPORTUNITY	1	.2%							
			GOOD	1	.2%			1	.6%			
			GOOD ALSO	1	.2%							
			GOOD SCHOOL	2	.4%							
	GREAT	GREAT	1	.2%								
			I LIKE WHAT IT OFFERS					1	.6%			
			IMPRESSIVE			1	.4%					
			JUST UP THE STREET	1	.2%							
			LARGE	1	.2%							
			LOCAL	1	.2%							
			NICE	1	.2%							
			NICE COLLEGE	1	.2%							
			OUTSTANDING			1	.4%					
			THEY STRIVE ON EXCELLENCE	1	.2%							
			TOPS	1	.2%							
			VERY GOOD	1	.2%							
			VERY GOOD COLLEGE	1	.2%							
			VERY GOOD SCHOOL	1	.2%							
			VERY LARGE									
			WELL-ROUNDED	1	.2%							
	THE COLLEGE OF SCIENCES OF PHILADELPHIA	DESCRIPTION	THAT SCHOOL IS FINE									
	THE COMMUNITY COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	EASY ACCESS	1	.2%							
	THE COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	DESCRIPTION	AFFORDABLE					1	.6%			

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	E AREA		
				Spring	Garden	Northeast Cer	Regional nter		t Regional nter
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	UOFP	DESCRIPTION	ALSO A VERY FINE COLLEGE			1	.4%		
MENTIONED - MULTIPLE			EXCELLENT			1	.4%		
RESPONSES			GREAT			1	.4%		
I KEGI GNOLO			IT WAS ADEQUATE						
	U OF PENN	DESCRIPTION	FOUR-YEAR					1	.6%
			GREAT UNIVERSITY	1	.2%				
			HIGH RATED	1	.2%				
			IVY LEAGUE	1	.2%				
			IVY LEAGUE UNIVERSITY	1	.2%				
	UP	DESCRIPTION	MONEY						
	U PENN	DESCRIPTION	ACADEMIC	1	.2%				
			PRIVATE/ NOT AS DIVERSE/ LIBERAL ARTS					1	.6%
			RESEARCH						
			TERRIBLE EMPLOYER						
	UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA	DESCRIPTION	HIGHER EDUCATION	1 .2% SITY 1 .2% SITY 1 .2%					
	UNIVERSITY OF ARTS	DESCRIPTION	CITY ART COLLEGE	1	.2%				
	UNIVERSITY OF HARRISBURG	DESCRIPTION	NICE	1	.2%				

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	E AREA		
				Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter
			•	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
OLLEGES	UNIVERSITY OF PENN	DESCRIPTION	0					1	.6%
MENTIONED MULTIPLE RESPONSES			ALL RIGHT/ BUT I DON'T CARE FOR THEM/ THEY'RE PREJUDICED	1	.2%				
			CHAOTIC						
			DISTINGUISHED			1	.4%		
			EASY			1	.4%		
			EDUCATIONAL			1	.4%		
			ELITE	1	.2%				
			EXCELLENT	1	.2%	1	.4%		
			GOOD EDUCATION						
			GOOD IVY LEAGUE	1	.2%				
			GOOD SCHOOL	1	.2%			1	.6%
			GREAT	1	.2%				
			HIGH QUALITY			1	.4%		
			HIGHLY RECOGNIZED FOR EDUCATION					1	.6%
			HOSPITAL/ MEDICAL			1	.4%		
			INTELLECT	1	.2%				
			IVY LEAGUE	4	.8%	1	.4%		
			LOCAL	1	.2%				
			MEDICAL COLLEGE/ ONLY BIG COLLEGE IN PHILADELPHIA			1	.4%		
			NOT EASY TO GET INTO	1	.2%				
			OKAY BY ME	1	.2%				
			OUTSTANDING	2	.4%				
			OUTSTANDING IN MANY FIELDS			1	.4%		
			PRESTIGIOUS COLLEGE/ EXPENSIVE					1	.6%
			QUALITY	1	.2%				
			THE BEST IN THE STATE	1	.2%				
			TOP DRAWER					1	.6%
			TOP NOTCH			1	.4%		
			UNIVERSITY OF PENN LARGE AND IMPORTANT	1	.2%				
			VERY DISTINGUISHED			1	.4%		
			VERY EXCELLENT						
			VERY GOOD	4	.8%			1	.6%
			VERY GOOD RESEARCH SCHOOL						
			VERY UNIVERSAL	1	.2%				

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	E AREA		
				Spring	Garden	Northeast Cer	Regional nter		t Regional nter
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
S	UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA	DESCRIPTION	0			1	.4%		
ED			A MEDICAL COLLEGE						
E SES			ALSO A GOOD SCHOOL					1	.6%
,,,,			ARISTOCRATIC/ PEOPLE OF MAIN LINE	1	.2%				
			BIG			1	.4%		
			BUSINESS SCHOOL						
			CHALLENGING	1	.2%				
			COSTLY	1	.2%				
			DISTINGUISHED			1	.4%		
			DON'T KNOW	1	.2%			1	.6%
			ELITE			1	.4%		
			EXCELLENT	4	.8%	1	.4%	4	2.2%
				EXCEPTIONAL	1	.2%			
			EXPENSIVE						
			GOOD			1	.4%	2	1.1%
			GOOD COLLEGE	2	.4%				
			GOOD MEDICAL COLLEGE						
			GOOD SCHOOL					1	.6%
			GOOD SCHOOL/ MY FATHER WENT THERE						
			GOOD TEACHING ORGANIZATION					1	.6%
			GREAT COLLEGE						
			GREAT REPUTATION	1	.2%				
			I HAVE NO OPINION	1	.2%				
			IT HAS A REPUTATION FOR ACADEMIC RIGOR						
			IVY LEAGUE	6	1.1%	2	.8%	3	1.7%
			IVY LEAGUE/ EXPENSIVE/ I'D NEVER GET IN	1	.2%				
			IVY LEAGUE/ MAJOR COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			LARGE	1	.2%				
			LEARNING INSTITUTION	-	12.70			1	.6%
			MEDICAL	1	.2%				
			MY OLD SCHOOL			1	.4%		
			OLD SCHOOL			1	.4%		
			PRESTIGIOUS	2	.4%	•			
			QUALITY	1	.2%			1	.6%
			REALLY CAN'T SAY					1	.6%
			RENOWNED	1	.2%				

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	E AREA		
				Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES MENTIONED	UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA	DESCRIPTION	THAT'S A REALLY REALLY GOOD SCHOOL						
- MULTIPLE			THE BEST	1	.2%				
RESPONSES			TOP OF THE LINE	1	.2%				
			TOPS						
			VERY GOOD	2	.4%	1	.4%		
			VERY GOOD COLLEGE					1	.6%
	UNIVERSITY OF PHILADELPHIA DESCRIPTI		VERY GOOD EDUCATION	1	.2%				
			MEDICAL						
			MEDICAL FIELD						
			VERY GOOD/ CENTRALLY LOCATED					1	.6%
	UNIVERSITY OF PHOENIX	DESCRIPTION	FOR WORKING PEOPLE	1	.2%				
	UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCES	DESCRIPTION	JUST A GOOD COLLEGE						
			NICE						
	UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCES IN PHILADELPHIA	DESCRIPTION	PHARMACY						
	UNIVERSITY OF THE ARTS	DESCRIPTION	PROFESSIONAL	1	.2%				
			TECHNICAL ART SCHOOL	1	.2%				
			WELL KNOWN	1	.2%				
	URSINUS	DESCRIPTION	VERY CLASSY			1	.4%		
	VERSAILLES	DESCRIPTION	VERY GOOD					1	.6%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	E AREA		
				Spring	Garden	Northeas Ce	t Regional nter		t Regional nter
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	VILLANOVA	DESCRIPTION	CATHOLIC	2	.4%				
MENTIONED			CATHOLIC COLLEGE	1	.2%				
- MULTIPLE RESPONSES			DON'T KNOW	2	.4%				
KESI ONSES			DON'T KNOW MUCH ABOUT IT			1	.4%		
			EXPENSIVE	1	.2%				
			GENERAL/ CATHOLIC			1	.4%		
			GOOD	1	.2%				
			GOOD COLLEGE			1	.4%		
			GOOD EDUCATION			1	.4%		
			GOOD NAME			1	.4%		
			GOOD PLACE TO GO			1	.4%		
			GOOD SCHOOL	1	.2%				
			GOOD TEACHING ORGANIZATION					1	.6%
			GREAT COLLEGE			1	.4%		
			LOCAL/ A LITTLE MORE DIFFICULT GETTING INTO			1	.4%		
			MAIN LINE						
			MAJOR COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			MORE SELECTIVE			1	.4%		
			NICE COLLEGE	1	.2%				
			NURSING			1	.4%		
			OKAY	1	.2%				
			OUTSTANDING			1	.4%		
			RICH			1	.4%		
			UPPER CLASS			1	.4%		
			VERY DIFFICULT/ GOOD QUALITY						
			VERY GOOD	1	.2%				
			WELL ORGANIZED	1	.2%				
			WISH I COULD AFFORD IT	1	.2%				
	VILLANOVA COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	GOOD COLLEGE	1	.2%				
	VILLANOVA UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	IVY LEAGUE					1	.6%
	VIRGINIA STATE	DESCRIPTION	BLACK COLLEGE						
	WAGNER COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	A LOT OF SPORTS	1	.2%				
	WAGNER UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	VERY GOOD	1	.2%				

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

						SERVIC	E AREA		
				Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	WEST CHESTER	DESCRIPTION	BEAUTIFUL CAMPUS			1	.4%		
MENTIONED - MULTIPLE			ENERGETIC	1	.2%				
RESPONSES			OKAY/ PRETTY GOOD	1	.2%				
I KEOI ONOLO			STATE COLLEGE						
l			STATE SCHOOL			1	.4%	Ī	
l	WEST CHESTER UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	0			1	.4%		
			EDUCATION			1	.4%		
		FRIENDLY	1	.2%					
		NICE			1	.4%			
			SERENE	1	.2%				
	WHARTON	DESCRIPTION	EXCELLENT SCHOOL						
			INFLUENTIAL					1	.6%
	WIDENER	DESCRIPTION	ENGINEERING PROGRAM GOOD	1	.2%				
			GOOD			1	.4%		
			VERY GOOD			1	.4%		
	WIDENER UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	GRANDSON GOES THERE	1	.2%				
	YALE	DESCRIPTION	EXCITING	1		1	.4%		
Total		•	•	522	100.0%	245	100.0%	181	100.0%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	To	otal
				West Regi	onal Center Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	ALBRIGHT	DESCRIPTION	ACADEMIC	Count	COI 76	1	.1%
MENTIONED	ALLEGHENY	DESCRIPTION	BEST FOR PREMED			1	.1%
- MULTIPLE	ANT	DESCRIPTION	EXCELLENT	1	.5%	1	.1%
RESPONSES	ANTIOCH	DESCRIPTION	DOES A GREAT JOB/ LETS PEOPLE USE LIFE SKILLS TOWARD A DEGRE		1070	1	.1%
	ARCADIA	DESCRIPTION	0			1	.1%
			CONVENIENT			1	.1%
			EXCELLENT COLLEGE			1	.1%
			EXCELLENT REPUTATION			1	.1%
			GOOD			1	.1%
			GOOD REPUTATION			1	.1%
			SMALL			1	.1%
			STUDENT FRIENDLY			1	.1%
			VERY GOOD COOP PROGRAM			1	.1%
	ARCADIA UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	0			1	.1%
			BEAVER COLLEGE FOR WOMEN IS A BETTER NAME			1	.1%
	BERKELEY	DESCRIPTION	GRANDCHILD ATTENDS/ NOW IN SECOND YEAR			1	.1%
	BLOOMSBURG	DESCRIPTION	SMALL COLLEGE PEOPLE-WISE			1	.1%
			VERY GOOD			1	.1%
	BRYN MAWR	DESCRIPTION	BETTER COLLEGE	1	.5%	1	.1%
			GOOD PRIVATE SCHOOL			1	.1%
			LIBERAL ARTS			1	.1%
			SAME AS HAVERFORD	1	.5%	1	.1%
			VERY UPPER CLASS			1	.1%
			WOMEN'S COLLEGE	1	.5%	2	.2%
	BRYN MAWR COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	ALL WOMEN'S LIBERAL ART COLLEGE			1	.1%
			GIRLS			1	.1%
			WOMEN'S COLLEGE			1	.1%
	BUCKS COMMUNITY COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	GOOD		j	1	.1%
	BUCKS COUNTY COMMUNITY	DESCRIPTION	AFFORDABLE			1	.1%
	BUCKS COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	VERY GOOD			1	.1%
	CAN'T THINK OF ANY	DESCRIPTION	0			1	.1%
	CC OF P	DESCRIPTION	AFFORDABLE	1		1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	To	otal
				West Regi	onal Center		
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %
GES	CCP	DESCRIPTION	ACROSS THE STREET			1	.19
ONED			DISAPPOINTED			1	.1'
ONSES			I DON'T KNOW			1	.1
			MY OLD SCHOOL			1	.1
			OKAY			1	.1
			PRETTY GOOD			1	.1
	CCP/ COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	DESCRIPTION	CONVENIENT			1	.1
	CHEYNEY	DESCRIPTION	ALMOST GOOD	1	.5%	1	.1
			ALSO A GOOD SCHOOL			1	.1
			BLACK AWARENESS	1	.5%	1	.1
			GREAT COLLEGE			1	
			PLAYGROUND	1	.5%	1	
			TEACHING COLLEGE	1	.5%	1	
			YOUNGER STUDENTS LACKED HOW TO STUDY SKILLS	1	.5%	1	
	CLARK ATLANTA	DESCRIPTION	HISTORICAL			1	
	COLUMBIA	DESCRIPTION	EXCELLENT			1	
	COMMUNITY	DESCRIPTION	A LOT OF PEOPLE			1	
			CONVENIENT			1	
			STRIVING	1		1	
	COMMUNITY COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	ALSO A GOOD SCHOOL	1		1	
			CHEAPER			1	
			FAIR			1	
			GOOD	1	.5%	1	
			GOOD COLLEGE			1	
			IT'S A COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1		1	
			MODEL/ LOYAL	1		1	
			NEAR			1	
			OKAY	1		1	
			STARTER SCHOOL	1		1	
			VERY GOOD	1		1	<u>-</u>
			VERY GOOD SCHOOL	1	.5%	1	

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	To	otal
					onal Center		
COLLEGES	COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	DESCRIPTION	A PLACE TO START OUT	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
MENTIONED	COMMONITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	DESCRIPTION	ALL RIGHT			1	.1%
- MULTIPLE			ASSOCIATE DEGREE/			1	.1%
RESPONSES			STEPPING STONE TO FOUR-YEAR COLLEGE			1	.1%
			CHEAP			1	.1%
			COLLEGE THAT PEOPLE FEEL BALANCED/ VERY ACCESSIBLE			1	.1%
			CONVENIENT/ COST EFFECTIVE			1	.1%
			DIVERSE			2	.2%
			DON'T KNOW HOW TO ANSWER			1	.1%
			DON'T KNOW THAT MUCH ABOUT IT AT THE PRESENT TIME			1	.1%
			DON'T KNOW TOO MUCH ABOUT IT BUT SOUNDS LIKE A GOOD SCHOOL			1	.1%
			DON'T KNOW/ NEVER BEEN THERE			1	.1%
			EXCELLENT COLLEGE	1	.5%	1	.1%
			EXCELLENT FOR EDUCATION/ INEXPENSIVE			1	.1%
			EXCEPTIONAL			1	.1%
			EXPENSIVE			1	.1%
			FAMILIAR			1	.1%
			GOOD	1	.5%	3	.3%
			GREAT			1	.1%
			HELP MORE PEOPLE			1	.1%
			INFORMATIVE			1	.1%
			INTERMEDIATE/ AS IN-BETWEEN			1	.1%
			IT'S A REALLY GOOD COLLEGE	1	.5%	1	.1%
			LOCAL	1	.5%	1	.1%
			NOT AS GOOD BUT MORE AFFORDABLE	1	.5%	1	.1%
			OKAY			2	.2%
			PLACE OF OPPORTUNITY			1	.1%
			POPULAR AS WELL			1	.1%
			PRETTY FAIR			1	.1%
			PRODUCTIVE			1	.1%
			SERVES EDUCATIONAL NEEDS	1	.5%	1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	CE AREA	To	otal
				West Regi	onal Center		
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES MENTIONED	COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	DESCRIPTION	SMALL COLLEGE/ PRETTY GOOD			1	.1%
- MULTIPLE RESPONSES			TOOK SOME COURSES/ VERY PLEASED WHEN I ATTENDED YEARS AGO			1	.1%
			TRANSITION			1	.1%
			URBAN			1	.1%
			VERY DIVERSE			1	.1%
			WELL KNOWN			1	.1%
			WITHIN THE COMMUNITY			1	.1%
	COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA AND ANNEX	DESCRIPTION	GOOD			1	.1%
	COMMUNITY OF PHILADELPHIA	DESCRIPTION	CHEAP			1	.1%
			CONVENIENT			1	.1%
			GOOD SCHOOL			1	.1%
			SECOND HIGH SCHOOL			1	.1%
	COMMUNITY PHILADELPHIA COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	GOOD/ FAIR CONDITION			1	.1%
	DELAWARE STATE COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	INFLUENCING			1	.1%
	DELAWARE VALLEY	DESCRIPTION	GOOD			1	.1%
	DEVRY	DESCRIPTION	GOOD			1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

			ļ	SERVIC	E AREA	To	tal
				West Regio	nal Center		
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %
OLLEGES	DREXEL	DESCRIPTION	0			2	.2
IENTIONED			A GRAD COLLEGE			1	.1
MULTIPLE ESPONSES			ACADEMIC	1	.5%	1	.1
ESPONSES			ACADEMIC COLLEGE			1	.1
			ACADEMIC EXCELLENCE	1	.5%	1	.1
			ACROSS FROM PENN			1	.1
			ALL OF THESE COLLEGES ARE VERY GOOD			1	.1
			ANOTHER COLLEGE/ COMFORTABLE			1	.'
			AVERAGE			2	.,
			BAD STUDENT TEACHERS			1	
			BUSINESS			2	
			CLOSE BY	1	.5%	1	
			COMPETITIVE	-	1070	1	<u>·</u>
			CONVENIENT			1	
			CONVENIENT COLLEGE			1	
			DON'T KNOW			3	
			DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT THE SCHOOL			1	
			DON'T KNOW MUCH ABOUT IT			1	
			DON'T LIKE IT			1	
			ECONOMICAL AND TECHNICAL			1	
			ELITE	1	.5%	1	
			ENGINEERING	4	2.2%	9	·
			ENGINEERING AND SCIENCE	•	2.270	1	
			ENGINEERING ORIENTED			1	
			ENGINEERING SCHOOL			2	
			ENGINEERING/ EXCELLENT/ EXCEPTIONAL			1	
			EXCELLENT	3	1.6%	7	
			EXCELLENT COLLEGE		11070	1	<u>.</u>
			EXPENSIVE			2	
			FINE			1	
			FOR BUSINESS	1	.5%	1	
			FOUR-YEAR	· · ·	.070	1	<u>·</u>
			GOOD	1	.5%	5	
			GOOD BUSINESS SCHOOL	· · ·	.0 70	1	
			GOOD COLLEGE	2	1.1%	2	
			GOOD COLLEGE FOR ECONOMICS	1	.5%	1	

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	То	ital
				West Regio	onal Center		
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %
OLLEGES	DREXEL	DESCRIPTION	GOOD ENGINEERING			2	.29
MENTIONED			GOOD FOR ENGINEERING			1	.19
MULTIPLE RESPONSES			GOOD IN TECHNOLOGY AND MATH			1	.1
			GOOD LEARNING COLLEGE			1	.1
			GOOD NURSING PROGRAM			1	.1
			GOOD REPUTATION			1	.1
			GOOD SCHOOL			4	.4
			GRADUATE SCHOOL			1	.1
			GREAT			1	.1
			GREAT SCHOOL	1	.5%	1	.1
			HIGH ON THE LADDER	1	.5%	1	.1
			HIGH POWERED	1	.5%	1	.1
			HIGH QUALITY		10,10	1	
			HIGHLY TECHNICAL			1	
			I THINK OF IT AS A TECHNICAL SCHOOL			1	
		<u> </u>	IT'S GOOD BUT NOT FOR THE MONEY			1	
			IVY LEAGUE			2	
			IVY LEAGUE/ LIBERAL ARTS			1	
			LARGE			1	
			LEARNING COMPREHENSIVE			1	
			LOCAL	1	.5%	1	
			MATHEMATICS AND SCIENCE		10,10	1	
			MEDICINE	1	.5%	1	
			MORE TECHNICAL		1070	1	
			NO EXPERIENCE WITH IT			1	:
			NONE			1	<u>-</u>
			NOT SURE/ GOOD SCHOOL			1	
			OKAY			2	
			ONE OF THE TOP SCHOOLS			1	
			OUTSTANDING			1	<u>:</u>
			OUTSTANDING COLLEGE	1	.5%	1	
			PEOPLE WHO HAVE GONE THERE ARE VERY SUCCESSFUL	·	.070	1	
			PRESTIGIOUS	1	.5%	1	
			PRESTIGIOUS COLLEGE			1	.1
			PRETTY DECENT COLLEGE	1	.5%	1	.1
			PROFESSIONAL			1	.1

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	To	otal
					onal Center		
00115050	i poevel	L DECODIDEION	DEALLY DID LIVE IT COME	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES MENTIONED - MULTIPLE	DREXEL	DESCRIPTION	REALLY DID LIKE IT/ SOME YEARS AGO DAUGHTER WENT THERE			1	.1%
RESPONSES			SMALL			1	.1%
			SMART			1	.1%
			SPECIALIZED IN ENGINEERING			1	.1%
			TECH	1	.5%	1	.1%
			TECHNICAL			2	.2%
			TECHNICAL ENGINEERING			1	.1%
			TECHNICAL INSTITUTE	1	.5%	1	.1%
			TECHNOLOGY			2	.2%
			TECHNOLOGY SCHOOL			1	.1%
			URBAN			1	.1%
			VALUE			1	.1%
			VERY GOOD			3	.3%
			VOCATIONAL			1	.1%
			WORK SCHOOL EXPERIENCE/ VERY VALUABLE			1	.1%
			WORK STUDY PROGRAM/ MEDICAL/ LAW			1	.1%
	DREXEL UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	ACADEMIC/ CHALLENGING/ TRYING TO BECOME A NON-COMMUTING SCHO			1	.1%
			COOP EDUCATION			1	.1%
			DON'T KNOW			1	.1%
			EXCELLENT	1	.5%	1	.1%
			IT'S A REALLY GOOD SCHOOL	1	.5%	1	.1%
			OKAY			1	.1%
			POPULAR	1	.5%	1	.1%
			SECOND BEST			1	.1%
			VERY GOOD			1	.1%
	EASTERN	DESCRIPTION	MY DAUGHTER LOVED IT			1	.1%
	EASTERN UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	CHRISTIAN			1	.1%
	HANEMAN	DESCRIPTION	MY ALMA MATER			1	.1%
	HARVARD	DESCRIPTION	LAWYER/ DOCTOR			1	.1%
	HAVERFORD	DESCRIPTION	ARTS COLLEGE FOR ADVANCED DEGREE WORK			1	.1%
			FOUR-YEAR			1	.1%
			GOOD LIBERAL ARTS	1	.5%	1	.1%
			NICE/ MIDWAY KIND OF COLLEGE			1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	To	otal
				West Regi	onal Center Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	HAVERFORD COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	LIBERAL	Count	C01 %	Count 1	.1%
MENTIONED	THINKE ON B GOLLEGE	BESSIAL HON	LIBERAL ARTS	1	.5%	1	.1%
- MULTIPLE			SMALLER SCHOOL	<u>'</u>	.570	1	.1%
RESPONSES	HOLY FAMILY	DESCRIPTION	ACADEMICS			1	.1%
	TIOLITY WILL	BEGGINI HON	CATHOLIC INSTITUTE OF HIGHER LEARNING			1	.1%
			CONVENIENT/ CREDIBLE			1	.1%
			GOD SENT			1	.1%
			GOOD			1	.1%
			GOOD LEARNING COLLEGE			1	.1%
			GOOD SCHOOL			1	.1%
			LOCAL			1	.1%
			LOOKS WONDERFUL			1	.1%
			NEARBY			1	.1%
			NICE COLLEGE			1	.1%
			NOT QUITE AS GOOD BUT NICE COLLEGE			1	.1%
			RELIGIOUS ONE			1	.1%
			SMALL UPCOMING SCHOOL			1	.1%
			THE BEST			1	.1%
			TRADITION			1	.1%
	HOLY FAMILY COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	CLOSE TO HOME			1	.1%
			HIGH QUALITY			1	.1%
			THEY HAVE REALLY GOOD PROFESSORS OR TEACHERS			1	.1%
	HOLY FAMILY UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	I DON'T REALLY KNOW			1	.1%
			LOCAL			1	.1%
	IMMACULATE	DESCRIPTION	EXCELLENT			1	.1%
	IMMACULATE COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	SMALL LIBERAL ARTS/ CATHOLIC			1	.1%
	JEFFERSON	DESCRIPTION	ALUMNI			1	.1%
			DON'T KNOW			1	.1%
			GREAT MEDICAL SCHOOL			1	.1%
			MEDICAL			2	.2%
			SAME CATEGORY AS PENN/ NOT COMMUNITY FRIENDLY			1	.1%
	JEFFERSON UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	HEALTH CARE			1	.1%
	KUTZTOWN/ PENN U	DESCRIPTION	0			1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	To	otal
					onal Center	0	0-10/
COLLEGES	LASALLE	DESCRIPTION	0	Count	Col %	Count 2	Col %
MENTIONED	LAOALL	DESCRIPTION	ACADEMIC COLLEGE			1	.1%
- MULTIPLE			ADEQUATE FOR AN INNER CITY				
RESPONSES			SCHOOL			1	.1%
			AN AVERAGE SCHOOL	1	.5%	1	.1%
l			AS FAR AS I KNOW ALSO GOOD			1	.1%
			CAN'T THINK OF ONE			1	.1%
			CATHOLIC			2	.2%
			CATHOLIC EDUCATION			1	.1%
			CATHOLIC SCHOOL			1	.1%
			CITY SCHOOL			1	.1%
			CLOSE			1	.1%
			CONTROVERSY			1	.1%
			DEFINITELY NOT AFFORDABLE			1	.1%
			DON'T KNOW			3	.3%
			DON'T KNOW MUCH ABOUT LASALLE/ BIG FIVE			1	.1%
			EASILY ACCESSIBLE			1	.1%
			EXCELLENT			4	.4%
			EXCELLENT EDUCATION			1	.1%
			GOOD	2	1.1%	3	.3%
			GOOD COLLEGE			1	.1%
			GOOD EDUCATION			1	.1%
			GOOD LIBERAL ARTS			1	.1%
			GOOD SCHOOL	1	.5%	2	.2%
			GREAT			1	.1%
			GREAT ACADEMIC INSTITUTE			1	.1%
			I DON'T KNOW TOO MUCH BUT IT'S A SMALL COLLEGE			1	.1%
			I HAVE NO IDEA			1	.1%
			INCLUSIVE			1	.1%
			INTERESTING			1	.1%
			IVY LEAGUE			1	.1%
			LIKE THE UNIVERSITY THERE			1	.1%
			LONG HISTORY			1	.1%
			MORE INTO SPORTS			1	.1%
			MORE WORKING CLASS SCHOOL			1	.1%
			MY OLD COLLEGE			1	.1%
			NEARBY/ INTERRACIAL COLLEGE			1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	To	otal
				West Rogi	onal Center		
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	LASALLE	DESCRIPTION	NEIGHBORHOOD	- Count	3 0.70	1	.1%
MENTIONED			NICE/ EDUCATIONAL			1	.1%
- MULTIPLE RESPONSES			NIECE TAUGHT THERE			1	.1%
RESPONSES			NOT FAMILIAR			1	.1%
			OKAY			2	.2%
			PRETTY GOOD			1	.1%
			PRETTY GOOD COLLEGE			1	.1%
			PRIVATE			1	.1%
			PRIVATE COLLEGE	1	.5%	1	.1%
			RELIABLE			1	.1%
			RELIGIOUS			1	.1%
			RELIGIOUS ORIENTED			1	.1%
			REPUTABLE			1	.1%
			SMALL CATHOLIC/ EXPENSIVE			1	.1%
			SMALL CLASSROOM			1	.1%
			SMALL/ CHRISTIAN BROKERS			1	.1%
			STATE-OF-THE-ART			1	.1%
			THE SAME AS TEMPLE			1	.1%
			THE SAME WITH LASALLE BUT WITH LESS MEDICAL AREAS			1	.1%
			THIRD BEST			1	.1%
			TRADITIONAL			1	.1%
			VERY FLEXIBLE			1	.1%
			VERY GOOD	1	.5%	2	.2%
			VERY GOOD SCHOOL			1	.1%
			VERY SPIRITUAL			1	.1%
			WELL ATTENDED			1	.1%
			WELL CREDITED COLLEGE			1	.1%
			WONDERFUL			1	.1%
			WOULDN'T BE FIRST CHOICE			1	.1%
	LASALLE COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	GOOD EDUCATIONAL SCHOOL			1	.1%
			NICE, NICE COLLEGE			1	.1%
			WHAT YOU HEAR/ THAT IT IS PRETTY GOOD COLLEGE			1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	To	otal
				West Regi	onal Center		
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	LASALLE UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	DON'T KNOW			1	.1%
MENTIONED - MULTIPLE			FRIENDS GO THERE			1	.1%
RESPONSES			GOOD BASKETBALL TEAM			1	.1%
			OKAY			1	.1%
			POOR ADMINISTRATION			1	.1%
			RIGHT ALONG WITH TEMPLE			1	.1%
			SMALL			2	.2%
	LINCOLN	DESCRIPTION	NOT THE SAME			1	.1%
			VERY DIVERSE	1	.5%	1	.1%
	LINCOLN UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	HERITAGE			1	.1%
	LITTLE FLOWER	DESCRIPTION	THE BEST			1	.1%
	LOCK HAVEN	DESCRIPTION	NOT SURE			1	.1%
	MATHOON COLLEGE IN FLORIDA	DESCRIPTION	TERRIFIC	1	.5%	1	.1%
	MOORE COLLEGE OF ART AND DESIGN	DESCRIPTION	PROFESSIONAL			1	.1%
	MOORE LIBERAL ARTS	DESCRIPTION	VERY GOOD			1	.1%
	MORAVIAN COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	DON'T KNOW			1	.1%
	MOREHOUSE	DESCRIPTION	BLACK COLLEGE	1	.5%	1	.1%
	MORGAN	DESCRIPTION	ALL RIGHT	1	.5%	1	.1%
	MORGAN STATE	DESCRIPTION	BLACK COLLEGE	1	.5%	1	.1%
			FAMILY ATMOSPHERE	1	.5%	1	.1%
	NEWMAN	DESCRIPTION	CONVENIENT			1	.1%
			QUALITY			1	.1%
	NOTRE DAME	DESCRIPTION	TOP COLLEGE			1	.1%
	OLD DOMINION UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA	DESCRIPTION	SUPER			1	.1%
	P COM	DESCRIPTION	GOOD SCHOOL	1	.5%	1	.1%
	PEIRCE	DESCRIPTION	FLEXIBLE			1	.1%
			TWO-YEAR COLLEGE/ THOUGH IT'S NOT			1	.1%
	PEIRCE BUSINESS	DESCRIPTION	BEST KEPT QUIET SCHOOL/ LOW KEYED			1	.1%
	PEIRCE COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	BUSINESS COLLEGE			1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	To	otal
				West Regi	onal Center		
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	PENN	DESCRIPTION	A WONDERFUL UNIVERSITY			1	.1%
MENTIONED - MULTIPLE			ACADEMICS			1	.1%
RESPONSES			BIG/ GOOD SCHOOL	1	.5%	1	.1%
REGI GINGEG			COMPETITIVE			1	.1%
			ELITE			2	.2%
			EXCELLENT			1	.1%
			EXCELLENT ACADEMIC REPUTATION/ GOT TO BE CREME DE LA CREME			1	.1%
			EXCELLENT SCHOOL	1	.5%	2	.2%
			GET YOU INTO GRADUATE SCHOOL			1	.1%
			GOOD	1	.5%	2	.2%
			GOOD SCHOOL			1	.1%
			I WENT THERE MY FIRST YEAR	1	.5%	1	.1%
			INNER CITY			1	.1%
			IVY LEAGUE	1	.5%	14	1.2%
			IVY LEAGUE SCHOOL			1	.1%
			MY BOSS			1	.1%
			PRESTIGE	1	.5%	1	.1%
			PRESTIGIOUS			1	.1%
			THEY DON'T HAVE A VARIETY OF STUDENTS	1	.5%	1	.1%
			VERY GOOD			1	.1%
			WELL REGARDED			1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	To	otal
				West Regi	onal Center		
	1		·	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES MENTIONED	PENN STATE	DESCRIPTION	0			1	.1%
- MULTIPLE			A STATE COLLEGE			1	.1%
RESPONSES			AFFORDABLE			1	.1%
			ALSO A GOOD SCHOOL			1	.1%
			BETTER THAN NORM			1	.1%
			BIG			1	.1%
			CLOSE			1	.1%
			COLLEGIATE			1	.1%
			COMPREHENSIVE			1	.1%
			DAUGHTER GOT GOOD EDUCATION THERE			1	.1%
			DISTANT			1	.1%
			DOCTORS			1	.1%
			DON'T KNOW			3	.3%
			DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT THE SCHOOL			1	.1%
			ELITE			1	.1%
			ENORMOUS			1	.1%
			EXCELLENT			4	.4%
			EXPENSIVE/ ESTABLISHED			1	.1%
			FOOTBALL	1	.5%	3	.3%
			GOOD	1	.5%	3	.3%
			GOOD COLLEGE			1	.1%
			GOOD COLLEGE FOR SPORTS			1	.1%
			GOOD LEARNING COLLEGE			1	.1%
			GOOD REPUTATION			1	.1%
			GOOD SCHOOL	1	.5%	4	.4%
			GOOD STATE COLLEGE			1	.1%
			GREAT			1	.1%
			HIGHER EDUCATION			1	.1%
			HOSPITAL			1	.1%
			I DON'T KNOW			2	.2%
			I KNOW OF THE COLLEGE			1	.1%
			IT WOULD BE PRETTY GOOD			1	.1%
			IVY LEAGUE			3	.3%
			JOE PATERNO			1	.1%
			MAJOR			1	.1%
			OFF THE TOP OF MY HEAD I CAN'T THINK OF ANYTHING			1	.1%
			PRETTY GOOD			1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	To	otal
				West Regi	onal Center Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	PENN STATE	DESCRIPTION	STOIC	Count	001 70	1	.1%
MENTIONED			TOP NOTCH			1	.1%
- MULTIPLE RESPONSES			TRADITIONAL			1	.1%
RESPUNSES			VERY GOOD			2	.2%
	PENN STATE UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	DON'T KNOW			1	.1%
	PENN STATE/ UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA	DESCRIPTION	PRESTIGE			1	.1%
	PENNSYLVANIA	DESCRIPTION	0			1	.1%
			DON'T KNOW			1	.1%
			IVY LEAGUE COLLEGE			1	.1%
			SUCCESS			1	.1%
	PENNSYLVANIA ACADEMY OF FINE ARTS	DESCRIPTION	PROFESSIONAL			1	.1%
	PHIL COMMUNITY COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	CONVENIENT RESORT			1	.1%
	PHILADELPHIA BIBLICAL UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	TURN OUT SUCCESSFUL PEOPLE			1	.1%
	PHILADELPHIA CC	DESCRIPTION	PRETTY GOOD			1	.1%
	PHILADELPHIA COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	A GOOD ONE	1	.5%	1	.1%
			GOOD SCHOOL			1	.1%
			UNIVERSITY			1	.1%
	PHILADELPHIA COLLEGE CALLED PENN STATE	DESCRIPTION	GOOD			1	.1%
	PHILADELPHIA COMMUNITY	DESCRIPTION	GOOD			1	.1%
			GREAT			1	.1%
	PHILADELPHIA COMMUNITY COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	BETTER PRICE FOR YOUR MONEY			1	.1%
			CHEAPER THAN THE OTHERS			1	.1%
			COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1	.5%	2	.2%
			COMMUNITY ORIENTED			1	.1%
			CONVENIENT COLLEGE			1	.1%
			FOUR-YEAR			1	.1%
			GOOD			1	.1%
			I DON'T KNOW			1	.1%
			INTERIOR DESIGN			1	.1%
			LEARNING			1	.1%
			MEDIOCRE			1	.1%
			NEAR EXCELLENT			1	.1%
			PLACE TO LEARN/ NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	1	.5%	1	.1%
			STEPPING STONE TO COLLEGE/ PRE-COLLEGE			1	.1%
			TWO-YEAR COLLEGE			1	.1%
	PHILADELPHIA SCHOOL OF FASHION AND DESIGN	DESCRIPTION	VERY GOOD SCHOOL			1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	To	otal
				West Regi	onal Center		
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	PHILADELPHIA UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	CAPABLE			1	.1%
MENTIONED - MULTIPLE			COZY/ MORE INVITING/ SMALLER/ SPECIALIZED			1	.1%
RESPONSES			EXCELLENT			1	.1%
			GOOD EDUCATION/ MY ALMA MATER			1	.1%
			LOCAL			1	.1%
			PHARMACY			1	.1%
			TEXTILES			1	.1%
			VERY GOOD			1	.1%
	PHOENIX	DESCRIPTION	GREAT EDUCATION FOR WORKING ADULTS			1	.1%
	PRINCETON	DESCRIPTION	EXCELLENT			1	.1%
			HIGH STANDARDS			1	.1%
			WONDERFUL			1	.1%
	REED	DESCRIPTION	GOOD MEDICAL SCHOOL			1	.1%
	RICE	DESCRIPTION	GREATEST SINGLE EDUCATION EXPERIENCE IN MY LIFE			1	.1%
	ROSEMONT	DESCRIPTION	DON'T KNOW MUCH ABOUT ROSEMONT			1	.1%
			FOR WORKING PEOPLE			1	.1%
			IT'S A PRETTY GOOD COLLEGE	1	.5%	1	.1%
	ROWAN	DESCRIPTION	MIDDLE OF ROAD			1	.1%
	RUTGERS	DESCRIPTION	FOOTBALL			1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	To	otal
				West Region	onal Center Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	SAINT JOE'S	DESCRIPTION	ACADEMICS	Count	COI /6	1	.1%
MENTIONED	0/m// 002 0	DECORAL HOLY	ALMA MATER	+		1	.1%
- MULTIPLE			ALSO AN AVERAGE SCHOOL	1	.5%	1	.1%
RESPONSES			CATHOLIC	1 1	.5%	2	.2%
			DEPENDABLE	1 1	.5%	1	.1%
			EFFECTIVE	· ·	1070	1	.1%
			EXCELLENT	2	1.1%	2	.2%
			EXCELLENT COLLEGE	<u> </u>		1	.1%
			GOOD			1	.1%
			GOOD SCHOOL	3	1.6%	4	.4%
			GREAT COLLEGE			1	.1%
			HIGHER EDUCATION			1	.1%
			I CAN'T THINK OF ANYTHING			1	.1%
			LOCAL	2	1.1%	2	.2%
			PRIVATE CATHOLIC COLLEGE	1	.5%	1	.1%
			RELIGIOUS PRIVATE SCHOOL/ NOT AS DIVERSE			1	.1%
			SCHOLARSHIP			1	.1%
			SMALL	1	.5%	1	.1%
			SPORTS			1	.1%
			VERY GOOD COLLEGE			1	.1%
			VERY GOOD SCHOOL	1	.5%	1	.1%
			WONDERFUL			1	.1%
	SAINT JOE'S COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	PAROCHIAL			1	.1%
	SAINT JOE'S UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	EXCEPTIONAL			1	.1%
			GOOD EDUCATION			1	.1%
			REAL NICE			1	.1%
			VERY HIGHLY REGARDED CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY			1	.1%
	SAINT JOE	DESCRIPTION	LOCAL			1	.1%
	SAINT JOSEPH'S	DESCRIPTION	CATHOLIC			1	.1%
			GOOD SCHOOL			1	.1%
			VERY GOOD			1	.1%
			WELL-ROUNDED CATHOLIC EDUCATION			1	.1%
	SAINT JOSEPH'S UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	DEDICATED			1	.1%
			GOOD PRIVATE			1	.1%
			INTEGRITY			1	.1%
			RICH			1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	To	otal
				<u> </u>	onal Center	0	0.10/
COLLEGES	SAINT JOSEPH	DESCRIPTION	ACADEMICS	Count	Col %	Count 1	Col % .1%
MENTIONED	S 555=		GOOD TOO	1	.5%	1	.1%
- MULTIPLE RESPONSES			WONDERFUL TO GO TO			1	.1%
KEGI GNOLG	SAINT JOSEPH UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	MORE SELECTIVE			1	.1%
	SPELLMAN	DESCRIPTION	GREAT			1	.1%
	STOCKTON	DESCRIPTION	MY GRANDCHILDREN WENT THERE			1	.1%
	SWARTHMORE COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	SMALL			1	.1%
			SMALL LIBERAL ARTS COLLEGE			1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	To	otal
				West Divi	l C t		
					onal Center	0	Col %
COLLEGES	TEMPLE	DESCRIPTION	0	Count	Col %	Count 3	.3%
MENTIONED	TEWN CE	DECORUM MORE	A BIG BUILDING	1	.5%	1	.1%
- MULTIPLE			A COLLEGE	 ' 	.5 /6	1	.1%
RESPONSES			A GREAT COLLEGE	1	.5%	1	.1%
			ACADEMIC	 ' 	.5 /6	1	.1%
			ACADEMIC EXCELLENCE	1	.5%	1	.1%
			ACADEMICS	 	.570	1	.1%
			ACCESSIBLE	 		1	.1%
			ACHIEVING EXCELLENCE			1	.1%
			AFFORDABLE	+		1	.1%
1			AFFORDABLE AND EXCELLENT			1	.1%
			ALL RIGHT	+		1	.1%
			ALMA MATER	1	.5%	1	.1%
			ALSO GOOD/ VERY GOOD	 ' 	.570	1	.1%
			ALUMNI	 		1	.1%
			ASSET TO COMMUNITY	†		1	.1%
			ATHLETICS			1	.1%
			ATTENDED TEMPLE			1	.1%
			B AVERAGE STUDENT			1	.1%
			BAD NEIGHBORHOOD			1	.1%
			BASKETBALL	 		3	.3%
			BEST COLLEGE			1	.1%
			BEST COLLEGE NEXT TO PENN AND MORE AFFORDABLE			1	.1%
			BEST LOCAL COLLEGE			1	.1%
			BIG			2	.2%
			BIG CITY SCHOOL	1		1	.1%
			BIG SCHOOL	1		1	.1%
			BILL COSBY	1	.5%	2	.2%
			BILL COSBY WENT THERE	<u> </u>	.070	1	.1%
			BUSY			2	.2%
			CAN'T SAY			1	.1%
			CATERS TO THOSE WITH LESS MONEY			1	.1%
			CENTER CITY UNIVERSITY			1	.1%
			CHILDREN GRADUATED FROM THERE			1	.1%
			CHURCH	†		1	.1%
			CITY SCHOOL			1	.1%
			CITY SCHOOL/ GOOD BASKETBALL GAMES			1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	To	otal
				West Region	onal Center		
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES MENTIONED	TEMPLE	DESCRIPTION	CLOSE			1	.1%
- MULTIPLE			COMMUNITY ORIENTED	1	.5%	1	.1%
RESPONSES			COMMUTER COLLEGE			1	.1%
			CONVENIENT			1	.1%
			CONVENIENT COLLEGE			1	.1%
			CROWDED			1	.1%
			DANGEROUS			1	.1%
			DECENT			1	.1%
			DENTAL SCHOOL			1	.1%
			DIVERSE	1	.5%	1	.1%
			DIVERSE URBAN STATE SCHOOL			1	.1%
			DIVISION ONE COLLEGE			1	.1%
			DON'T KNOW			4	.4%
			DON'T KNOW HOW TO ANSWER			1	.1%
			DON'T KNOW/ NO OPINION			1	.1%
			EASY ACCESS			1	.1%
			EDUCATION			1	.1%
			EDUCATIONAL	2	1.1%	3	.3%
			EXCELLENT	6	3.3%	15	1.3%
			EXCELLENT COLLEGE			1	.1%
			EXCELLENT PUBLIC COLLEGE			1	.1%
			EXPANDING			4	.4%
			EXPENSIVE			3	.3%
			FAIR			1	.1%
			FANTASTIC	1	.5%	1	.1%
			FILM AND JOURNALISM			1	.1%
			FOOTBALL			1	.1%
			FOR MONEY/ DECENT EDUCATION			1	.1%
			FRIENDLY/ LOTS TO OFFER			1	.1%
			FUN			1	.1%
			GOOD	1	.5%	14	1.2%
			GOOD AREA/ LARGER COLLEGE/ MORE STUDENTS			1	.1%
			GOOD CITY SCHOOL			1	.1%
			GOOD CITY UNIVERSITY			1	.1%
			GOOD COLLEGE	3	1.6%	5	.4%
			GOOD COLLEGE/ INSIDE THE CITY	1	.5%	1	.1%
			GOOD EDUCATION				401
	hia Copyright CLARUS Corporation 18 Jan 06		GOOD EDUCATION			1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	To	otal
				West Dead	l C t		
				Count	onal Center Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	TEMPLE	DESCRIPTION	GOOD INSTITUTE OF LEARNING	Count	COI 76	1	.1%
MENTIONED	, - 		GOOD LEARNING COLLEGE			1	.1%
- MULTIPLE			GOOD PLACE FOR YOUNG				
RESPONSES			PEOPLE TO GET EDUCATION			1	.1%
			GOOD SCHOOL	1	.5%	13	1.1%
			GOOD TEACHING SCHOOL			1	.1%
			GOOD, GOOD COLLEGE			1	.1%
			GOOD/ NOT SO PREJUDICED			1	.1%
			GREAT	1	.5%	2	.2%
			GROWING			1	.1%
			HARD TO SAY			1	.1%
			HARDWORKING			1	.1%
			HIGHER EDUCATION			1	.1%
			I'VE HEARD GOOD THINGS			1	.1%
			I DON'T KNOW			2	.2%
			I GIVE IT A 8+/ RIGHT BELOW PENN STATE			1	.1%
			I HAVE NO IDEA/ I DID GO THERE THOUGH			1	.1%
			I LIKED IT/ WENT TO SCHOOL THERE SEVERAL YEARS AGO			1	.1%
			IMPRESSIVE			1	.1%
			INDEPENDENT	1	.5%	1	.1%
			INEXPENSIVE			2	.2%
			INNER CITY			1	.1%
			INNER CITY CAMPUS			1	.1%
			INNER CITY COMMUTER COLLEGE			1	.1%
			INNER CITY/ EDUCATION OPPORTUNITY			1	.1%
			INTERESTING			1	.1%
			INTERNATIONAL			1	.1%
			INVITING	1	.5%	1	.1%
			IT'S A COMPLETE UNIVERSITY			1	.1%
			IT'S A GOOD COLLEGE			1	.1%
			IT'S A LOCAL TREASURE THAT PEOPLE DON'T KNOW ABOUT			1	.1%
			IT'S A VERY GOOD TEACHING COLLEGE			1	.1%
			IT TURNS OUT GOOD STUDENTS			1	.1%
}			IVY LEAGUE			2	.2%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	To	otal
				West Regi	onal Center		
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES MENTIONED	TEMPLE	DESCRIPTION	JUST DON'T KNOW VERY MUCH ABOUT IT			1	.1%
- MULTIPLE			KNOWLEDGE			1	.1%
RESPONSES			LARGE			4	.4%
			LARGE AND EXCELLENT			1	.1%
			LAW			1	.1%
			LESS EXPENSIVE			1	.1%
			LOCAL	1	.5%	7	.6%
			LOT OF OPPORTUNITY			1	.1%
1			LOTS OF CLASS			1	.1%
			LOUSY FOOTBALL TEAM/ LOCAL KIDS CAN GET IN			1	.1%
			MEDICAL	1	.5%	1	.1%
			MEDICAL SCHOOL/ PHARMACY		.070	1	.1%
			MEDICAL/ LAW			1	.1%
			MEDICALLY ORIENTED			1	.1%
			MORE ECONOMICAL			1	.1%
			MORE LOCAL			1	.1%
			MOST STUDENT AND TEACHERS ARE FRIENDLY AND COMPASSIONATE			1	.1%
			MULTICULTURAL/ MELTING POT	1	.5%	1	.1%
			NEARNESS OF THE CAMPUS	1	.5%	1	.1%
			NO COMMENT ON THIS			1	.1%
			NORTH PHILLY			1	.1%
			NOT MUCH MONEY YOU'D HAVE TO PAY			1	.1%
			NOT OFF-HAND			1	.1%
			NOT SURE/ I THINK GOOD SCHOOL			1	.1%
			OKAY			4	.4%
			OKAY COLLEGE			1	.1%
			OLD SCHOOL			1	.1%
			ONE OF THE BEST			1	.1%
			ONE OF THE BEST IN THE NATION	1	.5%	1	.1%
			OVERPOWERED		1	1	.1%
			OVERRATED			1	.1%
			PEOPLE IN THE AREA HAVE TO PAY MORE THAN PEOPLE OUT OF SCHOO			1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	To	otal
					onal Center	01	0-10/
COLLEGES	TEMPLE	DESCRIPTION	PEOPLE MY AGE ARE ABLE TO	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
MENTIONED	1 EIVII EE	DESCRIPTION	GO THERE	1	.5%	1	.1%
- MULTIPLE			PHILADELPHIA			1	.1%
RESPONSES			POPULAR			2	.2%
			POPULATED			1	.1%
			PREJUDICED			1	.1%
			PRESTIGE	1	.5%	1	.1%
			PRESTIGIOUS			1	.1%
			PRETTY GOOD			1	.1%
			PRETTY GOOD SCHOOL			2	.2%
I			PRODUCTIVE	1		1	.1%
			PUBLIC	1 1		1	.1%
			REALLY GOOD/ GREAT/ WHATEVER YOU WANT TO MAJOR IN THEY HAVE			1	.1%
			RIDICULOUS	1		1	.1%
			SAME THING AND CONVENIENT	1		1	.1%
			SATISFACTORY	1		1	.1%
			SCHOLARLY	1		1	.1%
			SCIENCE	1		1	.1%
			SECOND SCHOOL AFTER COMMUNITY			1	.1%
			SOPHISTICATED	1	.5%	1	.1%
			STATE-OF-THE-ART			1	.1%
			STATE UNIVERSITY			1	.1%
			STRICT			1	.1%
			STRONG	1	.5%	1	.1%
			STRONG ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT			1	.1%
			TEAMS OF SPORTS	1	.5%	1	.1%
			THAT IS ALL I CAN SAY			1	.1%
			TRADITIONAL			1	.1%
			UNIQUE			1	.1%
			UNIQUE LOCATION	1	.5%	1	.1%
			UNIVERSITY			2	.2%
			URBAN	1	.5%	3	.3%
			URBAN AND DIVERSE			1	.1%
			URBAN CAMPUS			1	.1%
			URBAN COLLEGE	1	.5%	1	.1%
			URBAN SCHOOL			1	.1%
			URBAN/ GOOD			1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	CE AREA	To	otal
				West Regi	onal Center		
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	TEMPLE	DESCRIPTION	VALUE			1	.1%
MENTIONED - MULTIPLE			VERY BEAUTIFUL	1	.5%	1	.1%
RESPONSES			VERY EDUCATIONAL			1	.1%
TREET CHOLD			VERY GOOD	3	1.6%	8	.7%
			VERY GOOD COLLEGE			1	.1%
			VERY GOOD REPUTATION/ KNOWN STATE WIDE/ A CITY COLLEGE			1	.1%
			VERY GOOD SCHOOL			1	.1%
			VERY URBAN			1	.1%
			WELL KNOWN			3	.3%
			WELL RECOGNIZED SCHOOL	1	.5%	1	.1%
			WHERE I GRADUATED FROM	1	.5%	1	.1%
			WHIRLWIND/ FAST PACE	1	.5%	1	.1%
			WONDERFUL			1	.1%
			WONDERFUL UNIVERSITY			1	.1%
			WORKING CLASS	1	.5%	1	.1%
			WORKING PEOPLE GO THERE/ VERY EXPENSIVE			1	.1%
			YOU CAN BASICALLY ACCOMPLISH GOALS			1	.1%
	TEMPLE COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	A BUNCH OF NONSENSE			1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	To	otal
				West Regi	onal Center		
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	TEMPLE UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	A-OKAY			1	.1%
MENTIONED - MULTIPLE			A BIG SCHOOL			1	.1%
RESPONSES			A GOOD COLLEGE			1	.1%
			A UNIVERSITY			1	.1%
			ANOTHER GOOD SCHOOL	1	.5%	1	.1%
			BEST IN THE AREA			1	.1%
			BETTER OPPORTUNITIES			1	.1%
			DAUGHTER-IN-LAW WORKS HERE/ GRANDDAUGHTER GOES THERE			1	.1%
			DIVERSE STUDENT POPULATION			1	.1%
			DON'T KNOW			1	.1%
			EMINENCE			1	.1%
			EXCELLENT			3	.3%
			FUN/ EXCITING			1	.1%
			GIVES YOUNG PEOPLE AN OPPORTUNITY			1	.1%
			GOOD	1	.5%	3	.3%
			GOOD ALSO			1	.1%
			GOOD SCHOOL			2	.2%
			GREAT			1	.1%
			I LIKE WHAT IT OFFERS			1	.1%
			IMPRESSIVE	i i		1	.1%
			JUST UP THE STREET			1	.1%
			LARGE			1	.1%
			LOCAL			1	.1%
			NICE			1	.1%
			NICE COLLEGE			1	.1%
			OUTSTANDING			1	.1%
			THEY STRIVE ON EXCELLENCE			1	.1%
			TOPS			1	.1%
			VERY GOOD			1	.1%
			VERY GOOD COLLEGE			1	.1%
			VERY GOOD SCHOOL		1	1	.1%
			VERY LARGE	1	.5%	1	.1%
			WELL-ROUNDED		.570	1	.1%
	THE COLLEGE OF SCIENCES OF PHILADELPHIA	DESCRIPTION	THAT SCHOOL IS FINE	1	.5%	1	.1%
	THE COMMUNITY COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	EASY ACCESS	<u> </u>	.570	1	.1%
	THE COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	DESCRIPTION	AFFORDABLE			1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	To	otal
				West Regi	onal Center		
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	U OF P	DESCRIPTION	ALSO A VERY FINE COLLEGE			1	.1%
MENTIONED - MULTIPLE			EXCELLENT			1	.1%
RESPONSES			GREAT			1	.1%
INEOI ONOLO			IT WAS ADEQUATE	1	.5%	1	.1%
	U OF PENN	DESCRIPTION	FOUR-YEAR			1	.1%
		I <u></u>	GREAT UNIVERSITY			1	.1%
			HIGH RATED			1	.1%
			IVY LEAGUE	1	.5%	2	.2%
			IVY LEAGUE UNIVERSITY			1	.1%
	UP	DESCRIPTION	MONEY	1	.5%	1	.1%
	U PENN	DESCRIPTION	ACADEMIC			1	.1%
			PRIVATE/ NOT AS DIVERSE/ LIBERAL ARTS			1	.1%
			RESEARCH	1	.5%	1	.1%
			TERRIBLE EMPLOYER	1	.5%	1	.1%
	UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA	DESCRIPTION	HIGHER EDUCATION			1	.1%
	UNIVERSITY OF ARTS	DESCRIPTION	CITY ART COLLEGE			1	.1%
	UNIVERSITY OF HARRISBURG	DESCRIPTION	NICE	1		1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

i				SERVIC	E AREA	To	otal
					onal Center		
COLLEGES	UNIVERSITY OF PENN	DESCRIPTION	0	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
MENTIONED - MULTIPLE RESPONSES	UNIVERSITY OF PENN	DESCRIPTION	ALL RIGHT/ BUT I DON'T CARE FOR THEM/ THEY'RE PREJUDICED			1	.1%
l			CHAOTIC	1	.5%	1	.1%
l			DISTINGUISHED			1	.1%
			EASY			1	.1%
			EDUCATIONAL			1	.1%
l			ELITE			1	.1%
l			EXCELLENT	4	2.2%	6	.5%
l			GOOD EDUCATION	1	.5%	1	.1%
			GOOD IVY LEAGUE			1	.1%
l			GOOD SCHOOL			2	.2%
l			GREAT			1	.1%
l			HIGH QUALITY	1	.5%	2	.2%
			HIGHLY RECOGNIZED FOR EDUCATION			1	.1%
l			HOSPITAL/ MEDICAL			1	.1%
			INTELLECT			1	.1%
			IVY LEAGUE	2	1.1%	7	.6%
			LOCAL			1	.1%
			MEDICAL COLLEGE/ ONLY BIG COLLEGE IN PHILADELPHIA			1	.1%
l			NOT EASY TO GET INTO			1	.1%
			OKAY BY ME			1	.1%
			OUTSTANDING			2	.2%
			OUTSTANDING IN MANY FIELDS			1	.1%
			PRESTIGIOUS COLLEGE/ EXPENSIVE			1	.1%
l			QUALITY			1	.1%
			THE BEST IN THE STATE			1	.1%
			TOP DRAWER			1	.1%
			TOP NOTCH			1	.1%
			UNIVERSITY OF PENN LARGE AND IMPORTANT			1	.1%
l			VERY DISTINGUISHED			1	.1%
			VERY EXCELLENT	1	.5%	1	.1%
			VERY GOOD			5	.4%
			VERY GOOD RESEARCH SCHOOL	1	.5%	1	.1%
i			VERY UNIVERSAL			1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	To	otal
				West Begin	anal Cantar		
				Count	onal Center Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA D	ESCRIPTION	0	Count	COI 70	1	.1%
MENTIONED			A MEDICAL COLLEGE	1	.5%	1	.1%
- MULTIPLE			ALSO A GOOD SCHOOL	1 	.070	1	.1%
RESPONSES			ARISTOCRATIC/ PEOPLE OF MAIN LINE			1	.1%
			BIG	1		1	.1%
			BUSINESS SCHOOL	1 1	.5%	1	.1%
			CHALLENGING	1	.070	1	.1%
			COSTLY	1		1	.1%
1			DISTINGUISHED	1		1	.1%
		 	DON'T KNOW	1		2	.2%
			ELITE			1	.1%
			EXCELLENT			9	.8%
			EXCEPTIONAL	1		1	.1%
			EXPENSIVE	1 1	.5%	1	.1%
			GOOD	1		3	.3%
			GOOD COLLEGE	1		2	.2%
			GOOD MEDICAL COLLEGE	1 1	.5%	1	.1%
			GOOD SCHOOL	1	10,70	1	.1%
			GOOD SCHOOL/ MY FATHER WENT THERE	1	.5%	1	.1%
			GOOD TEACHING ORGANIZATION			1	.1%
			GREAT COLLEGE	1	.5%	1	.1%
			GREAT REPUTATION			1	.1%
			I HAVE NO OPINION	1 1		1	.1%
			IT HAS A REPUTATION FOR ACADEMIC RIGOR	1	.5%	1	.1%
			IVY LEAGUE	2	1.1%	13	1.1%
			IVY LEAGUE/ EXPENSIVE/ I'D NEVER GET IN		1	.1%	
			IVY LEAGUE/ MAJOR COLLEGE			1	.1%
			LARGE			1	.1%
			LEARNING INSTITUTION			1	.1%
			MEDICAL			1	.1%
			MY OLD SCHOOL			1	.1%
			OLD SCHOOL			1	.1%
			PRESTIGIOUS	3	1.6%	5	.4%
			QUALITY			2	.2%
			REALLY CAN'T SAY			1	.1%
			RENOWNED			1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	To	otal
				West Regi	onal Center		
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES MENTIONED	UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA	DESCRIPTION	THAT'S A REALLY REALLY GOOD SCHOOL	1	.5%	1	.1%
- MULTIPLE			THE BEST			1	.1%
RESPONSES			TOP OF THE LINE			1	.1%
			TOPS	1	.5%	1	.1%
			VERY GOOD	2	1.1%	5	.4%
			VERY GOOD COLLEGE			1	.1%
		V	VERY GOOD EDUCATION			1	.1%
	UNIVERSITY OF PHILADELPHIA	DESCRIPTION	MEDICAL	1	.5%	1	.1%
			MEDICAL FIELD	1	.5%	1	.1%
			VERY GOOD/ CENTRALLY LOCATED			1	.1%
	UNIVERSITY OF PHOENIX	DESCRIPTION	FOR WORKING PEOPLE			1	.1%
	UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCES	DESCRIPTION	JUST A GOOD COLLEGE	1	.5%	1	.1%
			NICE	1	.5%	1	.1%
	UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCES IN PHILADELPHIA	DESCRIPTION	PHARMACY	1	.5%	1	.1%
	UNIVERSITY OF THE ARTS	DESCRIPTION	PROFESSIONAL			1	.1%
			TECHNICAL ART SCHOOL			1	.1%
			WELL KNOWN			1	.1%
	URSINUS	DESCRIPTION	VERY CLASSY			1	.1%
	VERSAILLES	DESCRIPTION	VERY GOOD			1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	To	otal
				West Dari	anal Cantar		
				Count	onal Center Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	T VILLANOVA	DESCRIPTION	CATHOLIC	1	.5%	3	.3%
MENTIONED			CATHOLIC COLLEGE	1 1	.070	1	.1%
- MULTIPLE			DON'T KNOW	1		2	.2%
RESPONSES			DON'T KNOW MUCH ABOUT IT			1	.1%
			EXPENSIVE			1	.1%
			GENERAL/ CATHOLIC			1	.1%
			GOOD			1	.1%
			GOOD COLLEGE			1	.1%
			GOOD EDUCATION	1		1	.1%
			GOOD NAME			1	.1%
			GOOD PLACE TO GO			1	.1%
			GOOD SCHOOL	1	.5%	2	.2%
			GOOD TEACHING			1	.1%
			ORGANIZATION			'	
			GREAT COLLEGE			1	.1%
			LOCAL/ A LITTLE MORE DIFFICULT GETTING INTO			1	.1%
			MAIN LINE	1	.5%	1	.1%
			MAJOR COLLEGE			1	.1%
			MORE SELECTIVE			1	.1%
			NICE COLLEGE			1	.1%
			NURSING			1	.1%
			OKAY			1	.1%
1			OUTSTANDING			1	.1%
			RICH			1	.1%
			UPPER CLASS			1	.1%
			VERY DIFFICULT/ GOOD QUALITY	1	.5%	1	.1%
1			VERY GOOD			1	.1%
1			WELL ORGANIZED			1	.1%
1			WISH I COULD AFFORD IT			1	.1%
1	VILLANOVA COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	GOOD COLLEGE			1	.1%
1	VILLANOVA UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	IVY LEAGUE			1	.1%
1	VIRGINIA STATE	DESCRIPTION	BLACK COLLEGE	1	.5%	1	.1%
1	WAGNER COLLEGE	DESCRIPTION	A LOT OF SPORTS			1	.1%
1	WAGNER UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	VERY GOOD			1	.1%

TABLE 2. Perception Of Area Educational Institutions By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA	To	otal
				West Regi	onal Center		
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COLLEGES	WEST CHESTER	DESCRIPTION	BEAUTIFUL CAMPUS			1	.1%
MENTIONED - MULTIPLE			ENERGETIC			1	.1%
RESPONSES			OKAY/ PRETTY GOOD			1	.1%
KEGI ONGEO			STATE COLLEGE	1	.5%	1	.1%
			STATE SCHOOL			1	.1%
	WEST CHESTER UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	0			1	.1%
			EDUCATION			1	.1%
			FRIENDLY			1	.1%
			NICE			1	.1%
			SERENE			1	.1%
	WHARTON	DESCRIPTION	EXCELLENT SCHOOL	1	.5%	1	.1%
			INFLUENTIAL			1	.1%
	WIDENER	DESCRIPTION	ENGINEERING PROGRAM GOOD			1	.1%
			GOOD	1	.5%	2	.2%
			VERY GOOD			1	.1%
	WIDENER UNIVERSITY	DESCRIPTION	GRANDSON GOES THERE			1	.1%
	YALE	DESCRIPTION	EXCITING			1	.1%
Total		•		184	100.0%	1132	100.0%

TABLE 3. Image Analysis Of Educational Institution Types By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
	<u> </u>	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
ACADEMICS	No Response	13	5.8%	2	1.8%	3	3.8%	2	2.4%	20	4.0%
	Trade Or Technical Schools	18	8.0%	9	7.9%	5	6.3%	5	6.1%	37	7.4%
	Community Colleges	26	11.6%	8	7.0%	12	15.0%	7	8.5%	53	10.6%
	Four-Year Colleges And Universities	167	74.6%	95	83.3%	60	75.0%	68	82.9%	390	78.0%
Total	•	224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
AFFORDABILITY	No Response	8	3.6%	7	6.1%	2	2.5%	1	1.2%	18	3.6%
	Trade Or Technical Schools	46	20.5%	30	26.3%	16	20.0%	17	20.7%	109	21.8%
	Community Colleges	154	68.8%	64	56.1%	47	58.8%	56	68.3%	321	64.2%
	Four-Year Colleges And Universities	16	7.1%	13	11.4%	15	18.8%	8	9.8%	52	10.4%

TABLE 3. Image Analysis Of Educational Institution Types By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional	Northwes	t Regional	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
CONVENIENT CLASS	No Response	36	16.1%	22	19.3%	7	8.8%	12	14.6%	77	15.4%
SCHEDULE	Trade Or Technical Schools	31	13.8%	15	13.2%	9	11.3%	10	12.2%	65	13.0%
	Community Colleges	117	52.2%	49	43.0%	42	52.5%	43	52.4%	251	50.2%
	Four-Year Colleges And Universities	40	17.9%	28	24.6%	22	27.5%	17	20.7%	107	21.4%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
TECHNICAL TRAINING	No Response	18	8.0%	5	4.4%	5	6.3%	1	1.2%	29	5.8%
FOR JOBS	Trade Or Technical Schools	118	52.7%	82	71.9%	45	56.3%	50	61.0%	295	59.0%
	Community Colleges	36	16.1%	8	7.0%	17	21.3%	10	12.2%	71	14.2%
	Four-Year Colleges And Universities	52	23.2%	19	16.7%	13	16.3%	21	25.6%	105	21.0%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
INDIVIDUAL ATTENTION	No Response	23	10.3%	14	12.3%	9	11.3%	5	6.1%	51	10.2%
	Trade Or Technical Schools	52	23.2%	23	20.2%	19	23.8%	21	25.6%	115	23.0%
	Community Colleges	71	31.7%	27	23.7%	30	37.5%	25	30.5%	153	30.6%
	Four-Year Colleges And Universities	78	34.8%	50	43.9%	22	27.5%	31	37.8%	181	36.2%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
REMEDIAL OR	No Response	25	11.2%	12	10.5%	7	8.8%	2	2.4%	46	9.2%
DEVELOPMENTAL SKILLS	Trade Or Technical Schools	40	17.9%	32	28.1%	14	17.5%	21	25.6%	107	21.4%
	Community Colleges	95	42.4%	33	28.9%	34	42.5%	33	40.2%	195	39.0%
	Four-Year Colleges And Universities	64	28.6%	37	32.5%	25	31.3%	26	31.7%	152	30.4%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
ONLINE CLASSES	No Response	48	21.4%	25	21.9%	13	16.3%	14	17.1%	100	20.0%
	Trade Or Technical Schools	22	9.8%	5	4.4%	10	12.5%	12	14.6%	49	9.8%
	Community Colleges	74	33.0%	28	24.6%	25	31.3%	18	22.0%	145	29.0%
	Four-Year Colleges And Universities	80	35.7%	56	49.1%	32	40.0%	38	46.3%	206	41.2%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
CONTINUING EDUCATION	No Response	10	4.5%	5	4.4%	4	5.0%	3	3.7%	22	4.4%
AND CAREER SKILLS FOR THOSE WHO ALREADY	Trade Or Technical Schools	30	13.4%	16	14.0%	12	15.0%	11	13.4%	69	13.8%
HAVE JOBS	Community Colleges	102	45.5%	35	30.7%	31	38.8%	30	36.6%	198	39.6%
	Four-Year Colleges And Universities	82	36.6%	58	50.9%	33	41.3%	38	46.3%	211	42.2%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
PREPARING STUDENTS	No Response	13	5.8%	8	7.0%	6	7.5%	7	8.5%	34	6.8%
FOR THE FUTURE	Trade Or Technical Schools	28	12.5%	12	10.5%	5	6.3%	9	11.0%	54	10.8%
	Community Colleges	53	23.7%	8	7.0%	19	23.8%	12	14.6%	92	18.4%
	Four-Year Colleges And Universities	130	58.0%	86	75.4%	50	62.5%	54	65.9%	320	64.0%

TABLE 3. Image Analysis Of Educational Institution Types By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional		st Regional Inter	West Regi	ional Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
CONVENIENT LOCATIONS	No Response	15	6.7%	9	7.9%	3	3.8%	5	6.1%	32	6.4%
	Trade Or Technical Schools	19	8.5%	15	13.2%	4	5.0%	8	9.8%	46	9.2%
	Community Colleges	122	54.5%	52	45.6%	44	55.0%	39	47.6%	257	51.4%
	Four-Year Colleges And Universities	68	30.4%	38	33.3%	29	36.3%	30	36.6%	165	33.0%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
ATTRACTIVE CAMPUS	No Response	9	4.0%	8	7.0%	5	6.3%	6	7.3%	28	5.6%
ENVIRONMENT	Trade Or Technical Schools	5	2.2%	3	2.6%	3	3.8%			11	2.2%
	Community Colleges	32	14.3%	13	11.4%	20	25.0%	8	9.8%	73	14.6%
	Four-Year Colleges And Universities	178	79.5%	90	78.9%	52	65.0%	68	82.9%	388	77.6%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
HIGH QUALITY	No Response	17	7.6%	5	4.4%	9	11.3%	3	3.7%	34	6.8%
INSTRUCTION	Trade Or Technical Schools	13	5.8%	6	5.3%	3	3.8%	6	7.3%	28	5.6%
	Community Colleges	30	13.4%	5	4.4%	16	20.0%	4	4.9%	55	11.0%
	Four-Year Colleges And Universities	164	73.2%	98	86.0%	52	65.0%	69	84.1%	383	76.6%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
SERVICES FOR	No Response	23	10.3%	15	13.2%	6	7.5%	6	7.3%	50	10.0%
STUDENTS	Trade Or Technical Schools	19	8.5%	7	6.1%	10	12.5%	3	3.7%	39	7.8%
	Community Colleges	63	28.1%	17	14.9%	20	25.0%	18	22.0%	118	23.6%
	Four-Year Colleges And Universities	119	53.1%	75	65.8%	44	55.0%	55	67.1%	293	58.6%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
UP-TO-DATE	No Response	22	9.8%	12	10.5%	7	8.8%	11	13.4%	52	10.4%
CURRICULUM	Trade Or Technical Schools	19	8.5%	7	6.1%	4	5.0%	6	7.3%	36	7.2%
	Community Colleges	42	18.8%	16	14.0%	17	21.3%	8	9.8%	83	16.6%
	Four-Year Colleges And Universities	141	62.9%	79	69.3%	52	65.0%	57	69.5%	329	65.8%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
STATE-OF-THE-ART	No Response	23	10.3%	10	8.8%	9	11.3%	4	4.9%	46	9.2%
TECHNOLOGY	Trade Or Technical Schools	46	20.5%	14	12.3%	11	13.8%	15	18.3%	86	17.2%
	Community Colleges	27	12.1%	8	7.0%	13	16.3%	5	6.1%	53	10.6%
	Four-Year Colleges And Universities	128	57.1%	82	71.9%	47	58.8%	58	70.7%	315	63.0%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
OVERALL QUALITY OF	No Response	10	4.5%	8	7.0%	2	2.5%	4	4.9%	24	4.8%
EDUCATION	Trade Or Technical Schools	11	4.9%	6	5.3%	3	3.8%	5	6.1%	25	5.0%
	Community Colleges	37	16.5%	3	2.6%	17	21.3%	4	4.9%	61	12.2%
	Four-Year Colleges And Universities	166	74.1%	97	85.1%	58	72.5%	69	84.1%	390	78.0%

TABLE 3. Image Analysis Of Educational Institution Types By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82 100.0%		500	100.0%
PREPARING THE	No Response	15	6.7%	5	4.4%	5	6.3%	5	6.1%	30	6.0%
UNEMPLOYED FOR WORK	Trade Or Technical Schools	98	43.8%	64	56.1%	30	37.5%	42	51.2%	234	46.8%
	Community Colleges	80	35.7%	22	19.3%	31	38.8%	25	30.5%	158	31.6%
	Four-Year Colleges And Universities	31	13.8%	23	20.2%	14	17.5%	10	12.2%	78	15.6%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82 100.0%		500	100.0%

TABLE 4. Attitudes Toward Community College Of Philadelphia By Attendance At Community College Of Philadelphia

			NCE OF CLA OLLEGE OF I			Tç	ıtal
		Y	'es	N	lo		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
I AM VERY FAMILIAR WITH THE	No Response			3	.8%	3	.6%
PROGRAMS AND SERVICES	Strongly Disagree	2	1.4%	31	8.8%	33	6.6%
OFFERED BY COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	Disagree	31	21.1%	159	45.0%	190	38.0%
OF THE BEET TIME	Neither	4	2.7%	15	4.2%	19	3.8%
	Agree	89	60.5%	131	37.1%	220	44.0%
	Strongly Agree	21	14.3%	14	4.0%	35	7.0%
Total		147	100.0%	353	100.0%	500	100.0%
THERE IS A CAMPUS OR	No Response			2	.6%	2	.4%
NEIGHBORHOOD SITE OF	Strongly Disagree	1	.7%	4	1.1%	5	1.0%
COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA LOCATED	Disagree	11	7.5%	77	21.8%	88	17.6%
CONVENIENTLY CLOSE TO MY HOME	Neither	1	.7%	24	6.8%	25	5.0%
	Agree	83	56.5%	184	52.1%	267	53.4%
	Strongly Agree	51	34.7%	62	17.6%	113	22.6%
Total		147	100.0%	353	100.0%	500	100.0%
COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF	No Response	1	.7%	2	.6%	3	.6%
PHILADELPHIA IS PRIMARILY A	Strongly Disagree	22	15.0%	26	7.4%	48	9.6%
COLLEGE FOR THOSE STUDENTS WHO CANNOT GET INTO A	Disagree	59	40.1%	174	49.3%	233	46.6%
FOUR-YEAR COLLEGE OR	Neither			15	4.2%	15	3.0%
UNIVERSITY	Agree	56	38.1%	115	32.6%	171	34.2%
	Strongly Agree	9	6.1%	21	5.9%	30	6.0%
Total		147	100.0%	353	100.0%	500	100.0%

TABLE 4. Attitudes Toward Community College Of Philadelphia By Attendance At Community College Of Philadelphia

			NCE OF CLA OLLEGE OF F			Tç	otal
		Y	es	Ŋ	lo		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
FOR RECENT HIGH SCHOOL	No Response			2	.6%	2	.4%
GRADUATES, COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA IS A GOOD	Strongly Disagree	1	.7%	4	1.1%	5	1.0%
CHOICE FOR THE FIRST TWO YEARS	Disagree	14	9.5%	43	12.2%	57	11.4%
OF A FOUR-YEAR DEGREE	Neither	2	1.4%	9	2.5%	11	2.2%
	Agree	99	67.3%	247	70.0%	346	69.2%
	Strongly Agree	31	21.1%	48	13.6%	79	15.8%
Total		147	100.0%	353	100.0%	500	100.0%
IF I GO TO COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF	No Response			2	.6%	2	.4%
PHILADELPHIA FOR TWO YEARS,	Strongly Disagree	2	1.4%	4	1.1%	6	1.2%
THE MAJORITY OF MY CREDITS WILL TRANSFER TO A FOUR-YEAR	Disagree	28	19.0%	30	8.5%	58	11.6%
COLLEGE	Neither	9	6.1%	41	11.6%	50	10.0%
	Agree	84	57.1%	236	66.9%	320	64.0%
	Strongly Agree	24	16.3%	40	11.3%	64	12.8%
Total		147	100.0%	353	100.0%	500	100.0%
THE MAJORITY OF THE STUDENTS	No Response			4	1.1%	4	.8%
AT COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF	Strongly Disagree	11	7.5%	16	4.5%	27	5.4%
HE MAJORITY OF THE STUDENTS T COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF HILADELPHIA ARE ENROLLED IN EVELOPMENTAL COURSES OR	Disagree	69	46.9%	188	53.3%	257	51.4%
THOSE BELOW COLLEGE LEVEL	Neither	15	10.2%	56	15.9%	71	14.2%
WORK	Agree	48	32.7%	81	22.9%	129	25.8%
	Strongly Agree	4	2.7%	8	2.3%	12	2.4%
Total		147	100.0%	353	100.0%	500	100.0%
THE STUDENT POPULATION AT	No Response			3	.8%	3	.6%
COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF	Strongly Disagree	3	2.0%	2	.6%	5	1.0%
PHILADELPHIA IS ETHNICALLY DIVERSE	Disagree	5	3.4%	34	9.6%	39	7.8%
DIVERSE	Neither	5	3.4%	41	11.6%	46	9.2%
	Agree	103	70.1%	237	67.1%	340	68.0%
	Strongly Agree	31	21.1%	36	10.2%	67	13.4%
Total		147	100.0%	353	100.0%	500	100.0%
I WOULD NOT ATTEND THE SPRING	No Response			2	.6%	2	.4%
GARDEN CAMPUS (CITY CENTER) OF	Strongly Disagree	37	25.2%	62	17.6%	99	19.8%
COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA BECAUSE CITY	Disagree	96	65.3%	224	63.5%	320	64.0%
CENTER IS NOT SAFE	Neither	3	2.0%	14	4.0%	17	3.4%
	Agree	7	4.8%	45	12.7%	52	10.4%
	Strongly Agree	4	2.7%	6	1.7%	10	2.0%
Total		147	100.0%	353	100.0%	500	100.0%

TABLE 4. Attitudes Toward Community College Of Philadelphia By Attendance At Community College Of Philadelphia

			NCE OF CLA			Tç	otal
		Y	es	N	lo		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF	No Response			2	.6%	2	.4%
PHILADELPHIA HAS KEPT UP WITH THE LATEST TECHNOLOGY AND IS	Strongly Disagree			3	.8%	3	.6%
ON THE CUTTING EDGE OF COURSE	Disagree	12	8.2%	28	7.9%	40	8.0%
DELIVERY	Neither	17	11.6%	102	28.9%	119	23.8%
	Agree	108	73.5%	204	57.8%	312	62.4%
	Strongly Agree	10	6.8%	14	4.0%	24	4.8%
Total	•	147	100.0%	353	100.0%	500	100.0%
I HAVE ATTENDED CLASSES AT	No Response			2	.6%	2	.4%
COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF	Strongly Disagree			50	14.2%	50	10.0%
PHILADELPHIA	Disagree			288	81.6%	288	57.6%
	Neither			13	3.7%	13	2.6%
	Agree	117	79.6%			117	23.4%
	Strongly Agree	30	20.4%			30	6.0%
Total		147	100.0%	353	100.0%	500	100.0%
I WOULD ATTEND COMMUNITY	No Response	2	1.4%	3	.8%	5	1.0%
COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA IF IT	Strongly Disagree	2	1.4%	19	5.4%	21	4.2%
OFFERED ACCLERATED PROGRAMS AND COURSES	Disagree	32	21.8%	129	36.5%	161	32.2%
AND COOKSES	Neither	4	2.7%	16	4.5%	20	4.0%
	Agree	91	61.9%	172	48.7%	263	52.6%
	Strongly Agree	16	10.9%	14	4.0%	30	6.0%
Total		147	100.0%	353	100.0%	500	100.0%
COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF	No Response			3	.8%	3	.6%
PHILADELPHIA PERSONNEL ARE	Strongly Disagree	4	2.7%	2	.6%	6	1.2%
FRIENDLY AND MEET INDIVIDUAL STUDENTS' NEEDS	Disagree	13	8.8%	11	3.1%	24	4.8%
STODENTS NEEDS	Neither	4	2.7%	128	36.3%	132	26.4%
	Agree	111	75.5%	194	55.0%	305	61.0%
	Strongly Agree	15	10.2%	15	4.2%	30	6.0%
Total		147	100.0%	353	100.0%	500	100.0%
AT THE COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF	No Response			2	.6%	2	.4%
PHILADELPHIA, THEY TEACH THE	Strongly Disagree	2	1.4%		-	2	.4%
KINDS OF SKILLS NEEDED TO GET A JOB IN MY COMMUNITY	Disagree	9	6.1%	19	5.4%	28	5.6%
1 JOB IIN INT COMMUNICINITY	Neither	7	4.8%	42	11.9%	49	9.8%
	Agree	122	83.0%	268	75.9%	390	78.0%
	Strongly Agree	7	4.8%	22	6.2%	29	5.8%
Total	,	147	100.0%	353	100.0%	500	100.0%

TABLE 4. Attitudes Toward Community College Of Philadelphia By Attendance At Community College Of Philadelphia

			NCE OF CLA OLLEGE OF F			Tç	otal
		Y	'es	N	lo	Count Co	
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF	No Response			2	.6%	2	.4%
PHILADELPHIA KEEPS ITS COURSES AND CLASSES UP-TO-DATE	Strongly Disagree	1	.7%			1	.2%
AND CLASSES OF TO-DATE	Disagree	6	4.1%	11	3.1%	17	3.4%
	Neither	13	8.8%	69	19.5%	82	16.4%
	Agree	115	78.2%	249	70.5%	364	72.8%
	Strongly Agree	12	8.2%	22	6.2%	34	6.8%
Total		147	100.0%	353	100.0%	500	100.0%
THE FACULTY TEACHING AT THE	No Response			3	.8%	3	.6%
COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF	Strongly Disagree	2	1.4%			2	.4%
PHILADELPHIA HAS ADVANCED DEGREES AND IS CURRENT IN THEIR	Disagree	7	4.8%	16	4.5%	23	4.6%
FIELD	Neither	19	12.9%	99	28.0%	118	23.6%
	Agree	109	74.1%	222	62.9%	331	66.2%
	Strongly Agree	10	6.8%	13	3.7%	23	4.6%
Total		147	100.0%	353	100.0%	500	100.0%
I BELIEVE THAT COMMUNITY	No Response			3	.8%	3	.6%
COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA IS	Strongly Disagree	2	1.4%			2	.4%
PROVIDING SUCH A NEEDED EDUCATIONAL SERVICE IN THE	Disagree	16	10.9%	55	15.6%	71	14.2%
COMMUNITY THAT IF THEY ASKED	Neither	2	1.4%	19	5.4%	21	4.2%
ME FOR SUPPORT, I WOULD AGREE	Agree	117	79.6%	259	73.4%	376	75.2%
	Strongly Agree	10	6.8%	17	4.8%	27	5.4%
Total		147	100.0%	353	100.0%	500	100.0%
I HAVE BEEN ON THE CAMPUS OF	No Response			2	.6%	2	.4%
COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF	Strongly Disagree	6	4.1%	41	11.6%	47	9.4%
PHILADELPHIA FOR EVENTS OR PROGRAMS IN THE LAST TWO	Disagree	74	50.3%	222	62.9%	296	59.2%
YEARS	Neither	4	2.7%	11	3.1%	15	3.0%
	Agree	53	36.1%	64	18.1%	117	23.4%
	Strongly Agree	10	6.8%	13	3.7%	23	4.6%
Total		147	100.0%	353	100.0%	500	100.0%

TABLE 4. Attitudes Toward Community College Of Philadelphia By Attendance At Community College Of Philadelphia

			NCE OF CLA OLLEGE OF F			Tç	otal
		Y	es	Ņ	10		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
EVENT ATTENDED	A FRIEND'S GRADUATION			1	1.3%	1	.7%
	A HURRICANE RELIEF PROGRAM			1	1.3%	1	.7%
	AFTERNOON SEMINARS			1	1.3%	1	.7%
	ART SHOW	1	1.6%			1	.7%
	ART SHOW AND BOOK SHOW	1	1.6%			1	.7%
	ART/ PLAY			1	1.3%	1	.7%
	ATTEND COLLEGE THERE	1	1.6%			1	.7%
	ATTENDED AN OPEN HOUSE			1	1.3%	1	.7%
	ATTENDED CLASSES	1	1.6%			1	.7%
	ATTENDED SOMETHING WITH MY NIECE/ THINK IT WAS A LECTURE			1	1.3%	1	.7%
	AWARD CEREMONY			1	1.3%	1	.7%
	AWARDS			1	1.3%	1	.7%
	BASIC INFORMATION SEMINAR			1	1.3%	1	.7%
	BASKETBALL			1	1.3%	1	.7%
	BASKETBALL GAME AND COLLEGE FAIR			1	1.3%	1	.7%
	BEHAVIORAL PROGRAM/ HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES	1	1.6%			1	.7%
	BLACK STUDENT ASSOCIATION EVENT			1	1.3%	1	.7%
	CAN'T REMEMBER	2	3.2%			2	1.4%
	CAREER EVENT			1	1.3%	1	.7%
	CHILDREN DANCE RECITALS			1	1.3%	1	.7%
	CLASS	1	1.6%			1	.7%
	CLASS FOR MY JOB/ CAREER DEVELOPING			1	1.3%	1	.7%
	CLASS/ BLACK HISTORY	1	1.6%			1	.7%
	CLASSES	1	1.6%			1	.7%
	COLLEGE FAIR	1	1.6%			1	.7%
	COLLEGE FAIR ON MAIN CAMPUS	1	1.6%			1	.7%
	COLLEGE WORKSHOP/ FINANCIAL AID WORKSHOP/ COUNSELING WORKSHO			1	1.3%	1	.7%
	COMPUTER SCIENCE	1	1.6%			1	.7%
	CONFERENCE			1	1.3%	1	.79
	CONFERENCE FOR WORK			1	1.3%	1	.79
	COUNSELING MEETING			1	1.3%	1	.79

TABLE 4. Attitudes Toward Community College Of Philadelphia By Attendance At Community College Of Philadelphia

			NCE OF CLAS			Τα	tal
		Y	es	Ņ	lo		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
EVENT ATTENDED	COURSES	1	1.6%			1	.7%
	COUSIN'S GRADUATION			1	1.3%	1	.7%
	CULTURE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY	1	1.6%			1	.7%
	DAY CARE TRAINING	1	1.6%			1	.7%
	DELIVERED TROPHIES/ DON'T KNOW WHAT EVENT IT WAS	1	1.6%			1	.7%
	DIPLOMA	1	1.6%			1	.79
	DON'T KNOW	2	3.2%	1	1.3%	3	2.19
	DON'T KNOW/ I'VE HAD A STROKE			1	1.3%	1	.7%
	DON'T REMEMBER	1	1.6%	1	1.3%	2	1.49
	DRILL TEAM APPLICATION FOR DRUM CORPS			1	1.3%	1	.7%
	DRIVER'S COURSE			1	1.3%	1	.79
	DRIVER'S EDUCATION	1	1.6%			1	.79
	EDUCATIONAL EVENT FOR MY GRANDDAUGHTER	1	1.6%			1	.79
	EDUCATIONAL EVENT WITH THE BOARD OF EDUCATION			1	1.3%	1	.79
	ENGLISH AS A SECOND LANGUAGE	1	1.6%			1	.79
	FUNDRAISER	1	1.6%			1	.79
	FUNDRAISER FOR A CANCER VICTIM			1	1.3%	1	.79
	GED PROGRAM	1	1.6%			1	.79
	GENEALOGY SOCIETY MEETING	1	1.6%			1	.79
	GETTING INFORMATION			1	1.3%	1	.79
	GRADUATION	3	4.8%	4	5.2%	7	5.09
	GRADUATION PROGRAM			1	1.3%	1	.79
	GRADUATION/ IN-SERVICE FOR NURSES	1	1.6%			1	.79
	HIGH SCHOOL FAIR			1	1.3%	1	.79
	HISTORY COURSE	1	1.6%			1	.79
	I CAN'T REMEMBER			1	1.3%	1	.79
	I DON'T REMEMBER			1	1.3%	1	.7
	I PASS THERE EVERY DAY FROM WORK			1	1.3%	1	.7
	I TOOK A SIGN LANGUAGE CLASS	1	1.6%			1	.79
	I WALK THROUGH THERE A LOT			1	1.3%	1	.79

TABLE 4. Attitudes Toward Community College Of Philadelphia By Attendance At Community College Of Philadelphia

			NCE OF CLAD			To	tal
		Ye	es	N	О		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
EVENT ATTENDED	I WAS THERE ON BUSINESS			1	1.3%	1	.7%
	I WENT TO SCHOOL THERE/ MARTIN LUTHER KING EVENT	1	1.6%			1	.7%
	I WORK THERE			1	1.3%	1	.7%
	INDIAN SAND ART	1	1.6%			1	.7%
	JOB FAIR	2	3.2%	1	1.3%	3	2.1%
	JOB FAIR AND FUNDRAISER	1	1.6%			1	.7%
	JOB FAIR FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT OF PHILADELPHIA			1	1.3%	1	.7%
	JOB PLACEMENT SEMINAR			1	1.3%	1	.7%
	JUST WENT			1	1.3%	1	.7%
	LANGUAGE ARTS			1	1.3%	1	.7%
	LECTURE	2	3.2%	1	1.3%	3	2.19
	LOOKING AROUND			1	1.3%	1	.7%
	LOOKING AT SCHEDULES AND SAW A DANCE PROGRAM			1	1.3%	1	.7%
	MARTIN LUTHER KING DAY	1	1.6%			1	.79
	MEETING FOR NURSING PROGRAM	1	1.6%			1	.7%
	MOM TEACHES THERE AND I GO THERE A LOT			1	1.3%	1	.7%
	MY DAUGHTER'S ENROLLMENT			1	1.3%	1	.79
	MY DAUGHTER GRADUATED FROM THERE			1	1.3%	1	.7%
	MY WIFE WAS ENROLLED AND I ATTENDED A RECEPTION FOR AN ART S			1	1.3%	1	.7%
	NO RESPONSE			2	2.6%	2	1.49
	NONPROFIT MANAGEMENT COURSE	1	1.6%			1	.7%
	NURSE IN-SERVICE			1	1.3%	1	.79
	OPEN HOUSE	2	3.2%	6	7.8%	8	5.7%
	OPEN HOUSE FOR HIGH SCHOOL SENIORS			1	1.3%	1	.7%
	OPEN HOUSE FOR STUDENTS			1	1.3%	1	.79
	OPEN HOUSE TO ENROLL PEOPLE			1	1.3%	1	.7%
	OPEN HOUSE/ MULTICULTURAL			1	1.3%	1	.79
	ORIENTATION FOR NURSING PROGRAM	1	1.6%			1	.7%
	PENNSYLVANIA HELD DANCE CONTEST			1	1.3%	1	.7%

TABLE 4. Attitudes Toward Community College Of Philadelphia By Attendance At Community College Of Philadelphia

			NCE OF CLA			Tọ	Total	
		Y	es	N	lo			
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	
EVENT ATTENDED	PREP COURSE FOR TEACHER CERTIFICATION	1	1.6%			1	.7%	
	RELATIONSHIP COURSE	1	1.6%			1	.7%	
	SEMINAR FOR JOB			1	1.3%	1	.7%	
	SEVERAL THINGS/ PARADE/ PICKING UP FRIENDS			1	1.3%	1	.7%	
	SIGN MY SON UP			1	1.3%	1	.7%	
	SISTER TO SISTER	1	1.6%			1	.7%	
	SON ATTENDED AND I WENT TO OPEN HOUSE			1	1.3%	1	.7%	
	SPORTING EVENT	1	1.6%			1	.7%	
	SPORTING EVENT/ BASEBALL	1	1.6%			1	.7%	
	STUDENT DAY	1	1.6%			1	.7%	
	SUMMER COURSES	1	1.6%			1	.7%	
	SUMMER SCHOOL	1	1.6%			1	.7%	
	TAKEN KIDS TO CHECK IT OUT			1	1.3%	1	.7%	
	TAKING COURSES	1	1.6%			1	.7%	
	TO GET INFORMATION ON CLASS FOR MY DAUGHTER/ I CALLED FIVE T			1	1.3%	1	.7%	
	TOOK A COMPUTER CLASS	1	1.6%			1	.7%	
	TOOK CLASSES	1	1.6%			1	.7%	
	TOOK COURSE IN MATH AND ENGLISH	1	1.6%			1	.7%	
	TOOK NURSING CLASS THERE	1	1.6%			1	.7%	
	TRAINING CLASS	1	1.6%			1	.7%	
	TRIO FUNDED PROGRAM	1	1.6%			1	.7%	
	UPWARD BOUND PROGRAM			1	1.3%	1	.7%	
	VISIT FOR COLLEGE PREP			1	1.3%	1	.7%	
	WALK BY THE CAMPUS ON MY WAY TO ST. PATTY'S PARADE	1	1.6%			1	.7%	
	WENT THERE TO TAKE A TEST			1	1.3%	1	.7%	
	WENT TO CULTURAL EVENT/ BOOK FAIR/ MARTIN LUTHER KING DAY	1	1.6%			1	.7%	
	WENT TO SCHOOL WITH SISTER TO SEE WHAT IT'S LIKE			1	1.3%	1	.7%	
	WORKSHOP FOR IMMIGRATION			1	1.3%	1	.7%	
Total		63	100.0%	77	100.0%	140	100.0%	

TABLE 5. Media Recall For Community College Of Philadelphia By Attendance At Community College Of Philadelphia

			NCE OF CLA			To	otal	
		Y	es	N	No			
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	
BILLBOARDS	Yes	50	34.0%	113	32.0%	163	32.6%	
	No	94	63.9%	237	67.1%	331	66.2%	
	Don't Know	3	2.0%	3	.8%	6	1.2%	
Total		147	100.0%	353	100.0%	500	100.0%	
BROCHURES	Yes	95	64.6%	177	50.1%	272	54.4%	
	No	51	34.7%	176	49.9%	227	45.4%	
	Don't Know	1	.7%			1	.2%	
Total	•	147	100.0%	353	100.0%	500	100.0%	
COURSE	No Response	1	.7%			1	.2%	
SCHEDULE	Yes	87	59.2%	137	38.8%	224	44.8%	
	No	58	39.5%	212	60.1%	270	54.0%	
	Don't Know	1	.7%	4	1.1%	5	1.0%	
Total	•	147	100.0%	353	100.0%	500	100.0%	
BUS ADS OR	Yes	65	44.2%	150	42.5%	215	43.0%	
TRANSIT ADS	No	80	54.4%	198	56.1%	278	55.6%	
	Don't Know	2	1.4%	5	1.4%	7	1.4%	
Total	•	147	100.0%	353	100.0%	500	100.0%	
HOME	No Response			1	.3%	1	.2%	
MAILINGS	Yes	110	74.8%	212	60.1%	322	64.4%	
	No	37	25.2%	139	39.4%	176	35.2%	
	Don't Know			1	.3%	1	.2%	
Total	'	147	100.0%	353	100.0%	500	100.0%	
NEWSPAPER	No Response			1	.3%	1	.2%	
ADS	Yes	78	53.1%	199	56.4%	277	55.4%	
	No	67	45.6%	146	41.4%	213	42.6%	
	Don't Know	2	1.4%	7	2.0%	9	1.8%	
Total	1	147	100.0%	353	100.0%	500	100.0%	
NEWSPAPER	No Response			1	.3%	1	.2%	
ARTICLES	Yes	50	34.0%	134	38.0%	184	36.8%	
	No	96	65.3%	211	59.8%	307	61.4%	
	Don't Know	1	.7%	7	2.0%	8	1.6%	
Total	1	147	100.0%	353	100.0%	500	100.0%	
RADIO ADS	No Response			1	.3%	1	.2%	
	Yes	55	37.4%	130	36.8%	185	37.0%	
	No	91	61.9%	217	61.5%	308	61.6%	
	Don't Know	1	.7%	5	1.4%	6	1.2%	
Total	1	147	100.0%	353	100.0%	500	100.0%	

TABLE 5. Media Recall For Community College Of Philadelphia By Attendance At Community College Of Philadelphia

			NCE OF CLA OLLEGE OF I			Tç	ıtal
		Υ	es	N	10		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
TELEVISION	No Response			1	.3%	1	.2%
COMMERCIALS	Yes	61	41.5%	147	41.6%	208	41.6%
	No	83	56.5%	202	57.2%	285	57.0%
	Don't Know	3	2.0%	3	.8%	6	1.2%
Total		147	100.0%	353	100.0%	500	100.0%
TV NEWS	No Response			1	.3%	1	.2%
STORY	Yes	37	25.2%	74	21.0%	111	22.2%
	No	107	72.8%	272	77.1%	379	75.8%
	Don't Know	3	2.0%	6	1.7%	9	1.8%
Total		147	100.0%	353	100.0%	500	100.0%
VISITED WEB	No Response			2	.6%	2	.4%
SITE	Yes	49	33.3%	54	15.3%	103	20.6%
	No	98	66.7%	296	83.9%	394	78.8%
	Don't Know			1	.3%	1	.2%
Total		147	100.0%	353	100.0%	500	100.0%
OTHER MEDIA	No Response			1	.3%	1	.2%
INFORMATION	Yes	48	32.7%	110	31.2%	158	31.6%
SOURCE	No	99	67.3%	242	68.6%	341	68.2%
Total	•	147	100.0%	353	100.0%	500	100.0%

TABLE 5. Media Recall For Community College Of Philadelphia By Attendance At Community College Of Philadelphia

			NCE OF CLA OLLEGE OF F			Tç	ital
			es	N	0		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
OTHER MEDIA INFORMATION	A FRIEND GOES THERE			1	.9%	1	.6%
SOURCE CITED	A NEIGHBOR	1	2.1%			1	.6%
000.102 0.122	ADVERTISING STREET FLAG			1	.9%	1	.6%
	ANOTHER PERSON			1	.9%	1	.6%
	AT WORK			1	.9%	1	.6%
	BOYFRIEND AND FRIENDS	1	2.1%			1	.6%
	BY PHONE			1	.9%	1	.6%
	CALL FOR A CATALOG			1	.9%	1	.6%
	CATALOG	1	2.1%			1	.6%
	CLASSES ON INTERNET			1	.9%	1	.6%
	COLLEGE FAIR	1	2.1%			1	.6%
	COMMUNITY ACTIVITY BOOTH	1	2.1%			1	.6%
	COUNSELOR	1	2.1%			1	.6%
	COURSE CATALOG			1	.9%	1	.6%
	DIRECTORIES THAT EVALUATE COLLEGES			1	.9%	1	.6%
	DROVE PAST IT			1	.9%	1	.6%
	E-MAIL	1	2.1%			1	.6%
	FAMILY MEMBERS	1	2.1%	2	1.8%	3	1.9%
	FIRST HAND EXPERIENCE	1	2.1%			1	.6%
	FLAGS ON POLES	1	2.1%			1	.6%
	FORMER STUDENT			1	.9%	1	.6%
	FRIEND'S TELEPHONE			1	.9%	1	.6%
	FRIENDS	5	10.4%	8	7.3%	13	8.2%
	FROM CHILDREN AND FAMILY			1	.9%	1	.6%
	FROM MY CHILDREN			1	.9%	1	.6%
	FROM MY GRANDDAUGHTER			1	.9%	1	.6%
	FROM OTHER PEOPLE/ GO TO CAMPUS	1	2.1%			1	.6%
	FROM STUDENTS	1	2.1%			1	.6%
	GRANDCHILD			1	.9%	1	.6%
	GRANDCHILD GOES THERE			1	.9%	1	.6%
	GRANDSON	1		1	.9%	1	.6%
	HEARD ABOUT IT BY FAMILY MEMBER AND A NEIGHBOR WHO GOES THER			1	.9%	1	.6%
	HEARD THE NAME IS ALL/ DON'T KNOW			1	.9%	1	.6%

TABLE 5. Media Recall For Community College Of Philadelphia By Attendance At Community College Of Philadelphia

			NCE OF CLA OLLEGE OF F			Tç	ıtal
		Y	es	Ņ	lo		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
OTHER MEDIA INFORMATION SOURCE CITED	I'VE GONE BY THE COLLEGE AND NOTICED THEY HAVE LOTS OF STUDE			1	.9%	1	.6%
	I DRIVE BY IT/ WORD OF MOUTH			1	.9%	1	.6%
	I DRIVE PAST IT A LOT			1	.9%	1	.6%
	I GO BY IT			1	.9%	1	.6%
	I KNOW IT'S THERE			1	.9%	1	.6%
	I KNOW OF SOME OF THE PROFESSORS			1	.9%	1	.6%
	I KNOW SEVERAL WHO ATTEND AND TEACH			1	.9%	1	.6%
	I KNOW YOUNG PEOPLE THAT ATTEND AND TALK ABOUT IT	1	2.1%			1	.6%
	IN THE SCHOOLS			1	.9%	1	.6%
	INTERNET			2	1.8%	2	1.3%
	JOB	1	2.1%			1	.6%
	JOB FAIR	1	2.1%			1	.6%
	JOB SITE			1	.9%	1	.6%
	JUST WORD OF MOUTH			1	.9%	1	.6%
	LIBRARY	1	2.1%			1	.6%
	LIVE NEAR THE CAMPUS			1	.9%	1	.6%
	MAGAZINES	1	2.1%	1	.9%	2	1.3%
	MY DAUGHTER			4	3.6%	4	2.5%
	MY DAUGHTER KEEPS ME VERY WELL INFORMED ABOUT IT			1	.9%	1	.6%
	MY FRIENDS			1	.9%	1	.6%
	MY NIECE GOES THERE	1	2.1%			1	.6%
	MY SON ATTENDS AND COUSIN			1	.9%	1	.6%
	NEIGHBOR GOES THERE			1	.9%	1	.6%
	NEIGHBOR WORKS ON FACULTY			1	.9%	1	.6%
	NEIGHBORHOOD CHILDREN GO TO CCP AND I HEAR PARENTS TALKING A			1	.9%	1	.6%
	NEPHEW RECEIVED PAMPHLET	1	2.1%			1	.6%
	OPEN HOUSE			1	.9%	1	.6%
	OTHER PEOPLE	1	2.1%			1	.6%
	OTHER PEOPLE WHO ATTENDED			1	.9%	1	.6%

TABLE 5. Media Recall For Community College Of Philadelphia By Attendance At Community College Of Philadelphia

			NCE OF CLAS			Tç	otal
		Y	es	Ņ	0		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
OTHER MEDIA INFORMATION	OVER INTERNET/ POP-UPS/ PHONE CALL	1	2.1%			1	.6%
SOURCE CITED	PEOPLE			1	.9%	1	.6%
	PEOPLE I EMPLOY			1	.9%	1	.6%
	PEOPLE I HAVE TALKED TO			1	.9%	1	.6%
	PEOPLE TALKING ABOUT KIDS GOING THERE			1	.9%	1	.6%
	PEOPLE WHO HAVE ATTENDED			1	.9%	1	.6%
	PHILADELPHIA COLLEGE MAGAZINE/ PHONE BOOK			1	.9%	1	.6%
	PORT RICHMOND AND OTHER NEWSPAPERS			1	.9%	1	.6%
	PRESENTATION	1	2.1%			1	.6%
	RECOMMENDATIONS			1	.9%	1	.6%
	RELATIVE GOES THERE			1	.9%	1	.6%
	REPUTATION OF THE COLLEGE			1	.9%	1	.6%
	SENIOR CITIZEN CENTER			1	.9%	1	.6%
	SHOWING OF BANNERS	1	2.1%			1	.6%
	SOME OF MY DAUGHTER'S FRIENDS ATTEND			1	.9%	1	.6%
	STUDENTS	2	4.2%	2	1.8%	4	2.5%
	TALKING TO FRIENDS			1	.9%	1	.6%
	TALKING TO FRIENDS WHO TEACH THERE			1	.9%	1	.6%
	TALKING TO PEOPLE I KNOW			1	.9%	1	.6%
	THROUGH FRIENDS	1	2.1%	2	1.8%	3	1.9%
	THROUGH HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS			1	.9%	1	.6%
	USE THE INTERNET			1	.9%	1	.6%
	VERBALLY			1	.9%	1	.6%
	VISIT THE COLLEGE	1	2.1%			1	.6%
	VISIT WITH PEOPLE	1	2.1%			1	.6%
	VISITED THE CAMPUS	1	2.1%			1	.6%
	VISITED THE COLLEGE			1	.9%	1	.6%
	WALK-IN			1	.9%	1	.6%
	WEB SITE			1	.9%	1	.6%
	WORD OF MOUTH	13	27.1%	28	25.5%	41	25.9%
	WORD OF MOUTH/ INTERNET	i		1	.9%	1	.6%
	WORK/ INTERNET	1	2.1%			1	.6%
Total		48	100.0%	110	100.0%	158	100.0%

TABLE 6. Market Segments For Community College Of Philadelphia By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				Total		
		Spring Garden			t Regional enter	Northwest Regional Center		West Regional Center				
	Count Col				Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	
INTEREST IN ATTENDING	Yes	111	49.6%	41	36.0%	29	36.3%	44	53.7%	225	45.0%	
COLLEGE OR JOB TRAINING	No	111	49.6%	72	63.2%	51	63.8%	38	46.3%	272	54.4%	
TRAINING	Unsure	2	.9%	1	.9%					3	.6%	
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%	

TABLE 6-A. Rationale For No Interest In Education Or Job Training By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	Total	
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center			
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	
RATIONALE	63 YEARS OLD AND RETIRED					1	2.0%			1	.4%	
FOR NO INTEREST IN	ABOUT TO RETIRE			1	1.4%					1	.4%	
ENROLLING	AGE			1	1.4%					1	.4%	
IN	AGE AND HEALTH	1	.9%							1	.4%	
EDUCATION	AGE FACTOR	1	.9%							1	.4%	
OR JOB TRAINING	AGE/ DID MY SHARE/ LET THE KIDS DO THEIRS	1	.9%							1	.4%	
	AGE/ I HAVE DEGREE IN NURSING	1	.9%							1	.4%	
	ALL DONE			1	1.4%					1	.4%	
	ALMOST DONE	1	.9%							1	.4%	
	ALREADY BEEN THROUGH			1	1.4%					1	.4%	
	ALREADY DID			1	1.4%					1	.4%	
	ALREADY DONE			2	2.8%					2	.7%	
	ALREADY DONE THAT					1	2.0%			1	.4%	
	ALREADY HAVE A DEGREE			1	1.4%					1	.4%	
	ALREADY HAVE COLLEGE DEGREE					1	2.0%			1	.4%	
	ALREADY HAVE COLLEGE DEGREE/ BACHELOR OF SCIENCE					1	2.0%			1	.4%	
	ALREADY HAVE DEGREE	1	.9%							1	.4%	
	ALREADY HAVE FULL-TIME JOB							1	2.6%	1	.4%	
	ALREADY WENT TO COLLEGE			1	1.4%					1	.4%	
	ALWAYS HATED SCHOOL			1	1.4%					1	.4%	
	BASICALLY RETIRED					1	2.0%			1	.4%	
	BECAUSE I'M 65			1	1.4%					1	.4%	

TABLE 6-A. Rationale For No Interest In Education Or Job Training By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				То	tal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
RATIONALE	BECAUSE I'M A DOCTOR	1	.9%							1	.4%
FOR NO INTEREST IN	BECAUSE I ALREADY HAVE A JOB	1	.9%							1	.4%
ENROLLING IN EDUCATION	BECAUSE I ALREADY HAVE A MASTER'S DEGREE			1	1.4%					1	.4%
OR JOB TRAINING	BECAUSE I JUST DON'T WANT TO					1	2.0%			1	.4%
	BECAUSE OF MY AGE					1	2.0%			1	.4%
	BEEN THERE/ DONE THAT	1	.9%							1	.4%
	BUSY WORKING			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	CAN'T AFFORD IT			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	CAREGIVER			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	COMPLETED EDUCATION	1	.9%							1	.4%
	CURRENTLY PURSUING MY MASTER'S DEGREE					1	2.0%			1	.4%
	DISABLED	1	.9%							1	.4%
	DOING WELL WHERE I'M AT			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	DON'T CARE TO FURTHER MY EDUCATION					1	2.0%			1	.4%
	DON'T HAVE MONEY AND FACILITIES			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	DON'T HAVE TIME			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	DON'T WANT TOO MUCH WITH WORK	1	.9%							1	.4%
	EMPLOYED OVER 30 YEARS AND NOT INTERESTED			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	GETTING READY TO RETIRE SO HAVE NO INTEREST IN GOING BACK TO					1	2.0%			1	.4%
	GOT DEGREE			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	HAPPY WITH MY CURRENT OCCUPATION			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	HAVE A DEGREE	1	.9%							1	.4%
	HAVE A JOB AND DEGREE			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	HAVE CAREER							1	2.6%	1	.4%
	HAVE DEGREES			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	HAVE TWO YOUNG KIDS			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	I'M 40 YEARS OLD/ I'M DONE WITH STUDYING	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I'M 46/ I'VE BEEN WORKING SINCE I WAS 14	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I'M 50							1	2.6%	1	.4%
	I'M 57			1	1.4%					1	.4%

TABLE 6-A. Rationale For No Interest In Education Or Job Training By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center		
	_	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
RATIONALE FOR NO	I'M 58/ ALREADY HAVE A DEGREE							1	2.6%	1	.4%
INTEREST IN ENROLLING	I'M 64 AND DON'T FEEL I NEED IT AT THIS POINT			1	1.4%					1	.4%
IN EDUCATION OR JOB	I'M 66 AND DON'T FEEL THE NEED TO GO TO SCHOOL	1	.9%							1	.4%
TRAINING	I'M 69 YEARS OLD					1	2.0%			1	.4%
	I'M 70			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	I'M 70 YEARS OLD					1	2.0%			1	.4%
	I'M 70 YEARS OLD/ RETIRED					1	2.0%	1		1	.4%
	I'M 71 YEARS OLD						2.070	1	2.6%	1	.4%
	I'M 75	1	.9%					·	2.070	1	.4%
	I'M 75 AND NOT INCLINED TO		10 70	1	1.4%					1	.4%
	I'M 75 YEARS OLD	1	.9%		1.170					1	.4%
	I'M 77 YEARS OLD		.0 70	1	1.4%			1	2.6%	2	.7%
	I'M 78			•	1.170			1	2.6%	1	.4%
	I'M 80 YEARS OLD	1	.9%					·	2.070	1	.4%
	I'M 89 YEARS OLD	•	.0 70	1	1.4%					1	.4%
	I'M 90 YEARS OLD	1	.9%	1	1.4%					2	.7%
	I'M A BILL COLLECTOR		.5 70		1.470	1	2.0%			1	.4%
	I'M A DOCTOR	1	.9%			1	2.0%			2	.7%
	I'M A SENIOR CITIZEN	1	.9%	1	1.4%	1	2.0%			3	1.1%
	I'M A SENIOR CITIZEN AND WORKED HARD AND NOW RETIRED		10 70	·	,	·	2.070	1	2.6%	1	.4%
	I'M ABOUT TO HAVE A BABY	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I'M ALL DONE/ BEEN THERE/ DONE THAT			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	I'M ALMOST 50 AND I HAVE A GOOD JOB							1	2.6%	1	.4%
	I'M ALMOST FINISHED WITH MY MASTER'S							1	2.6%	1	.4%
	I'M ALREADY SET IN MY JOB			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	I'M ALREADY TRAINED IN MY JOB AND TRAINING IF PROVIDED BY MY	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I'M AN ATTORNEY	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I'M AN RN			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	I'M AT A PRETTY GOOD LEVEL RIGHT NOW			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	I'M BASICALLY RETIRED							1	2.6%	1	.4%
	I'M DISABLED							2	5.3%	2	.7%

TABLE 6-A. Rationale For No Interest In Education Or Job Training By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	tal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
RATIONALE FOR NO	I'M DISABLED/ I DON'T HAVE A CAR	1	.9%							1	.4%
INTEREST IN ENROLLING	I'M EMPLOYED/ I HAVE AN ADVANCED DEGREE							1	2.6%	1	.4%
IN EDUCATION OR JOB	I'M FINISHING MY BSA THEN I'M DONE	1	.9%							1	.4%
TRAINING	I'M GETTING READY FOR RETIREMENT	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I'M GETTING READY TO RETIRE			1	1.4%			1	2.6%	2	.79
	I'M GONNA BE 50 YEARS OLD/ I HAVE A CAREER			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	I'M HAPPY WITH WHAT I'M DOING			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	I'M IN A NEW JOB	1	.9%							1	.49
	I'M IN MY 60'S			1	1.4%					1	.49
	I'M IN MY 70'S	1	.9%							1	.49
	I'M IN MY 80'S AND DON'T EXPECT TO WORK AGAIN					1	2.0%			1	.49
	I'M NEARLY READY TO RETIRE	1	.9%							1	.49
	I'M NOT INTERESTED IN EDUCATION FOR MYSELF AT MY AGE			1	1.4%					1	.49
	I'M OVER 80	1	.9%							1	.49
	I'M RAISING MY KIDS RIGHT NOW	1	.9%							1	.49
	I'M RETIRED							1	2.6%	1	.4
	I'M SET IN MY CAREER			1	1.4%					1	.4
	I'M SET IN WHAT I'M DOING	1	.9%							1	.4
	I'M SETTLED IN ON MY JOB							1	2.6%	1	.4
	I'M TOO OLD	2	1.8%							2	.7
	I'M TOO OLD AND NOT INTERESTED			1	1.4%					1	.49
	I'M TOO OLD FOR THAT	1	.9%					1	2.6%	2	.79
	I'M TOO OLD NOW			1	1.4%					1	.49
	I'M WAY BEYOND THAT			1	1.4%					1	.4
	I'M WORKING TO PUT DAUGHTER THROUGH COLLEGE	1	.9%							1	.4'
	I'VE ALREADY ACHIEVED MY DEGREE							1	2.6%	1	.49
	I'VE GOT A JOB WITH GOOD BENEFITS							1	2.6%	1	.49

TABLE 6-A. Rationale For No Interest In Education Or Job Training By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	tal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
RATIONALE FOR NO	I'VE JUST FINISHED A CLASS IN COMPUTERS							1	2.6%	1	.4%
INTEREST IN	I ALREADY HAD A CAREER	1	.9%							1	.4%
ENROLLING IN	I ALREADY HAVE A CAREER	1	.9%							1	.4%
EDUCATION	I ALREADY HAVE A JOB			1	1.4%					1	.4%
OR JOB	I ALREADY HAVE A MASTER'S							1	2.6%	1	.4%
TRAINING	I AM ALREADY EMPLOYED AT A JOB I LIKE/ DON'T NEED IT	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I AM DONE WITH SCHOOL	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I AM EMPLOYED AND NOT INTERESTED IN SCHOOL	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I AM GOING TO RETIRE	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I AM HAPPY AND I HAVE A MASTER'S	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I AM HAPPY WITH WHO I AM NOW/ I DON'T NEED IT	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I AM NOT GOING BACK TO SCHOOL AT MY AGE	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I AM ON DISABILITY	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I AM OVER THE HILL	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I AM RETIRED	4	3.7%							4	1.5%
	I AM RETIRING	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I AM TOO LAZY	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I AM TOO OLD	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I BEEN THERE/ DONE THAT			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	I CAN'T AFFORD IT	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I DON'T HAVE ANYTHING I'M INTERESTED IN PURSUING					1	2.0%			1	.4%
	I DON'T NEED MORE COURSES/ I HAVE A JOB							1	2.6%	1	.4%
	I DON'T WANT TO	2	1.8%							2	.7%
	I DON'T WANT TO/ NOT INTERESTED	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I ENJOY WHAT I DO	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I FINISHED COLLEGE/ RETIRED FROM TEACHING					1	2.0%			1	.4%
	I GET ALL THE TRAINING I NEED AT WORK					1	2.0%			1	.4%
	I HAVE A CAREER/ I DON'T NEED IT			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	I HAVE A COLLEGE DEGREE	1	.9%							1	.4%

TABLE 6-A. Rationale For No Interest In Education Or Job Training By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				Tç	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
RATIONALE FOR NO INTEREST IN	I HAVE A DAUGHTER GETTING READY TO GO TO COLLEGE/ SHE'S FIRS	1	.9%							1	.4%
ENROLLING IN EDUCATION	I HAVE A GOOD JOB/ DON'T WANT TO	1	.9%							1	.4%
OR JOB	I HAVE A JOB			1	1.4%					1	.4%
TRAINING	I HAVE A MASTER'S	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I HAVE A MASTER'S IN NURSING					1	2.0%			1	.4%
	I HAVE A MASTER'S PROGRAM	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I HAVE A PH.D.	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I HAVE A PH.D./ I'M DONE							1	2.6%	1	.4%
	I HAVE CANCER/ I AM TOO OLD			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	I HAVE DONE IT ALREADY	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I HAVE MY OWN BUSINESS							1	2.6%	1	.4%
	I HAVE ONE IN COLLEGE AND I HAVE A DEGREE	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I HAVE PH.D./ RETIRED	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I HAVE TWO MASTER'S AND A DOCTORATE	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I HOPE TO RETIRE SOON	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I JUST DON'T WANT IT	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I JUST GRADUATED FROM MEDICAL SCHOOL	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I JUST HAD A BABY	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I JUST RETIRED			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	I LIKE MY JOB					1	2.0%			1	.4%
	I TRIED THE COLLEGE THING	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I WANT TO JUST ENJOY THE REST OF MY LIFE/ NO SCHOOL	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I WILL BE RETIRING					1	2.0%			1	.4%
	I WORK IN THE HIGH SCHOOLS	1	.9%							1	.4%
	I WORK NOW							1	2.6%	1	.4%
	I WOULD BE COLLEGE MATERIAL					1	2.0%			1	.4%
	IT'S JUST NOT MY THING	1	.9%							1	.4%
	IT'S TOO EXPENSIVE			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	JUST DON'T					1	2.0%			1	.4%
İ	JUST FINISHING	1	.9%							1	.4%
	LONG SINCE RETIRED					1	2.0%			1	.4%
	MONEY/ TIME	1	.9%							1	.4%
	MY AGE	1	.9%			1	2.0%	1	2.6%	3	1.1%

TABLE 6-A. Rationale For No Interest In Education Or Job Training By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA		•		То	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter	Northwest Cer		West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
RATIONALE	MY HEALTH WON'T ALLOW IT					1	2.0%			1	.4%
FOR NO INTEREST IN	NEVER THOUGHT ABOUT IT					1	2.0%			1	.4%
ENROLLING	NO DESIRE/ 82 YEARS OLD							1	2.6%	1	.4%
IN EDUCATION	NO INTENTION OF CONTINUING EDUCATION					1	2.0%			1	.4%
OR JOB	NO INTEREST			2	2.8%					2	.7%
TRAINING	NO RESPONSE							1	2.6%	1	.4%
	NO SPECIFIC REASON					1	2.0%			1	.4%
	NOT AT 84							1	2.6%	1	.4%
	NOT GEARED TOWARD TRADE					1	2.0%			1	.4%
	NOT GOING BACK TO SCHOOL AGAIN					1	2.0%			1	.4%
	NOT IN PLANS NOW	1	.9%							1	.4%
	NOT INTERESTED	2	1.8%			1	2.0%			3	1.1%
	NOT INTERESTED AT ALL			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	NOT INTERESTED AT MY AGE	1	.9%							1	.4%
	ON DISABILITY	1	.9%					1	2.6%	2	.7%
	PAST THAT AGE	1	.9%							1	.4%
	PAST THE AGE OF GOING TO SCHOOL			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	PHYSICALLY I CAN'T			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	RECENT COLLEGE GRAD			1	1.4%					1	.4%
	RETIRED	10	9.2%	3	4.2%	8	15.7%	5	13.2%	26	9.6%
	RETIRING	1	.9%			1	2.0%			2	.7%
	RETIRING SOON							1	2.6%	1	.4%
	RIGHT NOW I HAVE FOUR CHILDREN AND NOT WHAT MY HUSBAND AND I							1	2.6%	1	.4%
	SATISFIED	1	.9%							1	.4%
	SICK RIGHT NOW					1	2.0%			1	.4%
	THINKING ABOUT DAUGHTER'S EDUCATION	1	.9%							1	.4%
	TOO BUSY/ FIVE BOYS/ GOING TO HOME SCHOOL THEM					1	2.0%			1	.4%
	TOO FAST PACED FOR ME					1	2.0%			1	.4%
	TOO MANY KIDS AT HOME	1	.9%							1	.4%
	TOO OLD	2	1.8%	10	13.9%	4	7.8%			16	5.9%
	TOO OLD AND DISABLED	1	.9%							1	.4%
	TOO OLD TO DO ANY FURTHER EDUCATION					1	2.0%			1	.4%
	TRYING TO RETIRE	1	.9%							1	.4%
	WAY PAST THAT ONE			1	1.4%					1	.4%

TABLE 6-A. Rationale For No Interest In Education Or Job Training By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
			Garden		t Regional enter		st Regional enter	West Regi	onal Center		
_		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
RATIONALE FOR NO INTEREST IN ENROLLING	WHY BOTHER/ I'M IN MY 50'S	1	.9%							1	.4%
	WORK FOR MYSELF/ I HAVE MY OWN BUSINESS	1	.9%							1	.4%
	WORKING	1	.9%							1	.4%
Total		109	100.0%	72	100.0%	51	100.0%	38	100.0%	270	100.0%

TABLE 7. College Enrollment Preferences Of Potential Students By Market Segment

		MARKET	SEGMENT	MARKET	SEGMENT	
			llege	Job Training		
<u> </u>		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	
COLLEGE COURSE	No Interest	111	68.1%	62	100.0%	
	Interest	52	31.9%			
Total		163	100.0%	62	100.0%	
COLLEGE	No Interest	52	31.9%	62	100.0%	
DEGREE	Interest	111	68.1%			
Total		163	100.0%	62	100.0%	

Community College Of Philadelphia --- Copyright CLARUS Corporation 18 Jan 06

TABLE 7. College Enrollment Preferences Of Potential College Students By Market Segment

			SEGMENT		
		Co	lege		
		Count Col %			
TYPE OF	Certificate	7	6.3%		
COLLEGE DEGREE	Associate Degree	29	26.1%		
DEGREE	Bachelor's Degree	31	27.9%		
	Master's Degree	42	37.8%		
	Doctoral Degree	2	1.8%		
Total		111	100.0%		

TABLE 7. College Enrollment Preferences Of Potential Job Training Students By Market Segment

		MARKET	SEGMENT
		Job T	raining
		Count	Col %
JOB TRAINING	No Interest	36	58.1%
	Interest	26	41.9%
Total		62	100.0%
CLASS TO IMPROVE	No Interest	51	82.3%
JOB SKILLS	Interest	11	17.7%
Total		62	100.0%
TRAINING FOR NEW	No Interest	37	59.7%
JOB	Interest	25	40.3%
Total	•	62	100.0%

TABLE 7. College Enrollment Preferences Of Potential Students By Market Segment

		MARKET	SEGMENT	MARKET	SEGMENT
		Col	lege	Job T	raining
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COURSE OR	ACCOUNTING	2	1.2%		
PROGRAM AREA INTERESTED IN ENROLLING	ADMINISTRATION	1	.6%		
	ADVANCE IN FOOD TRAINING FIELD			1	1.6%
	ANTIQUING	1	.6%		
	ART AND ENGLISH	1	.6%		
	ART CLASS	1	.6%		
	ART HISTORY	1	.6%		
	AUTO MECHANIC CLASS			1	1.6%
	AUTOMOTIVE TECH			1	1.6%
	BEHAVIOR HEALTH COURSES	1	.6%		
	BIOMEDICAL	1	.6%		
	BUSINESS	4	2.5%	3	4.8%
	BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION	3	1.8%		
	BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION/ MANAGEMENT	1	.6%		
	BUSINESS CLASSES			1	1.6%
	BUSINESS EDUCATION	1	.6%		
	BUSINESS MANAGEMENT	5	3.1%		
	BUSINESS/ MBA	1	.6%		

TABLE 7. College Enrollment Preferences Of Potential Students By Market Segment

		MARKET	SEGMENT	MARKET S	SEGMENT
		Coll	ege	Job Tra	aining
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COURSE OR	BUSINESS/ REAL ESTATE			1	1.6%
PROGRAM AREA	CAREER TEACHING	1	.6%		
INTERESTED IN ENROLLING	CARPENTRY			1	1.6%
LIVINOLLIIVO	CHEMISTRY	1	.6%		
	CHILD CARE	1	.6%		
	CHILD DAY CARE	1	.6%		
	CHILDHOOD EDUCATION	1	.6%		
	CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGY	1	.6%		
	CNA/ NURSE ASSISTANT			1	1.6%
	COMPUTER SCIENCE	2	1.2%		
	COMPUTER TECHNOLOGY			1	1.6%
	COMPUTER TECHNOLOGY/	4	C0/		
	BUSINESS MANAGEMENT	1	.6%		
	COMPUTERS	7	4.3%	4	6.5%
	COMPUTERS OF BILLING TECH			1	1.6%
	CONSTRUCTION BUSINESS/			1	1.6%
	INSTRUCTORS				4.00/
	CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT			1	1.6%
	CONTINUING EDUCATION/ SECONDARY EDUCATION	1	.6%		
	COOKING			1	1.6%
	COSMETOLOGY			1	1.6%
	COUNSELING PROGRAM	1	.6%		
	COUNSELOR/ PSYCHOLOGY			1	1.6%
	CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR OF CHILDREN/ PSYCHOLOGY	1	.6%		
	CRIMINAL JUSTICE	2	1.2%		
	CULINARY SCHOOL	_		1	1.6%
	DENTAL	1	.6%		
	DENTAL HYGIENIST PROGRAM			1	1.6%
	DEVELOPMENT/ TEACHING	1	.6%		
	DOCTORAL OF EDUCATION	1	.6%		
	DOESN'T MATTER	1	.6%		
	DON'T KNOW	4	2.5%	2	3.2%
	EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION	1	.6%		0.270
	ECONOMICS	1	.6%		
	EDUCATION	11	6.7%	1	1.6%
	EDUCATION ADMINISTRATION	1	.6%	·	,
	EDUCATIONAL TECHNOLOGY	1	.6%	<u> </u>	
	ELECTRICAL TECHNICIAN	<u> </u>	.070	1	1.6%
	ELECTRONICS			1	1.6%
	Philadelphia Copyright CLARIIS Co			1	1.070

TABLE 7. College Enrollment Preferences Of Potential Students By Market Segment

		MARKET	SEGMENT	MARKET S	SEGMENT
		Col	lege	Job Tr	aining
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COURSE OR	ELEMENTARY EDUCATION	2	1.2%		
PROGRAM AREA INTERESTED IN	ENGINEERING	1	.6%		
ENROLLING	ENGLISH	1	.6%		
	FASHION/ COMPUTERS/ BUSINESS			1	1.6%
	FILM PRODUCTION	1	.6%		
	FINANCE AND INFORMATION	1	.6%		
	FINE ARTS	1	.6%		
	FOREIGN LANGUAGE	1	.6%		
	FUNERAL SERVICES	1	.6%		
	GED			1	1.6%
	GED/ NURSING	1	.6%		
	GENERAL STUDENT	1	.6%		
	GENERAL THEOLOGY/ HUMAN RESOURCES			1	1.6%
	GRAPHIC DESIGN			1	1.6%
	HAIR DRESSING SCHOOL AND BUSINESS MANAGEMENT	1	.6%		
	HEALTH	1	.6%		
	HEALTH CARE CLASSES			1	1.6%
	HEAT/ REFRIGERATION			1	1.6%
	HISTORY	1	.6%		
	HOSPITALITY	1	.6%		
	HUMAN SERVICES	1	.6%		
	I DON'T KNOW	1	.6%		
	I TRY TO STAY CURRENT AND AM INTERESTED IN LEARNING SIGN LAN	1	.6%		
	ILLUSTRATION PROGRAM	1	.6%		
	IMPROVE TEACHING SKILLS			1	1.6%
	INFORMATION SYSTEMS	1	.6%		
	INFORMATION TECH/ COMPUTERS	1	.6%		
	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	1	.6%		
	JUVENILE JUSTICE	1	.6%		
	LANGUAGES	1	.6%		
	LAW	2	1.2%		
	LAW ENFORCEMENT	1	.6%		
	LIBERAL ARTS	2	1.2%		
	LINGUISTIC/ TRANSPORTATION OR COMMUNICATION	1	.6%		
	LITERATURE	1	.6%		
Samuel Callege Of I	Obile delahia Canuninkt OLADUC O		J 00		

TABLE 7. College Enrollment Preferences Of Potential Students By Market Segment

		MARKET S	SEGMENT	MARKET S	EGMENT
		Coll	ege	Job Tra	aining
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COURSE OR	MANAGEMENT IN BUSINESS	1	.6%		
PROGRAM AREA INTERESTED IN	MANAGEMENT/ EDITING	1	.6%		
ENROLLING	MARKETING	1	.6%		
20220	MARKETING/ COMMUNICATIONS	1	.6%		
	MASTER'S IN BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION	1	.6%		
	MASTER'S IN CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT OR BUSINESS ADMINISTRATI	1	.6%		
	MASTER'S IN SPECIAL EDUCATION	1	.6%		
	MASTER OF SCIENCE IN NURSING	1	.6%		
	MATH			1	1.6%
	MBA OR LAW			1	1.6%
	MBA/ COMMUNICATION	1	.6%		
	MEDICAL	1	.6%	2	3.2%
	MEDICAL BILLING	1	.6%		
	MEDICAL BILLING AND FILING			1	1.6%
	MEDICAL FIELD	2	1.2%	1	1.6%
	MEDICAL/ MEDICAID/ TRANSCRIPTION BY COMPUTER			1	1.6%
	MEDICAL/ NURSING	1	.6%		
	MEDICAL/ REGISTERED NURSE	1	.6%		
	MEDICINE			1	1.6%
	MONEY MANAGEMENT CLASS	1	.6%		
	MUSIC MAJOR	1	.6%		
	NO RESPONSE	2	1.2%	2	3.2%
	NOT SURE	1	.6%		
	NOT SURE YET/ POSSIBLY SOCIAL SERVICES			1	1.6%
	NURSING	10	6.1%	9	14.5%
	NURSING ADMINISTRATION	1	.6%		
	NURSING/ REGISTERED NURSE	2	1.2%		
	PARALEGAL	1	.6%		
	PARALEGAL/ LAW SCHOOL	1	.6%		
	PHARMACY	1	.6%		
	PHARMACY TECHNICIAN			1	1.6%
	PHYSICAL EDUCATION	1	.6%		-
	POLITICAL SCIENCE	2	1.2%		
	PSYCHOLOGY	1	.6%	1	1.6%

TABLE 7. College Enrollment Preferences Of Potential Students By Market Segment

		MARKET	SEGMENT	MARKET	SEGMENT
		Col	lege	Job Tr	aining
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COURSE OR	PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR	1	.6%		
PROGRAM AREA	REAL ESTATE			1	1.6%
INTERESTED IN ENROLLING	REAL ESTATE/ CHILD CARE	1	.6%		
LITTOLLING	RN/ NURSING	1	.6%		
	SCIENCE	1	.6%		
	SIGN LANGUAGE	1	.6%		
	SOCIAL SCIENCE	1	.6%		
	SOCIAL SERVICES	1	.6%		
	SOCIAL WORK	3	1.8%		
	SOCIOLOGY	3	1.8%		
	SOME TYPE OF A TRADE			1	1.6%
	SOMETHING IN INFORMATICS	1	.6%		
	SOMETHING IN TEACHING			1	1.6%
	SPANISH	1	.6%		
	SPECIAL EDUCATION OR HIGHER LEARNING	1	.6%		
	STATISTICS OR OPERATIONS RESEARCH	1	.6%		
	TEACHING	4	2.5%		
	THEOLOGY	1	.6%		
	URBAN EDUCATION	1	.6%		
	UROLOGY	1	.6%		
	VETERINARIAN OR TECHNICIAN			1	1.6%
	X-RAY/ ULTRASOUND			1	1.6%
Total		163	100.0%	62	100.0%
COLLEGE MOST	No Response	1	.6%		
LIKELY TO ENROLL	Bucks County Community College	1	.6%	1	1.6%
	Cheyney University of Pennsylvania	1	.6%		
	Community College of Philadelphia	49	30.1%	17	27.4%
	Drexel University	6	3.7%	2	3.2%
	Holy Family University	3	1.8%		
	LaSalle University	6	3.7%		
	Peirce College	1	.6%		
	Penn State	6	3.7%	1	1.6%
	Saint Joseph's University	5	3.1%		
	Temple University	39	23.9%	12	19.4%
	University of Phoenix	2	1.2%	1	1.6%
	University of Pennsylvania	9	5.5%	4	6.5%
	Other	34	20.9%	24	38.7%

TABLE 7. College Enrollment Preferences Of Potential Students By Market Segment

		MARKET	SEGMENT	MARKET	SEGMENT
		College		Job Training	
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %
Total		163	100.0%	62 100.0	
COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF	Spring Garden (City Center) Campus	32	65.3%	11	64.7%
PHILADELPHIA LOCATION	Northeast Regional Center	9	18.4%	4	23.5%
PREFERRED	Northwest Regional Center	2	4.1%	1	5.9%
	West Regional Center	3	6.1%	1	5.9%
	Don't Know	3	6.1%		
Total	Total		100.0%	17	100.0%

TABLE 7. College Enrollment Preferences Of Potential Students By Market Segment

		MARKET	SEGMENT	MARKET S	SEGMENT
		College		Job Training	
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %
OTHER COLLEGE	ARCADIA UNIVERSITY	1	2.9%	1	4.2%
MENTIONED	ASHWORTH COLLEGE	1	2.9%		
	AT HOME PROFESSIONAL/ A COMPANY OUT OF COLORADO			1	4.2%
	BREANE INSTITUTE OF HAIR DESIGN			1	4.2%
	BRENNAN INSTITUTE	1	2.9%		
	BROWN UNIVERSITY			1	4.2%
	BUSINESS SCHOOL	1	2.9%		
	CAMDEN COUNTY COLLEGE	1	2.9%		
	CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY	1	2.9%		
	CITTONE			1	4.2%
	DON'T KNOW	3	8.8%	6	25.0%
	DUKE OR UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA	1	2.9%		
	GOOD TRADE SCHOOL			1	4.2%
	GRATZ COLLEGE	1	2.9%		
	HAVEN'T DECIDED	1	2.9%		
	IMMACULATA UNIVERSITY	1	2.9%		
	JEFFERSON NURSING SCHOOL/ PHILADELPHIA	1	2.9%		
	LIBERTY UNIVERSITY/ LYNCHBURG, VIRGINIA	1	2.9%		
	LINCOLN TECHNICAL INSTITUTE			2	8.3%
	LINCOLN UNIVERSITY			1	4.2%
	MANOR COLLEGE	1	2.9%		
	MONTGOMERY COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1	2.9%		
	MOORE COLLEGE OF ART			1	4.2%
	NEWMAN UNIVERSITY	1	2.9%		
	NOT SURE			2	8.3%
	ONLINE	1	2.9%		
	ONLINE SCHOOL	1	2.9%		
	ONLINE/ DON'T KNOW	1	2.9%		
	ORLEANS TECHNICAL INSTITUTE	1	2.9%		
	OUT OF THE CITY/ NOT SURE	1	2.9%		
	PHILADELPHIA COLLEGE OF OSTEOPATHIC MEDICINE	1	2.9%	1	4.2%
	RESTAURANT SCHOOL ON WALNUT STREET			1	4.2%

TABLE 7. College Enrollment Preferences Of Potential Students By Market Segment

		MARKET	SEGMENT	MARKET	SEGMENT
		College		Job Training	
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %
OTHER COLLEGE	ST. CHARLES SEMINARY	1	2.9%		
MENTIONED	STRATFORD UNIVERSITY	1	2.9%		
	TECHNICAL COLLEGE IN NEW YORK			1	4.2%
	THOMAS JEFFERSON UNIVERSITY			1	4.2%
	TRADE SCHOOL			1	4.2%
	UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI	1	2.9%		
	UNIVERSITY OF PHOENIX	1	2.9%		
	UNIVERSITY OF THE ARTS	1	2.9%		
	UNSURE			1	4.2%
	WALDEN UNIVERSITY ONLINE	1	2.9%		
	WEST CHESTER UNIVERSITY	3	8.8%		
	WIDENER UNIVERSITY	1	2.9%		
Total		34	100.0%	24	100.0%

TABLE 7. College Enrollment Preferences Of Potential Students By Market Segment

		MARKET	SEGMENT	MARKET	SEGMENT
]		College		Job Training	
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %
REASONS FOR	AGE	1	.9%		
NOT ENROLLING AT	ALREADY ENROLLED THERE AND NOT VERY HAPPY	1	.9%		
COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	ALREADY GONE THERE/ TRANSFERRING TO NEW YORK			1	2.2%
	ALREADY HAVE BACHELOR'S	1	.9%		
	BECAUSE HOLY FAMILY GIVES YOU MORE ATTENTION SO YOU CAN GET	1	.9%		
	BECAUSE I WORK FOR TEMPLE	1	.9%		
	BECAUSE OF THE PROGRAM WE HAVE IN OUR CHURCH	1	.9%		
	BUCKS COUNTY IS MORE CONVENIENT			1	2.2%
	CAN'T DO MASTER'S AT CCP	1	.9%		
	CAN'T GET A MASTER'S THERE	1	.9%		
	COURSE IS IN GRADUATE WORK	1	.9%		

TABLE 7. College Enrollment Preferences Of Potential Students By Market Segment

		MARKET	SEGMENT	MARKET S	SEGMENT
		Col	lege	Job Training	
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %
REASONS FOR NOT	DIDN'T FINISH CLASSES AT CCP	1	.9%		
ENROLLING AT	DIDN'T HAVE WHAT I NEEDED	1	.9%		
COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	DO ON MY OWN TIME/ THIS WAS PERFECT			1	2.2%
FIIILADELFIIIA	DOESN'T HAVE PROGRAM	1	.9%		
	DOESN'T HAVE THE PROGRAM FOR AT-HOME STUDY	1	.9%		
	DOESN'T HAVE WHAT I NEED	1	.9%		
	DOESN'T OFFER MASTER'S DEGREE	1	.9%		
	DOESN'T OFFER MASTER'S LEVEL	1	.9%		
	DOESN'T OFFER WHAT I NEED/ I HAVE A MASTER'S	1	.9%		
	DON'T HAVE GRADUATE PROGRAMS	1	.9%		
	DON'T HAVE MASTER'S LEVEL PROGRAMS	1	.9%		
	DON'T KNOW	2	1.8%	2	4.4%
	DON'T KNOW IF THEY HAVE COUNSELING THERE			1	2.2%
	DON'T KNOW WHY			1	2.2%
	DON'T KNOW/ COMMUNITY COLLEGE HAS PROGRAM	1	.9%		
	DON'T OFFER DOCTORAL PROGRAM	1	.9%		
	DON'T OFFER MASTER'S DEGREE	1	.9%		
	DON'T OFFER MY DEGREE	1	.9%		
	DON'T OFFER PH.D.	1	.9%		
	DON'T OFFER PHARMACY	1	.9%		
	DON'T OFFER WHAT I NEED	2	1.8%		
	DON'T THINK THEY HAVE CARPENTRY			1	2.2%
	GOING TO A FOUR-YEAR UNIVERSITY IS WHY	1	.9%		
	GRADUATED FROM THERE	1	.9%		
	HAVE A MASTER'S DEGREE	1	.9%		
	HAVEN'T LOOKED AT IT FOR MASTER'S DEGREE	1	.9%		
	HEBREW COURSES ARE NOT OFFERED/ LOCATION	1	.9%		
	I'D BE GOING FOR MASTER'S	1	.9%		

TABLE 7. College Enrollment Preferences Of Potential Students By Market Segment

		MARKET	SEGMENT	MARKET S	SEGMENT
		College		Job Training	
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %
REASONS FOR NOT	I'D BEEN ACCEPTED BY TEMPLE UPON GRADUATION	1	.9%		
ENROLLING AT COMMUNITY	I'LL LOOK AT THEM/ DON'T KNOW			1	2.2%
COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	I'M ALREADY A NURSE/ I WOULD WANT TO GET MY BACHELOR'S DEGRE	1	.9%		
	I'M AN ELEMENTARY TEACHER/ I LOVE CONNECTIONS WITH THE GRADU	1	.9%		
	I'M AT A HIGHER LEVEL THAN THEY OFFER	1	.9%		
	I'M CLOSE TO UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA/ WIDER AREA OF CLASS	1	.9%		
	I'M LOOKING FOR QUALITY EDUCATION I'D RECEIVE FROM A FOUR-YE	1	.9%		
	I'VE ALREADY BEEN THERE	1	.9%		
	I'VE GOTTEN MY MASTER'S FROM PENN			1	2.2%
	I'VE TAKEN CLASSES THERE	1	.9%		
	I ALREADY GOT 90% OF THE CREDITS	1	.9%		
	I DIDN'T LIKE THE ENVIRONMENT TOO MUCH	1	.9%		
	I DON'T FEEL THEY HAVE ENOUGH PRESTIGE FOR ME TO GET A GOOD	1	.9%		
	I DON'T KNOW IF MY WORK WILL PAY FOR A JUNIOR COLLEGE			1	2.2%
	I DON'T KNOW THAT MUCH ABOUT THEIR PROGRAM			1	2.2%
	I DON'T KNOW/ I DIDN'T THINK OF THAT/ VERY INTERESTING	1	.9%		
	I DON'T LIKE COMMUNITY	1	.9%		
	I DON'T LIVE IN PHILADELPHIA/ I LIVE ABOUT 40 MILES AWAY	1	.9%		
	I DON'T THINK THEY HAVE A MASTER'S PROGRAM THERE	1	.9%		
	I FORGOT TO MENTION PROBABLY WHERE I'LL GO			1	2.2%
	I GRADUATED FROM THEIR TWO-YEAR COLLEGE	1	.9%		
	I HAVE A MASTER'S DEGREE	1	.9%		

TABLE 7. College Enrollment Preferences Of Potential Students By Market Segment

		MARKET	SEGMENT	MARKET S	SEGMENT
		College		Job Training	
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %
REASONS FOR	I HAVE A TWO-YEAR	1	.9%		
NOT ENROLLING AT COMMUNITY	I HAVE AN ASSOCIATE DEGREE FROM THERE ALREADY	1	.9%		
COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF	I HAVE MY DEGREE	1	.9%		
PHILADELPHIA	I HAVE TO CHECK WITH THE LADY AT THE ACADEMIC SUPPORT PROGRA			1	2.2%
	I HAVEN'T LOOKED AT IT			1	2.2%
	I JUST LIKE THE SUBURBS BETTER	1	.9%		
	I KNOW PROFESSORS AT PENN	1	.9%		
	I MIGHT			1	2.2%
	I MIGHT IF THEY HAVE A COURSE I'M INTERESTED IN	1	.9%		
	I MIGHT/ MAYBE/ I DON'T KNOW			1	2.2%
	I NEED MASTER'S	1	.9%		
	I NEED MORE INFORMATION ON ULTRASOUND			1	2.2%
	I PLAN ON WORKING FOR UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA HOSPITAL			1	2.2%
	I PREFER TEMPLE			1	2.2%
	I STARTED AT COMMUNITY	1	.9%		
	I THINK AN ACCREDITED SCHOOL LIKE TEMPLE WOULD GIVE ME A BET	1	.9%		
	I TOOK A COURSE AND I WAS NOT VERY HAPPY WITH IT OR IMPRESSE	1	.9%		
	I TOOK SUMMER SCHOOL THERE/ THEY DON'T OFFER BA	1	.9%		
	I TRIED BUT HAD DIFFICULTY GETTING FINANCIAL AID THERE/ TEMP	1	.9%		
	I TRIED THERE BEFORE BUT THEY COULDN'T HELP			1	2.2%
	I WANT TO ENROLL THERE	1	.9%		
	I WANT TO GO TO THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA	1	.9%		
	I WAS HAVING A PROBLEM BECAUSE I'M HANDICAPPED	1	.9%		
	I WORK AT ARCADIA			1	2.2%
	I WORK FOR DREXEL AND IT'D BE FREE	1	.9%		

TABLE 7. College Enrollment Preferences Of Potential Students By Market Segment

		MARKET	SEGMENT	MARKET S	SEGMENT
		Col	lege	Job Training	
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %
REASONS FOR NOT	I WOULD DO THAT TOO/ ONLY ASSOCIATE DEGREE			1	2.2%
ENROLLING AT	I WOULD PICK TEMPLE			1	2.2%
COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	IF THEY HAD WHAT I WANTED I'D GO THERE	1	.9%		
T THE RELEATION	IF THEY OFFER IT I WOULD			1	2.2%
	IF THEY OFFERED CLASS ON JUST MONEY MANAGEMENT I WOULD TAKE	1	.9%		
	IS IT ONLINE	1	.9%		
	IT'S FINE ALSO/ YOU SAID COLLEGE			1	2.2%
	IT'S JUST A FORM OF HIGH SCHOOL	1	.9%		
	IT'S NOT CHRISTIAN	1	.9%		
	IT'S NOT CONVENIENT			1	2.2%
	IT'S NOT TOO CONVENIENT FOR WHERE I LIVE			1	2.2%
	IT'S POSSIBLE	2	1.8%		
	IT MAY BE COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1	.9%		
	IT WASN'T COMING TO MY MIND	1	.9%		
	JUST BECAUSE I HAVE ACCREDITED CLASSES FROM TEMPLE			1	2.2%
	LOCATION	3	2.7%	1	2.2%
	LOT OF YOUNG PEOPLE	1	.9%		
	MIGHT BE OKAY BUT I HEARD BETTER THINGS ABOUT CHEYNEY	1	.9%		
	MOORE HAS A CERTIFICATE IN THE PROGRAM I WANT			1	2.2%
	MOVING TO WASHINGTON NEXT WEEK	1	.9%		
	MY OTHER CHOICE	1	.9%		
	MY SCHEDULE IS HECTIC	1	.9%		
	NO	1	.9%		
	NO REASON/ I LIVE AROUND THE CORNER FROM DREXEL	1	.9%		
	NOT A COOKING SCHOOL THERE			1	2.2%
	NOT GOOD FOR GRAD STUDENT	1	.9%	_	

TABLE 7. College Enrollment Preferences Of Potential Students By Market Segment

		MARKET	SEGMENT	MARKET	SEGMENT
		Col	lege	Job T	raining
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %
REASONS FOR NOT	NOT SURE ABOUT ONLINE/ NOT CONVENIENT	1	.9%		
ENROLLING AT COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	NOT SURE IF THEY HAVE ANYTHING/ NO CONVENIENCE FOR ME/ ONE M			1	2.2%
FIIILADELFIIIA	NOT SURE THEY OFFER WHAT I'M LOOKING FOR	1	.9%		
	ONLY BECAUSE JEFFERSON OFFERS PREREQUISITE CLASSES THAT THE	1	.9%		
	PENN STATE HAS A GOOD REPUTATION AND MORE CLASSES FOR THE AR	1	.9%		
	PERHAPS I MIGHT/ I WOULD NEED TO SEE THE OFFERINGS	1	.9%		
	POSSIBLY	1	.9%		
	SAINT JOE'S HAS LEARNING INSTITUTES FOR TEACHERS/ GIVES A RE	1	.9%		
	SOUNDS OKAY			1	2.2%
	STIGMA OF A COMMUNITY COLLEGE			1	2.2%
	THE COURSE IS TAILORED FOR MY STUDY AT CARNEGIE MELLON	1	.9%		
	THE STUDENT BODY	1	.9%		
	THERE ARE A LOT OF OUT OF TOWNERS AND IT'S CROWDED	1	.9%		
	THEY'RE GOOD TOO			1	2.2%
	THEY DON'T HAVE A BACHELOR'S DEGREE	1	.9%		
	THEY DON'T HAVE A MASTER'S PROGRAM	1	.9%		
	THEY DON'T HAVE LPN			1	2.2%
	THEY DON'T OFFER A MASTER'S IN WRITING	1	.9%		
	THEY DON'T OFFER MASTER'S	1	.9%		
	THEY DON'T OFFER MASTER'S AND I NEED MASTER'S CLASSES	1	.9%		
	THEY OFFER ASSOCIATE'S DEGREES	1	.9%		
	THEY OFFER NO BACHELOR'S PROGRAMS	1	.9%		

TABLE 7. College Enrollment Preferences Of Potential Students By Market Segment

		MARKET	SEGMENT	MARKET	SEGMENT
		Col	lege	Job T	raining
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %
REASONS FOR NOT ENROLLING AT	THIS IS A VERY GOOD SCHOOL BUT I PREFER THE UNIVERSITY OF PE	1	.9%		
COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	TOO BORING/ TOO MANY YOUNG PEOPLE DISRESPECTING TEACHER AND	1	.9%		
	TOO FAR FROM ME	1	.9%		
	TOO FAST SPEED FOR THEIR CLASSES			1	2.2%
	TOO LONG FOR TRAINING			1	2.2%
	TRADE SCHOOL IS BETTER			1	2.2%
	TRADE SCHOOLS ARE BETTER FOR WHAT I NEED			1	2.2%
	UNIVERSITY HAS VETERINARIAN TECH THAT IS BETTER ALL AROUND			1	2.2%
	WANT THE EXPERIENCE OF BEING AWAY FROM HOME WHERE YOU CAN ST	1	.9%		
	WASN'T MY SELECTION			1	2.2%
	WENT THERE FOR CLASSES ALREADY			1	2.2%
	WENT THERE ONE YEAR			1	2.2%
	WENT THERE/ LASALLE MEETS NEEDS	1	.9%		
	WILL ENROLL/ MOST LIKELY HERE	1	.9%		
Total		111	100.0%	45	100.0%

TABLE 8-A. Computer Usage Characteristics Of Respondents By Market Segment

		MARKET	SEGMENT	MARKET	SEGMENT	
		Co	College		Job Training	
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	
COMPUTER AT HOME	Yes	142	87.1%	41	66.1%	
	No	21	12.9%	21	33.9%	
Total		163	100.0%	62	100.0%	
HAVE ACCESS TO	No Response	2	1.2%	1	1.6%	
ONLINE SERVICE, INTERNET OR WORLD	Yes	132	81.0%	36	58.1%	
WIDE WEB FROM HOME	No	29	17.8%	25	40.3%	
Total		163	100.0%	62	100.0%	

TABLE 8-A. Computer Usage Characteristics Of Respondents By Market Segment

		MARKET	SEGMENT	MARKET SEGMENT		
		College		Job Training		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	
INTERNET	No Response	1	.8%			
SPEED	Dial-Up	47	35.6%	12	33.3%	
	Cable Modem	13	9.8%	10	27.8%	
	High-Speed DSL	66	50.0%	11	30.6%	
	Don't Know	5	3.8%	3	8.3%	
Total	Total		100.0%	36	100.0%	

TABLE 8-A. Computer Usage Characteristics Of Respondents By Market Segment

		MARKET	SEGMENT	MARKET	SEGMENT
		Col	lege	Job T	raining
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %
INTERNET	AOL	47	35.6%	14	38.9%
PROVIDER	AT & T			1	2.8%
	CAVALIER	1	.8%		
	COMCAST	13	9.8%	5	13.9%
	DON'T KNOW	4	3.0%	1	2.8%
	DON'T WANT TO DISCLOSE THAT INFORMATION	1	.8%		
	DSL	2	1.5%		
	EARTHLINK	5	3.8%		
	HOT MAIL/ YAHOO	1	.8%		
	INTERNET EXPLORER	1	.8%		
	JUNO	1	.8%		
	MSN	4	3.0%	3	8.3%
	MSN/ VERIZON	1	.8%		
	NETSCAPE	1	.8%	2	5.6%
	NETZERO	2	1.5%	2	5.6%
	NO RESPONSE	3	2.3%		
	NOT SURE			1	2.8%
	REFUSED	1	.8%		
	ROAD RUNNER	1	.8%		
	URBAN CABLE	1	.8%		
	VERIZON	39	29.5%	7	19.4%
	VERIZON/ AOL	1	.8%		
	VOICE NET	1	.8%		
	YAHOO	1	.8%		
Total	and Of Dhiladalahia — Casamiahi Ol	132	100.0%	36	100.0%

TABLE 8-A. Computer Usage Characteristics Of Respondents By Market Segment

		MARKET	SEGMENT	MARKET SEGMENT		
		Co	llege	Job Training		
			Col %	Count	Col %	
SEND E-MAIL	No Response	1	.8%			
	Yes	106	80.3%	30	83.3%	
	No	25	18.9%	6	16.7%	
Total		132	100.0%	36	100.0%	

TABLE 8-A. Computer Usage Characteristics Of Respondents By Market Segment

		MARKET	SEGMENT	MARKET	SEGMENT
		Col	lege	Job T	raining
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %
PARTICIPATE IN ONLINE	No Response	1	.8%		
CHATS	Yes	21	15.9%	6	16.7%
	No	110	83.3%	30	83.3%
Total		132	100.0%	36	100.0%
READ NEWSPAPERS/	No Response	1	.8%		
MAGAZINES	Yes	80	60.6%	27	75.0%
	No	51	38.6%	9	25.0%
Total	-	132	100.0%	36	100.0%
RESEARCH PRODUCTS TO	No Response	1	.8%		
PURCHASE	Yes	102	77.3%	31	86.1%
	No	29	22.0%	5	13.9%
Total		132	100.0%	36	100.0%
SHOP/ MAKE PURCHASES	No Response	1	.8%		
	Yes	88	66.7%	24	66.7%
	No	43	32.6%	12	33.3%
Total	•	132	100.0%	36	100.0%
VIEW STOCK QUOTES/	No Response	1	.8%		
COMMODITY PRICES	Yes	25	18.9%	8	22.2%
	No	106	80.3%	28	77.8%
Total	•	132	100.0%	36	100.0%
BANKING	No Response	2	1.5%		
	Yes	62	47.0%	21	58.3%
	No	68	51.5%	15	41.7%
Total	•	132	100.0%	36	100.0%
PLAY ONLINE GAMES	No Response	2	1.5%		
	Yes	50	37.9%	20	55.6%
	No	80	60.6%	16	44.4%
Total	•	132	100.0%	36	100.0%
LOOK FOR MEDICAL OR	No Response	2	1.5%	1	2.8%
HEALTH INFORMATION	Yes	98	74.2%	29	80.6%
	No	32	24.2%	6	16.7%
Total		132	100.0%	36	100.0%
DOWNLOAD MUSIC FILES	No Response	2	1.5%		
AND/ OR SOFTWARE	Yes	59	44.7%	17	47.2%
	No	71	53.8%	19	52.8%
Total	•	132	100.0%	36	100.0%
MAKE TRAVEL	No Response	2	1.5%		
ARRANGEMENTS	Yes	94	71.2%	25	69.4%
	No	36	27.3%	11	30.6%
Total	•	132	100.0%	36	100.0%

TABLE 8-A. Computer Usage Characteristics Of Respondents By Market Segment

		MARKET	SEGMENT	MARKET	SEGMENT
		College		Job Training	
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %
TAKE COLLEGE CLASSES No Response		2	1.5%		
	Yes	20	15.2%		
	No	110	83.3%	36	100.0%
Total		132	100.0%	36	100.0%
CHECK WEATHER	No Response	4	3.0%		
CONDITIONS	Yes	83	62.9%	28	77.8%
	No	45	34.1%	8	22.2%
Total	_	132	100.0%	36	100.0%
READING BLOGS	No Response	3	2.3%		
	Yes	21	15.9%	9	25.0%
	No	108	81.8%	27	75.0%
Total		132	100.0%	36	100.0%

TABLE 8-B. Computer Usage Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

			SERVICE AREA							Total	
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		st Regional enter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
COMPUTER AT HOME	No Response	2	.9%							2	.4%
	Yes	147	65.6%	92	80.7%	61	76.3%	56	68.3%	356	71.2%
	No	75	33.5%	22	19.3%	19	23.8%	26	31.7%	142	28.4%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
HAVE ACCESS TO	No Response	3	1.3%			3	3.8%	2	2.4%	8	1.6%
ONLINE SERVICE, INTERNET OR WORLD WIDE WEB FROM HOME	Yes	141	62.9%	88	77.2%	55	68.8%	48	58.5%	332	66.4%
	No	80	35.7%	26	22.8%	22	27.5%	32	39.0%	160	32.0%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%

Crosstab

Count

			SERVIC	E AREA		
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total
COMPUTER	No Response	2	0	0	0	2
AT HOME	Yes	147	92	61	56	356
	No	75	22	19	26	142
Total		224	114	80	82	500

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.559 ^a	6	.073
Likelihood Ratio	12.607	6	.050
Linear-by-Linear Association	.436	1	.509
N of Valid Cases	500		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .32.

Crosstab

			SERVICE AREA					
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total		
HAVE ACCESS TO	No Response	3	0	3	2	8		
ONLINE SERVICE, INTERNET OR WORLD	Yes	141	88	55	48	332		
WIDE WEB FROM HOME	No	80	26	22	32	160		
Total		224	114	80	82	500		

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.571 ^a	6	.035
Likelihood Ratio	14.893	6	.021
Linear-by-Linear Association	.108	1	.742
N of Valid Cases	500		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.28.

TABLE 8-B. Computer Usage Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		st Regional enter		st Regional enter	West Reg	ional Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
INTERNET	No Response	1	.7%	2	2.3%	2	3.6%			5	1.5%
SPEED	Dial-Up	38	27.0%	30	34.1%	21	38.2%	18	37.5%	107	32.2%
	Cable Modem	18	12.8%	23	26.1%	5	9.1%	7	14.6%	53	16.0%
	High-Speed DSL	72	51.1%	30	34.1%	19	34.5%	18	37.5%	139	41.9%
	Don't Know	12	8.5%	3	3.4%	8	14.5%	5	10.4%	28	8.4%
Total		141	100.0%	88	100.0%	55	100.0%	48	100.0%	332	100.0%

TABLE 8-B. Computer Usage Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				Te	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
INTERNET	AOL	39	27.7%	32	36.4%	24	43.6%	18	37.5%	113	34.0%
PROVIDER	AOL/ VERIZON	1	.7%							1	.3%
	AT & T					1	1.8%	2	4.2%	3	.9%
	CAVALIER			1	1.1%					1	.3%
	COMCAST	21	14.9%	23	26.1%	5	9.1%	1	2.1%	50	15.1%
	COMPUSERVE	1	.7%	1	1.1%					2	.6%
	CONFIDENTIAL	1	.7%							1	.3%
	DON'T KNOW	4	2.8%	4	4.5%	6	10.9%	6	12.5%	20	6.0%
	DON'T KNOW THE NAME	1	.7%							1	.3%
	DON'T WANT TO DISCLOSE THAT INFORMATION	1	.7%							1	.3%
	DSL	1	.7%	1	1.1%	1	1.8%			3	.9%

TABLE 8-B. Computer Usage Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden	Northeast Cer		Northwest Cer		West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
INTERNET	EARTHLINK	5	3.5%	1	1.1%	2	3.6%	1	2.1%	9	2.7%
PROVIDER	HOT MAIL/ YAHOO	1	.7%							1	.3%
	INTERNET EXPLORER	1	.7%							1	.3%
	JUNO			1	1.1%	ĺ		1	2.1%	2	.6%
	MICROSOFT/ AOL	1	.7%			ĺ				1	.3%
	MSN	6	4.3%	2	2.3%	3	5.5%	1	2.1%	12	3.6%
	MSN/ VERIZON			1	1.1%					1	.3%
	MY DAUGHTER	1	.7%							1	.3%
	NETSCAPE	1	.7%	1	1.1%			1	2.1%	3	.9%
	NETZERO	1	.7%	2	2.3%			2	4.2%	5	1.5%
	NO RESPONSE	3	2.1%	2	2.3%					5	1.5%
	NOT SURE					1	1.8%			1	.3%
	OWN HOME	1	.7%							1	.3%
	RATHER NOT SAY	1	.7%	1	1.1%					2	.6%
	REFUSED			2	2.3%					2	.6%
	ROAD RUNNER					2	3.6%	1	2.1%	3	.9%
	ROAD RUNNER/ TIME-WARNER							1	2.1%	1	.3%
	THROUGH MY CELL PHONE/ MY SON KNOWS/ I DON'T KNOW	1	.7%							1	.3%
	URBAN CABLE	1	.7%							1	.3%
	VERIZON	44	31.2%	13	14.8%	8	14.5%	11	22.9%	76	22.9%
	VERIZON/ AOL	1	.7%					1	2.1%	2	.6%
	VOICE NET	1	.7%							1	.3%
	WEBTV					1	1.8%			1	.3%
	YAHOO	1	.7%					1	2.1%	2	.6%
	ZNET					1	1.8%			1	.3%
Total	•	141	100.0%	88	100.0%	55	100.0%	48	100.0%	332	100.0%

TABLE 8-B. Computer Usage Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		st Regional enter	West Regi	ional Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
SEND E-MAIL	No Response	1	.7%	1	1.1%					2	.6%
	Yes	114	80.9%	64	72.7%	49	89.1%	37	77.1%	264	79.5%
	No	26	18.4%	23	26.1%	6	10.9%	11	22.9%	66	19.9%
Total		141	100.0%	88	100.0%	55	100.0%	48	100.0%	332	100.0%
PARTICIPATE IN ONLINE	No Response	2	1.4%	1	1.1%					3	.9%
CHATS	Yes	16	11.3%	12	13.6%	11	20.0%	8	16.7%	47	14.2%
	No	123	87.2%	75	85.2%	44	80.0%	40	83.3%	282	84.9%
Total		141	100.0%	88	100.0%	55	100.0%	48	100.0%	332	100.0%
READ NEWSPAPERS/	No Response	1	.7%	1	1.1%					2	.6%
MAGAZINES	Yes	92	65.2%	50	56.8%	36	65.5%	30	62.5%	208	62.7%
	No	48	34.0%	37	42.0%	19	34.5%	18	37.5%	122	36.7%
Total		141	100.0%	88	100.0%	55	100.0%	48	100.0%	332	100.0%
RESEARCH PRODUCTS TO	No Response	1	.7%	1	1.1%					2	.6%
PURCHASE	Yes	119	84.4%	75	85.2%	40	72.7%	37	77.1%	271	81.6%
	No	21	14.9%	12	13.6%	15	27.3%	11	22.9%	59	17.8%
Total	•	141	100.0%	88	100.0%	55	100.0%	48	100.0%	332	100.0%
SHOP/ MAKE PURCHASES	No Response	1	.7%	1	1.1%					2	.6%
	Yes	97	68.8%	63	71.6%	28	50.9%	28	58.3%	216	65.1%
	No	43	30.5%	24	27.3%	27	49.1%	20	41.7%	114	34.3%
Total	•	141	100.0%	88	100.0%	55	100.0%	48	100.0%	332	100.0%
VIEW STOCK QUOTES/	No Response	1	.7%	1	1.1%					2	.6%
COMMODITY PRICES	Yes	35	24.8%	17	19.3%	10	18.2%	7	14.6%	69	20.8%
	No	105	74.5%	70	79.5%	45	81.8%	41	85.4%	261	78.6%
Total		141	100.0%	88	100.0%	55	100.0%	48	100.0%	332	100.0%
BANKING	No Response	1	.7%	2	2.3%					3	.9%
	Yes	77	54.6%	43	48.9%	28	50.9%	24	50.0%	172	51.8%
	No	63	44.7%	43	48.9%	27	49.1%	24	50.0%	157	47.3%
Total	•	141	100.0%	88	100.0%	55	100.0%	48	100.0%	332	100.0%
PLAY ONLINE GAMES	No Response	1	.7%	2	2.3%					3	.9%
	Yes	47	33.3%	38	43.2%	27	49.1%	18	37.5%	130	39.2%
	No	93	66.0%	48	54.5%	28	50.9%	30	62.5%	199	59.9%
Total		141	100.0%	88	100.0%	55	100.0%	48	100.0%	332	100.0%
LOOK FOR MEDICAL OR	No Response	2	1.4%	2	2.3%					4	1.2%
HEALTH INFORMATION	Yes	107	75.9%	68	77.3%	40	72.7%	30	62.5%	245	73.8%
	No	32	22.7%	18	20.5%	15	27.3%	18	37.5%	83	25.0%
Total	•	141	100.0%	88	100.0%	55	100.0%	48	100.0%	332	100.0%
DOWNLOAD MUSIC FILES	No Response	1	.7%	2	2.3%					3	.9%
AND/ OR SOFTWARE	Yes	64	45.4%	28	31.8%	20	36.4%	17	35.4%	129	38.9%
	No	76	53.9%	58	65.9%	35	63.6%	31	64.6%	200	60.2%
Total		141	100.0%	88	100.0%	55	100.0%	48	100.0%	332	100.0%

TABLE 8-B. Computer Usage Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
MAKE TRAVEL ARRANGEMENTS	No Response	1	.7%	2	2.3%					3	.9%
	Yes	101	71.6%	56	63.6%	36	65.5%	31	64.6%	224	67.5%
	No	39	27.7%	30	34.1%	19	34.5%	17	35.4%	105	31.6%
Total		141	100.0%	88	100.0%	55	100.0%	48	100.0%	332	100.0%
TAKE COLLEGE CLASSES	No Response	1	.7%	2	2.3%					3	.9%
	Yes	12	8.5%	7	8.0%	4	7.3%	3	6.3%	26	7.8%
	No	128	90.8%	79	89.8%	51	92.7%	45	93.8%	303	91.3%
Total		141	100.0%	88	100.0%	55	100.0%	48	100.0%	332	100.0%
CHECK WEATHER	No Response	1	.7%	3	3.4%	1	1.8%			5	1.5%
CONDITIONS	Yes	97	68.8%	58	65.9%	37	67.3%	28	58.3%	220	66.3%
	No	43	30.5%	27	30.7%	17	30.9%	20	41.7%	107	32.2%
Total	-	141	100.0%	88	100.0%	55	100.0%	48	100.0%	332	100.0%
READING BLOGS	No Response	1	.7%	4	4.5%	1	1.8%			6	1.8%
	Yes	29	20.6%	15	17.0%	6	10.9%	9	18.8%	59	17.8%
	No	111	78.7%	69	78.4%	48	87.3%	39	81.3%	267	80.4%
Total	•	141	100.0%	88	100.0%	55	100.0%	48	100.0%	332	100.0%

Crosstab

SERVICE AREA				E AREA		
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total
SEND	No Response	1	1	0	0	2
E-MAIL	Yes	114	64	49	37	264
	No	26	23	6	11	66
Total		141	88	55	48	332

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.604 ^a	6	.359
Likelihood Ratio	7.403	6	.285
Linear-by-Linear Association	.018	1	.894
N of Valid Cases	332		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .29.

Crosstab

Count

			SERVICE AREA				
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total	
PARTICIPATE	No Response	2	1	0	0	3	
IN ONLINE	Yes	16	12	11	8	47	
CHATS	No	123	75	44	40	282	
Total		141	88	55	48	332	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.001 ^a	6	.677
Likelihood Ratio	4.763	6	.575
Linear-by-Linear Association	.493	1	.482
N of Valid Cases	332		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .43.

Crosstab

			SERVICE AREA					
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total		
READ NEWSPAPERS/	No Response	1	1	0	0	2		
MAGAZINES	Yes	92	50	36	30	208		
	No	48	37	19	18	122		
Total		141	88	55	48	332		

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.795 ^a	6	.834
Likelihood Ratio	3.318	6	.768
Linear-by-Linear Association	.204	1	.652
N of Valid Cases	332		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .29.

Crosstab

Count

		SERVICE AREA				
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total
RESEARCH PRODUCTS	No Response	1	1	0	0	2
TO PURCHASE	Yes	119	75	40	37	271
	No	21	12	15	11	59
Total		141	88	55	48	332

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.004 ^a	6	.320
Likelihood Ratio	7.211	6	.302
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.894	1	.048
N of Valid Cases	332		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .29.

Crosstab

		SERVICE AREA				
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total
SHOP/ MAKE	No Response	1	1	0	0	2
PURCHASES	Yes	97	63	28	28	216
	No	43	24	27	20	114
Total	•	141	88	55	48	332

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.092 ^a	6	.121
Likelihood Ratio	10.410	6	.108
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.105	1	.024
N of Valid Cases	332		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .29.

Crosstab

Count

		SERVICE AREA				
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total
VIEW STOCK QUOTES/	No Response	1	1	0	0	2
COMMODITY PRICES	Yes	35	17	10	7	69
	No	105	70	45	41	261
Total		141	88	55	48	332

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.001 ^a	6	.677
Likelihood Ratio	4.579	6	.599
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.236	1	.072
N of Valid Cases	332		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .29.

Crosstab

		SERVICE AREA				
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total
BANKING	No Response	1	2	0	0	3
	Yes	77	43	28	24	172
	No	63	43	27	24	157
Total		141	88	55	48	332

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.576 ^a	6	.734
Likelihood Ratio	3.967	6	.681
Linear-by-Linear Association	.674	1	.412
N of Valid Cases	332		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .43.

Crosstab

Count

		SERVICE AREA				
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total
PLAY ONLINE	No Response	1	2	0	0	3
GAMES	Yes	47	38	27	18	130
	No	93	48	28	30	199
Total		141	88	55	48	332

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.900 ^a	6	.246
Likelihood Ratio	8.266	6	.219
Linear-by-Linear Association	.970	1	.325
N of Valid Cases	332		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .43.

Crosstab

			SERVICE AREA			
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total
LOOK FOR MEDICAL OR	No Response	2	2	0	0	4
HEALTH INFORMATION	Yes	107	68	40	30	245
	No	32	18	15	18	83
Total		141	88	55	48	332

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.334 ^a	6	.291
Likelihood Ratio	8.093	6	.231
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.340	1	.037
N of Valid Cases	332		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .58.

Crosstab

Count

			SERVICE AREA				
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total	
DOWNLOAD MUSIC FILES	No Response	1	2	0	0	3	
AND/ OR SOFTWARE	Yes	64	28	20	17	129	
	No	76	58	35	31	200	
Total		141	88	55	48	332	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.386 ^a	6	.287
Likelihood Ratio	7.792	6	.254
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.559	1	.110
N of Valid Cases	332		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .43.

Crosstab

			SERVICE AREA			
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total
MAKE TRAVEL	No Response	1	2	0	0	3
ARRANGEMENTS	Yes	101	56	36	31	224
	No	39	30	19	17	105
Total		141	88	55	48	332

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.698 ^a	6	.583
Likelihood Ratio	5.100	6	.531
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.584	1	.208
N of Valid Cases	332		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .43.

Crosstab

Count

		SERVICE AREA				
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total
TAKE COLLEGE	No Response	1	2	0	0	3
CLASSES	Yes	12	7	4	3	26
	No	128	79	51	45	303
Total		141 88 55 48		332		

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.146 ^a	6	.790
Likelihood Ratio	3.545	6	.738
Linear-by-Linear Association	.602	1	.438
N of Valid Cases	332		

a. 6 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .43.

Crosstab

			SERVICE AREA			
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total
CHECK WEATHER	No Response	1	3	1	0	5
CONDITIONS	Yes	97	58	37	28	220
	No	43	27	17	20	107
Total		141	88	55	48	332

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.624 ^a	6	.467
Likelihood Ratio	5.836	6	.442
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.264	1	.261
N of Valid Cases	332		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .72.

Crosstab

Count

			SERVICE AREA			
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total
READING	No Response	1	4	1	0	6
BLOGS	Yes	29	15	6	9	59
	No	111	69	48	39	267
Total		141	88	55	48	332

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.009 ^a	6	.237
Likelihood Ratio	8.266	6	.219
Linear-by-Linear Association	.642	1	.423
N of Valid Cases	332		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .87.

TABLE 9-A. Preferred Media Source About Community College Of Philadelphia

By Market Segment

		MARKET	SEGMENT	MARKET	SEGMENT
		Co	llege	Job T	raining
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %
BILLBOARDS	No Response/ Not Likely To Use	163	100.0%	62	100.0%
Total		163	100.0%	62	100.0%
BROCHURES	No Response/ Not Likely To Use	147	90.2%	57	91.9%
	Most Likely To Use	16	9.8%	5	8.1%
Total		163	100.0%	62	100.0%
COURSE SCHEDULE	No Response/ Not Likely To Use	158	96.9%	60	96.8%
	Most Likely To Use	5	3.1%	2	3.2%
Total		163	100.0%	62	100.0%
BUS ADS OR TRANSIT ADS	No Response/ Not Likely To Use	161	98.8%	60	96.8%
	Most Likely To Use	2	1.2%	2	3.2%
Total		163	100.0%	62	100.0%
HOME MAILINGS	No Response/ Not Likely To Use	141	86.5%	50	80.6%
	Most Likely To Use	22	13.5%	12	19.4%
Total		163	100.0%	62	100.0%
NEWSPAPER ADS	No Response/ Not Likely To Use	152	93.3%	56	90.3%
	Most Likely To Use	11	6.7%	6	9.7%
Total		163	100.0%	62	100.0%
NEWSPAPER ARTICLES	No Response/ Not Likely To Use	160	98.2%	57	91.9%
	Most Likely To Use	3	1.8%	5	8.1%
Total		163	100.0%	62	100.0%
RADIO ADS	No Response/ Not Likely To Use	161	98.8%	60	96.8%
	Most Likely To Use	2	1.2%	2	3.2%
Total		163	100.0%	62	100.0%
TELEVISION COMMERCIALS	No Response/ Not Likely To Use	157	96.3%	59	95.2%
	Most Likely To Use	6	3.7%	3	4.8%
Total		163	100.0%	62	100.0%
TV NEWS STORY	No Response/ Not Likely To Use	162	99.4%	61	98.4%
	Most Likely To Use	1	.6%	1	1.6%
Total		163	100.0%	62	100.0%

TABLE 9-A. Preferred Media Source About Community College Of Philadelphia

By Market Segment

		MARKET SEGMENT		MARKET SEGMENT	
		College		Job Training	
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %
VISITED WEB SITE	No Response/ Not Likely To Use	68	41.7%	36	58.1%
	Most Likely To Use	95	58.3%	26	41.9%
Total		163	100.0%	62	100.0%

TABLE 9-B. Preferred Media Source About Community College Of Philadelphia By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional enter		st Regional enter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
BILLBOARDS	No Response/ Not Likely To Use	223	99.6%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	499	99.8%
	Most Likely To Use	1	.4%							1	.2%
Total	•	224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
BROCHURES	No Response/ Not Likely To Use	202	90.2%	108	94.7%	69	86.3%	68	82.9%	447	89.4%
	Most Likely To Use	22	9.8%	6	5.3%	11	13.8%	14	17.1%	53	10.6%
Total	•	224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
COURSE SCHEDULE	No Response/ Not Likely To Use	218	97.3%	111	97.4%	79	98.8%	80	97.6%	488	97.6%
	Most Likely To Use	6	2.7%	3	2.6%	1	1.3%	2	2.4%	12	2.4%
Total	•	224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
BUS ADS OR TRANSIT ADS	No Response/ Not Likely To Use	221	98.7%	113	99.1%	78	97.5%	82	100.0%	494	98.8%
	Most Likely To Use	3	1.3%	1	.9%	2	2.5%			6	1.2%
Total	•	224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
HOME MAILINGS	No Response/ Not Likely To Use	185	82.6%	94	82.5%	69	86.3%	77	93.9%	425	85.0%
	Most Likely To Use	39	17.4%	20	17.5%	11	13.8%	5	6.1%	75	15.0%
Total	•	224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
NEWSPAPER ADS	No Response/ Not Likely To Use	208	92.9%	104	91.2%	74	92.5%	77	93.9%	463	92.6%
	Most Likely To Use	16	7.1%	10	8.8%	6	7.5%	5	6.1%	37	7.4%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
NEWSPAPER ARTICLES	No Response/ Not Likely To Use	215	96.0%	114	100.0%	76	95.0%	79	96.3%	484	96.8%
	Most Likely To Use	9	4.0%			4	5.0%	3	3.7%	16	3.2%

TABLE 9-B. Preferred Media Source About Community College Of Philadelphia By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
RADIO ADS	No Response/ Not Likely To Use	221	98.7%	111	97.4%	79	98.8%	82	100.0%	493	98.6%
	Most Likely To Use	3	1.3%	3	2.6%	1	1.3%			7	1.4%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
TELEVISION COMMERCIALS	No Response/ Not Likely To Use	215	96.0%	112	98.2%	75	93.8%	81	98.8%	483	96.6%
	Most Likely To Use	9	4.0%	2	1.8%	5	6.3%	1	1.2%	17	3.4%
Total	•	224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
TV NEWS STORY	No Response/ Not Likely To Use	223	99.6%	111	97.4%	79	98.8%	82	100.0%	495	99.0%
	Most Likely To Use	1	.4%	3	2.6%	1	1.3%			5	1.0%
Total	•	224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
VISITED WEB SITE	No Response/ Not Likely To Use	123	54.9%	62	54.4%	44	55.0%	41	50.0%	270	54.0%
	Most Likely To Use	101	45.1%	52	45.6%	36	45.0%	41	50.0%	230	46.0%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%

TABLE 10-A. Preferred Information Request Format For Respondents By Market Segment

		MARKET	SEGMENT	MARKET	SEGMENT
		Co	llege	Job T	raining
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %
MOST LIKELY REQUEST OF INFORMATION	Go To Web Site And Send An E-mail Or Fill Out A Request Form	80	49.1%	26	41.9%
ABOUT COLLEGE	Call The College	47	28.8%	21	33.9%
TRAINING	CLASSES OR JOB TRAINING Visit The College	34	20.9%	12	19.4%
	Mail A Request	1	.6%	2	3.2%
	Other	1	.6%	1	1.6%
Total		163	100.0%	62	100.0%
OTHER WAY TO REQUEST INFORMATION	I RECEIVE INFORMATION AND SCHEDULES IN THE MAIL	1	100.0%		
CITED	THROUGH ADVERTISEMENTS			1	100.0%
Total		1	100.0%	1	100.0%

TABLE 10-B. Preferred Information Request Format For Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
MOST LIKELY REQUEST OF	Go To Web Site And Send An E-mail Or Fill Out A Request Form	98	43.8%	63	55.3%	42	52.5%	32	39.0%	235	47.0%
INFORMATION	Call The College	71	31.7%	27	23.7%	22	27.5%	23	28.0%	143	28.6%
ABOUT COLLEGE CLASSES OR JOB	Visit The College	47	21.0%	12	10.5%	14	17.5%	18	22.0%	91	18.2%
TRAINING	Mail A Request	2	.9%	6	5.3%			4	4.9%	12	2.4%
	Other	6	2.7%	6	5.3%	2	2.5%	5	6.1%	19	3.8%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
OTHER WAY TO	ADVERTISEMENT	1	16.7%							1	5.3%
REQUEST INFORMATION	ASK A HIGH SCHOOL COUNSELOR			1	16.7%					1	5.3%
CITED	CALL 411 FOR INFORMATION					1	50.0%			1	5.3%
Ţ	CHECK NEWSPAPER			1	16.7%					1	5.3%
	CONTACT FRIENDS WHO GO THERE			1	16.7%					1	5.3%
	DIRECTORY							1	20.0%	1	5.3%
	FROM FAMILY	1	16.7%							1	5.3%
	I RECEIVE INFORMATION AND SCHEDULES IN THE MAIL							1	20.0%	1	5.3%
	I WOULDN'T BE	1	16.7%							1	5.3%
	LOOK OVER SYLLABUS			1	16.7%					1	5.3%
	NEVER THOUGHT ABOUT IT	1	16.7%							1	5.3%
	NEWSPAPER AD	1	16.7%							1	5.3%
	NO RESPONSE			1	16.7%					1	5.3%
	OPEN HOUSES			1	16.7%					1	5.3%
	PHONE					1	50.0%			1	5.3%
	PUT IN RESUME	1	16.7%							1	5.3%
	THROUGH ADVERTISEMENTS							1	20.0%	1	5.3%
	WORD OF MOUTH							1	20.0%	1	5.3%
	YELLOW BOOK							1	20.0%	1	5.3%
Total		6	100.0%	6	100.0%	2	100.0%	5	100.0%	19	100.0%

TABLE 11-A. Media Characteristics Of Respondents By Market Segment

		MARKET	SEGMENT	MARKET S	EGMENT
		Coll	lege	Job Tra	aining
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %
FAVORITE	100.3	9	5.6%	1	1.7%
RADIO STATION	101	4	2.5%		
STATION	101.1	1	.6%	1	1.7%
	101.3	1	.6%	ĺ	
	102			2	3.3%
	102.1	1	.6%	Ī	
	103	2	1.2%	2	3.3%
	103.1	1	.6%		
	103.9	11	6.8%	2	3.3%
	104.5	3	1.9%	1	1.7%
	105.3	6	3.7%	2	3.3%
	105.3/ WDAS	2	1.2%	1	1.7%
	106.1	2	1.2%	ĺ	
	106.3			1	1.7%
	106/ JAZZ			1	1.7%
	1060	2	1.2%	1	1.7%
	107	1	.6%	1	1.7%
	107.6			1	1.7%
	107.9	4	2.5%	5	8.3%
	109.1			1	1.7%
	109/ WWTZ			1	1.7%
	1240/ WDHG	1	.6%	i	
	1340/ WHAT	1	.6%	i	
	1480	2	1.2%	i	
	160	1	.6%	i i	
	180	1	.6%	i i	
	210.2	1	.6%		
	560/ WFIL	1	.6%		
	610	2	1.2%	i	
	690	1	.6%	i i	
	88.5	2	1.2%	İ	
	89.5	1	.6%	İ	
	90.1	1	.6%		
	900	1	.6%		
	92.5	4	2.5%	1	1.7%
	93.3	1	.6%	1	1.7%
	93.3/ WMMR	2	1.2%	1	1.7%
	94.1	-	,0	1	1.7%
	94.1/ WYSP	2	1.2%	-	/0
	94.5	1	.6%	1	1.7%
	95.7	2	1.2%	- 1	70

TABLE 11-A. Media Characteristics Of Respondents By Market Segment

		MARKET	SEGMENT	MARKET	SEGMENT
		Col	lege	Job T	raining
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %
FAVORITE	96.5	1	.6%	2	3.3%
RADIO	96.5/ THE BEST			1	1.7%
STATION	98.1	2	1.2%		
	98.9	2	1.2%	1	1.7%
	99	2	1.2%	2	3.3%
	ALL TYPES	1	.6%		
	B101	3	1.9%	2	3.3%
	CABLE EASY LISTENING	1	.6%		
	D101			1	1.7%
	DAS	1	.6%		
	DON'T HAVE	1	.6%		
	DON'T HAVE FAVORITE	2	1.2%		
	DON'T HAVE ONE	1	.6%	1	1.7%
	DON'T KNOW	1	.6%		
	DON'T KNOW/ I JUST LISTEN	1	.6%		
	DON'T LISTEN	11	6.8%	3	5.0%
	E101	1	.6%		
	FAMILY RADIO			2	3.3%
	FIL	1	.6%		
	GOSPEL	1	.6%		
	KOW	1	.6%		
	KYW	4	2.5%	1	1.7%
	NEVER LISTEN	2	1.2%		
	NO FAVORITE			1	1.7%
	NPR	2	1.2%	1	1.7%
	NPR/ WHYY	1	.6%		
	POWER 99	12	7.5%	1	1.7%
	PUBLIC RADIO	1	.6%		
	Q102	4	2.5%	2	3.3%
	SMOOTH JAZZ			1	1.7%
	WDAS	2	1.2%	3	5.0%
	WDFM	1	.6%		
	WDS			1	1.7%
	WFIL	1	.6%		
	WHYY	7	4.3%	1	1.7%
	WHYY/ NPR			1	1.7%
	WIP	1	.6%		
	WJJZ	2	1.2%		
	WMGK	<u> </u>	,,	1	1.7%
	WMMR			1	1.7%
	WRTI	1	.6%	1	1.7%

TABLE 11-A. Media Characteristics Of Respondents By Market Segment

		MARKET	SEGMENT	MARKET SEGMEN		
		Col	lege	Job T	raining	
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	
FAVORITE	WURD	1	.6%			
RADIO STATION	WXPN	4	2.5%			
STATION	WYSP	2	1.2%			
	WYW	1	.6%			
Total	al		100.0%	60	100.0%	

TABLE 11-A. Media Characteristics Of Respondents By Market Segment

		MARKET S	SEGMENT	MARKET S	SEGMENT
		Coll	ege	Job Tr	aining
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %
FAVORITE	ABC	12	7.4%	2	3.3%
TV/ CABLE STATION	ABC/ LIFETIME			1	1.7%
STATION	AMC	1	.6%		
	ANIMAL PLANET	2	1.2%		
	BET	1	.6%	1	1.7%
	CABLE EASY LISTENING CHANNEL	1	.6%		
	CARTOON NETWORK	1	.6%		
	CBS	3	1.9%	3	5.0%
	CH 1/ CH 48	1	.6%		
	CH 10	6	3.7%	5	8.3%
	CH 10/ NBC	2	1.2%		
	CH 12	1	.6%	1	1.7%
	CH 17	1	.6%	1	1.7%
	CH 2			1	1.7%
	CH 24	1	.6%		
	CH 24/ AMA	1	.6%		
	CH 29			1	1.7%
	CH 29/ FOX	1	.6%	1	1.7%
	CH 3	2	1.2%	1	1.7%
	CH 3 OR CH 10	1	.6%		
	CH 3/ ABC			1	1.7%
	CH 37			1	1.7%
	CH 371	1	.6%		
	CH 48	2	1.2%	1	1.7%
	CH 57	4	2.5%		
	CH 57/ UPN	2	1.2%	1	1.7%
	CH 6	10	6.2%	1	1.7%
	CH 6/ ABC	2	1.2%	1	1.7%
	CH 6/ CH 10			1	1.7%
	CH 61	1	.6%	1	1.7%
	CH 67			1	1.7%
	CH 7	1	.6%		
	CH 85	1	.6%		
	CH 9	1	.6%		
	CNN	2	1.2%	1	1.7%
	COMEDY CENTRAL	1	.6%		
	COURT TV	1	.6%		
	DISCOVERY	1	.6%		
	DISCOVERY HEALTH	1	.6%		
	DON'T HAVE FAVORITE	4	2.5%		

TABLE 11-A. Media Characteristics Of Respondents By Market Segment

		MARKET	SEGMENT	MARKET	SEGMENT
		Col	lege	Job T	raining
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %
FAVORITE	DON'T KNOW	1	.6%		
TV/ CABLE STATION	DON'T REALLY HAVE FAVORITE	1	.6%		
STATION	DON'T WATCH	12	7.4%	4	6.7%
	ESPN	3	1.9%	1	1.7%
	ETV	1	.6%		
	FOOD NETWORK	2	1.2%	1	1.7%
	FOX	4	2.5%		
	GOOD TIMES	1	.6%		
	НВО	9	5.6%	3	5.0%
	HGTV	2	1.2%		
	ISC/ INDEPENDENT FILM STATION	1	.6%		
	LIFETIME	10	6.2%	3	5.0%
	MTV	2	1.2%	1	1.7%
	NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC	1	.6%		
	NBC	9	5.6%	4	6.7%
	NFL NETWORK	1	.6%		
	NO FAVORITE	2	1.2%	1	1.7%
	NO TV	1	.6%		
	OXYGEN	1	.6%		
	PBS	1	.6%	1	1.7%
	PUBLIC TV	1	.6%		
	REFUSED	1	.6%		
	SCI FI	1	.6%	2	3.3%
	SHOWTIME			1	1.7%
	TBN	1	.6%	1	1.7%
	TBS	1	.6%		
	TNT	1	.6%	1	1.7%
	TURNER CLASSIC MOVIES	2	1.2%		
	TVI	1	.6%		
	UNIVISION	1	.6%		
	UPN	8	4.9%	4	6.7%
	USA	2	1.2%	1	1.7%
	WB	3	1.9%	2	3.3%
	WHYY	1	.6%		
	WPVI			1	1.7%
Total	•	162	100.0%	60	100.0%

TABLE 11-B. Media Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden	Northeast Cer	t Regional nter	Northwest Cer		West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
FAVORITE	100.3	7	3.2%					3	3.7%	10	2.0%
RADIO STATION	101	2	.9%	4	3.5%	3	3.8%			9	1.8%
STATION	101.1	1	.5%	2	1.8%					3	.6%
	101.3							1	1.2%	1	.2%
	101E			1	.9%					1	.2%
	102	3	1.4%	1	.9%					4	.8%
	102.1	1	.5%							1	.2%
	102.9			1	.9%					1	.2%
	103	2	.9%	1	.9%	2	2.6%	1	1.2%	6	1.2%
	103.1					1	1.3%			1	.2%
	103.9	9	4.1%	1	.9%	5	6.4%	9	11.1%	24	4.9%
	103/ THE BEST	1	.5%							1	.2%
	104.5	4	1.8%	3	2.7%			1	1.2%	8	1.6%
	104.5/ KYW			1	.9%					1	.2%
	105.3	7	3.2%	1	.9%	2	2.6%	5	6.2%	15	3.0%
	105.3/ WDAS	1	.5%			1	1.3%	1	1.2%	3	.6%
	106	1	.5%							1	.2%
	106.1	2	.9%			2	2.6%			4	.8%
	106.1/ WJJZ	1	.5%			1	1.3%			2	.4%
	106.3							1	1.2%	1	.2%
	106.5					1	1.3%			1	.2%
	106.9					1	1.3%	1	1.2%	2	.4%
	106/ JAZZ							1	1.2%	1	.2%
	1060	5	2.3%	2	1.8%	1	1.3%	1	1.2%	9	1.8%
	1060/ KYW			1	.9%	1	1.3%			2	.4%
	107					1	1.3%	2	2.5%	3	.6%
	107.6	1	.5%							1	.2%
	107.9	3	1.4%	2	1.8%	5	6.4%	5	6.2%	15	3.0%
	109.1	1	.5%							1	.2%
	109/ WWTZ					1	1.3%			1	.2%
	12					1	1.3%			1	.2%
	1210	1	.5%	1	.9%	2	2.6%			4	.8%
	1240/ WDHG			1	.9%					1	.2%
	1290			1	.9%					1	.2%
	1310	1	.5%							1	.2%
	1340	1	.5%							1	.2%
	1340/ WHAT	1	.5%							1	.2%
	1480	1	.5%			1	1.3%	2	2.5%	4	.8%
	160	1	.5%							1	.2%
	180			1				1	1.2%	1	.2%

TABLE 11-B. Media Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden	Northeast Cer	t Regional nter	Northwes Ce	t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
FAVORITE	1840	1	.5%							1	.2%
RADIO STATION	210.2			1	.9%					1	.2%
STATION	560/ WFIL			1	.9%					1	.2%
	610	1	.5%	5	4.4%			1	1.2%	7	1.4%
	610/ KYW	1	.5%							1	.2%
	610/ WAP			1	.9%					1	.2%
	610/ WIT					1	1.3%			1	.2%
	690	1	.5%							1	.2%
	88.5	4	1.8%					1	1.2%	5	1.0%
	88.5/ WXPN	1	.5%							1	.2%
	89.5	1	.5%							1	.2%
	89.7/ BEN	1	.5%							1	.2%
	90.1			1	.9%					1	.2%
	90.9					1	1.3%			1	.2%
	900							1	1.2%	1	.2%
	92.5	4	1.8%	3	2.7%					7	1.4%
	920/ ESPN			1	.9%					1	.2%
	93			1	.9%					1	.2%
	93.3	3	1.4%							3	.6%
	93.3/ WMMR	1	.5%	3	2.7%					4	.8%
	94.1	2	.9%					1	1.2%	3	.6%
	94.1/ WYSP			2	1.8%			1	1.2%	3	.6%
	94.5	1	.5%	3	2.7%					4	.8%
	95.7	4	1.8%	2	1.8%					6	1.2%
	96.5	4	1.8%	1	.9%	1	1.3%			6	1.2%
	96.5/ THE BEST	1	.5%							1	.2%
	97.1	1	.5%							1	.2%
	97.5			1	.9%					1	.2%
	97.5/ THE HAWK			1	.9%					1	.2%
	98					1	1.3%			1	.2%
	98.1	5	2.3%	4	3.5%	1	1.3%			10	2.0%
	98.9	1	.5%			1	1.3%	2	2.5%	4	.8%
	99	4	1.8%					1	1.2%	5	1.0%
	99.1	1	.5%							1	.2%
	990			1	.9%					1	.2%
	ALL TYPES	1	.5%							1	.2%
	B101	6	2.7%	7	6.2%					13	2.6%
	CABLE EASY LISTENING	1 1	2 70	1	.9%					1	.2%
	CAN'T ANSWER			·	.0 /0	1	1.3%	 		1	.2%

TABLE 11-B. Media Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
FAVORITE RADIO	CAN'T THINK OF LETTERS/ COUNTRY WESTERN	1	.5%							1	.2%
STATION	CNN			1	.9%					1	.2%
	D101					1	1.3%			1	.2%
	DAS	4	1.8%			1	1.3%			5	1.0%
	DON'T HAVE	2	.9%							2	.4%
	DON'T HAVE FAVORITE	1	.5%	2	1.8%	2	2.6%			5	1.0%
	DON'T HAVE ONE	1	.5%	1	.9%			1	1.2%	3	.6%
	DON'T KNOW	1	.5%							1	.2%
	DON'T KNOW/ I JUST LISTEN							1	1.2%	1	.2%
	DON'T LISTEN	20	9.0%	2	1.8%	2	2.6%	3	3.7%	27	5.5%
	E101			1	.9%					1	.2%
	FAMILY RADIO	2	.9%	1	.9%					3	.6%
	FIL					1	1.3%			1	.2%
	GOSPEL	1	.5%	1	.9%					2	.4%
	HAWK			1	.9%					1	.2%
	HH					1	1.3%			1	.2%
	I DON'T LISTEN TO RADIO							1	1.2%	1	.2%
	KOW	3	1.4%	1	.9%					4	.8%
	KWY			1	.9%	1	1.3%			2	.4%
	KYU	1	.5%							1	.2%
	KYW	7	3.2%	11	9.7%	5	6.4%	6	7.4%	29	5.9%
	LA MEGA	1	.5%							1	.2%
	MAGIC	1	.5%							1	.2%
	MUSIC STATION	1	.5%							1	.2%
	NEVER LISTEN	3	1.4%	2	1.8%					5	1.0%
	NJN			1	.9%					1	.2%
	NO FAVORITE			1	.9%					1	.2%
	NPR	6	2.7%	1	.9%	1	1.3%			8	1.6%
	NPR/ WHYY	1	.5%			1	1.3%			2	.4%
	OLDIES	1	.5%							1	.2%
	OLDIES 98			1	.9%	1	1.3%			2	.4%
	POWER 99	12	5.4%			4	5.1%	7	8.6%	23	4.7%
	PUBLIC RADIO			1	.9%					1	.2%
	PUBLIC STATION							1	1.2%	1	.2%
	Q102	4	1.8%	3	2.7%					7	1.4%
	SATELLITE RADIO	2	.9%	1	.9%					3	.6%
	SMOOTH JAZZ	1						1	1.2%	1	.2%
	WBAS	1				1	1.3%		-	1	.2%
	WCAU	1		1	.9%					1	.2%

TABLE 11-B. Media Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

	<u> </u>				SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter	Northwest Cer	t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
FAVORITE	WDAS	7	3.2%			3	3.8%	3	3.7%	13	2.6%
RADIO STATION	WDFM			1	.9%					1	.2%
STATION	WDS	1	.5%							1	.2%
	WETA					1	1.3%			1	.2%
	WFAN	1	.5%							1	.2%
	WFIL							1	1.2%	1	.2%
	WGS					1	1.3%			1	.2%
	WHAT	1	.5%					1	1.2%	2	.4%
	WHYY	11	5.0%	3	2.7%	1	1.3%	5	6.2%	20	4.0%
	WHYY/ NPR							1	1.2%	1	.2%
	WIBC	1	.5%							1	.2%
	WIP	2	.9%	3	2.7%					5	1.0%
	WJJZ	2	.9%			2	2.6%			4	.8%
	WKDN	1	.5%			1	1.3%			2	.4%
	WMGK	1	.5%	1	.9%					2	.4%
	WMMR	1	.5%			1	1.3%			2	.4%
	WOGL	1	.5%							1	.2%
	WPEN	1	.5%	1	.9%					2	.4%
	WRNB					1	1.3%			1	.2%
	WRTI	2	.9%			1	1.3%	2	2.5%	5	1.0%
	WURD					1	1.3%	1	1.2%	2	.4%
	WVVZ					1	1.3%			1	.2%
	WWDB	1	.5%			1	1.3%			2	.4%
	WXPN	3	1.4%	2	1.8%	2	2.6%	2	2.5%	9	1.8%
	WYSP	1	.5%	2	1.8%					3	.6%
	WYW							1	1.2%	1	.2%
	XPN			1	.9%					1	.2%
Total	•	222	100.0%	113	100.0%	78	100.0%	81	100.0%	494	100.0%

TABLE 11-B. Media Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				Total	
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
FAVORITE	A&E			1	.9%			1	1.3%	2	.4%
TV/ CABLE STATION	ABC	28	12.6%	5	4.4%	8	10.1%	7	8.8%	48	9.7%
STATION	ABC/ LIFETIME					1	1.3%			1	.2%
	ABC/ SCI FI	1	.5%							1	.2%
	AMC	1	.5%							1	.2%
	ANIMAL PLANET			2	1.8%	1	1.3%			3	.6%
	BBC AMERICA	1	.5%							1	.2%
	BC	1	.5%							1	.2%
	BET	2	.9%					1	1.3%	3	.6%
	CABLE EASY LISTENING CHANNEL			1	.9%					1	.2%
	CARTOON NETWORK	1	.5%							1	.2%
	CBC	1	.5%							1	.2%
	CBN	1	.5%							1	.2%
	CBS	6	2.7%	2	1.8%	3	3.8%	5	6.3%	16	3.2%
	CH 1/ CH 48							1	1.3%	1	.2%
	CH 10	9	4.1%	4	3.5%	2	2.5%	5	6.3%	20	4.0%
	CH 10/ NBC	2	.9%	1	.9%	2	2.5%			5	1.0%
	CH 12	2	.9%	1	.9%	2	2.5%	4	5.0%	9	1.8%
	CH 15			1	.9%	1	1.3%			2	.4%
	CH 17	2	.9%	2	1.8%	1	1.3%	1	1.3%	6	1.2%
	CH 17/ WB			1	.9%					1	.2%
	CH 2							1	1.3%	1	.2%
	CH 24	1	.5%							1	.2%
	CH 24/ AMA			1	.9%					1	.2%
	CH 29	2	.9%	1	.9%	1	1.3%			4	.8%
	CH 29/ FOX	1	.5%			1	1.3%	1	1.3%	3	.6%
	CH 298							1	1.3%	1	.2%
	CH 3	6	2.7%	3	2.7%	3	3.8%			12	2.4%
	CH 3 OR CH 10			1	.9%					1	.2%
	CH 3/ ABC					1	1.3%			1	.2%
	CH 3/ CBS			1	.9%					1	.2%
	CH 3/ CH 67					1	1.3%			1	.2%
	CH 316/ CH 12			1	.9%					1	.2%
	CH 37	1	.5%							1	.2%
	CH 371							1	1.3%	1	.2%
	CH 38			2	1.8%					2	.4%
	CH 4			1	.9%					1	.2%
	CH 4/ CH 6					1	1.3%			1	.2%
	CH 48	2	.9%			2	2.5%			4	.8%

TABLE 11-B. Media Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				Total	
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
FAVORITE	CH 5			1	.9%					1	.2%
TV/ CABLE	CH 54					1	1.3%			1	.29
STATION	CH 57	1	.5%			2	2.5%	2	2.5%	5	1.09
	CH 57/ UPN	2	.9%			1	1.3%	1	1.3%	4	.89
	CH 6	13	5.9%	18	15.9%	11	13.9%	10	12.5%	52	10.5%
	CH 6/ ABC	2	.9%	3	2.7%	1	1.3%	1	1.3%	7	1.49
	CH 6/ CH 10			1	.9%					1	.29
	CH 6/ CH 48					1	1.3%			1	.29
	CH 6/ NBC			1	.9%					1	.29
	CH 61	1	.5%					1	1.3%	2	.49
	CH 67							1	1.3%	1	.29
	CH 7	1	.5%							1	.29
	CH 75/ EWTN			1	.9%					1	.29
	CH 85					1	1.3%			1	.29
	CH 9	1	.5%	2	1.8%					3	.69
	CNN	7	3.2%	3	2.7%	3	3.8%	1	1.3%	14	2.89
-	COMEDY CENTRAL	3	1.4%	1	.9%					4	.8
	COURT TV	1	.5%							1	.2
	DISCOVERY	3	1.4%			1	1.3%	1	1.3%	5	1.0
	DISCOVERY HEALTH	1	.5%							1	.20
	DISNEY					1	1.3%			1	.29
	DON'T HAVE FAVORITE	4	1.8%			2	2.5%			6	1.29
	DON'T KNOW							1	1.3%	1	.20
	DON'T REALLY HAVE FAVORITE	1	.5%							1	.29
	DON'T WATCH	15	6.8%	5	4.4%	2	2.5%	4	5.0%	26	5.39
	ENTERTAINMENT					1	1.3%			1	.2
	ESPN	7	3.2%	5	4.4%	1	1.3%	2	2.5%	15	3.0
	ETV			1	.9%					1	.29
	EWCN					1	1.3%			1	.2
	FOOD NETWORK	1	.5%	1	.9%			1	1.3%	3	.69
	FOX	6	2.7%	3	2.7%			1	1.3%	10	2.0
	GOOD TIMES	1	.5%						_	1	.29
	HALLMARK							1	1.3%	1	.2
	НВО	6	2.7%	8	7.1%	1	1.3%	2	2.5%	17	3.4
	HGTV	2	.9%	1	.9%			1	1.3%	4	.80
	HISTORY	3	1.4%	2	1.8%			1	1.3%	6	1.29
	ISC/ INDEPENDENT FILM STATION	1	.5%		,					1	.29
	KYW	2	.9%	2	1.8%					4	.89
	LAW AND ORDER		2,70			1	1.3%			1	.29

TABLE 11-B. Media Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter	Northwest	t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
FAVORITE	LIFETIME	12	5.4%	2	1.8%	2	2.5%	7	8.8%	23	4.7%
TV/ CABLE STATION	MOVIE CHANNELS							1	1.3%	1	.2%
STATION	MTV	1	.5%			1	1.3%	2	2.5%	4	.8%
	NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC	1	.5%							1	.2%
	NBC	11	5.0%	4	3.5%	3	3.8%	2	2.5%	20	4.0%
	NFL NETWORK	1	.5%							1	.2%
	NO FAVORITE	2	.9%	1	.9%	1	1.3%			4	.8%
	NO TV	1	.5%							1	.2%
	OXYGEN			1	.9%					1	.2%
	PBS	2	.9%							2	.4%
	PUBLIC TV	1	.5%	1	.9%					2	.4%
	QVC	1	.5%							1	.2%
	REFUSED			1	.9%					1	.2%
	SCIFI	4	1.8%	2	1.8%	2	2.5%			8	1.6%
	SHOWTIME	1	.5%							1	.2%
	SPEED			1	.9%					1	.2%
	SWITCH AROUND TILL I FIND SOMETHING I WANT TO WATCH					1	1.3%			1	.2%
	TBN	2	.9%	1	.9%			1	1.3%	4	.8%
	TBS	1	.5%	2	1.8%					3	.6%
	TELEMUNDO	1	.5%							1	.2%
	TLC			1	.9%					1	.2%
	TNN	1	.5%							1	.2%
	TNT	1	.5%					1	1.3%	2	.4%
	TURNER CLASSIC MOVIES	2	.9%	1	.9%	1	1.3%			4	.8%
	TVI	1	.5%							1	.2%
	UNIVISION					1	1.3%			1	.2%
	UPN	9	4.1%					3	3.8%	12	2.4%
	USA	2	.9%	1	.9%					3	.6%
	WB	4	1.8%	1	.9%	2	2.5%	1	1.3%	8	1.6%
	WCAU	1	.5%							1	.2%
	WESTERN	1	.5%							1	.2%
	WETA					1	1.3%			1	.2%
	WHATEVER POSITION THE EAGLES ARE ON			1	.9%					1	.2%
	WHYY	1	.5%							1	.2%
	WHYY/ CH 12			1	.9%					1	.2%

TABLE 11-B. Media Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

		SERVICE AREA								Total	
		Spring Garden			st Regional enter		st Regional enter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
FAVORITE	WPBI	1	.5%			1	1.3%			2	.4%
TV/ CABLE	WPVI							1	1.3%	1	.2%
Total		222	100.0%	113	100.0%	79	100.0%	80	100.0%	494	100.0%

TABLE 12-A. Educational Characteristics Of Respondents By Market Segment

		MARKET	SEGMENT	MARKET	SEGMENT
		Col	lege	Job T	raining
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %
HIGHEST	No Response	1	.6%		
LEVEL OR EDUCATION COMPLETED	Less Than High School	3	1.8%	7	11.3%
	High School	33	20.2%	22	35.5%
	High School Plus Some College	43	26.4%	10	16.1%
	Technical/ Vocational/ Career Certificates	4	2.5%	5	8.1%
	Associate Degree	16	9.8%	3	4.8%
	Bachelor's Degree	37	22.7%	8	12.9%
	Master's Degree	22	13.5%	6	9.7%
	Doctoral Degree	3	1.8%	1	1.6%
	Professional Degree (Medical, Dental, Law)	1	.6%		
Total		163	100.0%	62	100.0%

TABLE 12-B. Educational Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		st Regional enter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
HIGHEST	No Response	2	.9%	1	.9%	1	1.3%			4	.8%
LEVEL OR EDUCATION	Less Than High School	16	7.1%	3	2.6%	7	8.8%	2	2.4%	28	5.6%
COMPLETED	High School	70	31.3%	34	29.8%	17	21.3%	24	29.3%	145	29.0%
COMIT LETED	High School Plus Some College	41	18.3%	22	19.3%	20	25.0%	21	25.6%	104	20.8%
	Technical/ Vocational/ Career Certificates	7	3.1%	7	6.1%	6	7.5%	3	3.7%	23	4.6%
	Associate Degree	14	6.3%	9	7.9%	7	8.8%	8	9.8%	38	7.6%
	Bachelor's Degree	37	16.5%	22	19.3%	12	15.0%	10	12.2%	81	16.2%
	Master's Degree	26	11.6%	13	11.4%	6	7.5%	12	14.6%	57	11.4%
	Doctoral Degree	2	.9%	2	1.8%	3	3.8%	2	2.4%	9	1.8%
	Professional Degree (Medical, Dental, Law)	9	4.0%	1	.9%	1	1.3%			11	2.2%
Total	_	224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%

HIGHEST LEVEL OR EDUCATION COMPLETED * SERVICE AREA Crosstabulation

			SERVIC	CE AREA		
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total
HIGHEST	No Response	2	1	1	0	4
LEVEL OR EDUCATION COMPLETED	Less Than High School	16	3	7	2	28
	High School	70	34	17	24	145
	High School Plus Some College	41	22	20	21	104
	Technical/ Vocational/ Career Certificates	7	7	6	3	23
	Associate Degree	14	9	7	8	38
	Bachelor's Degree	37	22	12	10	81
	Master's Degree	26	13	6	12	57
	Doctoral Degree	2	2	3	2	9
	Professional Degree (Medical, Dental, Law)	9	1	1	0	11
Total	Total		114	80	82	500

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	28.144 ^a	27	.404
Likelihood Ratio	30.655	27	.286
Linear-by-Linear Association	.000	1	.996
N of Valid Cases	500		

a. 16 cells (40.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .64.

TABLE 13-A. Employment Characteristics Of Respondents By Market Segment

		MARKET	SEGMENT	MARKET SEGMENT		
		College		Job Training		
			Col %	Count	Col %	
EMPLOYMENT	No Response	1	.6%			
CLASSIFICATION	Full-Time	95	58.3%	33	53.2%	
OF RESPONDENT	Part-Time	34	20.9%	11	17.7%	
Not Employed		33	20.2%	18	29.0%	
Total		163	100.0%	62	100.0%	

TABLE 13-A. Employment Characteristics Of Respondents By Market Segment

		MARKET	SEGMENT	MARKET	SEGMENT
		Col	lege	Job T	raining
	_	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
OCCUPATION	ACCOUNTING CLERK			1	2.3%
OF	ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT	2	1.6%	1	2.3%
RESPONDENT	ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT FOR CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	1	.8%		
	ADMINISTRATIVE TECHNICIAN	1	.8%		
	ADMINISTRATOR AT HOSPITAL	1	.8%		
	AIRPORT OPERATIONS OFFICER	1	.8%		
	ANALYST/ EDUCATIONAL	1	.8%		
	ARCHITECT	1	.8%	1	2.3%
	ASSISTANT TEACHER	1	.8%		
	BANK TELLER	1	.8%		
	BARBER			1	2.3%

TABLE 13-A. Employment Characteristics Of Respondents By Market Segment

		MARKET	SEGMENT	MARKET S	SEGMENT
		Coll	lege	Job Tr	aining
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %
OCCUPATION	BARTENDER			1	2.3%
OF	BILLING CLERK	1	.8%		
RESPONDENT	BOXING PROMOTER			1	2.3%
	BUS DRIVER	1	.8%		
	BUSINESS MANAGER			1	2.3%
	CARETAKER			1	2.3%
	CARPENTER			1	2.3%
	CASHIER	2	1.6%		
	CASHIER AT GROCERY STORE	1	.8%		
	CASHIER/ RETAIL SALES	1	.8%		
	CATERER ASSISTANT			1	2.3%
	CERTIFIED NURSE ASSISTANT	1	.8%		
	CERTIFIED NURSING ASSISTANT	1	.8%		
	CHEMIST	1	.8%		
	CHILD CARE PROVIDER	2	1.6%		
	CLEANING SERVICE			1	2.3%
	CLERICAL CLERK/ BOOKKEEPING	1	.8%		
	CLERK	1	.8%		
	CLERK AT A STORE			1	2.3%
	CLERK AT HOSPITAL	1	.8%		
	CNA			1	2.3%
	COMPUTER PROGRAMMER SUPERVISOR			1	2.3%
	CONCERT SECURITY	1	.8%		
	CONSTRUCTION	1	.8%	1	2.3%
	CONSULTING/ AT AN EDUCATION COMPANY RIGHT NOW	1	.8%		
	CONTRACTOR/ CONSTRUCTION HOMES			1	2.3%
	COOK			1	2.3%
	COSMETOLOGY TEACHER	1	.8%		
	COUNSELOR AND A TEACHER	1	.8%		
	COUNTER CLERK FOR 7-11	1	.8%		
	CROSSING GUARD FOR THE CITY	1	.8%		
	CUSTODIAL ASSISTANT AT SCHOOL	1	.8%		
	CUSTOMER RETAIL SERVICE	1	.8%		
	CUSTOMER SERVICE REPRESENTATIVE	1	.8%		

TABLE 13-A. Employment Characteristics Of Respondents By Market Segment

		MARKET	SEGMENT	MARKET S	SEGMENT
			lege	Job Tr	
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %
OCCUPATION OF	CUSTOMER SERVICE WAREHOUSE WORKER			1	2.3%
RESPONDENT	DENTAL ASSISTANT			1	2.3%
	DEPUTY CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER	1	.8%		
	DESIGN CONSULTANT	1	.8%		
	DIALYSIS TECHNICIAN	1	.8%		
	EDITOR/ FREELANCE	1	.8%		
	EDUCATION	1	.8%		
	EDUCATIONAL ADMINISTRATOR AT TEMPLE	1	.8%		
	ELEMENTARY SCHOOL TEACHER	1	.8%		
	ENGLISH TEACHER AT HIGH SCHOOL	1	.8%		
	EXECUTIVE CHEF	1	.8%		
	FAST FOOD			1	2.3%
	FEDERAL GOVERNMENT JOB			1	2.3%
	FINANCIAL AID AT HOSPITAL	1	.8%		
	FINANCIAL ANALYST	2	1.6%		
	FOOD SERVICE			1	2.3%
	GARDENER	1	.8%		
	HEALTH CARE PROVIDER			1	2.3%
	HELPER AT STORE	1	.8%		
	HIGH SCHOOL TEACHER	1	.8%		
	HOME CAREGIVER FOR PARENTS	1	.8%		
	HOME CONSTRUCTION	1	.8%		
	HOSPITALITY	1	.8%		
	HOUSEKEEPER	1	.8%		
	HOUSEMAN IN HOTEL			1	2.3%
	HUMAN SERVICES	1	.8%		
	I AM A GRADUATE STUDENT AND WORK FOR A LAB TECH FOR MEDICAL	1	.8%		
	KINDERGARTEN TEACHER			1	2.3%
	LABORER AT PAPER COMPANY			1	2.3%
	LOCAL TRUCK DRIVER	1	.8%		
	MAINTENANCE MECHANIC			1	2.3%
	MAINTENANCE TECH			1	2.3%
	MANAGEMENT	1	.8%		
	MANAGEMENT ANALYST	1	.8%		

TABLE 13-A. Employment Characteristics Of Respondents By Market Segment

		MARKET	SEGMENT	MARKET S	SEGMENT
		Col	lege	Job Tr	aining
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %
OCCUPATION OF	MANAGEMENT ASSISTANT FOR THE GOVERNMENT	1	.8%		
RESPONDENT	MED TECH	1	.8%		
	MEDICAL ASSISTANT	2	1.6%		
	MEDICAL BILLING COORDINATOR	1	.8%		
	MENTAL HEALTH CLINIC SUPERVISOR	1	.8%		
	MENTAL HEALTH TECH	1	.8%		
	NO RESPONSE	3	2.3%	1	2.3%
	NONPROFIT FIELD	1	.8%		
	NURSE'S AIDE	1	.8%	1	2.3%
	NURSE	2	1.6%	1	2.3%
	NURSING ASSISTANT	1	.8%	1	2.3%
	OCCUPATIONAL THERAPIST	2	1.6%		
	OFFICE MANAGER	1	.8%		
	PAID INTERN AT A SCHOOL	1	.8%		
	PARALEGAL	1	.8%		
	PARALEGAL SPECIALIST	1	.8%		
	PASTOR			1	2.3%
	PAYROLL	1	.8%		
	PAYROLL CLERK	1	.8%		
	PAYROLL MANAGER	1	.8%		
	PERSONAL ORGANIZER	1	.8%		
	PHARMACY TECH	1	.8%		
	PHYSICAL THERAPIST	1	.8%		
	PICKER/ CRA/ ENGLAND TRANSPORTATION/ DREYER'S ICE CREAM	1	.8%		
	PROFESSIONAL ADMINISTRATOR	1	.8%		
	PUBLIC ADJUSTER			1	2.3%
	PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL TEACHER	1	.8%		
	PUBLIC SCHOOL TEACHER/ AUTISTIC CHILDREN	1	.8%		
	REGISTERED DIETICIAN			1	2.3%
	REGISTERED NURSE	3	2.3%		
	RESIDENTIAL COUNSELOR	1	.8%		
	RESIDENTIAL SKILLS TRAINER	1	.8%		
	RESTAURANT SERVICE COOK			1	2.3%
	RESTAURANT WORKER	1	.8%		

TABLE 13-A. Employment Characteristics Of Respondents By Market Segment

		MARKET	SEGMENT	MARKET S	SEGMENT
		Col	lege	Job Tr	aining
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %
OCCUPATION	RETAIL MANAGEMENT	1	.8%	1	2.3%
OF RESPONDENT	RETAIL/ WORK AT A CLOTHING STORE	1	.8%		
	SALES/ CONSUMER PRODUCTS			1	2.3%
	SALESMAN			1	2.3%
	SALESMAN FOR U-HAUL	1	.8%		
	SCHOOL READINESS SPECIALIST			1	2.3%
	SECRETARY	1	.8%	1	2.3%
	SECURITY	1	.8%		
	SECURITY GUARD	1	.8%		
	SECURITY OFFICER	1	.8%		
	SELF-EMPLOYED SALES	1	.8%		
	SENIOR CONSULTANT	1	.8%		
	SERVICE COORDINATOR FOR DEVELOPMENTAL CHILDREN	1	.8%		
	SOCIAL WORKER	1	.8%		
	SPECIAL EDUCATION TEACHER	1	.8%		
	SPEECH THERAPIST	1	.8%		
	SUPERVISOR FOR CASE WORKERS FOR THE STATE	1	.8%		
	TEACHER'S AIDE/ MIRACLE WORKER FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT CORP	1	.8%		
	TEACHER	9	7.0%		
	TEACHER AT GRADE SCHOOL	1	.8%		
	TECHNICIAN ON COMPUTER	1	.8%		
	TELECOMMUNICATION	1	.8%		
	TELEMARKETER	1	.8%		
	TELEMARKETING	1	.8%	1	2.3%
	TELEPHONE INTERVIEWER	1	.8%		
	TELLER AT BANK	1	.8%		
	TRAINING MANAGEMENT	1	.8%		
	TRANSPORTATION	1	.8%		
	TRUCK DRIVER			1	2.3%
	UPS	1	.8%		
	WORK AT WENDY'S			1	2.3%
Total		129	100.0%	44	100.0%

TABLE 13-A. Employment Characteristics Of Respondents By Market Segment

		MARKET	SEGMENT	MARKET	SEGMENT
-		Col	lege	Job T	raining
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %
EMPLOYER OFFERS	No Response	3	2.3%		
TUITION ASSISTANCE OR REIMBURSEMENT	Yes	60	46.5%	16	36.4%
OR REIMBURSEMENT No		66	51.2%	28	63.6%
Total		129	100.0%	44	100.0%

TABLE 13-A. Employment Characteristics Of Respondents By Market Segment

			SEGMENT llege	MARKET SEGMENT Job Training		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	
TYPE OF	No Response			1	6.3%	
REIMBURSEMENT	Full Reimbursement For Tuition	26	43.3%	4	25.0%	
	Partial Reimbursement For Tuition	33	55.0%	9	56.3%	
	Don't Know	1	1.7%	2	12.5%	
Total		60 100.0% 16 10		100.0%		

Community College Of Philadelphia --- Copyright CLARUS Corporation 18 Jan 06

TABLE 13-B. Employment Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

				SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal	
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
EMPLOYMENT	No Response	2	.9%			1	1.3%			3	.6%
CLASSIFICATION	Full-Time	113	50.4%	62	54.4%	30	37.5%	46	56.1%	251	50.2%
OF RESPONDENT	Part-Time	34	15.2%	18	15.8%	13	16.3%	8	9.8%	73	14.6%
	Not Employed	75	33.5%	34	29.8%	36	45.0%	28	34.1%	173	34.6%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%

EMPLOYMENT CLASSIFICATION OF RESPONDENT * SERVICE AREA Crosstabulation

Count

			SERVICE AREA				
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total	
EMPLOYMENT	Full-Time	113	62	30	46	251	
CLASSIFICATION	Part-Time	34	18	13	8	73	
OF RESPONDENT	Not Employed	75	34	36	28	173	
Total	•	222 114 79 82				497	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.509 ^a	6	.203
Likelihood Ratio	8.698	6	.191
Linear-by-Linear Association	.245	1	.621
N of Valid Cases	497		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 11.60.

TABLE 13-B. Employment Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		st Regional enter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
OCCUPATION	ACCOUNTING CLERK			1	1.3%					1	.3%
OF	ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT	3	2.0%	1	1.3%					4	1.2%
RESPONDENT	ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT FOR CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	1	.7%							1	.3%
	ADMINISTRATIVE TECHNICIAN	1	.7%							1	.3%
	ADMINISTRATOR AT HOSPITAL	1	.7%							1	.3%
	AIRPORT OPERATIONS OFFICER					1	2.3%			1	.3%
	ANALYST/ EDUCATIONAL	1	.7%							1	.3%
	APPLIANCE TECHNICIAN	1	.7%							1	.3%
	ARCHITECT	2	1.4%							2	.6%
	ART HANDLER	1	.7%							1	.3%
	ASSEMBLER FOR ESTEE LAUDER			1	1.3%					1	.3%
	ASSISTANT TEACHER	1	.7%						-	1	.3%

TABLE 13-B. Employment Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	ital
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
OCCUPATION	ATTORNEY	1	.7%							1	.39
OF RESPONDENT	AUDITOR							1	1.9%	1	.3'
RESPONDENT	AUTO TECH			1	1.3%					1	.3'
	AUTO TECHNICIAN			1	1.3%					1	.3'
	BANK TELLER	1	.7%							1	.3
	BANKING DIVISION OF A RETAIL STORE			1	1.3%					1	.3
	BANQUET SERVER			1	1.3%					1	.3
	BARBER	1	.7%							1	.3
	BARTENDER	1	.7%							1	.3
	BILL COLLECTOR					1	2.3%			1	.3
	BILLING CLERK			1	1.3%					1	.3
	BOOKKEEPER			1	1.3%					1	.3
	BOXING PROMOTER	1	.7%							1	.3
	BUS DRIVER	1	.7%					1	1.9%	2	.6
	BUSINESS CONSULTANT/ DIVERSITY TRAINING					1	2.3%			1	.3
	BUSINESS MANAGER	1	.7%					1	1.9%	2	.6
	BUSINESS OWNER	1	.7%							1	.3
	BUSINESSMAN	1	.7%							1	.3
	CARETAKER							1	1.9%	1	.3
	CARPENTER	1	.7%							1	.3
	CASHIER			1	1.3%	1	2.3%	1	1.9%	3	.9.
	CASHIER AT GROCERY STORE					1	2.3%			1	.3
	CASHIER/ RETAIL SALES	1	.7%							1	.3
	CATERER ASSISTANT					1	2.3%			1	.3
	CERTIFIED NURSE ASSISTANT							1	1.9%	1	.3
	CERTIFIED NURSING ASSISTANT							1	1.9%	1	.3
	CFO OF A COMPANY			1	1.3%					1	.3
	CHECK VERIFIER	1	.7%							1	.3
	CHECKOUT CLERK							1	1.9%	1	.3
	CHEF	1	.7%							1	.3
	CHEMIST			1	1.3%					1	.3
	CHIEF OF STAFF FOR CITY COUNCIL	1	.7%							1	.3
	CHILD CARE PROVIDER	1	.7%	1	1.3%					2	.6
	CHIROPRACTOR			1	1.3%					1	.3
	CLEANING SERVICE			1	1.3%					1	.3
	CLERICAL CLERK/ BOOKKEEPING	1	.7%							1	.3

TABLE 13-B. Employment Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

·					SERVIC	E AREA				To	tal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
OCCUPATION	CLERK	1	.7%							1	.39
OF RESPONDENT	CLERK AT A STORE	1	.7%							1	.3
RESPONDENT	CLERK AT HOSPITAL							1	1.9%	1	.3'
	CNA					1	2.3%			1	.3'
	COMPUTER CHECKER	1	.7%							1	.3
	COMPUTER CONSULTANT	1	.7%							1	.3
	COMPUTER PROGRAMMER SUPERVISOR			1	1.3%					1	.3
	COMPUTER SET-UP FOR STAPLES	1	.7%							1	.3
	CONCERT SECURITY			1	1.3%					1	.3
	CONSTRUCTION	1	.7%	1	1.3%					2	.6
	CONSTRUCTION WORKER			1	1.3%					1	.3
	CONSULTING/ AT AN EDUCATION COMPANY RIGHT NOW	1	.7%							1	.3
	CONTRACTOR/ CONSTRUCTION HOMES	1	.7%							1	.3
	COOK							1	1.9%	1	.3
	COOK AT A RESTAURANT	1	.7%							1	.3
	COSMETOLOGY TEACHER					1	2.3%			1	.3
	COUNSELOR AND A TEACHER					1	2.3%			1	.3
	COUNTER CLERK FOR 7-11					1	2.3%			1	.3
	COURT ADMINISTRATION OFFICER	1	.7%							1	.3
	CREATIVE DIRECTOR	1	.7%							1	.3
	CROSSING GUARD	1	.7%							1	
	CROSSING GUARD FOR THE CITY					1	2.3%			1	.3
	CUSTODIAL ASSISTANT AT SCHOOL			1	1.3%					1	.3
	CUSTOMER RETAIL SERVICE					1	2.3%			1	.3
	CUSTOMER SERVICE REPRESENTATIVE	2	1.4%							2	.6
	CUSTOMER SERVICE TECHNICIAN					1	2.3%			1	.3
	CUSTOMER SERVICE WAREHOUSE WORKER							1	1.9%	1	.3
	CUSTOMS BROKER					1	2.3%			1	.3
	DAY CARE/ SELF-EMPLOYED			1	1.3%					1	.3
	DENTAL ASSISTANT	1	.7%							1	.3
	DENTAL LAB TECHNICIAN					1	2.3%			1	.3

TABLE 13-B. Employment Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA		_		To	tal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
OCCUPATION OF	DEPUTY CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER					1	2.3%			1	.3
RESPONDENT	DESIGN CONSULTANT	2	1.4%							2	.6
	DIALYSIS TECH							1	1.9%	1	.3
	DIALYSIS TECHNICIAN	1	.7%							1	.3
	DOCTOR	2	1.4%			1	2.3%			3	.9
	DOCTOR OF MEDICINE	1	.7%							1	.3
	DON'T KNOW							1	1.9%	1	.3
	EDITOR	1	.7%							1	.3
	EDITOR/ FREELANCE					1	2.3%			1	.3
	EDUCATION			1	1.3%					1	.3
	EDUCATION AT HIGH SCHOOL	1	.7%							1	.3
	EDUCATIONAL ADMINISTRATOR AT TEMPLE			1	1.3%					1	.3
	ELEMENTARY SCHOOL TEACHER							1	1.9%	1	.3
	ENGINEER			1	1.3%					1	.3
	ENGLISH TEACHER AT HIGH SCHOOL			1	1.3%					1	.3
	ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES AND UPS	1	.7%							1	.3
	EXECUTIVE CHEF	1	.7%							1	.3
	FAST FOOD	1	.7%							1	.3
	FEDERAL GOVERNMENT JOB							1	1.9%	1	.3
	FINANCIAL AID AT HOSPITAL							1	1.9%	1	.3
	FINANCIAL ANALYST			1	1.3%			1	1.9%	2	.6
	FIREFIGHTER			1	1.3%					1	.3
	FOOD HANDLER	1	.7%							1	.3
	FOOD SERVICE							1	1.9%	1	.3
	FUNERAL BUSINESS/ DIG BURIAL HOLES	1	.7%							1	.3
	GARDENER					1	2.3%			1	.3
	GENERAL MANAGER	1	.7%	1	1.3%					2	.6
	HEALTH CARE PROVIDER		, , ,					1	1.9%	1	.3
	HEALTH PROFESSION					1	2.3%			1	.3
	HEAVY EQUIPMENT OPERATOR					1	2.3%			1	.3
	HELP DESK ANALYST	1	.7%							1	.3
	HELPER AT STORE							1	1.9%	1	.:
	HIGH SCHOOL TEACHER			1	1.3%			1	1.9%	2	.6
	HISTORIC PRESERVATION							1	1.9%	1	.3

TABLE 13-B. Employment Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden	Northeas Ce	t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
OCCUPATION OF	HOME CAREGIVER FOR PARENTS	1	.7%							1	.3%
RESPONDENT	HOME CONSTRUCTION	1	.7%							1	.3%
	HOSPITAL HOUSEKEEPING	1	.7%							1	.39
	HOSPITAL SOCIAL WORKER							1	1.9%	1	.39
	HOSPITAL WORKER/ NUTRITION DEPARTMENT	1	.7%							1	.39
	HOSPITALITY			1	1.3%					1	.39
	HOUSEKEEPER	1	.7%							1	.30
	HOUSEMAN IN HOTEL	1	.7%							1	.30
	HUMAN SERVICES	1	.7%							1	.30
	I AM A GRADUATE STUDENT AND WORK FOR A LAB TECH FOR MEDICAL	1	.7%							1	.39
	IMPORTER/ EXPORTER FOR NVOCC			1	1.3%					1	.39
	INDUSTRIAL PLUMBING SALES			1	1.3%					1	.39
	INSTALL COMMERCIAL KITCHENS	1	.7%							1	.3'
	INSTRUCTOR/ DATA CONSULTING							1	1.9%	1	.39
	INSURANCE			1	1.3%					1	.39
	INSURANCE PROCESSOR					1	2.3%			1	.39
	INSURANCE SALES					1	2.3%			1	.3
	INTAKE SPECIALIST					1	2.3%			1	.3'
	INTERNATIONAL STUDENT AND SCHOLAR ADVISOR							1	1.9%	1	.3
	INTERVIEWER			1	1.3%					1	.39
	JANITOR							1	1.9%	1	.3
	KINDERGARTEN TEACHER	1	.7%							1	.3
	LAB ASSISTANT	1	.7%							1	.3
	LABORER AT PAPER COMPANY	1	.7%							1	.3
	LAWYER	1	.7%							1	.3
	LEASING AGENT			1	1.3%					1	.3
	LEGAL CONSULTANT	1	.7%							1	.3
	LETTER CARRIER	1	.7%							1	.3'
	LIQUOR STORE CLERK							1	1.9%	1	.3
	LOCAL TRUCK DRIVER							1	1.9%	1	.3'
	LOCKSMITH	1	.7%							1	.3'
	LOGISTIC ANALYST			1	1.3%					1	.3'
	MAGAZINE EDITOR					1	2.3%			1	.3'
	MAINTENANCE MECHANIC					1	2.3%			1	.3

TABLE 13-B. Employment Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
OCCUPATION	MAINTENANCE SUPERVISOR					1	2.3%			1	.39
OF RESPONDENT	MAINTENANCE TECH	1	.7%							1	.39
RESPONDENT	MANAGEMENT	1	.7%							1	.3
	MANAGEMENT ANALYST			1	1.3%					1	.3
	MANAGEMENT ASSISTANT FOR THE GOVERNMENT			1	1.3%					1	.3
	MANAGER			2	2.5%					2	.6
	MARKETING MANAGER	1	.7%							1	.3
	MATH TEACHER			1	1.3%					1	.3'
	MED TECH	1	.7%							1	.39
	MEDICAL ASSISTANT	3	2.0%							3	.9
	MEDICAL BILLING COORDINATOR							1	1.9%	1	.39
	MENTAL HEALTH CLINIC SUPERVISOR	1	.7%							1	.3
	MENTAL HEALTH TECH	1	.7%							1	.3
	MERCHANDISER			1	1.3%					1	.3
	MODULE PROCESSOR					1	2.3%			1	.3'
	NANNY							1	1.9%	1	.3'
	NO RESPONSE	4	2.7%	1	1.3%			1	1.9%	6	1.9
	NONPROFIT FIELD	1	.7%							1	.3'
	NOONTIME AIDE FOR SCHOOL			1	1.3%					1	.3
	NOONTIME AIDE IN PUBLIC SCHOOLS	1	.7%							1	.3
	NOONTIME LUNCH AIDE			1	1.3%					1	.3
	NURSE'S AIDE					1	2.3%	1	1.9%	2	.6
	NURSE	2	1.4%			2	4.7%			4	1.2
	NURSE ANESTHETIST			1	1.3%					1	.3
	NURSING ASSISTANT	1	.7%	1	1.3%			1	1.9%	3	.9
	OCCUPATIONAL THERAPIST	2	1.4%							2	.6
	OFFICE MANAGER	1	.7%							1	.3'
	PAID INTERN AT A SCHOOL			1	1.3%					1	.3
	PARALEGAL							1	1.9%	1	.3
	PARALEGAL SPECIALIST	1	.7%							1	.3'
	PARAPROFESSIONAL							1	1.9%	1	.3'
	PARAPROFESSIONAL/ TEACHER'S AIDE	1	.7%							1	.39
	PARTS DELIVERY FOR MIDAS					1	2.3%			1	.3'
	PASTOR			1	1.3%					1	.3'
	PAYROLL	1	.7%							1	.3
	PAYROLL CLERK							1	1.9%	1	.3

TABLE 13-B. Employment Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	tal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
OCCUPATION	PAYROLL MANAGER			1	1.3%					1	.3%
OF RESPONDENT	PAYROLL SUPERVISOR	1	.7%							1	.3%
RESPONDENT	PERSONAL ORGANIZER			1	1.3%					1	.3%
	PHARMACY TECH							1	1.9%	1	.3%
	PHYSICAL THERAPIST	1	.7%							1	.39
	PHYSICIAN	1	.7%							1	.3%
	PICKER/ CRA/ ENGLAND TRANSPORTATION/ DREYER'S ICE CREAM					1	2.3%			1	.3%
	PLUMBER			1	1.3%					1	.3%
	POLICE OFFICER							1	1.9%	1	.3%
	POSTAL CLERK					1	2.3%			1	.3%
	PRINTER	1	.7%							1	.3%
	PROFESSIONAL			1	1.3%					1	.3%
	PROFESSIONAL ADMINISTRATOR			1	1.3%					1	.3%
	PROJECT COORDINATOR/ TRAVEL INCENTIVE COMPANY	1	.7%							1	.3%
	PSYCHOTHERAPIST	1	.7%							1	.3%
	PUBLIC ADJUSTER			1	1.3%					1	.3%
	PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL TEACHER	1	.7%							1	.3%
	PUBLIC SCHOOL TEACHER/ AUTISTIC CHILDREN	1	.7%							1	.3%
	PUBLISHER MEDICAL BOOKS AND JOURNALS	1	.7%							1	.3%
	PUBLISHER OF MAGAZINE	1	.7%							1	.3%
	PURCHASING IN RETAIL			1	1.3%					1	.3%
	RADIOLOGIST	1	.7%							1	.3%
	RECEPTIONIST			1	1.3%					1	.3%
	RED CROSS SCHEDULER	1	.7%							1	.3%
	REGISTERED DIETICIAN			1	1.3%					1	.3%
	REGISTERED NURSE	2	1.4%	3	3.8%			1	1.9%	6	1.9%
	RESEARCH ASSISTANT							1	1.9%	1	.3%
	RESIDENTIAL AND COMMERCIAL ROOFER			1	1.3%					1	.39
	RESIDENTIAL COUNSELOR	1	.7%			1	2.3%			2	.6%
	RESIDENTIAL SKILLS TRAINER	1	.7%							1	.39
	RESTAURANT SERVICE COOK	1	.7%							1	.39
	RESTAURANT WORKER	1	.7%							1	.39
	RETAIL MANAGEMENT	1	.7%	1	1.3%					2	.69

TABLE 13-B. Employment Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA		_		To	ital
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
OCCUPATION OF	RETAIL/ WORK AT A CLOTHING STORE					1	2.3%			1	.3%
RESPONDENT	RUN LAUNDRY DEPARTMENT IN NURSING HOME							1	1.9%	1	.3%
	SALES			2	2.5%	1	2.3%			3	.99
	SALES/ CONSUMER PRODUCTS	1	.7%							1	.3
	SALES/ PLUMBING			1	1.3%					1	.3'
	SALES/ TECHNOLOGY	1	.7%							1	.3
	SALESMAN	1	.7%							1	.3
	SALESMAN FOR U-HAUL					1	2.3%			1	.3'
	SCHOOL READINESS SPECIALIST							1	1.9%	1	.3
	SCHOOL TEACHER			1	1.3%					1	.3'
	SECRETARY	1	.7%	1	1.3%			2	3.7%	4	1.2
	SECURITY	1	.7%							1	.3
	SECURITY GUARD	1	.7%							1	.3
	SECURITY OFFICER	1	.7%							1	.3
	SELF-EMPLOYED GENERAL CONTRACTOR			1	1.3%					1	.3
	SELF-EMPLOYED SALES							1	1.9%	1	.3
	SENIOR ACCOUNTANT CLERK			1	1.3%					1	.3
	SENIOR CONSULTANT	1	.7%							1	.3
	SERVICE COORDINATOR FOR DEVELOPMENTAL CHILDREN							1	1.9%	1	.3
	SHIPPING CLERK	1	.7%							1	.3
	SOCIAL WORKER	1	.7%							1	.3
	SOFTWARE CONSULTANT					1	2.3%			1	.3
	SPECIAL ED ASSISTANT/ SUBSTITUTE	1	.7%							1	.3
	SPECIAL EDUCATION TEACHER			1	1.3%					1	.3
	SPEECH THERAPIST	1	.7%							1	.3
	SUBSTITUTE TEACHER					1	2.3%			1	.3
	SUPERVISOR FOR CASE WORKERS FOR THE STATE	1	.7%							1	.3
	TAX EXAMINER	1	.7%							1	.3
	TEACHER'S AIDE/ MIRACLE WORKER FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT CORP					1	2.3%			1	.3
	TEACHER	7	4.8%	5	6.3%			1	1.9%	13	4.0
	TEACHER ASSISTANT	1	.7%							1	.3
	TEACHER AT GRADE SCHOOL	1	.7%					1		1	.3

TABLE 13-B. Employment Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		st Regional enter	West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
OCCUPATION OF	TEACHER/ COUNSELOR FOR HIGH SCHOOL							1	1.9%	1	.3%
RESPONDENT	TEAM LEADER /SUPERVISOR OF COMPUTERS FOR A STEEL MAKER	1	.7%							1	.3%
	TECHNICIAN ON COMPUTER	1	.7%							1	.3%
	TELECOMMUNICATION	1	.7%							1	.3%
	TELECOMMUNICATIONS			1	1.3%					1	.3%
	TELEMARKETER	1	.7%							1	.3%
	TELEMARKETING					1	2.3%	1	1.9%	2	.6%
	TELEPHONE INTERVIEWER							1	1.9%	1	.3%
	TELLER AT BANK	1	.7%							1	.3%
	TRAINING MANAGEMENT	1	.7%							1	.3%
	TRANSPORTATION							1	1.9%	1	.3%
	TRUCK DRIVER							1	1.9%	1	.3%
	ULTRASOUND TECH			1	1.3%					1	.3%
	UPS	1	.7%							1	.3%
	VICE PRINCIPAL							1	1.9%	1	.3%
	WEB DESIGNER			1	1.3%					1	.3%
	WORK AT A BANK AS A TELLER MANAGER					1	2.3%			1	.3%
	WORK AT WENDY'S							1	1.9%	1	.3%
	WORK FOR DETECTIVES			1	1.3%					1	.3%
Total		147	100.0%	80	100.0%	43	100.0%	54	100.0%	324	100.0%

TABLE 13-B. Employment Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				Te	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		st Regional enter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
EMPLOYER OFFERS	No Response	3	2.0%	2	2.5%					5	1.5%
TUITION ASSISTANCE OR REIMBURSEMENT	Yes	59	40.1%	34	42.5%	16	37.2%	32	59.3%	141	43.5%
OR REINIBURSEMENT	No	84	57.1%	43	53.8%	27	62.8%	21	38.9%	175	54.0%
	Don't Know	1	.7%	1	1.3%			1	1.9%	3	.9%
Total		147	100.0%	80	100.0%	43	100.0%	54	100.0%	324	100.0%

EMPLOYER OFFERS TUITION ASSISTANCE OR REIMBURSEMENT * SERVICE AREA Crosstabulation

Count

			SERVIC	E AREA		
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total
EMPLOYER OFFERS	No Response	3	2	0	0	5
TUITION ASSISTANCE	Yes	59	34	16	32	141
OR REIMBURSEMENT	No	84	43	27	21	175
	Don't Know	1	1	0	1	3
Total	•	147	80	43	54	324

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.333 ^a	9	.324
Likelihood Ratio	11.947	9	.216
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.223	1	.269
N of Valid Cases	324		

a. 8 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .40.

TABLE 13-B. Employment Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				Total	
		Spring	Garden		t Regional enter	Northwest Regional Center		West Regional Center			
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
TYPE OF REIMBURSEMENT	No Response	1	1.7%			1	6.3%			2	1.4%
	Full Reimbursement For Tuition	27	45.8%	10	29.4%	6	37.5%	11	34.4%	54	38.3%
	Partial Reimbursement For Tuition	27	45.8%	23	67.6%	8	50.0%	16	50.0%	74	52.5%
	Don't Know	4	6.8%	1	2.9%	1	6.3%	5	15.6%	11	7.8%
Total	Total		100.0%	34	100.0%	16	100.0%	32	100.0%	141	100.0%

TYPE OF REIMBURSEMENT * SERVICE AREA Crosstabulation

Count

			SERVIC	E AREA		
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total
TYPE OF	No Response	1	0	1	0	2
REIMBURSEMENT	Full Reimbursement For Tuition	27	10	6	11	54
	Partial Reimbursement For Tuition	27	23	8	16	74
	Don't Know	4	1	1	5	11
Total	Total		34	16	32	141

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.014 ^a	9	.275
Likelihood Ratio	10.408	9	.318
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.065	1	.151
N of Valid Cases	141		

a. 8 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .23.

TABLE 14-A. Demographic Characteristics Of Respondents By Market Segment

		MARKET	SEGMENT	MARKET	SEGMENT
		Co	llege	Job T	raining
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %
AGE OF	No Response	2	1.2%	1	1.6%
RESPONDENT	18	2	1.2%	1	1.6%
	19	5	3.1%		
	20	6	3.7%		
	21	2	1.2%		
	22	8	4.9%	3	4.8%
	23	5	3.1%	4	6.5%
	24	5	3.1%	3	4.8%
	25	10	6.1%	2	3.2%
	26	8	4.9%	4	6.5%
	27	4	2.5%	1	1.6%
	28	6	3.7%	1	1.6%
	29	3	1.8%		

TABLE 14-A. Demographic Characteristics Of Respondents By Market Segment

		MARKET	SEGMENT	MARKET	SEGMENT
		Co	llege	Job T	raining
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %
AGE OF	30	5	3.1%		
RESPONDENT	31			1	1.6%
	32	5	3.1%		
	33	6	3.7%	1	1.6%
	34	2	1.2%	3	4.8%
	35	5	3.1%	4	6.5%
	36	4	2.5%		
	37	2	1.2%	2	3.2%
	38	2	1.2%	2	3.2%
	39	3	1.8%	3	4.8%
	40	3	1.8%	2	3.2%
	41	3	1.8%	1	1.6%
	42	3	1.8%	2	3.2%
	43	3	1.8%	1	1.6%
	44	1	.6%	2	3.2%
	45	4	2.5%	3	4.8%
	46	2	1.2%	3	4.8%
	47	2	1.2%		
	48	3	1.8%		
	49	4	2.5%	1	1.6%
	50	4	2.5%	1	1.6%
	51	5	3.1%		
	52	1	.6%		
	53	2	1.2%	2	3.2%
	54	4	2.5%		
	55	2	1.2%		
	56	1	.6%	1	1.6%
	57	2	1.2%	1	1.6%
	58	4	2.5%	1	1.6%
	59	1	.6%	1	1.6%
	60	2	1.2%	1	1.6%
	61	1	.6%		
	62	1	.6%	1	1.6%
	65	3	1.8%		- 7 -
	67	<u> </u>		1	1.6%
	72	1	.6%	1	1.6%
	73	1	.6%	·	1.070
Total	-	163	100.0%	62	100.0%
'ammunitu Callaga	Of Dhiladalphia	,	CLABUS Cor		

Report

AGE OF RESPONDENT

MARKET SEGMENT	Mean	N	Std. Deviation		
College	36.76	161	13.420		
Total	36.76	161	13.420		

Report

AGE OF RESPONDENT

MARKET SEGMENT	Mean	N	Std. Deviation
Job Training	38.28	61	12.736
Total	38.28	61	12.736

TABLE 14-A. Demographic Characteristics Of Respondents By Market Segment

		MARKET	SEGMENT	MARKET	SEGMENT
		Co	llege	Job T	raining
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %
REGION	Center City (#1)	5	3.1%	4	6.5%
	South Philadelphia (#2)	21	12.9%	7	11.3%
	South West Philadelphia (#3)	14	8.6%	6	9.7%
	West Philadelphia (#4) Lower North Philadelphia (#5)		10.4%	8	12.9%
			7.4%	2	3.2%
	Upper North Philadelphia (#6)	12	7.4%	7	11.3%
	Kensington, Richmond, Bridesburg (#7)	11	6.7%	7	11.3%
	Roxborough, Manayunk (#8)	7	4.3%	1	1.6%
	Germantown, Chestnut Hill (#9)	15	9.2%	3	4.8%
	Oak Lane, Olney (#10)	9	5.5%	5	8.1%
	Near Northeast (#11)	31	19.0%	7	11.3%
	Far Northeast (#12)	9	5.5%	5	8.1%
Total		163	100.0%	62	100.0%

TABLE 14-A. Demographic Characteristics Of Respondents By Market Segment

		MARKET	SEGMENT	MARKET	SEGMENT
		Co	llege	Job T	raining
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %
ZIP CODE	19102	1	.6%		
	19103	2	1.2%	2	3.2%
	19104	5	3.1%	2	3.2%
	19106	1	.6%		
	19107	1	.6%	2	3.2%
	19111	5	3.1%	1	1.6%
	19114	1	.6%	2	3.2%
	19115	3	1.8%	1	1.6%
	19116	2	1.2%		
	19118	2	1.2%		
	19119	2	1.2%	1	1.6%
	19120	6	3.7%	4	6.5%
	19121	5	3.1%	2	3.2%
	19122	2	1.2%		
	19123	2	1.2%		
	19124	10	6.1%	1	1.6%
	19125	4	2.5%	2	3.2%
	19126			1	1.6%
	19127			1	1.6%
	19128	7	4.3%		
	19129	1	.6%		
	19130	3	1.8%		
	19131	3	1.8%	3	4.8%
	19132	3	1.8%	2	3.2%
	19133	2	1.2%	3	4.8%
	19134	6	3.7%	5	8.1%
	19135	3	1.8%	2	3.2%
	19136	3	1.8%	1	1.6%
	19137	1	.6%		
	19138	2	1.2%		
	19139	5	3.1%	1	1.6%
	19140	7	4.3%	2	3.2%
	19141	3	1.8%		
	19142	6	3.7%	1	1.6%
	19143	7	4.3%	5	8.1%
	19144	6	3.7%	2	3.2%
	19145	3	1.8%	1	1.6%
	19146	8	4.9%	2	3.2%
	19147	3	1.8%	2	3.2%
	19148	7	4.3%	2	3.2%
	19149	6	3.7%	2	3.2%

TABLE 14-A. Demographic Characteristics Of Respondents By Market Segment

		MARKET	SEGMENT	MARKET	SEGMENT
		Co	llege	Job T	raining
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %
ZIP CODE	19150	2	1.2%		
	19151	4	2.5%	2	3.2%
	19152	4	2.5%		
	19153	1	.6%		
	19154	3	1.8%	2	3.2%
Total		163	100.0%	62	100.0%
MARITAL STATUS	No Response	1	.6%	1	1.6%
OF RESPONDENT	Married	61	37.4%	19	30.6%
	Never Married	75	46.0%	25	40.3%
	Separated/ Divorced	22	13.5%	15	24.2%
	Widowed	4	2.5%	2	3.2%
Total		163	100.0%	62	100.0%
ETHNIC	No Response	1	.6%	1	1.6%
BACKGROUND OF	African-American/ Black	68	41.7%	31	50.0%
RESPONDENT	Caucasian/ White	64	39.3%	21	33.9%
	Asian/ Pacific Islander	3	1.8%	1	1.6%
	Spanish Surname/ Latino	8	4.9%	3	4.8%
	Multiracial	10	6.1%	4	6.5%
	Other	3	1.8%		
	Do Not Want To Respond	6	3.7%	1	1.6%
Total		163	100.0%	62	100.0%
OTHER ETHNIC	CHINESE	1	33.3%		
BACKGROUND	IRISH	1	33.3%		
MENTIONED	JEWISH	1	33.3%		
Total		3	100.0%		
ANNUAL FAMILY	No Response	1	.6%	1	1.6%
INCOME	Less Than \$25,000	36	22.1%	17	27.4%
	Less Than \$50,000	53	32.5%	18	29.0%
	Less Than \$75,000	32	19.6%	12	19.4%
	Less Than \$100,000	19	11.7%	6	9.7%
	Less Than \$150,000	7	4.3%	3	4.8%
	More Than \$150,000	2	1.2%	1	1.6%
	Refused	13	8.0%	4	6.5%
Total		163	100.0%	62	100.0%
GENDER OF	Male	42	25.8%	21	33.9%
RESPONDENT	Female	119	73.0%	41	66.1%
	Could Not Determine	2	1.2%		
Total		163	100.0%	62	100.0%

TABLE 14-B. Demographic Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	tal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count 8 3 5 7 2 13 9 9 13 12 12 12 14 8 5 13 10 7 17 8 12 6 13 7 8 10 6 5 12 10 6 8 12 11 12	Col %
AGE OF	No Response	3	1.3%	3	2.6%	1	1.3%	1	1.2%	8	1.6%
RESPONDENT	18	2	.9%	1	.9%					3	.6%
	19	3	1.3%			1	1.3%	1	1.2%	5	1.09
	20	6	2.7%					1	1.2%	7	1.49
	21			1	.9%			1	1.2%	2	.49
	22	6	2.7%	2	1.8%	4	5.0%	1	1.2%	13	2.69
	23	5	2.2%			2	2.5%	2	2.4%	9	1.89
	24	5	2.2%	3	2.6%	1	1.3%			9	1.89
	25	10	4.5%	1	.9%			2	2.4%	13	2.69
	26	7	3.1%	3	2.6%	1	1.3%	1	1.2%	12	2.49
	27	7	3.1%	2	1.8%	2	2.5%	1	1.2%	12	2.49
	28	7	3.1%	1	.9%	2	2.5%	2	2.4%	12	2.49
	29	3	1.3%					1	1.2%	4	.8%
	30	3	1.3%	2	1.8%	2	2.5%	1	1.2%	8	1.69
	31	2	.9%	1	.9%			2	2.4%	5	1.09
	32	7	3.1%	2	1.8%	3	3.8%	1	1.2%	13	2.69
	33	6	2.7%	2	1.8%			2	2.4%	10	2.09
	34	3	1.3%	1	.9%	3	3.8%			7	1.49
	35	6	2.7%	4	3.5%	3	3.8%	4	4.9%	17	3.49
	36	4	1.8%	1	.9%	2	2.5%	1	1.2%	8	1.69
	37	7	3.1%	2	1.8%	1	1.3%	2	2.4%	12	2.49
	38	2	.9%	4	3.5%			İ		6	1.29
	39	5	2.2%	2	1.8%	3	3.8%	3	3.7%	13	2.69
	40	3	1.3%	2	1.8%	1	1.3%	1	1.2%	7	1.49
	41	6	2.7%	1	.9%			1	1.2%	8	1.69
	42	5	2.2%	3	2.6%			2	2.4%	10	2.09
	43	3	1.3%	2	1.8%			1	1.2%	6	1.29
	44	4	1.8%					1	1.2%	5	1.09
	45	4	1.8%	3	2.6%	1	1.3%	4	4.9%	12	2.49
	46	7	3.1%			2	2.5%	1	1.2%	10	2.09
	47	4	1.8%	2	1.8%					6	1.29
	48	2	.9%	2	1.8%	1	1.3%	3	3.7%	8	1.69
	49	4	1.8%	5	4.4%	1	1.3%	2	2.4%	12	2.49
	50	4	1.8%	2	1.8%	1	1.3%	4	4.9%	11	2.29
	51	4	1.8%	4	3.5%	2	2.5%	2	2.4%		2.49
	52	2	.9%	1	.9%			1	1.2%	4	.89
	53	3	1.3%	1	.9%	2	2.5%	1	1.2%	7	1.49
	54	4	1.8%	5	4.4%	2	2.5%	1	1.2%	12	2.49
	55	1 1	.4%	2	1.8%	1	1.3%	1	1.2%	5	1.09
	56	1 1	.4%	1	.9%	1	1.3%	1	1.2%	4	.80

TABLE 14-B. Demographic Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	tal
		Spring	Garden	Northeast Cei	Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
AGE OF	57	3	1.3%	5	4.4%					8	1.6%
RESPONDENT	58	3	1.3%	1	.9%	2	2.5%	6	7.3%	12	2.4%
	59	1	.4%	2	1.8%	1	1.3%	2	2.4%	6	1.2%
	60	4	1.8%	2	1.8%	2	2.5%			8	1.6%
	61	3	1.3%	2	1.8%	1	1.3%			6	1.29
	62	4	1.8%	1	.9%	1	1.3%			6	1.29
	63	1	.4%	2	1.8%	2	2.5%			5	1.09
	64	3	1.3%	1	.9%	1	1.3%	1	1.2%	6	1.29
	65			2	1.8%	3	3.8%	4	4.9%	9	1.8%
	66	1	.4%			2	2.5%			3	.6%
	67	1	.4%	1	.9%	2	2.5%	1	1.2%	5	1.0%
	68	3	1.3%							3	.69
	69	5	2.2%			1	1.3%			6	1.29
	70			3	2.6%	3	3.8%			6	1.29
	71	3	1.3%	1	.9%			1	1.2%	5	1.0%
	72	2	.9%	2	1.8%	1	1.3%	1	1.2%	6	1.29
	73	2	.9%			3	3.8%	2	2.4%	7	1.49
	74	3	1.3%	2	1.8%					5	1.0%
	75	4	1.8%	1	.9%					5	1.09
	76					2	2.5%	1	1.2%	3	.6%
	77			2	1.8%	1	1.3%	1	1.2%	4	.89
	78					1	1.3%	1	1.2%	2	.49
	80	3	1.3%	1	.9%					4	.89
	81			1	.9%	1	1.3%			2	.49
	82			2	1.8%	1	1.3%	1	1.2%	4	.8%
	83					1	1.3%	1	1.2%	2	.49
	84	2	.9%					1	1.2%	3	.6%
	85			1	.9%					1	.29
	86	1	.4%			1	1.3%			2	.4%
	87			1	.9%					1	.29
	88							1	1.2%	1	.29
	89			1	.9%	1	1.3%			2	.49
	90	1	.4%	1	.9%					2	.49
9	91			1	.9%					1	.29
	92			1	.9%					1	.29
	94	1	.4%							1	.29
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.09

Report

AGE OF RESPONDENT

SERVICE AREA	Mean	N	Std. Deviation
Spring Garden	43.51	221	17.508
Northeast Regional Center	50.77	111	18.163
Northwest Regional Center	50.89	79	19.130
West Regional Center	47.89	81	17.176
Total	47.05	492	18.130

Descriptives

AGE OF RESPONDENT

					95% Confidence	Interval for Mean		
	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Minimum	Maximum
Spring Garden	221	43.51	17.508	1.178	41.19	45.83	18	94
Northeast Regional Center	111	50.77	18.163	1.724	47.36	54.19	18	92
Northwest Regional Center	79	50.89	19.130	2.152	46.60	55.17	19	89
West Regional Center	81	47.89	17.176	1.908	44.09	51.69	19	88
Total	492	47.05	18.130	.817	45.45	48.66	18	94

ANOVA

AGE OF RESPONDENT

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	5526.953	3	1842.318	5.768	.001
Within Groups	155866.566	488	319.399		
Total	161393.518	491			

TABLE 14-B. Demographic Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

			SERVICE AREA								otal
		Spring	Garden		st Regional enter		st Regional enter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
REGION	Center City (#1)	20	8.9%							20	4.0%
	South Philadelphia (#2)	61	27.2%							61	12.2%
	South West Philadelphia (#3)	4	1.8%					31	37.8%	35	7.0%
	West Philadelphia (#4)							51	62.2%	51	10.2%
	Lower North Philadelphia (#5)	29	12.9%							29	5.8%
	Upper North Philadelphia (#6)	22	9.8%			17	21.3%			39	7.8%

TABLE 14-B. Demographic Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional enter		st Regional enter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
REGION	Kensington, Richmond, Bridesburg (#7)	30	13.4%							30	6.0%
	Roxborough, Manayunk (#8)	15	6.7%							15	3.0%
	Germantown, Chestnut Hill (#9)	3	1.3%			48	60.0%			51	10.2%
	Oak Lane, Olney (#10)	20	8.9%			15	18.8%			35	7.0%
	Near Northeast (#11)	20	8.9%	71	62.3%					91	18.2%
	Far Northeast (#12)			43	37.7%					43	8.6%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%

TABLE 14-B. Demographic Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden	Northeas Ce	t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Region	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
ZIP CODE	19102	2	.9%							2	.4%
	19103	9	4.0%							9	1.8%
	19104							12	14.6%	12	2.4%
	19106	5	2.2%							5	1.0%
	19107	4	1.8%							4	.8%
	19111			20	17.5%					20	4.0%
	19114			11	9.6%					11	2.2%
	19115			11	9.6%					11	2.2%
	19116			10	8.8%					10	2.0%
	19118	3	1.3%							3	.6%
	19119					10	12.5%			10	2.0%
	19120	20	8.9%							20	4.0%
	19121	11	4.9%							11	2.2%
	19122	5	2.2%							5	1.0%
	19123	4	1.8%							4	.8%
	19124	20	8.9%					1		20	4.0%
	19125	8	3.6%							8	1.6%
	19126					5	6.3%			5	1.0%
	19127	2	.9%							2	.4%
	19128	13	5.8%							13	2.6%
	19129					4	5.0%			4	.8%
	19130	9	4.0%							9	1.8%
	19131							14	17.1%	14	2.8%
	19132	14	6.3%							14	2.8%
	19133	8	3.6%							8	1.6%
	19134	19	8.5%							19	3.8%
	19135			11	9.6%					11	2.2%
	19136			12	10.5%					12	2.4%
	19137	3	1.3%		-					3	.6%
	19138					11	13.8%			11	2.2%
	19139							14	17.1%	14	2.8%
	19140					17	21.3%		İ	17	3.4%
	19141					10	12.5%		İ	10	2.0%
	19142							9	11.0%	9	1.8%
	19143							22	26.8%	22	4.4%
	19144					14	17.5%			14	2.8%
	19145	16	7.1%							16	3.2%
	19146	14	6.3%							14	2.8%
	19147	14	6.3%						j	14	2.8%
	19148	17	7.6%							17	3.4%

TABLE 14-B. Demographic Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				T	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional enter		t Regional nter	West Regional Center			
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
ZIP CODE	19149			17	14.9%					17	3.4%
	19150					9	11.3%			9	1.8%
	19151							11	13.4%	11	2.2%
	19152			11	9.6%					11	2.2%
	19153	4	1.8%							4	.8%
	19154			11	9.6%					11	2.2%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
MARITAL STATUS	No Response	2	.9%			1	1.3%	2	2.4%	5	1.0%
OF RESPONDENT	Married	77	34.4%	65	57.0%	33	41.3%	25	30.5%	200	40.0%
	Never Married	82	36.6%	21	18.4%	24	30.0%	25	30.5%	152	30.4%
	Separated/ Divorced	41	18.3%	16	14.0%	11	13.8%	16	19.5%	84	16.8%
	Widowed	22	9.8%	12	10.5%	11	13.8%	14	17.1%	59	11.8%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
ETHNIC	No Response	2	.9%			1	1.3%	1	1.2%	4	.8%
BACKGROUND OF RESPONDENT	African-American/ Black	78	34.8%	9	7.9%	55	68.8%	54	65.9%	196	39.2%
	Caucasian/ White	107	47.8%	89	78.1%	13	16.3%	15	18.3%	224	44.8%
	Asian/ Pacific Islander	7	3.1%	2	1.8%	1	1.3%	1	1.2%	11	2.2%
	Spanish Surname/ Latino	9	4.0%	2	1.8%	3	3.8%	2	2.4%	16	3.2%
	Multiracial	10	4.5%	3	2.6%	2	2.5%	5	6.1%	20	4.0%
	Other	5	2.2%	6	5.3%	3	3.8%			14	2.8%
	Do Not Want To Respond	6	2.7%	3	2.6%	2	2.5%	4	4.9%	15	3.0%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
OTHER ETHNIC	AMERICAN					1	33.3%			1	7.1%
BACKGROUND	CHEROKEE/ BLACK/ INDIAN					1	33.3%			1	7.1%
MENTIONED	CHINESE	1	20.0%							1	7.1%
	GOD'S CHILD	1	20.0%							1	7.1%
	HEBREW			1	16.7%					1	7.1%
	IRISH					1	33.3%			1	7.1%
	IRISH AMERICAN			1	16.7%					1	7.1%
	ITALIAN			2	33.3%					2	14.3%
	JEWISH	1	20.0%	2	33.3%					3	21.4%
	MEXICAN/ IRISH	1	20.0%							1	7.1%
	PUERTO RICAN	1	20.0%							1	7.1%
Total	•	5	100.0%	6	100.0%	3	100.0%			14	100.0%

TABLE 14-B. Demographic Characteristics Of Respondents By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		st Regional enter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
ANNUAL FAMILY	No Response	3	1.3%			1	1.3%	1	1.2%	5	1.0%
INCOME	Less Than \$25,000	58	25.9%	13	11.4%	22	27.5%	22	26.8%	115	23.0%
	Less Than \$50,000	73	32.6%	30	26.3%	28	35.0%	30	36.6%	161	32.2%
	Less Than \$75,000	32	14.3%	38	33.3%	18	22.5%	14	17.1%	102	20.4%
	Less Than \$100,000	18	8.0%	13	11.4%	6	7.5%	8	9.8%	45	9.0%
	Less Than \$150,000	14	6.3%	7	6.1%			3	3.7%	24	4.8%
	More Than \$150,000	9	4.0%			2	2.5%			11	2.2%
	Refused	17	7.6%	13	11.4%	3	3.8%	4	4.9%	37	7.4%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%
GENDER OF	Male	79	35.3%	40	35.1%	18	22.5%	25	30.5%	162	32.4%
RESPONDENT	Female	143	63.8%	74	64.9%	61	76.3%	57	69.5%	335	67.0%
	Could Not Determine	2	.9%			1	1.3%			3	.6%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%

Crosstab

Count

			SERVIC	E AREA		
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total
MARITAL STATUS	No Response	2	0	1	2	5
OF RESPONDENT	Married	77	65	33	25	200
	Never Married	82	21	24	25	152
	Separated/ Divorced	41	16	11	16	84
	Widowed	22	12	11	14	59
Total	•	224	114	80	82	500

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	27.762 ^a	12	.006
Likelihood Ratio	28.244	12	.005
Linear-by-Linear Association	.866	1	.352
N of Valid Cases	500		

a. 4 cells (20.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .80.

Crosstab

Count

			SERVIC	E AREA		
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total
ETHNIC	No Response	2	0	1	1	4
BACKGROUND OF	African-American/ Black	78	9	55	54	196
RESPONDENT	Caucasian/ White	107	89	13	15	224
	Asian/ Pacific Islander	7	2	1	1	11
	Spanish Surname/ Latino	9	2	3	2	16
	Multiracial	10	3	2	5	20
	Other	5	6	3	0	14
	Do Not Want To Respond	6	3	2	4	15
Total		224	114	80	82	500

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	131.165 ^a	21	.000
Likelihood Ratio	145.376	21	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.089	1	.079
N of Valid Cases	500		

a. 20 cells (62.5%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .64.

Crosstab

Count

			SERVIC	E AREA		
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total
ANNUAL	No Response	3	0	1	1	5
FAMILY INCOME	Less Than \$25,000	58	13	22	22	115
INCOME	Less Than \$50,000	73	30	28	30	161
	Less Than \$75,000	32	38	18	14	102
	Less Than \$100,000	18	13	6	8	45
	Less Than \$150,000	14	7	0	3	24
	More Than \$150,000	9	0	2	0	11
	Refused	17	13	3	4	37
Total		224	114	80	82	500

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	45.206 ^a	21	.002
Likelihood Ratio	54.201	21	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.697	1	.055
N of Valid Cases	500		

a. 10 cells (31.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .80.

Crosstab

Count

			SERVIC	E AREA		
		Spring Garden	Northeast Regional Center	Northwest Regional Center	West Regional Center	Total
GENDER OF	Male	79	40	18	25	162
RESPONDENT	Female	143	74	61	57	335
	Could Not Determine	2	0	1	0	3
Total	•	224	114	80	82	500

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.902 ^a	6	.330
Likelihood Ratio	8.133	6	.228
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.849	1	.174
N of Valid Cases	500		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .48.

TABLE 15. Families With High School Age Children By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Northeast Regional Northwest Regional Spring Garden Center Center				West Regi	onal Center				
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count Col %		Count	Col %	Count	Col %
HIGH SCHOOL	No Response	2	.9%			1	1.3%			3	.6%
AGE CHILDREN	Yes	32	14.3%	6	5.3%	15	18.8%	11	13.4%	64	12.8%
AT HOME	No	190	84.8%	108	94.7%	64	80.0%	71	86.6%	433	86.6%
Total		224	100.0%	114	100.0%	80	100.0%	82	100.0%	500	100.0%

TABLE 15-A. Anticipated College Attendance Of Children By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		st Regional enter	West Regi	onal Center		
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
EXPECT CHILDREN WILL	Yes	31	96.9%	6	100.0%	15	100.0%	11	100.0%	63	98.4%
ATTEND COLLEGE	No	1	3.1%							1	1.6%
Total		32	100.0%	6	100.0%	15	100.0%	11	100.0%	64	100.0%

TABLE 15-B. Attitude Toward Beginning At Community College Of Philadelphia By Service Area

					SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
		Spring	Garden		t Regional enter	Northwest Regional Center		West Regional Cer			
		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
LIKELIHOOD TO	No Response	1	3.2%							1	1.6%
ENCOURAGE CHILD TO ATTEND COMMUNITY	Very Unlikely	1	3.2%			2	13.3%	1	9.1%	4	6.3%
COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	Unlikely	7	22.6%	3	50.0%	5	33.3%	2	18.2%	17	27.0%
	Likely	12	38.7%	1	16.7%	6	40.0%	4	36.4%	23	36.5%
	Very Likely	10	32.3%	2	33.3%	2	13.3%	4	36.4%	18	28.6%
Total		31	100.0%	6	100.0%	15	100.0%	11	100.0%	63	100.0%

TABLE 15-C. Rationale For Likelihood Of Children Attending Community College Of Philadelphia By Service Area

							SERVIC	E AREA				To	tal
				Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
LIKELIHOOD TO ENCOURAGE	Likely	RATIONALE FOR LIKELIHOOD	BECAUSE I'D RATHER THEY GO WHERE THEY GET A FULL COLLEGE EXP					1	12.5%			1	2.4%
CHILD TO		TO	BECAUSE IT'S AFFORDABLE	1	4.5%							1	2.4%
ATTEND COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF		ENCOURAGE CHILD TO ATTEND	BECAUSE IT'S CHEAP AND CONVENIENT	1	4.5%							1	2.4%
PHILADELPHIA		COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF	BECAUSE OF FINANCES AND CLOSE TO HOME	1	4.5%							1	2.4%
		PHILADELPHIA	CHILD AT A HIGH SCHOOL IS MORE LIKELY TO GO TO COLLEGE					1	12.5%			1	2.4%
			CLOSE AND AFFORDABLE	1	4.5%							1	2.4%
			CONVENIENCE	1	4.5%							1	2.4%
			COST AND CLOSE TO HOME	1	4.5%							1	2.4%
			COURSE OFFERING					1	12.5%			1	2.4%
			DEPENDS ON WHICH ONE IT IS					1	12.5%			1	2.4%
			GOOD COST-WISE AND ACCUSTOMED TO COLLEGE LIFE					1	12.5%			1	2.4%
			GOOD SCHOOL	1	4.5%							1	2.4%
			GOOD WAY TO START AND GET BASICS FOR LESS COST	1	4.5%							1	2.4%
			HE'S NOT ACADEMIC/ DO BETTER IN COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1	4.5%							1	2.4%

TABLE 15-C. Rationale For Likelihood Of Children Attending Community College Of Philadelphia By Service Area

							SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
				Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		t Regional enter	West Regi	onal Center		
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
LIKELIHOOD TO ENCOURAGE	Likely	RATIONALE FOR LIKELIHOOD	I'M HELPING TO PAY/ I THINK THE COMMUNITY COLLEGE HAS A GOOD							1	12.5%	1	2.4%
CHILD TO ATTEND COMMUNITY		TO ENCOURAGE CHILD TO	I DON'T KNOW WHICH COLLEGE/ DON'T KNOW MUCH ABOUT COLLEGE	1	4.5%							1	2.4%
COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA		ATTEND COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	I HAVE A COUSIN THAT WENT AND IT WAS VERY INTERESTING					1	12.5%			1	2.4%
		THE ABELITARY	IT'S BETTER THAN NOTHING							1	12.5%	1	2.4%
			LIKE NORTHEAST CAMPUS TEACHERS/ DOWNTOWN TEACHERS PRESS THEI			1	33.3%					1	2.4%
			MY DAUGHTER WENT THERE AND IT WAS A GOOD EXPERIENCE FOR HER	1	4.5%							1	2.4%
			THEY CAN'T GO TO ANOTHER COLLEGE/ THEY CAN GO THERE	1	4.5%							1	2.4%
			TWO-YEAR SAVING/ FINANCIAL							1	12.5%	1	2.4%
			WE'RE CLOSE BY							1	12.5%	1	2.4%

TABLE 15-C. Rationale For Likelihood Of Children Attending Community College Of Philadelphia By Service Area

							SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
				Spring	Garden		t Regional nter		st Regional enter	West Regi	onal Center		
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
LIKELIHOOD TO ENCOURAGE	Very Likely	RATIONALE FOR LIKELIHOOD	A GOOD START BEFORE WE THROW HER INTO FOUR-YEAR SCHOOL					1	12.5%			1	2.4%
CHILD TO ATTEND COMMUNITY		TO ENCOURAGE CHILD TO	BE A GOOD PREPARATORY SCHOOL FOR MY SON			1	33.3%					1	2.4%
COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA		ATTEND COMMUNITY	BECAUSE IT WOULD HELP HIM EVERY DAY	1	4.5%							1	2.4%
THE SELTING		COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	GET BASIC STUFF AT REASONABLE PRICE THEN TRANSFER TO FOUR-YE	1	4.5%							1	2.4%
			GET BASICS/ IT'S BETTER TO HAVE SOME SCHOOL THAN NO SCHOOL	1	4.5%							1	2.4%
			GREAT COLLEGE/ COST/ LOCATION							1	12.5%	1	2.4%
			I WENT THERE/ I LIKE THE SCHOOL	1	4.5%							1	2.4%
			IT'S A GOOD STARTER SCHOOL	1	4.5%							1	2.4%
			IT IS A GOOD START ON A FOUR-YEAR DEGREE	1	4.5%							1	2.4%
			IT WAS A GOOD START ON A FOUR-YEAR DEGREE AND CLOSE TO HOME	1	4.5%							1	2.4%
			KEEP HER CLOSE TO HOME							1	12.5%	1	2.4%
			LOCATION/ GOOD SCHOOL							1	12.5%	1	2.4%
			LOOKING FOR CERTAIN CLASS	1	4.5%							1	2.4%
			NO RESPONSE	1	4.5%							1	2.4%
			SO THEY FURTHER THEIR EDUCATION AND GET BETTER JOBS					1	12.5%			1	2.4%
			VERY GOOD COLLEGE/ FINANCIAL AID/ LOCATION/ GOOD STARTER COL			1	33.3%					1	2.4%
			VERY GOOD SCHOOL	1	4.5%							1	2.4%
			VERY MUCH ENCOURAGE BECAUSE I WENT THERE							1	12.5%	1	2.4%
Total		•	•	22	100.0%	3	100.0%	8	100.0%	8	100.0%	41	100.0%

TABLE 15-C. Rationale For Likelihood Of Children Attending Community College Of Philadelphia By Service Area

							SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
				Spring	Garden		st Regional enter	Northwest Regiona Center		West Regi	onal Center		
				Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
LIKELIHOOD TO ENCOURAGE	Very Unlikely	RATIONALE FOR LIKELIHOOD TO NOT ENCOURAGE CHILD	BECAUSE MY CHILDREN HAVE A POTENTIAL FOR HIGHER COLLEGE							1	33.3%	1	4.8%
CHILD TO		TO ATTEND	DAUGHTER WANTS TO TRAVEL	1	12.5%							1	4.8%
ATTEND COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA		COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	SON IS AN ATHLETE AND THEY DON'T HAVE ATHLETICS THERE/ WANTS					1	14.3%			1	4.8%
THEXELITIA			WANT THEM TO GO SOMEWHERE BIGGER					1	14.3%			1	4.8%

TABLE 15-C. Rationale For Likelihood Of Children Attending Community College Of Philadelphia By Service Area

							SERVIC	E AREA				To	otal
				Spring	Garden		t Regional enter		t Regional nter	West Regi	onal Center		
		·		Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %	Count	Col %
LIKELIHOOD TO	Unlikely	RATIONALE FOR LIKELIHOOD TO NOT	ATTEND A FOUR-YEAR COLLEGE	1	12.5%							1	4.8%
ENCOURAGE CHILD TO ATTEND		ENCOURAGE CHILD TO ATTEND	DID NOT HAVE CLASSES THAT WERE WANTED	1	12.5%							1	4.8%
COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF		COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA	HE WANTS TO GO OUT OF STATE					1	14.3%			1	4.8%
PHILADELPHIA		THEADELTHA	I DON'T THINK THEY HAVE A PROGRAM THAT IS APPROPRIATE	1	12.5%							1	4.8%
			I THINK THEY'LL BE ELIGIBLE FOR FOUR-YEAR SCHOLARSHIPS							1	33.3%	1	4.8%
			I WANT A REAL COLLEGE FOR THEM	1	12.5%							1	4.8%
			I WANT HER TO TRAVEL							1	33.3%	1	4.8%
			I WANT THEM TO GO TO A BETTER SCHOOL					1	14.3%			1	4.8%
			I WANT THEM TO GO TO A FOUR-YEAR					1	14.3%			1	4.8%
			I WANT THEM TO GO TO A FOUR-YEAR COLLEGE			1	33.3%					1	4.8%
			LOOKING FOR FOUR-YEAR COLLEGE THAT'S NOT IN TOWN	1	12.5%							1	4.8%
			MY TWELVE YEAR OLD HAS A SCHOLARSHIP TO A FOUR-YEAR COLLEGE					1	14.3%			1	4.8%
			RATHER THEM EXPERIENCE BEING AWAY FROM HOME					1	14.3%			1	4.8%
			SHE WAS TO GO TO A FOUR-YEAR COLLEGE	1	12.5%							1	4.8%
			THE ADVERSE PUBLICITY REGARDING THE PERSON WHO TOOK MONEY TO	1	12.5%							1	4.8%
			THEY'LL GO RIGHT TO FOUR-YEAR COLLEGE			1	33.3%					1	4.8%
			WOULD ENCOURAGE TO GO TO A UNIVERSITY			1	33.3%					1	4.8%
Total				8	100.0%	3	100.0%	7	100.0%	3	100.0%	21	100.0%