

Financial Statements and Information on Federal Awards

June 30, 2012

(With Independent Auditors' Reports Thereon)

# **Table of Contents**

	Page
Independent Auditors' Report	1
Management's Discussion and Analysis (Unaudited)	3
Basic Financial Statements:	
Statements of Net Assets	12
Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets	14
Statements of Cash Flows	16
Notes to Financial Statements	18
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Funding Progress (Unaudited)	45
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards, Year ended June 30, 2012	46
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	47
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	48
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance with Requirements That Could Have a Direct and Material Effect on Each Major Program and on Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133, and Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	50
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Year ended June 30, 2012	53
Corrective Action Plan	65



**KPMG LLP** 1601 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-2499

# **Independent Auditors' Report**

The Board of Trustees Community College of Philadelphia:

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of business-type activities and the discretely presented component unit of the Community College of Philadelphia (the College), a component unit of the City of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, as of and for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, which collectively comprise the College's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the College's management. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the College's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the business-type activities and the discretely presented component unit of the Community College of Philadelphia as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, and the respective changes in financial position and, where applicable, cash flows thereof for the year then ended in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued a report dated September 28, 2012 on our consideration of the College's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

U.S. generally accepted accounting principles require that the management's discussion and analysis on pages 3 through 11 and the schedule of funding progress on page 45 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in



accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on this information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

KPMG LLP

September 28, 2012

(A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

Management's Discussion and Analysis

June 30, 2012 and 2011

(Unaudited)

The Community College of Philadelphia (the College)'s financial statements are prepared using Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statements 34 and 35 standards. The financial results of the Community College of Philadelphia Foundation (the Foundation) are reported as a component unit. These statements include the statistical reporting section in accordance with GASB Statement 44.

This Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) is based upon facts, decisions, and conditions known as of the date of the audit report. The results for 2012 are compared to those for the 2011 fiscal year.

## **Overview of Financial Statements**

The College's financial statements focus on the College as a whole, rather than upon individual funds or activities. The GASB reporting model is designed to provide readers with a broad overview of the College's finances and is comprised of three basic statements:

- The *Statement of Net Assets* presents information on the College's assets and liabilities, with the difference between the two reported as net assets. Over time, increases or decreases in net assets serve as one indicator of how the financial position of the College is changing
- The Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets presents information showing how the College's net assets changed during the most recent fiscal year. All changes in net assets are reported as soon as the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Thus, revenues and expenses are reported in this statement for some items that will result in cash flows in future fiscal periods.
- The *Statement of Cash Flows* is reported on the direct method. The direct method of cash flow reporting portrays net cash flows from operations, financing, and investing receipts and disbursements.

The notes to the financial statements provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the financial statements. The notes contain details on both the accounting policies and procedures that the College has adopted as well as additional information for certain amounts reported in the financial statements.

# **Financial Highlights**

### Campus Development

As of September 2011, construction was completed on two major projects that began in fiscal 2009. These projects include the erection of a new building (Pavilion) at the Main Campus; and expansion and redesign of the Northeast Regional Center. A third project, the renovation of portions of the Mint, Bonnell and West Buildings, will be completed during fiscal 2013. Principal financing for these projects was completed in October 2008 utilizing twenty-year tax exempt bonds. Total borrowing was \$74,770,000 at interest rates for various maturities of between 3.0% and 6.25%. Because of the disruptions occurring in the bond insurance industry, the College elected to issue the bonds on an uninsured basis. A Moody's bond rating of A2 was received at the time the bonds were issued. This rating was subsequently upgraded to A1.

(A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

Management's Discussion and Analysis

June 30, 2012 and 2011

(Unaudited)

A smaller capital project was initiated at the West Regional Center in Fall 2010. This project entails lease of 7,291 square feet of space immediately adjacent to the current center as well as redesign and upgrades to portions of the Center's main 23,000 square feet classroom building. The newly leased space was placed into full operation as of January 2012. Renovations to the existing building will be completed in fiscal 2013.

All of the current capital projects are focused on several goals: creating integrated, one-stop enrollment services centers for students; updating and expanding academic program laboratories and classrooms to support current and emerging programmatic needs; expanding resources available to students and faculty outside the classroom for collaborative learning opportunities; providing the required infrastructure for the evolving use of technology in teaching, learning and administrative activities; ensuring that the physical requirements needed for the College's Business Continuity Plan are in place; and updating and expanding the College's food service and bookstore operations.

The Main Campus projects included: the construction of a new 44,200 square feet Pavilion building, a 7,800 square feet addition to the Bonnell Building, and renovation of 100,000 square feet of existing space in the Bonnell, Mint, and West Buildings. The Northeast Regional Center expansion project included: the addition of 49,200 square feet to the existing building; redesign of significant portions of the existing 60,000 square feet building; and development of previously unused land into additional parking. The expansion of the Northeast Center completes the Master Plan for the property established when the site was initially acquired by the College in 1994.

All current construction projects are employing sustainable design principles. Both the new Northeast Building and the Main Campus Pavilion Building are expected to achieve a gold-level LEED certified designation.

Construction bid results for the Northeast Project were received in January 2009 and were approximately \$1.6 million less than originally budgeted. The lower than budgeted bid results reflected both value engineering that was undertaken during the final design phase to control costs, and the competitive conditions that existed in the construction industry at the time the projects were bid. Based upon the favorable bid results, subsequent scope changes were made to the Northeast Project which included a more complete renovation of the existing building than was originally anticipated. Full renovations were made to the top two floors of the original building which initially were not scheduled to receive major changes. Final costs for the Northeast project including renovations of the existing building were \$31.3 million.

Bids were received for phase one of the Main Campus project, the new Pavilion Building, in June 2009. Again successful value engineering and construction industry conditions resulted in bids that were \$2.8 million less than originally budgeted for the project. However, subsequent site conditions discoveries and other essential project changes added an additional \$1.6 million to the cost of The Pavilion Building. The second phase of the Main Campus projects was bid out in December 2009. Total costs for the Pavilion were \$31.5 million. Total costs for the Mint, Bonnell and current West Building renovations are currently projected to be \$21 million. Construction for these renovation projects is expected to be completed by January 2013.

The current estimates for the total cost for the construction projects are approximately \$83.8 million. Of this amount, approximately \$75 million has been provided from the bond proceeds including interest earnings, and

(A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

Management's Discussion and Analysis

June 30, 2012 and 2011

(Unaudited)

the remaining funds are being provided from the recently completed capital campaign, grants and other College resources.

On July 15, 2011 the College executed a \$1 million five-year loan through the Pennsylvania State Public School Building Authority's revolving loan program at a fixed rate of 3%. The proceeds from this loan are being used to pay for the leasehold improvements and outfitting for the additional leased space at the West Philadelphia Regional Center.

On December 15, 2010 the College completed the purchase of 434-440 North 15th Street for a price of \$5,801,000. The property was purchased for cash. The property includes an 88,500 square feet building and exterior parking for approximately 30 vehicles. Initially the property will be used for parking and facility operations. Longer term use of the property is anticipated to be as a mixed use facility including parking, retail, and programmatic space.

#### Enrollments

Credit enrollments for the 2012 year were slightly lower than in the prior year. Credit FTE (full-time equivalent) enrollments in fiscal year 2012 were 15,769. This represented a 322 FTE or a 2.0% decrease over the prior year's credit enrollments of 16,091. Fiscal 2011 credit FTE enrollments were 283 or 1.8% higher than the 2010 credit enrollments of 15,808.

### Revenues

In July 2005, Act 46 was enacted. This law changed the basis upon which Commonwealth of Pennsylvania (the State) community colleges were intended to be funded effective for the 2005-06 year and thereafter. Funding based upon current year enrollments was eliminated and a foundation funding level was established for each community college. This foundation funding was to provide a base of funding not tied to enrollments which was intended to be adjusted upward annually on a percentage basis via the State budget process. Under the provisions of Act 46, foundation funding may not be reduced. Annually a small growth increment was to be added to the foundation based upon enrollment increase changes in the last two audited years. Under Act 46, there was to be no reduction in revenues for enrollment declines. The other significant operating budget change as a result of Act 46 was the establishment of Economic Development (high priority) Program funding. The State was to provide supplemental funding for FTEs taught in programs identified to be high priority by State officials. The amount of funding received was to be based upon a lump sum statewide dollar allocation and each college's reported FTE enrollments for the prior year in priority program areas. Funding allocations were to be proportionate to FTEs taught.

Beginning with the passage of the fiscal 2010 State Budget, the provisions of Act 46 were not followed in funding Pennsylvania community colleges. For 2010, operating funding for each college was set to equal the amount received for 2008-09 reduced by 0.21%. Of the amount allocated to the community colleges by the State in fiscal 2010 for operating purposes, \$2.8 million or 9.1% was allocated from federal economic stimulus funds provided by the Federal Government to the State. State funding for 2011 was similar. The total 2011 State operating allocation was \$31.2 million. Of this amount, \$2.8 million or 9.1% was again funded from federal economic stimulus funds. Total 2012 State operating funding (including capital lease funding) was \$28,229,309

(A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

Management's Discussion and Analysis

June 30, 2012 and 2011

(Unaudited)

or 9.4% less than received in fiscal 2011. The funding received via federal stimulus dollars in the prior two years was not replaced by State revenues.

Act 46 also modified state capital funding for the Pennsylvania community colleges. A revolving capital pool was created which provides the potential for increased funding for major capital projects and improved predictability for when major capital project funding will become available. Capital funding from the State was \$6,330,656 in the 2011 fiscal year and \$6,327,091 in the 2012 fiscal year.

Total state funding in the 2011 fiscal year was \$38,449,827, a change of \$398,610 or 1.0% over the state funds received in 2010. This amount includes the \$2,844,299 allocated to the College by the State out of federal stimulus funds. Total state funding in the 2012 fiscal year was \$34,556,400, a decrease of \$3,893,427 or 10.1% over the state funds received in 2011. Funding provided in fiscal 2011 from federal stimulus funds was not replaced by the State in 2012 funding.

Total 2012 City funding was \$25,409,207 which represented no change from the level of funding received in 2011. Of this funding, \$7,757,010 was used for capital purchases and debt service payments. The remaining funds, \$17,652,197, were used for operating purposes.

In 2012, the tuition charge per credit was \$138, an increase of \$10 over the 2011 per credit tuition charge of \$128. The 2012 technology fee was unchanged at \$28 per credit. The General College Fee which supports student life programs remained unchanged at \$4 per credit. The College charges course fees which range from \$75 to \$300 in selected high-cost courses. Average total tuition and fee revenue per credit for 2012 was \$177.61, an increase of \$11.30 or 6.8% over the average per credit charge in fiscal 2011 of \$166.31.

Tuition and fee revenue totaled \$73,744,923 in 2012, \$72,048,633 in 2011, and \$67,625,346 in 2010. The 'scholarship allowance' amounts for 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively were \$43,563,813, \$43,917,028, and \$38,017,913. The scholarship allowance represents tuition and fee payments made using public and private grants and scholarships. Stable scholarship allowance amounts between fiscal 2012 fiscal 2011 is reflective of the small drop in enrollments coupled with no change in the maximum federal Pell financial aid award for the 2012 fiscal year.

Net investment income was \$1,098,141 in 2012, \$717,865 in 2011 and \$1,587,145 in 2010. The investment income in 2010 included the impact of unrealized asset appreciation in the amount of \$729,546 for College investments in the Commonfund Intermediate and Multi-Strategy Bond Funds which occurred as a result of improving economic conditions in the financial markets. During the 2011 fiscal year unit values in the College's fixed income investments remained relatively stable and the 2010 unrealized gains were not repeated. In response to historically low rates of return on short-term fixed income investments, in April 2011, the College moved \$10 million of core operating cash into longer-term fixed income investments. As a result investment income improved for the 2012 fiscal year. (See footnote 2.)

The reduction in Federal Grants and contracts from \$58,889,668 in 2011 to \$56,839,421 in 2012 primarily reflects the decline in federal program grants received by the College relative to the amount received in fiscal 2011.

(A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

Management's Discussion and Analysis

June 30, 2012 and 2011

(Unaudited)

# **Expenses**

Exclusive of Student Aid and Depreciation expenses, the College's operating expenses totaled \$137,182,600 in fiscal 2012 and \$138,283,458 in fiscal 2011. Effective August 31, 2011, five-year labor contracts with the College's faculty and classified employee unions expired. Agreement on a new contract was not achieved during the 2012 fiscal year, and terms of the prior contract were in effect. All 2011-12 employee salaries were kept at the amounts in place for the 2010-11 fiscal year. The College's Board of Trustees and the Federation were in continuing negotiations as of June 30, 2012.

In fiscal 2008 the College implemented the GASB 45 accounting standard. This standard requires that the present value of projected post-retirement benefits other than pensions, paid to retired employees be recorded as an expense in pubic institutions' financial statements. As required by GASB 45, the bi-annual reassessment of the liability was prepared in April 2012 for reporting as of June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2013. The College elected to phase in the reporting of the post-employment benefit liability over a 30 year period and to continue to fund the costs of the benefit out of the College's annual budget revenues. A separate trust has not been established to fund any portion of this liability. The cumulative estimated value for the accrued post-employment benefit liability in fiscal 2012, 2011 and 2010 was \$30,225,327, \$22,614,325 and \$16,575,690, respectively. Absent this reporting requirement, the college's net assets as of June 30, 2012 would have been at a level of \$113.3 million.

Effective September 1, 2009, the College placed its employee medical plan, offered through Independence Blue Cross, on a self-insurance basis. A reinsurance limit of \$200,000 was in place for the 2012 fiscal year to limit institutional financial exposure for individuals with extraordinarily large claims in a policy year. As another component of the self-insurance approach, the College has established a self-insurance accrued liability account for possible large claim levels in the future. The value of this accrued liability as of June 30, 2012 was \$868,124 and \$821,919 in 2011, and is reported under 'Current Liabilities: Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities.'

## Net Asset Change

Net assets as of June 30, 2012 were \$83,142,373. As a result of financial circumstances which contributed to asset growth, net assets increased by \$4.85 million in the 2012 fiscal year prior to recording the impact of the post-employment benefit liability. The change in net assets after recording the post-employment benefit accrual was a negative \$2.8 million. 'Unrestricted net assets' fell from \$5.0 million to a negative \$4.6 million. Absent the cumulative impact of the GASB 45 reporting requirements, unrestricted net assets would currently be at a level of \$25.6 million. The other factor significantly reducing the unrestricted net asset value was the current unfunded depreciation expense for 2012 in the amount of \$10.0 million.

#### Assets

As of June 30, 2012 the College's cash, long-term and short-term investments totaled \$39.6 million, an increase of \$1.7 million over the \$37.9 amount for June 30, 2011. 'Accounts Receivable Net' were at a level of \$4.0 million in 2012 and \$4.5 million in 2011. These amounts reflect student, employee, vendor, legal and Foundation receivables. The College's investment in capital assets as of June 30, 2012 net of accumulated depreciation was \$179.4 million an increase of \$12.4 million over the amount reported for 2011, \$167.0 million. The growth in the value of assets reflects the substantial completion of the Main Campus Pavilion project during the 2012 fiscal year.

(A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

Management's Discussion and Analysis

June 30, 2012 and 2011

(Unaudited)

#### Liabilities

The College's outstanding long-term debt (including the current year portion and excluding capital lease obligations) decreased from \$104.6 million in 2010 to \$97.1 million in 2011 and decreased from \$97.1 million in 2011 to \$90.2 million in 2012. The level of debt reflects the borrowing for the two new capital projects that were financed during the 2008 fiscal year. Additionally, the College has accrued compensated absences and retirement incentive payments in the amounts of \$3.2 million, and \$0.6 million, respectively. The accrual for compensated absences consists of unused vacation pay for employees on the College's payroll.

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities decreased from \$16.1 million in 2011 to \$15.5 million in 2012. The major factor contributing to this decrease was a reduction in vendor accounts payable. Dollars payable to governmental agencies decreased from \$2.7 million in 2010, to \$1.1 million in 2011, and increased to \$2.4 million in 2012. These payables reflect unused State and Federal financial aid dollars. The capital lease obligation includes a \$5.3 million performance contract with Johnson Controls, Inc. Under the terms of the performance contract, the College is utilizing a fifteen year capital lease to amortize the costs of ten separate capital projects which both addressed critical infrastructure renewal needs at the College's main campus facilities and are reducing operating costs through energy and other facility operating cost savings. The performance contract terms guarantee that the operational costs savings from the ten projects will pay a substantial portion of the capital lease expense. Capital leases also include technology expenses associated with academic and administrative computing.

### Net Assets

At June 30, 2012, the College's assets of \$231.4 million exceeded its liabilities of \$148.3 million by \$83.1 million. Net assets at 2012, 2011 and 2010 are summarized into the following categories:

	_	2012	2011	2010
Invested in capital assets, net of related debt	\$	86,330,902	80,136,789	69,277,871
Restricted, expendable		1,364,726	730,624	510,809
Unrestricted	_	(4,553,255)	5,035,726	14,588,450
Total net assets	\$ _	83,142,373	85,903,139	84,377,130

The College's net assets reflects its investment in capital assets (e.g., land, buildings, machinery, and equipment), less accumulated depreciation and outstanding debt incurred to acquire those assets. The College uses these capital assets to provide services to students, faculty, and administration; consequently, these assets are not available for future spending. Although the College's investment in its capital assets is reported net of related debt, it should be noted that the resources needed to repay this debt must be provided from other sources, since the capital assets themselves cannot be used to liquidate these liabilities. The negative unrestricted net asset value (\$4.6 million) incorporates the cumulative impact of the GASB 45 post-employment benefit accrual of \$30.2 million.

(A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

Management's Discussion and Analysis June 30, 2012 and 2011

(Unaudited)

# **Summary of College Financial Position**

The following tables summarize the College's financial status as of the end of the 2012, 2011 and 2010 fiscal years.

## Summary of Net Assets

The tables provide a condensed version of the College's net assets as of the end of June 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010 and a condensed version of revenues, expenses, and changes in net assets for fiscal years 2012, 2011 and 2010. The College's current asset to current liability ratio of 1.08 as of June 30, 2012, 1.11 as of June 30, 2011, and 1.50 as of June 30, 2010 indicates the College has adequate liquidity to meet its short-term obligations. The reduction in current assets for 2011 and 2012 reflects the decision made in fiscal 2011 to move \$10,000,000 of the College's short-term investments to longer-term fixed-income investments. While not classified as current assets these 'long-term' investments are liquid and available immediately if required for cash needs. The reduction in unrestricted net assets reflects the growing level of annual depreciation expense resulting from the completion of new construction and the growing impact of the GASB 45 post-employment expense accrual.

Net Assets
June 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010

	2012		2011	2010	
		_	(In millions)		
Assets:					
Current assets	\$	31.8	30.6	48.5	
Noncurrent assets:					
Capital assets net of depreciation		179.5	167.0	134.1	
Bond proceeds available for campus			15.1		
construction		2.9		47.7	
Other		17.2	18.5	6.8	
Total assets	\$	231.4	231.2	237.1	
Liabilities:					
Current liabilities	\$	29.5	27.5	32.3	
Noncurrent liabilities		118.8	117.8	120.4	
Total liabilities	\$	148.3	145.3	152.7	
Net assets:					
Invested in capital assets, net of related debt	\$	86.3	80.2	69.3	
Unrestricted		(4.6)	5.0	14.6	
Restricted:					
Expendable		1.4	0.7	0.5	
Total net assets	\$	83.1	85.9	84.4	

9

(A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

Management's Discussion and Analysis

June 30, 2012 and 2011

(Unaudited)

# Schedule of Changes in Net Assets

The change in net assets for fiscal year 2012, 2011 and 2010 was a negative \$2.8 million, positive \$1.5 million and \$8.4 million, respectively. The following table quantifies the changes:

# Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets

Years ended June 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010

		2012	2011	2010
		<u> </u>	(In millions)	_
Operating revenues:  Net tuition and fees	\$	30.1	28.1	29.6
Auxiliary enterprises and other sources	_	2.1	1.9	1.8
Total		32.2	30.0	31.4
Operating expenses		157.0	153.3	143.2
Operating loss		(124.8)	(123.3)	(111.8)
Net nonoperating revenues		108.0	111.2	106.1
Change in net assets before other revenues		(16.8)	(12.1)	(5.7)
Net capital revenue and changes to endowments		14.0	13.6	14.0
Total change in net assets	\$	(2.8)	1.5	8.3
1 otal change in het assets	Ψ=	(2.0)	1.5	0.5

(A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

Management's Discussion and Analysis

June 30, 2012 and 2011

(Unaudited)

## Schedule of Fund Balances

The following chart shows fund balances in the four fund groups: Unrestricted, Restricted, Endowment and Plant. The 2010, 2011 and 2012 amounts reported for unrestricted operations funds were reduced by the impact of GASB 45 reporting of an accrued expense liability for post-employment benefits. The impact of GASB 45 reporting in 2010 was \$6,199,471, in 2011 was \$6,038,635 and in 2012 was \$7,611,002. The negative unrestricted plant fund balance reflects the use of college resources in fiscal 2011 for the purchase of the 430-440 North 15th Street property as well as unfunded depreciation expense.

		2012	2011	2010
Total unrestricted fund	\$	100,502	7,609,166	12,212,485
Endowment fund: True and term endowment Quasi endowment (unrestricted)			 1,743,728	
Total endowment	_	1,914,234	1,743,728	2,089,754
Plant fund: Net invested in capital assets Restricted expendable – capital Unrestricted		86,330,902 1,364,726 (6,567,991)	80,136,789 730,624 (4,317,168)	69,277,871 510,809 286,211
Total plant fund		81,127,637	76,550,245	70,074,891
Total net assets	\$	83,142,373	85,903,139	84,377,130

# Community College of Philadelphia Foundation

The College Foundation was established in 1985. Total assets for 2012, 2011 and 2010 were \$12.0 million, \$9.3 million and \$7.7 million, respectively. Total unrestricted net assets for 2012, 2011 and 2010 for the Foundation were \$1.3 million, \$1.4 million and \$1.1 million, respectively. The remaining net assets are restricted based upon donor intent. To support funding for the College's current campus development efforts and develop more scholarship opportunities for students, the College's first Capital Campaign was initiated in 2009. A goal of \$10 million was established. As of August 2011, the goal had been achieved. The final amount of gifts received was \$17.1 million.

# COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA (A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

## Statements of Net Assets

June 30, 2012 and 2011

	-		pe activities	Compon	
			ınity College delphia	The Commu	
Assets	<del>-</del>	2012	2011	2012	2011
Current assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents (note 2)	\$	11,562,871	13,671,678	101,296	187,913
Short-term investments (note 2)		10,888,433	8,385,715	1,673,923	1,609,088
Restricted short-term investments (note 2)		1,364,726	730,624	996,523	134,398
Accounts receivable, net (note 3)		3,979,868	4,487,786	1,568,326	393,170
Student loans receivable (note 4)		_	2,663	_	_
Receivable from government agencies (note 8)		2,608,520	2,031,391	_	_
Accrued interest receivable		50,235	50,749	_	
Unamortized bond issuance costs		176,314	176,314	_	
Other assets	_	1,136,696	1,076,850		
Total current assets	-	31,767,663	30,613,770	4,340,068	2,324,569
Noncurrent assets:					
Endowment investments (note 2)		_	_	6,429,733	6,196,576
Accounts receivable, net (note 3)			_	1,158,880	689,832
Student loans receivable (note 4)			5,605	_	
Bond proceeds available for campus construction		2,947,510	16,908,811	_	
Other long term investments (note 2)		15,782,209	15,080,777	_	_
Unamortized bond issuance costs		1,422,378	1,598,692	_	_
Capital assets, net (note 5)	-	179,484,523	166,988,454		
Total noncurrent assets	<u>-</u>	199,636,620	200,582,339	7,588,613	6,886,408
Total assets	\$_	231,404,283	231,196,109	11,928,681	9,210,977

# COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHILADELPHIA (A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

Statements of Net Assets

June 30, 2012 and 2011

	The Comm	ype activities unity College adelphia	Compon The Commu of Philadelphi	nity College
Liabilities and Net Assets	2012	2011	2012	2011
Current liabilities: Accounts payable and accrued liabilities (note 6) Payable to government agencies (note 8) Deposits Deferred revenue Current portion of capital lease obligation (note 7) Current portion of long-term debt (note 7) Unamortized bond premium	\$ 14,173,138 2,418,723 123,494 2,323,832 2,141,430 8,257,274 49,637	14,678,401 814,779 114,418 2,303,388 1,795,791 7,744,495 49,637	224,052 — — 244,500 — —	168,392 — — 250,000 — —
Total current liabilities	29,487,528	27,500,909	468,552	418,392
Noncurrent liabilities: Accrued liabilities (note 6) Payable to government agencies (note 8) Annuity payable Capital lease obligation (note 7) Long-term debt (note 7) Unamortized bond premium Other post-employment benefits liability (note 10)  Total noncurrent liabilities	1,297,574 	1,419,742 296,750 3,833,486 89,328,525 299,233 22,614,325 117,792,061	12,719 — — — — — — — — — — — 12,719	15,144 ———————————————————————————————————
Total liabilities	148,261,910	145,292,970	481,271	433,536
Net assets: Invested in capital assets, net of related debt Restricted (note 9): Nonexpendable: Scholarships, awards and faculty chair Annuities	86,330,902	80,136,789	5,024,844 7,860	4,778,174 7,761
Expendable: Scholarships, awards and faculty chair Capital projects Unrestricted Total net assets	1,364,726 (4,553,255) 83,142,373	730,624 5,035,726 85,903,139	1,728,346 3,392,412 1,293,948 11,447,410	725,463 1,902,578 1,363,465 8,777,441

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

(A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

# Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets

Years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

	-	The Commu	pe activities ınity College ıdelphia	Compone The Commun of Philadelphia	ity College
	-	2012	2011	2012	2011
Operating revenues: Student tuition Student fees Less scholarship allowance	\$	56,483,928 17,260,995 (43,563,813)	54,811,177 17,237,456 (43,917,028)		_ 
Net student fees		30,181,110	28,131,605		
Auxiliary enterprises Gifts Other sources	_	1,827,133 — 167,258	1,734,227 — 180,380	3,342,841 17,106	815,349 9,776
Total operating revenues	_	32,175,501	30,046,212	3,359,947	825,125
Operating expenses (note 12): Educational and general: Instruction		62,162,440	62,184,084	113,984	_
Public service		62,796	92,076	<del></del>	
Academic support		17,723,410	19,251,126		14,691
Student services		21,075,190	21,743,595	1,129	
Institutional support		23,281,334	22,003,441	639,323	333,260
Physical plant operations		12,244,438	12,392,109	_	
Depreciation		9,764,169	7,659,904		
Student aid		10,014,970	7,376,466	321,383	280,352
Auxiliary enterprises	_	632,992	617,027		
Total operating expenses	_	156,961,739	153,319,828	1,075,819	628,303
Operating (loss) surplus	-	(124,786,238)	(123,273,616)	2,284,128	196,822

(A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

# Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets

Years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

		<b>Business-ty</b>	pe activities	Component unit		
	-		nity College	The Community College of Philadelphia Foundation		
	_	of Phila	delphia			
	_	2012	2011	2012	2011	
Nonoperating revenues (expenses):						
State appropriations (note 13)	\$	28,229,309	29,274,872	_	_	
City appropriations (note 13)		17,652,197	18,091,851	_	_	
Federal grants and contracts		56,839,421	58,889,668	_	_	
State grants and contracts		6,495,102	5,967,689		_	
Nongovernmental grants and contracts		1,014,162	1,418,653	365,456	_	
Net investment income		1,098,141	717,865	20,385	1,039,490	
Interest on capital asset-related debt service (note 5)		(3,926,641)	(3,542,128)	_		
Other nonoperating revenues	_	539,680	333,143			
Net nonoperating revenues	_	107,941,371	111,151,613	385,841	1,039,490	
(Loss) gain before other revenues, expenses, gains or losses		(16,844,867)	(12,122,003)	2,669,969	1,236,312	
Capital appropriations	_	14,084,101	13,648,012			
(Decrease) increase in net assets		(2,760,766)	1,526,009	2,669,969	1,236,312	
Net assets, beginning	_	85,903,139	84,377,130	8,777,441	7,541,129	
Net assets, ending	\$	83,142,373	85,903,139	11,447,410	8,777,441	

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

(A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

(Business-Type Activities – College only)

# Statements of Cash Flows

Years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

		2012	2011
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Tuition and fees	\$	30,269,238	27,450,262
Payments to suppliers		(20,864,082)	(22,947,465)
Payments to employees		(76,958,264)	(79,990,789)
Payments for employee benefits		(32,004,232)	(32,850,358)
Payments for student aid		(10,282,045)	(7,376,466)
Auxiliary enterprises		1,829,829	1,734,227
Other cash receipts		175,526	176,893
Net cash used in operating activities		(107,834,030)	(113,803,696)
Cash flows from noncapital financing activities:			
State appropriations		28,228,017	28,094,792
City appropriations		17,652,197	17,896,483
Gifts and grants		65,383,312	66,693,527
Other nonoperating		548,756	346,910
Net cash provided by noncapital financing activities		111,812,282	113,031,712
Cash flows from capital and related financing activities:			
State capital appropriations		6,327,091	6,330,656
City capital appropriations		7,757,010	7,317,356
Decrease in bond proceeds available for campus construction		13,961,301	30,835,350
Proceeds from capital debt		1,000,000	_
Purchases of capital assets		(17,084,935)	(37,149,410)
Principal on capital debt		(10,256,223)	(9,418,560)
Interest on capital debt	•	(5,051,706)	(5,278,535)
Net cash used in capital and related financing activities		(3,347,462)	(7,363,143)
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Proceeds from sales and maturities of investments		39,552,092	71,863,856
Purchases of investments		(43,390,344)	(62,182,027)
Interest on investments		1,098,655	719,683
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities		(2,739,597)	10,401,512
(Decrease) increase in cash		(2,108,807)	2,266,385
Cash, beginning		13,671,678	11,405,293
Cash, ending	\$	11,562,871	13,671,678

(A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

(Business-Type Activities – College only)

# Statements of Cash Flows

Years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

	2012	2011
Reconciliation of net operating loss to net cash used in operating activities:  Operating loss  Adjustments to reconcile net operating loss to net cash used in	\$ (124,786,238)	(123,273,616)
operating activities:  Depreciation Changes in assets and liabilities:	9,764,169	7,659,904
Accounts receivable Prepaid and other assets	198,323 (59,846)	(411,879) 165,126
Loans to students and employees Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	14,593 (689,116)	989 (3,810,951)
Deferred revenues Other post employment benefits	113,083 7,611,002	(171,904) 6,038,635
Net cash used in operating activities	\$ (107,834,030)	(113,803,696)
Supplemental disclosure of noncash capital financing activity: Capital assets acquired via capital lease	\$ 3,954,516	1,596,216

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

(A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2012 and 2011

### (1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

# (a) Organization

The Community College of Philadelphia (the College) operates in accordance with the provisions of Commonwealth of Pennsylvania (the Commonwealth) legislation and through the sponsorship of the City of Philadelphia (the City). For financial reporting purposes, the College has been determined to be a component unit of the City, and as such has adopted the applicable provisions of the Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB).

#### **Component Unit**

The Community College of Philadelphia Foundation (the Foundation), was established to serve as an organization responsible for College fund-raising activities.

The by-laws of the Foundation give the College's board of trustees' approval authority over all decisions made by the Foundation board of directors and the College has the authority to amend the by-laws of the Foundation at any time. Therefore, the Foundation is considered to be a discretely presented component unit of the College and all financial transactions are reported within the financial statements of the College.

# (b) Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting, and Basis of Presentation

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB). Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements have been met.

The College has determined that it functions as a Business Type Activity, as defined by GASB. The effect of interfund activity has been eliminated from these financial statements.

The College's policy is to define operating activities in the statement of revenues, expenses, and changes in net assets as those that generally result from exchange transactions such as the payment received for services and payment made for the purchase of goods and services. Certain other transactions are reported as nonoperating activities. These nonoperating activities include the College's operating and capital appropriations from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and City of Philadelphia; federal, state, and private grants; net investment income; gifts; interest expense; and disposals of capital assets.

The College has elected not to adopt the pronouncements issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) after November 30, 1989.

## (c) Government Appropriations

Revenue from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and City of Philadelphia is recognized in the fiscal year during which the funds are appropriated to the College. The College is fiscally dependent

(A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2012 and 2011

upon these appropriations. Specific accounting policies with regard to government appropriations are as follows:

#### Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

General state legislation establishing community colleges provides for the reimbursement of certain college expenses from Commonwealth funds appropriated for this purpose. Act 46 enacted in July 2005 changed the original basis of allocating operating funds to Commonwealth community colleges from a formula approach based upon full-time equivalents (FTE) taught in the current fiscal year to a state-wide community college appropriation. Under Act 46, the state-wide operating budget appropriation for community colleges is to be distributed among each of the fourteen colleges in three parts: base funding, growth funding and high priority (economic development) program funding. The provisions of Act 46 are intended to ensure that base operating funding for each college will at least equal the amount of funds received in the prior year. Annually, twenty-five percent of any new dollars in the operating funding granted community colleges is to be distributed proportionally among the colleges experiencing growth in the prior year based upon their share of the FTE growth. Colleges whose enrollments are stable or decline do not receive any increase from the growth funding.

The other significant budget change as a result of Act 46 was the establishment of Economic Development (high priority) program funding. High priority program funding is based upon prior year enrollments in program areas defined by the State to contribute to trained worker growth in critical employment areas. Using FTE enrollments in targeted programs as the allocation mechanism, each college receives a proportionate share of the available funds allocated to high priority programs.

Beginning with the 2010 fiscal year state budget and continuing for the 2011 and 2012 fiscal years, the provisions of Act 46 were not followed in allocating operational funds to Pennsylvania community colleges. The 2009-10 State operating allocation, including high priority program funding, for each college was computed using the amount each college received for 2008-09 and reducing it by 0.21%. The fiscal 2011-12 operating allocation was unchanged from the amount provided in fiscal 2010-11. Of the amount allocated to the community colleges by the State in fiscal years 2010 and 2011, \$2,844,299 or 9.1% in each year was allocated by the State from federal economic stimulus funds provided by the Federal Government to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Revenues allocated from the State that were funded from federal stimulus funds are reported under Federal Grants and Contracts. In fiscal year 2012, the State appropriation was reduced by the amount of funds received by the College from federal stimulus funds in the prior two years.

Under the provisions of Act 46, a separate revolving pool was established for community college capital funding. Capital funding, which may include major equipment and furniture purchases, capital improvements to buildings and grounds, debt service on major capital projects, and net rental costs for eligible capital leases is reimbursed at the rate of 50%. Capital requests not previously approved for annual funding are subject to a competitive application process with the allocation of available funds made by the Pennsylvania Department of Education using state-wide criteria.

(A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2012 and 2011

Any excesses or deficiencies between provisional payments and the final annual reimbursement calculation of annual Commonwealth funding are reflected as a payable or receivable from the Commonwealth.

## (d) Net Assets

The College classifies its net assets into the following four net asset categories:

Invested in capital assets, net of related debt: Capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation and outstanding principal balances of debt attributable to the acquisition, construction, repair, or improvement of those assets.

*Restricted – nonexpendable*: Net assets subject to externally imposed conditions that the College must maintain them in perpetuity.

*Restricted* – *expendable*: Net assets whose use is subject to externally imposed conditions that can be fulfilled by the actions of the College or by the passage of time.

*Unrestricted*: All other categories of net assets. Unrestricted net assets may be designated by actions of the College's board of trustees.

The College has adopted a policy of generally utilizing restricted – expendable funds, when available, prior to unrestricted funds.

# (e) Cash and Cash Equivalents

The College considers all petty cash accounts and demand deposits with financial banking institutions to be cash. The College considers all short term investments (primarily certificates of deposit) with a maturity of 90 days or less to be cash equivalents.

#### (f) Investments

Investments in marketable securities are stated at fair value. Valuations for marketable securities are provided by external investment managers or are based on audited financial statements when available.

Dividends, interest, and net gains or losses on investments of endowments and similar funds are reported in the statement of revenues, expenses, and changes in net assets. Any net earnings not expended are included in net asset categories as follows:

- (i) as increases in restricted nonexpendable net assets if the terms of the gift require that they be added to the principal of a permanent endowment fund;
- (ii) as increases in restricted expendable net assets if the terms of the gift or the College's interpretation of relevant state law impose restrictions on the current use of the income or net gains; and
- (iii) as increases in unrestricted net assets in all other cases.

(A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2012 and 2011

The College policy permits investments in obligations of the U.S. Treasury; certificates of deposit; commercial paper rated A-1 by Standard and Poor's Corporation or P-1 by Moody's Commercial Paper Record; bankers' acceptances; repurchase agreements; the Commonfund's Short-term Investment Fund, Intermediate Term Fund, Multi-Strategy Bond Fund, and Equity Fund (for endowment funds only) and specifically approved fixed income securities. The investment practice of the Foundation includes the use of the Commonfund Short-term, Intermediate, Multi-Strategy and International Equity Funds, Multi-Strategy and Global Bond Funds, Real Estate funds, and specifically approved fixed income securities.

# (g) Capital Assets

Real estate assets, including improvements, are generally stated at cost. Furnishings and equipment are stated at cost at date of acquisition or, in the case of gifts, at fair value at date of donation. Interest costs on debt related to capital assets are capitalized during the construction period.

Assets are depreciated using the straight-line method. The range of estimated useful lives by asset categories is summarized as follows:

Asset category	Years
Buildings	10 to 50
Furniture and equipment	3 to 10
Library books	10
Audiovisual media	5
Computer desktop software	3
Computer system software	10

The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not increase the value of the asset or materially extend assets lives are not capitalized.

# (h) Compensated Absences

Employees earn the right to be compensated during absences for vacation leave and sick leave. Accrued vacation is the amount earned by all eligible employees through the statement of net assets date. Upon retirement, these employees are entitled to receive payment for this accrued balance as defined in college policy and collective bargaining agreements.

# (i) Students' Deposits and Unearned Revenue

Deposits and advance payments received for tuition and fees related to certain summer programs and tuition received for the following academic year are deferred and are recorded as revenues when instruction is provided.

(A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2012 and 2011

#### (j) Student Fees

Included in student fees are general college fees of \$1,569,124 and \$1,605,308 for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, which have been designated for use by the various student organizations and activities.

#### (k) Tax Status

The College is exempt from federal and state taxes due to its status as an unincorporated association established by the Pennsylvania Community College Act of 1963 (the Act). Under the Act, community colleges are considered to be activities of the Commonwealth.

The Foundation is exempt from federal income taxes under Section 501(c)(3) of the *Internal Revenue Code*.

On July 15, 2007, the IRS determined the Foundation is also classified as a public charity under Sections 509(a)(1) and 170(b)(1)(A)(vi) of the *Internal Revenue Code* to serve as an organization responsible for College fund-raising activities.

# (1) Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### (m) Scholarship Discounts and Allowances

Student tuition and fee revenues, and certain other revenues from students are reported net of scholarship discounts and allowances in the statement of revenues, expenses and changes in net assets. Scholarship discounts and allowances are the difference between the stated charge for goods and services provided by the College and the amount that is paid by students and/or third parties making payments on students' behalf. Certain governmental grants are recorded as either operating or nonoperating revenues in the College's financial statements. To the extent that revenues from such programs are used to satisfy tuition and fees and other student charges, the College has recorded a scholarship discount and allowance.

## (n) Self Insurance

The Community College of Philadelphia Board of Trustees approved the College's participation in a self-insurance medical plan through Independence Blue Cross, which became effective September 1, 2009. A reinsurance limit of \$200,000 was put in place to limit institutional financial exposure for individuals with extraordinarily large claims in a policy year. As another component of the self-insurance approach, the College has established a self-insurance accrued liability account for possible large claim levels in the future.

(A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2012 and 2011

## (2) Deposits and Investments

The College invests its funds in accordance with the Board of Trustees' investment policy, which authorizes the College to invest in cash equivalents which consist of treasury bills, money market funds, commercial paper, bankers' acceptances, repurchase agreements, and certificates of deposit; fixed income securities including U.S. government and agency securities, corporate notes and bonds, asset-backed bonds, floating rate securities, and Yankee notes and bonds; and mutual funds including the Commonfund Multi-Strategy Bond Fund, and Commonfund Intermediate Fund. Regardless of fund classifications, certain general tenets apply. Investments in all classifications seek to maintain significant liquidity and maximize annual income for the College while avoiding excessive risk. Specific objectives include maintaining sufficient liquidity to meet anticipated cash needs and the preservation of principal. The College recognizes that it may be necessary to forego opportunities for potential large gains to achieve a reasonable risk posture. Certain investments are prohibited, including equity securities, commodities and futures contracts, private placements, options, limited partnerships, venture capital, tangible personal property, direct real estate, short selling, margin transactions, and certain derivative instruments. Diversification, insofar as it reduces portfolio risk, is required. At least annually, the Board of Trustees will review the investment policy and performance to determine any appropriate revisions.

Operating funds may be invested only in corporate bonds rated at minimum A – by Standard and Poor's or A3 by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. (Moody's) that are of U.S. dollar denomination. Investments in asset-backed and mortgage-backed bonds are limited to those rated AAA/Aaa. Investments in commercial paper must be rated A1/P1 or better. The maximum percentage of investments in any one sector is limited to 100% for U.S. government and agency, 25% for asset-backed bonds, 40% for corporate notes and bonds, and 25% for mortgage-backed bonds.

Deposits are comprised of demand deposit accounts with financial institutions. At both June 30, 2012 and 2011 cash on hand was \$4,000. At June 30, 2012 and 2011, the carrying amount of deposits was \$11,558,871 and \$13,667,678, and the bank balance was \$12,750,839 and \$16,507,059, respectively. The differences were caused primarily by items in transit. Deposits of \$1,000,000 were covered by federal depository insurance of \$250,000 for each of four bank accounts at both June 30, 2012 and 2011.

Demand deposits include \$9,680 and \$9,709 in restricted cash at June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, which represents unused proceeds of the 2006 Series Community College Revenue Bonds, held in a separate account to be used for specific state-approved capital projects and included in cash and cash equivalents in the accompanying statements of net assets.

(A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

## Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2012 and 2011

The following is the carrying value (fair value) of deposits and investments at June 30, 2012:

	_	College	Foundation
Deposits:			
Demand deposits	\$	11,558,871	101,296
Investments:			
Certificate of deposit		5,121,724	
U.S. Treasury obligations		3,808,668	
U.S. government agency obligations		1,989,271	_
Corporate bonds		4,267,480	
Intermediate fixed income mutual fund		5,470,918	1,919,205
Multi-strategy equity mutual fund			4,510,528
Multi-strategy bond mutual fund		5,227,307	
Money market mutual funds		2,150,000	2,670,446
Total deposits and investments	\$	39,594,239	9,201,475

The following is the carrying value (fair value) of deposits and investments at June 30, 2011:

	_	College	Foundation
Deposits:			
Demand deposits	\$	13,667,678	187,913
Investments:			
Certificate of deposit		5,078,075	
U.S. Treasury obligations		3,083,999	
U.S. Government agency obligations		1,519,011	
Corporate bonds		4,753,326	
Intermediate fixed income mutual fund		2,404,591	1,736,068
Multi-strategy equity mutual fund			4,460,508
Multi-strategy bond mutual fund		5,085,955	· · · · —
Money market mutual funds		2,272,159	1,743,486
Total deposits and investments	\$	37,864,794	8,127,975

In addition to the deposits and investments listed above, the College also has bond proceeds available for campus construction held by Sovereign Bank, the State Public School Building Authority, and the Bank of New York (the trustees), under the terms of various bond indentures. Bond proceeds available for campus construction are carried in the financial statements at fair value and consist of short-term investments and government securities. As of June 30, 2012 and 2011, bond proceeds available for campus construction include the following:

	 2012	2011
Construction funds	\$ 2,947,510	16,908,811

(A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2012 and 2011

The College's investments are subject to various risks. Among these risks are custodial credit risk, credit risk, and interest rate risk. Each one of these risks is discussed in more detail below.

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the College's deposits may not be returned to the College. The College does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk. Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Act 72 of 1971, as amended, allows banking institutions to satisfy the collateralization requirement by pooling eligible investments to cover total public funds on deposit in excess of federal insurance. Such pooled collateral is pledged with the financial institutions' trust departments. At June 30, 2012 and 2011, the College's bank balance was exposed to custodial credit risk as follows:

	_	2012	2011
Uninsured and collateral held by pledging bank's trust			
department not in the College's name	\$	11,750,839	15,507,059

The College participates in the Certificate of Deposit Account Registry Service (CDARS) for its certificate of deposit. CDARS allows the College to access FDIC insurance on multi-million dollar certificates of deposit and to earn rates that compare favorably to Treasuries and money market mutual funds. Custodial credit risk has been eliminated for the College's certificate of deposit as a result of its participation in the CDARS program.

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer or other counterparty to an investment will not fulfill its obligations. The credit risk of a debt instrument is measured by nationally recognized statistical rating agencies such as Moody's.

The multi-strategy bond fund and the intermediate fixed income fund are mutual funds managed by the Commonfund. The credit quality of the investments that comprise these funds are:

	June 30, 2012		
	Multi-Strategy Bond	Intermediate	
Government	13%	23%	
Agency	25	14	
AAA	11	20	
AA	3	9	
A	12	20	
BBB	14	8	
Below BBB	12	6	
Non-Rated/Other	10		
Total	100%	100%	

(A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

# Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2012 and 2011

	<b>June 30, 2011</b>		
	Multi-Strategy Bond	Intermediate	
Government	19%	21%	
Agency	24	13	
AAA	9	29	
AA	3	8	
A	12	20	
BBB	10	3	
Below BBB	9	6	
Non-Rated/Other	14		
Total	100%	100%	

The credit quality of the fixed income investments in which the College directly invests, including U.S. Treasury obligations, U.S. government agency obligations, and corporate bonds is as follows:

	June 30, 2012 Fixed income securities
Aaa	55
Aa	10
A	18
Below BBB	12
Nonrated/other	5
Total	100%

	June 30, 2011 Fixed income securities
Aaa	49
Aa	15
A	26
Baa	10
Below BBB	
Nonrated/other	
Total	100%

(A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2012 and 2011

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of fixed income investments. The College's investment policy does not specifically address limitations in the maturities of investments. The weighted average maturities of the College's fixed income investments at June 30, 2012 are as follows:

	<b>June 30, 2012</b>
	Weighted
	average
	maturity (years)
	<u> </u>
Certificate of deposit	0.18
U.S. Treasury obligations	3.81
U.S. government agency obligations	3.25
Corporate bonds	5.03

#### (3) Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable include the following at June 30:

		2012		20	11
	_	College	Component unit foundation	College	Component unit foundation
Tuition and fee receivables	\$	4,692,876		4,314,942	
Grants receivable		6,441	56,461	135,275	
Other receivables		1,530,606	_	1,705,870	
Pledges receivable			2,796,534		1,170,481
Receivable from foundation	_	191,938		157,978	
		6,421,861	2,852,995	6,314,065	1,170,481
Less allowance for doubtful					
accounts	_	(2,441,993)	(125,789)	(1,826,279)	(87,479)
Total	\$_	3,979,868	2,727,206	4,487,786	1,083,002

The College anticipates that all of its accounts receivable will be collected within one year.

Accounts receivable, tuition and fees and other are reported at net realizable value. Accounts are written off when they are determined to be uncollectible based upon management's assessment of individual accounts. The allowance for doubtful accounts is estimated based on the College's historical losses and periodic review of individual accounts. The allowance was \$2,441,993 and \$1,826,279 for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. \$1,158,880 of the Foundation's pledges receivable are expected to be collected subsequent to June 30, 2013, generally on a five-year payment schedule.

(A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2012 and 2011

## (4) Loans Receivable

As of June 30, 2012, the remaining student loans receivable were assigned to the Department of Education for collection, and the College no longer participates in the Perkins Loan program.

# (5) Capital Assets

Capital assets consist of the following at June 30, 2012:

Balance July 1, 2011	Additions	Retirements and adjustments	Balance June 30, 2012
\$ 25,228,503	2,843,732		28,072,235
36,306,328	13,854,610	(32,570,498)	17,590,440
705,208			705,208
62,240,039	16,698,342	(32,570,498)	46,367,883
172,083,578	30,425,278		202,508,856
32,782,952	6,427,488	(357,110)	38,853,330
4,480,982	176,923		4,657,905
1,659,434	12,276		1,671,710
, ,	4,850		4,039,594
6,995,089	1,085,579		8,080,668
222,036,779	38,132,394	(357,110)	259,812,063
\$ 284,276,818	54,830,736	(32,927,608)	306,179,946
	\$ 25,228,503 36,306,328 705,208 62,240,039 172,083,578 32,782,952 4,480,982 1,659,434 4,034,744 6,995,089 222,036,779	July 1,       Additions         \$ 25,228,503       2,843,732         36,306,328       13,854,610         705,208       —         62,240,039       16,698,342         172,083,578       30,425,278         32,782,952       6,427,488         4,480,982       176,923         1,659,434       12,276         4,034,744       4,850         6,995,089       1,085,579         222,036,779       38,132,394	July 1, 2011         Additions         and adjustments           \$ 25,228,503 36,306,328 36,306,328 705,208         13,854,610 32,570,498)         (32,570,498)           62,240,039         16,698,342         (32,570,498)           172,083,578 32,782,952 6,427,488 32,782,952 6,427,488 (357,110)         (357,110)           4,480,982 176,923 — 4,034,744 4,850 — 6,995,089 1,085,579 — 2         (357,110)           222,036,779 38,132,394 (357,110)

Accumulated depreciation by asset categories is summarized as follows:

	Balance July 1, 2011	Depreciation	Retirements	Balance June 30, 2012
Buildings and improvements	\$ 78,485,686	5,527,673	_	84,013,359
Equipment and furniture	25,062,061	3,371,557	(404,833)	28,028,785
Library books	3,702,828	153,871	_	3,856,699
Microforms	1,621,003	20,283	_	1,641,286
Software	3,525,984	10,330	(127,382)	3,408,932
System software	4,890,802	855,560		5,746,362
Total	\$ 117,288,364	9,939,274	(532,215)	126,695,423
Net capital assets				\$ 179,484,523

(A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2012 and 2011

Capital assets consist of the following at June 30, 2011:

	Balance July 1,	A J J!4! o o	Retirements and	Balance June 30,
	2010	Additions	adjustments	2011
Capital assets not depreciated:				
Land and improvements	\$ 21,942,648	3,285,855		25,228,503
Construction in progress	37,504,052	31,261,630	(32,459,354)	36,306,328
Works of art	705,208			705,208
	60,151,908	34,547,485	(32,459,354)	62,240,039
Capital assets being depreciated:				
Buildings and improvements	137,323,474	34,760,104		172,083,578
Equipment and furniture	30,157,401	2,625,551		32,782,952
Library books	4,313,331	167,651		4,480,982
Microforms	1,651,209	10,177	(1,952)	1,659,434
Software	3,391,260	643,484		4,034,744
System software	6,720,122	274,967		6,995,089
Total before				
depreciation	183,556,797	38,481,934	(1,952)	222,036,779
	\$ 243,708,705	73,029,419	(32,461,306)	284,276,818

Accumulated depreciation by asset categories is summarized as follows:

	Balance July 1, 2010	Depreciation	Retirements	Balance June 30, 2011
Buildings and improvements	\$ 74,323,238	4,162,448	_	78,485,686
Equipment and furniture	22,662,336	2,399,725	_	25,062,061
Library books	3,549,608	153,220	_	3,702,828
Microforms	1,596,231	24,772	_	1,621,003
Software	3,388,944	137,040	_	3,525,984
System software	4,108,103	782,699		4,890,802
Total	\$ 109,628,460	7,659,904		117,288,364
Net capital assets				166,988,454

(A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2012 and 2011

# (6) Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities consisted of the following at June 30:

		20	)12	2011		
	_	College	Component unit foundation	College	Component unit foundation	
Category:						
Vendors and others	\$	6,163,431	32,114	6,809,869	10,414	
Accrued salaries		2,876,364	_	3,044,490		
Accrued benefits		2,198,328	_	1,950,202		
Compensated absences		3,174,843	_	3,245,381	_	
Retirement incentive						
payments		566,178		643,994		
Payroll withholding taxes		118,315				
Accrued Interest		373,253		404,207		
Payable to college	_		191,938		157,978	
Total	\$_	15,470,712	224,052	16,098,143	168,392	

Retirement incentive payments are described in note 10.

Long-term liability activity for the year ended June 30, 2012 was as follows:

2012		Beginning balance	Additions	Deductions	Total ending balance	Current portion
Long-term liabilities:						
Accrued liabilities	\$	16,098,143	2,123,491	(2,750,922)	15,470,712	14,173,138
Payable to government agencies		1,111,529	1,607,271	(300,077)	2,418,723	2,418,723
Capital lease obligation		5,629,277	3,954,516	(2,404,177)	7,179,616	2,141,430
Long-term debt		97,073,020	1,000,000	(7,852,047)	90,220,973	8,257,274
Unamortized bond premium		348,870	_	(49,637)	299,233	49,637
Other post-employment						
benefits	_	22,614,325	7,611,002		30,225,327	
	\$_	142,875,164	16,296,280	(13,356,860)	145,814,584	27,040,202

(A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2012 and 2011

Long-term liability activity for the year ended June 30, 2011 was as follows:

2011		Beginning balance	Additions	Deductions	Total ending balance	Current portion
Long-term liabilities:						
Accrued liabilities	\$	19,921,650	5,898,414	(9,721,921)	16,098,143	14,678,401
Payable to government agencies		2,698,376	20,667	(1,607,514)	1,111,529	814,779
Capital lease obligation		5,974,640	1,596,217	(1,941,580)	5,629,277	1,795,791
Long-term debt		104,550,000	_	(7,476,980)	97,073,020	7,744,495
Unamortized bond premium		398,507	_	(49,637)	348,870	49,637
Other post-employment						
benefits	-	16,575,690	6,038,635		22,614,325	
	\$	150,118,863	13,553,933	(20,797,632)	142,875,164	25,083,103

# **(7) Debt**

The College's debt financing is primarily provided through Community College Revenue Bonds issued by the Hospitals and Higher Education Facilities Authority and the State Public School Building Authority.

Debt consisted of the following at June 30, 2012:

	Balance July 1, 2011	Additions	Principal payments	Balance June 30, 2012	Current portion
1999 Series (a)	\$ 3,705,000	_	(1,180,000)	2,525,000	1,235,000
2003 Series (b)	1,570,000	_	(770,000)	800,000	800,000
2006 Series (c)	1,815,000	_	(295,000)	1,520,000	315,000
2007 Series (d)	23,980,000		(1,795,000)	22,185,000	1,870,000
2008 Series (e)	64,930,002		(3,440,002)	61,490,000	3,575,000
SPSBA Loan(f)	1,073,018	_	(278,718)	794,300	271,215
SPSBA Loan (g)		1,000,000	(93,327)	906,673	191,059
	\$ 97,073,020	1,000,000	(7,852,047)	90,220,973	8,257,274

Debt consisted of the following at June 30, 2011:

	Balance July 1, 2010	Additions	Principal payments	Balance June 30, 2011	Current portion
1999 Series (a)	\$ 4,835,000	_	(1,130,000)	3,705,000	1,180,000
2003 Series (b)	2,310,000	_	(740,000)	1,570,000	770,000
2006 Series (c)	2,100,000	_	(285,000)	1,815,000	295,000
2007 Series (d)	25,710,000	_	(1,730,000)	23,980,000	1,795,000
2008 Series (e)	68,245,000	_	(3,314,998)	64,930,002	3,440,000
SPSBA Loan (f)	1,350,000		(276,982)	1,073,018	264,495
	\$ 104,550,000		(7,476,980)	97,073,020	7,744,495

(A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2012 and 2011

Future annual principal and interest payments at June 30, 2012 are as follows:

	_	Principal	Interest	<b>Total</b>
June 30:				
2013	\$	8,257,274	4,761,154	13,018,428
2014		7,789,962	4,354,788	12,144,750
2015		5,557,893	3,998,189	9,556,082
2016		5,518,993	3,745,475	9,264,468
2017		5,491,851	3,480,807	8,972,658
2018		5,495,000	3,178,241	8,673,241
2019		5,020,000	2,900,561	7,920,561
2020		5,265,000	2,654,799	7,919,799
2021		5,520,000	2,390,801	7,910,801
2022		5,815,000	2,105,850	7,920,850
2023		6,130,000	1,792,390	7,922,390
2024		4,320,000	1,461,600	5,781,600
2025		4,580,000	1,202,400	5,782,400
2026		4,855,000	927,600	5,782,600
2027		5,150,000	636,300	5,786,300
2028	_	5,455,000	327,300	5,782,300
	\$_	90,220,973	39,918,255	130,139,228

# (a) 1999 Series

Under a loan agreement, dated May 18, 1999, with the Hospitals and Higher Education Facilities Authority the College borrowed \$9,555,000 of 1999 Community College Revenue Bonds. Of the total obligation, \$9,060,419 (net of bond discount and issuance cost of \$233,817) was used to purchase U.S. government securities, which were deposited in an irrevocable trust with an escrow agent to provide for future debt service payments on the 1994 Series A Bonds. As a result, that portion of the 1994 Series A Bonds is considered to be defeased and the related liability \$(8,380,000) has been removed from the statement of net assets. The 1999 Series Bonds are payable over 15 years at rates from 4.20% to 4.85% with an average annual debt service payment of \$1,356,513.

Principal payments required by the loan agreement are as follows:

2013	\$ 1,235,000
2014	1,290,000
	\$ 2,525,000

#### (b) 2003 Series

Under a loan agreement dated December 4, 2003, with the State Public School Building Authority, the College borrowed \$6,935,000 of Series A of 2003 Community College Revenue Bonds. The total

(A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2012 and 2011

obligation is to be used for the purpose of financing: (i) the acquisition and installation of an integrated computer system for Finance, Human Resources, and student use; (ii) upgrade to the existing computer network infrastructure to accommodate the new integrated computer system; (iii) the cost of software acquisition and training modules to implement the new integrated computer system; and (iv) the costs and expenses of issues the 2003 bonds. In addition to the bond proceeds, the authority contributed \$50,000 to the project. The funds from the authority were used to offset some of the issuance cost. The Bonds are scheduled to be repaid of a ten-year period through May 1, 2013 at interest rates that vary from 3.75% to 5.00%, with an average annual debt service payment of \$828,603.

Remaining principal payments required by the loan agreement are as follows:

2013	\$ 800,000
	\$ 800,000

# (c) 2006 Series

Under a loan agreement dated September 15, 2006 with the State Public School Building Authority, the College borrowed \$3,000,000 of 2006 Series Community College Revenue Bonds. Of the total obligation, \$3,000,000 went towards deferred maintenance including roof repairs (Bonnell, West, Gymnasium, Winnet Building, and West Philadelphia Regional Center); exterior brick repairs (Winnet Building and Gymnasium) and 16th Street sidewalk replacement. The College also received \$50,000 from the Authority that was applied to issuance cost. The Bonds are scheduled to be repaid over a 10-year period through June 20, 2017 at the interest rate of 4.5%, with an average annual debt service payment of \$349,372.

Remaining principal payments required by the loan agreement are as follows:

2013	\$ 315,000
2014	325,000
2015	340,000
2016	355,000
2017	185,000
	\$ 1,520,000

# (d) 2007 Series

Under a loan agreement dated February 21, 2007 with the State Public School Building Authority, the College borrowed \$30,525,000 of 2007 Community College Refunding Revenue Bonds. Of the total obligation, \$30,525,000 (including bond premium net of bond discount and issuance cost of \$449,782) was used to purchase U.S. government securities, which were deposited in an irrevocable trust with an escrow agent to provide for future debt service payments on the 1998 Series Bonds and 2001 Series Bonds. As a result, that portion of the 1998 Series Bond and 2001 Series Bonds is

(A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2012 and 2011

considered to be defeased, and the related liability (\$6,730,000 and \$23,970,000, respectively) has been removed from the statement of net assets. The 1998 and 2001 Series Bonds were called as of November 1, 2011 and the related escrow with the trustee of the defeased bonds is zero. The 2007 Series Bonds are payable over  $16\frac{1}{2}$  years at rates from 4.00% to 5.00% with an average annual debt service payment of \$2,602,675.

Principal payments required by the loan agreement are as follows:

2013	\$ 1,870,000
2014	1,965,000
2015	2,055,000
2016	2,160,000
2017	2,270,000
2018 - 2022	9,810,000
2023 - 2024	 2,055,000
	\$ 22,185,000

#### (e) 2008 Series

Under a loan agreement dated October 9, 2008 with the State Public School Building Authority, the College borrowed \$74,770,000 of 2008 Series Community College Revenue Bonds. The bonds are being issued for the benefit of the College to finance a project consisting of: (a) the construction, equipping and furnishing of an approximately 45,000 square foot building for instructional facilities and student meeting spaces on the main campus of the college, and other capital projects related thereto; (b) the renovation and expansion of administrative buildings for the provision of student services on the main campus of the College; (c) the expansion of the campus facilities comprising the Northeast Regional Center of the College in Northeast Philadelphia; and (d) the payment of costs and expenses incident to the issuance of the bonds. The College also received \$50,000 from the State Public School Building Authority that was applied to issuance cost. The bonds are scheduled to be repaid over a 20-year period through June 15, 2028 at the interest rate of 3.0% to 6.25%, with an average annual debt service payment of \$6,064,257. \$1,220,787 of interest was capitalized during the year ending June 30, 2012 related to the campus construction.

(A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

#### Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2012 and 2011

Remaining principal payments required by the loan agreement are as follows:

2013	\$ 3,575,000
2014	3,775,000
2015	2,675,000
2016	2,795,000
2017	2,930,000
2018 - 2022	17,305,000
2023 - 2027	22,980,000
2028 - 2029	5,455,000
	\$ 61,490,000

# (f) Revolving Loan Obligation

Under a loan agreement dated February 26, 2010 with the State Public School Building Authority, the College borrowed \$1,350,000 for the purpose of completing three capital projects: Mint Building Masonry Renewal, West Building elevator renovations, and the replacement of the Northwest Regional Center chiller plant. The loan is scheduled to be repaid over a five-year period through May 15, 2015 at a fixed annual interest rate of 2.50% an average annual debt service payment of \$290,402.

Remaining principal payments required by the loan agreement are as follows:

		Principal
2013	\$	271,215
2014		278,038
2015	_	245,047
	\$	794,300

#### (g) Revolving Loan Obligation

Under a loan agreement dated July 15, 2011 with the State Public School Building Authority, the College borrowed \$1,000,000 for the purpose of completing the build out of 7,291 square feet of space to be leased adjacent to the current West Regional Center. The loan is scheduled to be repaid over a five-year period through July 15, 2016 at a fixed annual interest rate of 3.00% an average annual debt service payment of \$216,899.

(A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

#### Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2012 and 2011

Remaining principal payments required by the loan agreement are as follows:

2013	\$ 191,059
2014 2015	196,910 202,861
2016	208,993
2017	 106,850
	\$ 906,673

## (h) Operating Leases

The College leases certain equipment and property under operating lease arrangements that expire through 2025. Rental expense for operating leases was \$660,394 and \$216,916 for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Future minimum lease payments required under operating leases are as follows:

2013	\$ 719,384
2014	594,493
2015	395,517
2016	147,975
2017	152,414
2018 - 2025	 713,955
	\$ 2,723,738

#### (i) Capital Leases

The College leases certain equipment under capital lease arrangements that expire in 2023. These leases are recorded at the lower of cost or present value and amounted to \$7,179,616 and \$5,629,277 at June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Amortization charges of capital leases were \$2,404,177 and \$1,941,580 for the years ending June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

(A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2012 and 2011

Future minimum lease payments under capital leases are as follows:

	_	Principal	Interest	Total
June 30:				
2013	\$	1,853,431	288,319	2,141,750
2014		1,802,619	233,578	2,036,197
2015		1,277,638	179,463	1,457,101
2016		1,100,079	141,636	1,241,715
2017		941,666	109,995	1,051,661
2018 - 2022		2,824,640	234,456	3,059,096
2023		247,148	2,182	249,330
	\$_	10,047,221	1,189,629	11,236,850

# (8) (Payable to) Receivable from Government Agencies

(Payable to) receivable from government agencies includes the following at June 30:

	20	012	20	11
	Payable	Receivable	Payable	Receivable
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania: Provision for potential audit findings and				
C	79,599		80,891	_
Grants and special projects PHEAA for grants and	_	341,683	_	348,232
Stafford loans PHEAA for Perkins and	2,338,993	6,209	731,722	1,441
nursing loans			29,675	
	2,418,592	347,892	842,288	349,673
City of Philadelphia Grants Receivable Federal:	_	423,235	_	678,295
Perkins and nursing loans refundable	_	_	267,075	_
Financial aid programs	131	226,064	2,166	33,491
Grants and special projects		1,611,329		969,932
	131	2,260,628	269,241	1,681,718
Total	\$ 2,418,723	2,608,520	1,111,529	2,031,391

(A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2012 and 2011

#### (9) Restricted Net Assets

The College is the recipient of funds that are subject to various external constraints upon their use, either as to purpose or time. These funds are comprised of the following at June 30:

		20	12	2011			
		Component unit College foundation		College	Component unit foundation		
Restricted – nonexpendable:							
Endowment funds	\$	_	5,024,844	_	4,778,174		
Gift annuity	_		7,860		7,761		
			5,032,704		4,785,935		
Restricted – expendable:							
Scholarships and awards			1,728,346		725,463		
Capital program	_	1,364,726	3,392,412	730,624	1,902,578		
	_	1,364,726	5,120,758	730,624	2,628,041		
Total	\$_	1,364,726	10,153,462	730,624	7,413,976		

### (10) Employee Benefits

#### (a) Defined Benefit Plans

Retirement benefits are provided for substantially all employees through payments to one of the board-authorized retirement programs. The authorized pension plans at June 30, 2012 and 2011 are the Teachers' Insurance and Annuity Association – College Retirement Equities Fund (TIAA-CREF) and Fidelity Investments. Although the College no longer offers participation in the State Employees Retirement System (SERS) or the Pennsylvania Public School Employees Retirement System (PSERS), it has grandfathered continued participation for those employees currently enrolled. The College has 7 employees participating in the SERS and 19 employees in the PSERS. The collective bargaining agreements with the full-time faculty and classified employees made a provision for early retirement for those 55 to 59 years old whose age and years of service add up to 70 or more. This option expired on August 31, 2011 and will be fully dispensed by August 31, 2012.

The PSERS and SERS are defined benefit plans and are administered by the Commonwealth as established under legislative authority. Contributions are made by employees, the College, and the Commonwealth according to the schedule below. Death benefits are available to employee beneficiaries according to various options at time of death. The financial statements for PSERS and SERS can be obtained from the following: Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Public School Employees' Retirement System, 5 North Fifth Street, P.O. Box 125, Harrisburg, PA 17108-0125; and Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, State Employees' Retirement System, 30 North Third Street, P.O. Box 1147, Harrisburg, PA 17108-1147.

(A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2012 and 2011

Additionally, a retirement incentive option is available to employees 62 or older, who have completed at least 15 years of full-time service, and whose combined age and years of service equal at least 80. At June 30, 2012, there were 8 people who accepted the early retirement and incentive options, the present value of future payments of \$566,178 and \$643,994 has been accrued at June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Future payments in the next two fiscal years are expected to be \$237,641 and \$143,093, respectively.

#### (b) Defined Contribution Plans

The College also sponsors two defined contribution plans, and as such, benefits depend solely on amounts contributed to the plan plus investment earnings. Full-time faculty and administrative employees are eligible to participate from the date of employment, and clerical employees have a one-year waiting period. Participation is mandatory for full-time faculty and administrative employees upon reaching the age of 30 or after two years of employment, whichever is the later date. Participation is mandatory for full-time classified and confidential employees upon reaching the age of 30 or after four years of employment, whichever is the later date. Part-time faculty may participate after earning four seniority units, as defined in the collective bargaining agreement. College policy and collective bargaining agreements require that both the employee and the College contribute amounts, as set forth below, based on the employee's earnings.

The College's contributions for each employee (and interest allocated to the employee's accounts) are fully vested. Death benefits in the amount of the full present value of accumulation are provided to the beneficiary of a participant who dies prior to retirement. Various payment options are available. The College has 1,212 employees participating in this program.

(A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2012 and 2011

The payroll for employees covered by the four plans was \$63,747,455 and \$66,717,461; and the College's total payroll is \$76,796,463 and \$78,167,782 at June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Contributions made by the College during fiscal 2011 and 2012 totaled \$5,674,189 and \$5,503,258, respectively, representing 8.50% and 8.63%, respectively, of covered payroll. College employees contributed \$4,986,204 and \$4,955,611, respectively. A summary of retirement benefits follows:

Type of employee Defined contribution plans		PSERS	SERS		
College contribution:					
Full-time faculty	10% of base contract	4.325% of all earnings	6.99% of all earnings		
Visiting lecturers	5% of base contract	N/A	N/A		
Part-time faculty	5% of all earnings	N/A	N/A		
Administrators and	-				
other staff	10% of base contract	4.325% of all earnings	6.99% of all earnings		
Others	10% of annual salary	4.325% of all earnings	6.99% of all earnings		
Employee contribution	5% of base salary	Members prior to	6.25% of all earnings		
		July 22, 1983:			
		6.5% of all earnings			
		Members after			
		July 22, 1983:			
		7.5% of all earnings			

#### **Other Post-employment Benefits Liability**

#### (c) Plan Description

The College's Retirement Benefits Plan is a single-employer plan, which offers board-authorized post-employment benefits, other than pension, to eligible retirees. The plan provides post-retirement medical, prescription drug, dental, and life insurance benefits. The plan is unfunded and no financial report is prepared. These benefits are accounted for in accordance with GASB Statement No. 45, Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Post-employment Benefits Other Than Pensions.

#### (d) Funding Policy

The contribution requirements of plan members and the College are established and may be amended by the College's Board of Trustees. The plan is funded on a pay-as-you-go basis, i.e. premiums are paid to fund the health care benefits provided to current retirees. The College paid premiums of \$1,815,758 and \$1,589,866 for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Total retiree contributions made by plan members were \$601,079 and \$521,847 for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The Retiree drug Subsidy (RDS) was created as part of the 2003 federal law that created the Medicare prescription drug program and was included to encourage employers to retain the

40

(A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2012 and 2011

prescription benefits offered to Medicare-eligible retirees. Under the law, employers that retain prescription drug coverage for retirees that is at least equivalent to Medicare Part D coverage receive a subsidy from the U.S. government equal to 28% of the employer's annual drug costs that fall within a certain range. The College received payments of \$258,293 for fiscal year ending June 30, 2011 and \$210,727 for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2012.

The College also provides life insurance for retirees until the end of the contract year in which the employee turns 65 years of age. Contract year is defined as fiscal year for Administrators/ Confidential and Academic year for Faculty/Classified. The College paid premiums of \$13,743, covering 38 retirees for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2011 and \$10,925, covering 33 retirees for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2012.

# (e) Annual OPEB Cost and Net OPEB Obligation

The College's annual other post-employment benefit (OPEB) cost (expense) is calculated based on the annual required contribution of the employer (ARC), an amount actuarially determined in accordance with the parameters of GASB Statement No. 45. The ARC represents a level of funding that, if paid on an ongoing basis, is projected to cover normal cost each year and amortize any unfunded actuarial liabilities (or funding excess) over a period not to exceed 30 years. The following show the components of the Community College of Philadelphia's annual OPEB cost for the year, the amount actually contributed to the plan, and changes in the College's net OPEB obligation:

	_	2012	2011	2010
Annual required contribution	\$_	10,982,860	8,872,232	8,590,625
Annual OPEB cost (expense)		10,982,860	8,872,232	8,590,625
Contributions made	_	(3,371,858)	(2,833,597)	(2,391,154)
Increase in net OPEB obligation		7,611,002	6,038,635	6,199,471
Net OPEB obligation at July 1	_	22,614,325	16,575,690	10,376,219
Net OPEB obligation at June 30	\$	30,225,327	22,614,325	16,575,690

(A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2012 and 2011

The College's annual OPEB cost, the percentage of annual OPEB cost contributed to the plan, and the net OPEB obligation is as follows:

		Annual OPEB cost	Percentage of annual OPEB cost contributed	Net OPEB obligation
Fiscal year ended: June 30, 2012 June 30, 2011	\$	10,982,860 8,872,232	30.70% \$ 31.93	30,225,327 22,614,325
June 30, 2010		8,590,625	27.80	16,575,690

# (f) Funded Status and Funding Progress

Actuarial valuations of an ongoing plan involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of occurrence of events far into the future. Examples include assumptions about future employment, mortality, and the health care cost trend. Actuarial amounts determined regarding the funded status of the plan and the annual required contributions of the college are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared with past expectations and new estimates are made about the future. The funded status of the plan as of the most recent valuation date is as follows:

Actuarial value of assts	\$	
Actuarial accrued liability		103,846,976
Unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL)	\$	103,846,976
Funded ratio	_	
Funded ratio Annual covered payroll	\$	76,796,463

The schedule of funding progress, presented as required supplementary information following the notes to the financial statements, presents multiyear trend information about the plan's funding.

(A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2012 and 2011

#### (g) Actuarial Methods and Assumptions

The calculations are based on the types of benefits provided under the terms of the College's Retirement Benefits plan at the time of the valuation. The projection of benefits for financial reporting purposes does not explicitly incorporate the potential effects of legal or contractual funding limitations in the future. The actuarial methods and assumptions used include techniques that are designed to reduce the efforts of short-term volatility in actuarial accrued liabilities and the actuarial value of assets, consistent with the long-term perspective of the calculations. The following actuarial methods and significant assumptions were used for the July 1, 2011 valuation:

Actuarial cost method Projected unit credit Closed, level dollar amortization over 30 years Amortization method Remaining amortization period 25 years Discount rate 5.00% Medical trend rate 7.50% gradually decreasing to 4.50% in 2019 9.00% gradually decreasing to 4.50% in 2021 Prescription drug trend rate Dental trend rate 3.00% Mortality table RP-2000 healthy mortality table projected to 2012

#### (11) Commitments and Contingencies

Based upon the provisions of Act 46 enacted in 2005 and effective with the June 2007 fiscal year, the Commonwealth no longer audits the funding received. In lieu of the state audit, an enrollment verification and capital expenditure audit is completed by the College's independent auditor. The College has accrued for audit findings through 2006, the last year Commonwealth audits were performed.

The use of grant monies received is subject to compliance audits by the disbursing governmental agency. The College believes it is in compliance with all significant grant requirements.

The nature of the educational industry is such that, from time to time, the College is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; alleged negligence; acts of discrimination; breach of contract; labor disputes; disagreements arising from the interpretation of laws or regulations; theft of, damage to and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees; and natural disasters. While some of these claims may be for substantial amounts, they are not unusual in the ordinary course of providing educational services. The College addresses these risks by purchasing commercial insurance. The College's retention of risk is limited to the deductibles on its insurance policies which range from \$0 to \$150,000 per claim depending on the nature of the claim.

There have been no significant reductions in insurance coverage from the prior year. There have been no instances where a settlement amount exceeded the insurance coverage for each of the last three years. It is not expected that the resolution of any outstanding claims and litigation will have a material adverse effect on the accompanying financial statements.

(A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2012 and 2011

## (12) Operating Expenses

The College and Component Unit Foundation's operating expenses, on a natural classification basis, were comprised of the following:

		2012			_	2011			
		College		Component unit foundation		College		Component unit foundation	
Salaries	\$	76,796,463		291,330		78,167,782		114,641	
Benefits		32,061,700		63,823		32,499,671		29,945	
Contracted services		6,057,160		53,933		5,375,948		2,186	
Supplies		2,759,676		74,271		3,253,535		63,084	
Depreciation		9,764,169				7,659,904			
Student aid		10,014,970		321,383		7,376,466		280,352	
Other post retirement benefits		7,611,002				6,038,635			
Other	_	11,896,599		271,079	_	12,947,887		138,095	
Total	\$	156,961,739		1,075,819		153,319,828		628,303	

#### (13) City and State Appropriations

Appropriations from the Commonwealth and the City for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

	_	20	)12	20	11
		Operations	Capital	Operations	Capital
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania City of Philadelphia	\$	28,229,309 17,652,197	6,327,091 7,757,010	29,274,872 18,091,851	6,330,656 7,317,356
Total appropriations	\$_	45,881,506	14,084,101	47,366,723	13,648,012

#### (14) Pass-Through Grants

The College distributed \$41,269,869 in 2012 and \$36,717,869 in 2011 for student loans through the U.S. Department of Education Federal Direct Loan Program. These distributions and related funding sources are not included as expenses and revenues nor as cash disbursements and cash receipts in the accompanying financial statements.

(A Component Unit of the City of Philadelphia)

# **Schedule of Funding Progress**

Year ended June 30, 2012

Valuation date	 Actuarial value of assets (a)	Actuarial accrued liability (AAL) (b)	Unfunded AAL (b-a)	Funded ratio (a/b)	Covered payroll (c)	UAAL (OAAL) percentage of covered payroll ([a-b]/c)
July 1, 2007	\$ _	72,351,392	72,351,392	— \$	64,747,493	111.74%
July 1, 2009	_	81,337,622	81,337,622	_	73,489,322	110.68
July 1, 2011	_	103,846,976	103,846,976	_	76,796,463	135.22

Schedule of contributions from the College

Fiscal year	Annual required contribution	Contribution	Percentage contributed
June 30, 2008	\$ 7,257,715	2.063.042	28.43%
June 30, 2009	7,463,367	2,281,821	30.57
June 30, 2010	8,590,625	2,391,154	27.83
June 30, 2011	8,872,232	2,833,597	31.94
June 30, 2012	10,982,860	3,371,858	30.70

The information presented above was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated.

Actuarial cost method Asset valuation method Remaining amortization period	Projected Unit Credit N/A 25
Actuarial assumptions:	
Discount rate	5%
Medical cost trend rate	7.50% gradually decreasing to 4.50% in 2019
Prescription drug cost trend rate	9.00% gradually decreasing to 4.50% in 2021
Dental cost trend rate	3.00%
Mortality table	RP-2000 healthy mortality table projected to 2012

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

Year ended June 30, 2012

Federal grantor/pass-through grantor program or cluster title	CFDA number	Pass-through grantor number	Expenditures
Student financial assistance cluster: U.S. Department of Education: Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants Federal Work-Study Program Federal Pell Grant Program Federal Direct Loans	84.007 84.033 84.063 84.268	S	6 767,350 710,335 48,518,798 41,269,869
Total student financial assistance cluster			91,266,352
TRIO Cluster: U.S. Department of Education: TRIO – Student Support Services TRIO – Upward Bound	84.042A 84.047		246,343 284,835
Total TRIO Cluster			531,178
Other programs: U.S. Department of Education: Passed-through Philadelphia Youth Network: GEAR UP Passed-through School District of Philadelphia: GEAR UP	84.334 84.334	GA202 591-F11	14,734 5,439
Total GEAR UP			20,173
Title VIB	84.153		12,791
Strengthening Minority-Serving Institutions (Center for Male Engagement) Higher Education Institutional Aid (Predominantly Black	84.382		199,788
Institutions Formula Grant) Passed-through University of Pennsylvania Foundation:	84.031		855,264
Career and Technical Education – Basic Grants to States Passed-through Penn Lauder CIBER:	84.048	381-12-2020	979,844
International Business/Education Companion U.S. Department of Health and Human Services: Passed-through Drexel University:	84.220	P220A100038	2,500
Faculty Dev. Integrated Tech-Nursing Ed. U.S. Department of Labor:	93.361	HRSA5UIKHP09542-03-00	19,808
Job Ĉorps	17.211		90,194
Trade Adjustment Assistance Community College and Career Training (TAACCCT) Grants ARRA – Program of Competitive Grants for Worker Training and Placement in High Growth and Emerging	17.282		2,545,260
Industry Sectors (Pathways Out of Poverty) U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration	17.275		802,482
Eisenhower Community College Fellowship Passed-through School District of Philadelphia:	20.200		25,000
Garrett Morgan – Dual Enrollment Grant National Science Foundation:	20.215	496/F12	16,171
Passed-through Drexel University, Alliance for Minority Partnership (Educational and Human Resources) U.S. Small Business Administration:	47.076	HRD-0903924	17,512
SBA Earmark	59.006		43,148
Department of Commerce: Passed-through Urban Affairs Coalition and Drexel University: Philadelphia Freedom Ring	11.557	239298-3661	181,448
	11.33/		
Total expenditures of federal awards		S	97,608,913

See accompanying independent auditors' report.

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Year ended June 30, 2012

#### (1) Basis of Presentation

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (the SEFA) summarizes the expenditures of the Community College of Philadelphia (the College) under programs of the federal government for the year ended June 30, 2012. Because the SEFA presents only a selected portion of the operations of the College, it is not intended to, and does not, present the financial position, changes in net assets, and cash flows of the College.

For the purposes of the SEFA, federal awards include all grants, contracts, and similar agreements entered into directly between the College and agencies and departments of the federal government and all subawards to the College by nonfederal organizations pursuant to federal grants, contracts, and similar agreements. Federal awards are included in contracts and other exchange transactions on the accompanying statements of net assets.

# (2) Basis of Accounting

The accompanying SEFA is presented using the accrual basis of accounting. The information in the SEFA is presented in accordance with U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Therefore, some amounts presented in the SEFA may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the basic financial statements.

#### (3) Federal Student Loan Programs

Federally guaranteed loans issued to students of the College by financial institutions during the year ended June 30, 2012 totaled \$41,269,869. This amount has been included in the SEFA.

The College is responsible only for the performance of certain administrative duties with respect to federally guaranteed student loan programs, and accordingly, these loans are not included in its financial statements and it is not practical to determine the balance of loans outstanding to students and former students of the College under these programs.

The College has terminated its participation in the Federal Perkins Loan Program. There are no outstanding loans remaining under this program.

#### (4) Administrative Costs

The College's expenditures include administrative expenses of \$95,105 for Federal Pell Grants; \$33,825 for Federal Work Study; and \$36,540 for Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants.



#### KPMG LLP 1601 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-2499

# Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*

The Board of Trustees Community College of Philadelphia:

We have audited the financial statements of the business-type activities and the discretely presented component unit of the Community College of Philadelphia (the College), a component unit of the City of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2012, which collectively comprise the College's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon, dated September 28, 2012. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

### **Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

Management of the College is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the College's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the College's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the College's internal control over financial reporting.

A deficiency in internal control over financial reporting exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the College's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The



results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

We noted certain matters that we reported to management of the College in a separate letter dated September 28, 2012.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the Board of Trustees, others within the entity, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



September 28, 2012



#### KPMG LLP 1601 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-2499

Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance with Requirements That Could Have a Direct and Material Effect on Each Major Program and on Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133, and Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

The Board of Trustees Community College of Philadelphia:

### **Compliance**

We have audited the Community College of Philadelphia's (the College) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (*OMB*) *Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the College's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2012. The College's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of the College's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the College's compliance based on our audit.

Except as discussed in the following paragraph, we conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the College's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the College's compliance with those requirements.

As described in item 2012-02 in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs, we were unable to obtain sufficient documentation supporting the compliance of the College with requirements of the ARRA – Program of Competitive Grants for Worker Training and Placement in High Growth and Emerging Industry Sectors (Pathways Out of Poverty) (CFDA No. 17.275) regarding eligibility nor were we able to satisfy ourselves as to the College's compliance with those requirements by other auditing procedures.

As described in item 2012-01 in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs, the College did not comply with the requirements regarding Special Tests: Enrollment Reporting that are applicable to its Student Financial Assistance Cluster. Compliance with such requirements is necessary, in our opinion, for the College to comply with the requirements applicable to that program.

In our opinion, except for the effects of such noncompliance, if any, as might have been determined had we been able to examine sufficient evidence regarding the College's compliance with the requirements of the



ARRA-Program of Competitive Grants for Worker Training and Placement in High Growth and Emerging Industry Sectors (Pathways Out of Poverty) regarding eligibility, and the noncompliance described in the preceding paragraph, the College complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2012. The results of our auditing procedures also disclosed other instances of noncompliance with those requirements, which are required to be reported in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 2012-03, 2012-04, and 2012-05.

#### **Internal Control over Compliance**

Management of the College is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the College's internal control over compliance with the requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program to determine the auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the College's internal control over compliance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses, and therefore, there can be no assurance that all deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses have been identified. However, as discussed below, we identified deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. We consider the deficiencies in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 2012-01 and 2012-02 to be material weaknesses.

# **Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards**

We have audited the basic financial statements of the College as of and for the year ended June 30, 2012, and have issued our report thereon dated September 28, 2012 which contained an unqualified opinion on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming our opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the College's basic financial statements. We have not performed any procedures with respect to the audited financial statements subsequent to September 28, 2012. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally



accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditure of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

The College's responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. We did not audit the College's responses, and accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

This report is intended for the information and use of management, the Board of Trustees, others within the entity, federal awarding agencies, and pass-through entities, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

KPMG LLP

March 21, 2013

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs
Year ended June 30, 2012

# (1) Summary of Auditors' Results

- (a) The type of report issued on the basic financial statements: **Unqualified**
- (b) Significant deficiencies in internal controls were disclosed by the audit of the financial statements? **None reported**

Material weaknesses identified? No

- (c) Noncompliance that is material to the financial statements? **No**
- (d) Significant deficiencies in internal controls over major programs? None reported

Material weaknesses? Yes - findings 2012-01 and 2012-02

- (e) The type of report issued on compliance for major programs: **Unqualified**, except for:
  - ARRA Program of Competitive Grants for Worker Training and Placement in High Growth and Emerging Industry Sectors (Pathways out of Poverty) Program (CFDA No. 17.275) – Qualified – Scope Limitation
  - Student Financial Assistance Cluster Qualified
- (f) Any audit findings that are required to be reported under Section 510(a) of OMB Circular A-133? **Yes**
- (g) Major programs:

Program	CFDA #	 Expenditures
Student Financial Assistance Cluster	Various	\$ 91,266,352
ARRA - Program of Competitive Grants for Worker Training and Placement in High Growth and Emerging Industry Sector (Pathways Out of Poverty)	17.275	802,482
Higher Education Institutional Aid (Predominantly Black Institutions Formula Grant)	84.031	855,264
Trade Adjustment Assistance Community College and Career Training (TAACCCT) Grants	17.282	2,545,260

- (h) Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs: \$300,000
- (i) Auditee qualified as a low-risk auditee under Section 530 of OMB Circular A-133? No

# (2) Findings Related to the Financial Statements Reported in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

None reported.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs
Year ended June 30, 2012

# (3) Findings and Questioned Costs Related to Federal Awards

See findings 2012-01 through 2012-05

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs
Year ended June 30, 2012

Reference Number: 2012-01

**CFDA No:** 84.268

Program: Student Financial Aid Cluster

Federal Agency: U.S. Department of Education

Federal Award Numbers: P268K122090

**Award Period:** July 1, 2011 to June 30, 2012

**Compliance Requirement:** Enrollment Reporting (FFEL and Direct Loan)

#### Criteria

Under the Direct Loan program, schools must complete and return within 30 days the Enrollment Reporting roster file [formerly the Student Status Confirmation Report (SSCR)] placed in their Student Aid Internet Gateway (SAIG) mailboxes sent by ED via NSLDS (*OMB No. 1845-0035*). The institution determines how often it receives the Enrollment Reporting roster file with the default set at every two months, but the minimum is twice a year. Once received, the institution must update for changes in student status, report the date the enrollment status was effective, enter the new anticipated completion date, and submit the changes electronically through the batch method or the NSLDS web site. Institutions are responsible for timely reporting, whether they report directly or via a third-party servicer.

Unless the school expects to complete its next roster within 60 days, the school must notify the lender or the guaranty agency within 30 days, if it discovers that a student who received a loan either did not enroll or ceased to be enrolled on at least a half-time basis (34 CFR section 685.309).

#### Condition

For eleven (11) of the sample of 40 students' status changes were not properly reported to NSLDS. Additionally, 1 out of 40 sample student's status change was reported to NSLDS correctly, but not within the required timeframe.

#### Cause

The College has entered in to an agreement with the National Student Loan Clearinghouse (NSLC) for reporting student status changes to National Student Loan Data System (NSLDS). The College runs a report from its information system that it then submits to NSLC, which in turn reports any status changes to NSLDS. There was a programming change effective November 11, 2011 which caused inaccuracies in the College's reporting to NSLC.

#### **Effect**

The accuracy of Title IV student loan records depends heavily on the accuracy of the enrollment information reported by schools. Because inaccurate student status information was reported by the College, students' loans may not have appropriately entered repayment status.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs
Year ended June 30, 2012

#### Recommendation

We understand that the College has corrected the system error that resulted in the inaccurate reporting. However, we recommend that the College develop policies and procedures to verify the accuracy of reporting to the NSLC before data is submitted for processing.

# **Questioned Costs**

Questioned costs are not determinable.

# Views of Responsible Officials

See accompanying corrective action plan.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

Year ended June 30, 2012

Reference Number: 2012-02

CFDA No: 17.275

Program: ARRA - Program of Competitive Grants for Worker Training and

Placement in High Growth and Emerging Industry Sectors (Pathways Out

of Poverty)

Federal Agency: U.S. Department of Labor

Federal Award Numbers: GJ-19933-10-60-A-42

Award Period: January 29, 2010 to January 28, 2012

**Compliance Requirement:** Eligibility

#### Criteria

The College targeted disadvantaged individuals, veterans, and ex-offenders for recruitment into the program. The College's grant application specified that the eligibility criteria for its program were as follows: 1) residency in a targeted public micro-data area (PUMA); 2) unemployed or low income status and 3) possession of a General Equivalency Diploma (GED) or high school diploma.

#### **Condition**

In July 2011, the United States Department of Labor Employment and Training Administration (ETA) conducted a comprehensive review of the College's Pathways Out of Poverty program. The purpose of the review was to evaluate management and administration of the grant in order to determine if the program was operating in compliance with the grant agreement and in a manner that would ensure achievement of the grant goals and outcomes. The findings of the ETA included identified deficiencies in internal policies and documentation over eligibility. In response to the ETA report, the College responded by developing procedures to ensure that all necessary documents were obtained prior to participation in the program going forward.

We recommended in the prior year that the College continue with its corrective action plan developed in response to the ETA report, including the following initiatives:

- (1) Develop internal policies and procedures over participant file contents
- (2) Execute training initiatives over the developed internal policies and procedures over participant file contents
- (3) Implement management review of participant files to ensure that all files have the required signed Participant Agreement
- (4) Implement management review of all participant files to ensure eligibility documentation has been obtained in order to determine eligibility
- (5) Implement a timeframe in which participants have to furnish documents prior to being accepted into the program

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs
Year ended June 30, 2012

(6) Implement a clause within the Participant Agreement stating that if participants are unable to furnish required documentation, then dismissal from the program will occur

Although the ETA report recommended that the College correct its existing documentation deficiencies, because many of the participants were at that point no longer active in the program, such documentation remediation was difficult. The program then ended effective January 28, 2012, midway through the current fiscal year. Therefore, similar to the prior year, the College was unable to provide complete eligibility files.

#### Cause

The College historically lacked policies and procedures to consistently document eligibility and retain eligibility documentation. Although policies and procedures were subsequently adopted in accordance with the College's corrective action plan, the College was unable to remediate historical documentation issues prior to the program ending in January 2012.

#### **Effect**

We were unable to determine whether participants were eligible to participate in the program.

#### Recommendation

We have no further recommendation as the program ended effective January 28, 2012.

#### **Questioned Costs**

Questioned costs are not determinable.

#### Views of Responsible Officials

See accompanying corrective action plan.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

Year ended June 30, 2012

Reference Number: 2012-03

CFDA No: 17.275

Program: ARRA - Program of Competitive Grants for Worker Training and

Placement in High Growth and Emerging Industry Sectors (Pathways Out

of Poverty)

Federal Agency: U.S. Department of Labor

Federal Award Numbers: GJ-19933-10-60-A-42

Award Period: January 29, 2010 to January 28, 2012

**Compliance Requirement: Reporting** 

#### Criteria

Per the Grant Agreement, "The grantee must submit a quarterly progress report within 45 days after the end of each calendar year quarter. In order to submit these quarterly reports, grantees will be expected to track participant-level data regarding the individuals that are involved in training and other services provided through the grant and report on participants status in a variety of fields and outcome categories, as well as provide narrative information on the status of the grant."

#### Condition

We recommended in the prior year that the College develop and implement policies and procedures to reasonably ensure the collection of accurate statistical data for ETA-9123 reporting. The College had submitted two of its three reports (9/30/2011 and 12/31/2011) reports prior to the 2011 Single Audit report being issued.

Because the program ended effective January 28, 2012, the College submitted only the March 31, 2012 quarter-end report after corrective action was implemented.

#### Cause

Lack of clarity about when participants could appropriately be shown as "retained" under program requirements in the reports.

## **Effect**

The lack of clarity in gathering of statistical information caused the Form ETA-9153 to report inaccurate statistical data as well as inaccurate data surrounding the accomplishments of goals and objectives for two of three reports submitted during the fiscal year.

### Recommendation

We have no further recommendation due to the end of the program effective January 28, 2012.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year ended June 30, 2012

# **Questioned Costs**

Questioned costs are not determinable.

# Views of Responsible Officials

See accompanying corrective action plan.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs
Year ended June 30, 2012

Reference Number: 2012-04

CFDA No: 17.275

Program: ARRA - Program of Competitive Grants for Worker Training and

Placement in High Growth and Emerging Industry Sectors (Pathways out

of Poverty)

Federal Agency: U.S. Department of Labor

Federal Award Numbers: GJ-19933-10-60-A-42

Award Period: January 29, 2010 to January 28, 2012

**Compliance Requirement:** Subrecipient Monitoring

#### Criteria

Per the June 2012 OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement, "A pass-through entity is responsible for:

• Central Contractor Registration (CCR) – For ARRA subawards, identifying to first-tier subrecipients the requirement to register in the Central Contractor Registration, including obtaining a DUNS number, and maintaining the currency of that information (Section 1512(h) of ARRA and 2 CFR section 176.50(c)). This requirement pertains to the ability to report pursuant to Section 1512 of ARRA and is not a pre-award eligibility requirement. Note that subrecipients of non-ARRA funds are not required to register in CCR prior to or after award.

#### **Condition**

In the prior year, we recommended that the College ensure that future subawards of ARRA funds include identification of the CCR requirements. The program ended on January 28, 2012, so no additional subawards of ARRA funds were made under the program, and no action could be taken with regard to the existing subawards.

#### Cause

The College believed that its two subrecipients were program partners and not subrecipients because they had been included in the grant application that was approved by the federal government. Therefore, the College believed that notifying the entities about the requirement for registration with the Central Contractor Registration system was not required.

#### Effect

The College did not fulfill its responsibility to notify its first-tier subrecipients of the CCR requirements.

#### Recommendation

We have no further recommendation as the program ended effective January 28, 2012.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs
Year ended June 30, 2012

# **Questioned Costs**

There are no questioned costs associated with this finding.

# Views of Responsible Officials

See accompanying corrective action plan.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs
Year ended June 30, 2012

Reference Number: 2012-05

CFDA No: 84.031

Program: Higher Education Institutional Aid (Predominantly Black

**Institutions Formula Grant)** 

Federal Agency: U.S. Department of Education

Federal Award Numbers: P-031P100005

Award Period: October 1, 2010 to September 30, 2012

**Compliance Requirement:** Procurement, Suspension and Debarment

#### Criteria

Per OMB guidance in 2 CFR part 180, which implements Executive Orders 12549 and 12689, nonfederal entities are prohibited from contracting with or making sub-awards under covered transactions to parties that are suspended or debarred or whose principals are suspended or debarred. "Covered transactions" include those procurement contracts for goods and services awarded under a nonprocurement transaction (e.g., grant or cooperative agreement) that are expected to equal or exceed \$25,000 or meet certain other specified criteria. 2 CFR section 180.220 of the government-wide nonprocurement debarment and suspension guidance contains those additional limited circumstances. All nonprocurement transactions (i.e., sub-awards to subrecipients), irrespective of award amount, are considered covered transactions.

When a nonfederal entity enters into a covered transaction with an entity at a lower tier, the nonfederal entity must verify that the entity is not suspended or debarred or otherwise excluded. This verification may be accomplished by checking the *Excluded Parties List System (EPLS)* maintained by the General Services Administration (GSA), collecting a certification from the entity, or adding a clause or condition to the covered transaction with that entity (2 CFR section 180.300). The information contained in the EPLS is available in printed and electronic formats. The printed version is published monthly. Copies may be obtained by purchasing a yearly subscription from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402, or by calling the Government Printing Office Inquiry and Order Desk at (202) 783-3238. The electronic version can be accessed on the Internet (http://epls.arnet.gov).

#### Condition

We recommended in the prior year the College to enhance its policies and procedures to ensure that the College documents its determination around suspension and debarment for all covered vendors and subrecipients.

All three vendors for the Higher Education Institution Aid (Predominantly Black Institutions Formula Grant) program for which expenditures were over the \$25,000 threshold for suspension and debarment determination (\$334,807 in total), the College was unable to provide documentation of its determination as to whether the vendor had been suspended or debarred prior to entering into the contract. All three of these contracts were entered into prior to implementation of the College's corrective action plan in March 2012.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs
Year ended June 30, 2012

#### Cause

The College's policies historically required a check of the EPLS system prior to execution of a contract with a vendor; however, documentation of such checks was generally not maintained, and the College's standard vendor agreement did not include a suspension and debarment clause prior to March 2012, subsequent to the contracts with all three vendors noted above being executed.

#### Effect

The College entered into agreements with vendors for the Predominantly Black Institutions Formula Grant without first documenting its review of whether the entities were suspended or debarred.

#### Recommendation

We have no further recommendation as corrective action was implemented in March 2012.

#### **Questioned Costs**

We reviewed the EPLS system and noted that none of the vendors tested was on the suspended or debarred list. Therefore, no questioned costs are associated with this finding.

#### Views of Responsible Officials

See accompanying corrective action plan.

1700 Spring Garden Street Philadelphia, PA 19130-3991 215.751.8000 www.ccp.edu

# JUNE 30, 2012 A-133 AUDIT REPORT INSTITUTIONAL CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN

FINDING: 2012-01 84.268 Compliance Requirement: Enrollment Reporting (FFEL and Direct Loan)

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

We understand that the College has corrected the system error that resulted in the inaccurate reporting. However, we recommend that the College develop policies and procedures to verify the accuracy of reporting to the NSLC before data is submitted for processing.

#### **COLLEGE RESPONSE:**

The College concurs with this finding. A customized query was used to generate the electronic file for Enrollment Reporting. On November 11, 2011 a revised query was placed in production. The programming edits in this revised query inadvertently affected the computation of some students' certain enrollment statuses.

#### **CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN:**

A new report was created by Information Technology Services in November 2012. The report has been tested and access to the file is restricted to authorized staff only. An approval process and testing plan is in place to ensure accuracy of future updates, modifications and transmissions. Director of Student Records and Registration, Bonnie Harrington will have overall report supervision of the submission process.

1700 Spring Garden Street Philadelphia, PA 19130-3991 215.751.8000 www.ccp.edu

# JUNE 30, 2012 A-133 AUDIT REPORT INSTITUTIONAL CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN

FINDING: 2012-02 17.275 ARRA – Program of Competitive Grants for Worker Training and Placement in High Growth and Emerging Industry Sectors (Pathways Out of Poverty)

#### RECOMMENDATION:

We have no further recommendation as the program ended effective January 28, 2012.

#### **COLLEGE RESPONSE:**

The College concurs with this finding. However, it should be noted that the necessary corrective action was immediately implemented once the College was made aware of the condition.

## **CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN:**

1700 Spring Garden Street Philadelphia, PA 19130-3991 215.751.8000 www.ccp.edu

# JUNE 30, 2012 A-133 AUDIT REPORT INSTITUTIONAL CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN

FINDING: 2012-03 17.275 ARRA – Program of Competitive Grants for Worker Training and Placement in High Growth and Emerging Industry Sectors (Pathways Out of Poverty)

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

We have no further recommendation as the program ended effective January 28, 2012.

## **COLLEGE RESPONSE:**

The College concurs with this finding. However, it should be noted that the necessary corrective action was immediately implemented once the College was made aware of the condition.

#### **CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN:**

1700 Spring Garden Street Philadelphia, PA 19130-3991 215.751.8000 www.ccp.edu

# JUNE 30, 2012 A-133 AUDIT REPORT INSTITUTIONAL CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN

FINDING: 2012-04 17.275

ARRA – Program of Competitive Grants for Worker Training and Placement in High Growth and Emerging Industry Sectors (Pathways Out of Poverty)

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

We have no further recommendation as the program ended effective January 28, 2012.

#### **COLLEGE RESPONSE:**

The College concurs with this finding. However, it should be noted that the necessary corrective action was immediately implemented once the College was made aware of the condition.

## **CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN:**

1700 Spring Garden Street Philadelphia, PA 19130-3991 215.751.8000 www.ccp.edu

# JUNE 30, 2012 A-133 AUDIT REPORT INSTITUTIONAL CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN

FINDING: 2012-05 84.031

Higher Education Aid (Predominantly Black Institutions Formula Grant)

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

We have no further recommendation as corrective action was implemented in March 2012.

## **COLLEGE RESPONSE:**

The College concurs with this finding. However, it should be noted that the necessary corrective action was immediately implemented once the College was made aware of the condition.

## **CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN:**

1700 Spring Garden Street Philadelphia, PA 19130-3991 215.751.8000 www.ccp.edu

## COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHAILADELPHIA

Summary Status of Prior Year Findings June 30, 2012

Agency	US Department of Labor
Fiscal Year	2011
Reference	
Number	2011-01
Related Prior	n/a
Year Findings	
Program Name	ARRA - Program Grants for Worker Training and Placement in High Growth and
(CFDA No.)	Emerging Industry Sectors (Pathways out of Poverty) (CFDA # 17.275)
Type of Finding	
Compliance	Eligibility
Requirement(s)	
Criteria	The College targeted disadvantaged individuals, veterans, and ex-offenders for recruitment into the program. The College's grant application specified that the eligibility criteria for its program were as follows: 1) residency in a targeted public micro data area (PUMA); 2) unemployed or low income status and 3) possession of a GED or high school diploma
Condition	<ul> <li>We selected a sample of 50 participants to test for eligibility and found the following:</li> <li>The College was unable to provide a complete eligibility file for 44 out of 50 participants selected. Specifically (some files are included in more than one item below):</li> <li>28 of 50 participant files did not have documentation of a High School Diploma, GED certification, and/or transcript from an institution of Education to determine if the participant met the GED or high school diploma requirement. However, for 9 of these files, the College was able to obtain verification in February 2012 that the participant met this requirement prior to participation in the program.</li> <li>Ten of 50 participant files did not have documentation of identification such as a State Identification Card or Driver's License. However, for 9 of these files, the College was able to provide selective service registration as proof of residency in a targeted PUMA.</li> <li>Two of 50 participant files did not have documentation of unemployment or low income status.</li> </ul>

	Additionally, the College's policy was to perform a criminal background check on all
	applicants to the program to determine whether they were ex-offenders. One of 50
	participant files did not have documentation of a criminal background check having been
	performed on the participant.
	Finally, the College asked all applicants to sign a participation agreement to document the
	responsibilities of the participant and the College. Seventeen of 50 participant files did not
	include a fully signed participation agreement. For eight of these files the student had
	previously signed and the College representative signed in December 2011; for the other
	nine, the participant never signed the participation agreement. However, there is no
	indication that such individuals were not appropriate participants in the program or that they
	were not appropriately trained
Cause	The College lacked policies and procedures to consistently document eligibility and retain
	eligibility documentation
Effect	Individuals may have been incorrectly determined as eligible to participate in the Program
Recommendation	We recommend that the College continue with its corrective action plan developed in
	response to the ETA report including the following initiatives:
	Develop internal policies and procedures over participant file contents
	2. Execute training initiatives over the developed internal policies and procedures over
	participant file contents
	3. Implement management review of participant files to ensure that all files have the
	required signed Participant Agreement
·	4. Implement management review of all participant files to ensure all eligibility
	documentation has been obtained in order to determine eligibility.
	5. Implement a time frame in which participants have to furnish documents prior to
	being accepted into the program
	6. Implement a clause within the Participant Agreement stating that if participants are
	unable to furnish required documentation, then dismissal from the program will occur
Questioned Costs	Questioned costs are not determinable
Program Contact	
Name	Waverly Coleman, Assistant Dean, Business and Technology
Program Contact	
Phone Number	215-496-6161
Corrective	Effective February 2012, the College has implemented procedures to ensure the necessary
Action Plan	documentation for eligibility is in all participant files. Specifically, the College has
	developed a checklist for participant files that will be used and monitored by the Financial
	Manager of the program. To strengthen the institutional resources that support the
	management of the College's grants, the College has created a new position, Manager of
	Grants and Compliance. The Manager of Grants and Compliance, Pat DiFiore, along with
	the Internal Auditor, Elaine Kosieracki, will perform routine audits to ensure all required
	participant information is in all files. However, because many of the participants were at
	that no longer active in the program, documentation remediation was difficult. The program ended effective January 28, 2012, midway through the current fiscal year, and historical
	issues were not able to be remediated.
Finding Ctatus	Fully Corrected.
Finding Status	Not Corrected or Partially Corrected.
	Action taken different than original Corrective Action Plan.
· .	No longer warranting further action.
L	110 longer waitaning former action.

	.,	·				
Description of Status	and retain eligibadopted in acco	oility docume rdance with	entation. Altl the College's	and procedures to on nough policies and s corrective action s prior to the program	procedures we plan, the Colle	re subsequently ge was unable to
Anticipated Completion Date (if not Fully corrected).						
	* .			4 - 4		

# 

1700 Spring Garden Street Philadelphia, PA 19130-3991 215.751.8000 www.ccp.edu

# COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHAILADELPHIA

Summary Status of Prior Year Findings June 30, 2012

Agency	US Department of Labor
Fiscal Year	2011
Reference	
Number	2011-02
Related Prior	n/a
Year Findings	
Program Name	ARRA - Program Grants for Worker Training and Placement in High Growth and
(CFDA No.)	Emerging Industry Sectors (Pathways out of Poverty) (CFDA # 17.275)
Type of Finding	
Compliance	Reporting
Requirement(s)	
Criteria	Per the Grant Agreement, "the grantee must submit a quarterly progress report within 45 days after the end of each calendar year quarter. in order to submit these
	quarterly reports, grantees will be expected to track participant-level data regarding
	the individuals that are involved in training and other services provided through the
	grant and report on participants status in a variety of fields and outcome categories,
	as well as provide narrative information on the status of the grant."
Condition	For two quarterly performance reports (Form ETA-9153, Performance Reports)
	selected for testing, the Program was unable to provide accurate underlying data.
	Specifically, out of twenty-four participants selected; six were not appropriately
	reflected on the Performance Report. They were erroneously shown as "retained" in
	employment when they had not met the requirements for such reporting
Cause	Lack of clarity about when participants could appropriately be shown as "retained"
Comb	under program requirements
Effect	The lack of clarity in gathering of statistical information caused the Form ETA-9153
ப்ரல்	to report inaccurate statistical data as well as inaccurate data surrounding the
	accomplishments of goals and objectives
	accompnishments of goals and objectives
Recommendation	We recommend the College develop and implement policies and procedures to
	reasonably ensure the collection of accurate statistical data for ETA-9153 reporting
Questioned Costs	Ouestioned costs are not determinable
Emperomen coara	Xeepwore cope are you descriming

# The Path to Possibilities...

Program Contact Name	Waverly Coleman, Assistant Dean, Business and Technology
Program Contact Phone Number	215-496-6161
Corrective Action Plan	Effective February 2012, the College has established a review process for all underlying dat provided by program staff to be reviewed and approved before submission. The Director of Grants, Anne Greco, in the Colleges Grant Office along with the Manager of Grants and Compliance, Pat DiFiore, in the Controller's office will review, analyze and approve all underlying support prior to any reporting submission. However, because the program endereffective January 28, 2012, the College submitted only the March 31, 2012 quarter-enterport after the corrective action was implemented.
Finding Status	Fully Corrected  Not Corrected or Partially Corrected.  Action taken different than original Corrective Action Plan.  No longer warranting further action.
Description of Status	The College has fully implemented the above corrective action plan.
Anticipated Completion Date (if not Fully corrected).	

1700 Spring Garden Street Philadelphia, PA 19130-3991 215.751.8000 www.ccp.edu

# COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHAILADELPHIA

Summary Status of Prior Year Findings June 30, 2012

Agency	US Department of Labor
Fiscal Year	2011
Reference	
Number	2011-03
Related Prior	n/a
Year Findings	
Program Name	ARRA - Program Grants for Worker Training and Placement in High Growth and
(CFDA No.)	Emerging Industry Sectors (Pathways out of Poverty) (CFDA # 17.275)
Type of Finding	
Compliance	Sub-recipient Monitoring
Requirement(s)	
Criteria	Per the March 2011 OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement, "A pass-through
	entity is responsible for:
	• Central Contractor Registration (CCR) - For ARRA subawards, identifying to first-
	tier subrecipients the requirement to register in the Central Contractor Registration,
. •	including obtaining a DUNS number, and maintaining the currency of that information (Section 1512(h) of ARRA and 2 CFR section 176.50(c)). This
	Information (bookon 1512(n) or 122221, man - 1222 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	requirement pertains to the ability to report pursuant to Section 1512 of ARRA and is not a pre-award eligibility requirement. Note that subrecipients of non-ARRA
	funds are not required to register in CCR prior to or after award.
	Tunds are not required to register in CCR prior to or after award.
Condition	The College did not make its sub-recipients aware of the requirement to register with the
	Central Contractor Registration system. Total amounts passed through to the two
	subrecipients were \$530,568.
Cause	The College believed that the two entities were program partners and not
	subrecipients because they had been included in the grant application that was
	approved by the federal government. Therefore, the College believed that notifying
	the entities about the requirement for registration with the Central Contractor
	Registration system and formal sub-recipient monitoring procedures were not
	required
Effect	The College did not fulfill its responsibility to notify its first-tier subrecipients of the CCR
	requirements.

# The Path to Possibilities...

Recommendation	We recommend that the College ensure that future sub-awards of ARRA funds include
	identification of the CCR requirements.
Questioned Costs	There are no questioned costs associated with this finding
Program Contact	
Name	Waverly Coleman, Assistant Dean, Business and Technology
Program Contact	
Phone Number	215-496-6161
Corrective Action Plan	Effective February 2012, the College has put procedures in place to ensure that at the start of any federal grant, the sub-recipient status will be determined and the appropriate notifications and controls be established. The Director of Grants, Anne Greco, along with the Controller, Todd Murphy, will meet after each federal award has been granted and perform a sub-recipient test as outlined in circular OMB A-133 Subpart B Section 210. However, because the program ended effective January 28, 2012, no additional funds were made under the program, and no corrective action could be taken with regard to the existing subawards.
Finding Status	Fully Corrected  Not Corrected or Partially Corrected  Action taken different than original Corrective Action Plan  No longer warranting further action.
Description of	The College has fully implemented the above corrective action plan.
Status	
Anticipated	
Completion Date	
(if not Fully corrected).	

1700 Spring Garden Street Philadelphia, PA 19130-3991 215.751.8000 www.ccp.edu

# COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF PHAILADELPHIA

Summary Status of Prior Year Findings June 30, 2012

Agency	US Department of Labor; US Department of Education
Fiscal Year	2011
Reference	
Number	2011-04
Related Prior	n/a
Year Findings	
Program Name	ARRA - Program Grants for Worker Training and Placement in High Growth and
(CFDA No.)	Emerging Industry Sectors (Pathways out of Poverty) (CFDA # 17.275);
	Tech-Prep Education (CFDA # 84.243); and
	Predominantly Black Institutions (CFDA # 84.043)
Type of Finding	
Compliance	Procurement, Suspension and Debarment
Requirement(s)	
Criteria	Per OMB guidance in 2 CFR part 180, which implements Executive Orders 12549
	and 12689, nonfederal entities are prohibited from contracting with or making sub-
	awards under covered transactions to parties that are suspended or debarred or whose
	principals are suspended or debarred. "Covered transactions" include those
	procurement contracts for goods and services awarded under a non-procurement
	transaction (e.g., grant or cooperative agreement) that are expected to equal or
	exceed \$25,000 or meet certain other specified criteria.
	2 CFR Section 180.220 of the government wide nonprocurement debarment and
	suspension guidance contains those additional limited circumstances. All
	nonprocurement transactions (i.e., subawards to subrecipients), irrespective of award
	amount, are considered covered transactions.
	When a nonfederal entity enters into a covered transaction with an entity at a lower
	tier, the nonfederal entity must verify that the entity is not suspended or debarred or
	otherwise excluded. This verification may be accomplished by checking the
	Excluded Parties List System (EPLS) maintained by the General Services
	Administration (GSA), collecting a certification from the entity, or adding a clause
	or condition to the covered transaction with that entity (2 CFR Section 180.300).
L	of condition to the covered numbered with that their (2 circ society 100.500).

Condition	For the only vendor for the Tech Prep program for which expenditures were over the \$25,000 threshold for suspension and debarment determination (\$38,904), and all four vendors for the Predominantly Black Institutions program for which expenditures were over the \$25,000 threshold for suspension and debarment determination (\$268,224 in total), the College was unable to provide documentation surrounding its determination as to whether the vendor had been suspended or debarred prior to entering into the contract.
	We selected a sample of two of the College's four subrecipients for the Pathways Out of Poverty program. The College was an unable to provide documentation surrounding its determination as to whether both of the College's sub-recipients for the Pathways Out of Poverty program were suspended or debarred (total cost passed through to sub-recipients were \$530,568).
Cause	The College believed that the Tech Prep vendor was selected by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania since it is being used by the other Tech Prep consortium within the Northwest Region of Pennsylvania. Upon inquiry with the Commonwealth's Tech Prep personnel, it was noted that the State did not select nor recommend the vendor and each consortium is still responsible in selecting their own vendor subject to the general guidelines surrounding procurement, suspension, and debarment. Similarly, the College believed that the two Pathways out of Poverty subrecipients were program partners approved by the Commonwealth in the grant application and therefore suspension and debarment requirements did not apply. The College's policies require a check of the EPLS system prior to execution of a contract with a vendor; however, documentation of such checks are generally not maintained, and the College's standard vendor agreement does not include a suspension and debarment clause
Effect	The College entered into agreements with vendors (for the Tech Prep and Predominantly Black Institutions program) and subrecipients (for the Pathways Out of Poverty program) without first documenting its review of whether the entities were suspended or debarred.
Recommendation  Questioned Costs	We recommend the College to enhance its policies and procedures to ensure that the College documents its determination around suspension and debarment for all covered vendors and subrecipients  We reviewed the EPLS system and noted that none of the vendors or subrecipients tested was on the suspended or debarred list. Therefore, no questioned costs are associated with this finding.
Program Contact Name	Marsia Henley, Manager, Purchasing
Program Contact Phone Number	215-751-8905
Corrective Action Plan	Effective February 2012, the College has put procedures in place where by the Purchasing Manager, Marsia Henley ensures that all background checks of vendors and or sub-recipients are completed using the EPLS (Excluded Parties List System), the results are printed, dated and maintained in a file held by the Purchasing Office.

Finding Status	Fully Corrected. √
	Not Corrected or Partially Corrected.
	Action taken different than original Corrective Action Plan.
	No longer warranting further action.
Description of	Complying with corrective action plan
Status	
Anticipated	
Completion Date	
(if not Fully	
corrected).	